Gayna Uransky
1653 Kimtu Rd.
Garberville, CA 95542

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

4-19-2004

Mr. Flanders,

Thank you for sending me the Scoping Summary Report. Fascinating reading. Among the items of interest was the last page: issues outside the ~~Scope~~ of the DGEIS.

Pray tell me how you decided to list soils, ecological resources, environmental justice, and land use outside the scope of DGEIS?

Our soils make up the land on which we grow our food. Certainly that impacts us.

Our ecological resources are, by their very nature, impacted by any level of radioactivity at whatever level.

By environmental justice, do you mean, for example, the persons living downwind from a decommissioned nuclear plant having the highest cancer rate in the state and requesting "environmental justice?" If so – how does this fall "outside" the scope of the DGEIS?

And land use ..... now there's a good one. Pray tell how do issues associated with land use fall outside the scope of the DGEIS? Does not this one issue personify many of the innate problems you are encountering in letters such as mine?

How can you even consider releasing any level of radioactivity on a blithely - and largely-ignorant-of-these-issues - public?

And how could you ever expect an informed public to accept metals with low level radiation? Concrete with low levels of radiation? Anything actually with low levels of radiation.

Guess we have to hope and pray for total ignorance, eh? And at the rate funds are being removed from our school systems - you might just get lucky.

Hoping you "do the right thing",

Tanya Wansky