APPENDIX I

Operations Branch Assignment Check Sheet:

[] Required NRC-auth. exams only for line 5.

(Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

as of:

01/09/04

Chief: McKernon

Facility/Task: GG Exam

Task Start Date: 02/06/2004

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUEDATE	INUT	DATE	
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE	
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement	Aug 10, 2003	ATG	12/9/2003	1
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned	Aug 10, 2003	ATG	12/9/2003	
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues	Aug 10, 2003	ATG	12/9/2003	
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent	Aug 10, 2003	Dem	9/12/03	
3a_	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Dec 23, 2003	Tou	9/12/03)
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Nov 8, 2003	20 m	11/8/03	
5	[Reference Material Due]	Oct 9, 2003	Tom	11/10/03	
6	Integrated Exam Outlines Due	Oct 9, 2003	Tou	11/10/03	3
7	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent	Oct 23, 2003	Dou	11/12/03	1
8	Preliminary Applications Due	Jan 7, 2004	Zom	1/7/04	
9	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due	Dec 8, 2003 /	Mu	12/8/02	<u> </u>
10 [*]	Independent Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	Dec 18, 2003	Win	1/5/04	mark
11 ⁻	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev.	Dec 18, 2003	Ar	12/30/03	•
12	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac.	Dec 18, 2003	Don	17/04	
13	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared	Jan 23, 2004	Du.	1/29/04/	2/2/
14 [*]	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	Jan 30, 2004	Ar	2/2/04	
15	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent	Jan 30, 2004	rom	2/4/04	
16	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized	Jan 30, 2004	Tom	2/4/04	
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team	Jan 30, 2004	Zom	2/2/04	
18	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Feb 18, 2004	rom	2/20/04	
19	NRC Written Grading Completed	Feb 21, 2004	Tom	2/23/04	
20	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Feb 21, 2004	Ban	2/24/04	
21	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Mar 2, 2004	Ton	2/25/04	
22	NRC BC Review Completed	Mar 3, 2004	Tom	2/25/04	
23	RPS/IP Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Mar 8, 2004	Don	13/8/04	
24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Mar 8, 2004	Tem	3/16/04	
25	Package Closed Out	Mar 29, 2004	AT	3/14/04	
	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility.	Contact Notified	of Result	s s	
* No	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility. ote Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for line			S	

Facility	GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Examination: February	9, 200)4					
Item	Task Description		Initials					
	·	a	b*	c#				
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model per ES-401.	M	702	MSA				
W R I	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	K	An	Msia				
Т	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	w	m	MSIA				
E N	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
2.	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, and major transients.	~	g	usa				
S I M	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity; ensure each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)*, and scenarios will not be repeated over successive days.	æ	m	MSVA				
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	n	m	Msa				
3. W / T	a. Verify that: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks, (2) no more than 30% of the test material is repeated from the last NRC examination, (3)* no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and (4) no more than 80% of any operating test is taken directly from the licensee's exam banks.		m	Msia				
	b. Verify that: (1) the tasks are distributed among the safety function groupings as specified in ES-301, (2) one task is conducted in a low-power or shutdown condition, (3) 40% of the tasks require the applicant to implement an alternate path procedure, (4) one in-plant task tests the applicant's response to an emergency or abnormal condition, and (5) the in-plant walk-through requires the applicant to enter the RCA.	<i>,</i> -	Bu	WSA				
	c. Verify that the required administrative topics are covered, with emphasis on performance-based activities.	m	M-	MSA				
	d. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on successive days.	m.	m	WS R				
4.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	M	Mr.	MS A				
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	M	M	MSA				
N E	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	M	m	MSA				
R A	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	~	M	MSA				
L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	m	m	MSR				
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	m.	n	MSA				
c. NRC	Printed Name / Signature nor: Michael K. Rasch While Signature (12) (12) (13) (14) (15) (15) (16) (17) (17) (18) (Date 4 200 4 200	03 102 .00 Y	- <u>-</u>				
	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.							

Facility	: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date	of Exam:	Februa	ary 6, 2004 E	xam Lev	el: RO	SRO		
						Initial			
	Item Description				А	b*	c [#]		
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and a	applicable	to facilit	у	mL	A	MSA-		
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.	able			mL	x	MS 18		
3.	RO/SRO overlap is no more than 75 percent, and per Section D.2.d of ES-401	SRO que:	stions ar	e appropriate	N	B	WK is		
4.	Question selection and duplication from the last tw appears consistent with a systematic sampling pro	vo NRC lic ocess.	ensing o	exams			Ment		
5.						b	Ton		
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75	Bank	Modifi	ied New		70			
	percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution at right	0	12	88	M	SN	W SA		
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on	Memo	ory	C/A		—			
	the exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; enter the actual question distribution at right	46		54	MC	or In we			
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away a	answers			nl	1	MSIA		
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A state approved examination outline and is appropriate for assigned; deviations are justified				me	p	шси		
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet E	S, Append	lix B, gui	idelines	M	W	MIR		
11.	The exam contains 100, one-point, multiple choice agrees with value on cover sheet	e items; th	e total is	correct and	ml	w	MSH		
c. NRC	M + 11/D - 30 -1		My My develop	ped examination		12/2	late 2003 703 1 5004		

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Examination: 02/09/200	4 – 0	2/13/2	2004
		Initial	s
1. GENERAL CRITERIA	а	b*	C#
The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	M	12	MSA
There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	M	B	MESTA
The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).	M	W-	Da
Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits.	pl	A	MSH
It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	W.	12	WSW
2 WAI K-THROUGH (CATEGORY & & R) CRITERIA			_
 initial conditions initiating cues references and tools, including associated procedures reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 		y	MSH
The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.	M	3	4514
Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.	N	1	เทรก
At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.	V	4	MSK
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA			
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	N	B	MSA
Printed Name / Signature or Michael K. Rasch Middle Hard ty Reviewer(*) G. A. A. Middle Hard Chief Examiner (#) 7.0 m Ker non / 70 mg/ Supervisor A. T. Gody / O. T. Lody	17	Date 14/2 14/0 29/	13 13 104
	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: + initial conditions + initial conditions + initial conditions + research to lost, including associated procedures + references and tools, including associated procedures - specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simula	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: initial conditions initial goues + references and tools, including associated procedures + reseroes and tools, including associated procedures + reseroes and tools, including associated procedures + system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached. Printed Name / Signature Printed Name / Signature	1. GENERAL CRITERIA 1. GENERAL CRITERIA 1. The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution). There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination. The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a). Overlap with the written examination and between operating test categories is within acceptable limits. It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level. 2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: + initial conditions + initial conditions + initial conditions + initial conditions + initial condition of the second by the facility licensee + specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable The prescripted questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment of ES-301. Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity. At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified. 3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached. Printed Name / Signature Printed Name / Signature Printed Name / Signature Printed Name / Signature Printe

	r: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: 02/09/2004 rio Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3	- 02/13/2004					
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		Initi	Initials			
	а	b*	c#				
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instruments service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may be out of	M	8	MSA		
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.		M	N/	MSK		
3.	Each event description consists of + the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated + the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event + the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew + the expected operator actions (by shift position) + the event termination point (if applicable)		M	N	WsR		
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated i without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	nto the scenario	N	so	Mrk		
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.		M	M	Msh		
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	team to obtain	M	12	Msk		
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so in have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time const given.		pr.	m	my		
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		M	m	MSA		
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiency evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the plants.		M	سوا	201		
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301		m	B	MRB		
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Forthe form along with the simulator scenarios).	m ES-301-6 (submit	m	A	MAR		
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transi specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events	M	m	MSM		
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each or	ew position.	m	W/	MSA		
TARG	EET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D)	1 2 3 Actual Attributes					
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	6 / 7 / 7	m	bor	Mon		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 3	~	m	WSIA		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	3 / 2 / 2	سر	M	MSM		
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1	<u> </u>	1/2	MSVA		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2 / 2 / 3	w	p	MSK		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	2 / 0 / 0	~	m	MSA		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	5 / 3 / 2	M	100	MSR		

Facility: GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION Date of Exam: 02/09/2004 - 02/13/2004 Scenario Numbers: 4 BACKUP Initials **QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES** с# 1. The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events. The scenarios consist mostly of related events. 3. Each event description consists of the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. 5. The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics. Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain 6. complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators 7. have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are aiven. 8. The simulator modeling is not altered. The scenarios have been validated. Any open simulator performance deficiencies have been 9. evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios. Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All 10. other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.4 of ES-301. All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit 11. the form along with the simulator scenarios) Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events 12. specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios). The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position. 13. 4 Backup Actual Attributes TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.4.D) Total malfunctions (5-8) 2 / 2. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2) 2 / Abnormal events (2-4) 4. Major transients (1-2) 5. EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2) 1 / 1 / 6. EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2) 7. Critical tasks (2-3)

1	Transient and Event Checklist						Form E	
GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION DATES: 02/09/2004 – 02/13/20 Applicant Evolution Minimum Scenario Number								
	Applicant	Evolution	Minimum		Scenario Number			
	Туре	Туре	Number	SS 1	BOP 2	ATC 3	TOTAL	
		Reactivity	1					
		Normal	1					
	RO	Instrument/ Component	4					
		Major	1					
		Reactivity	1		0	1 ⁽¹⁾	1	
		Normal	0		0	0	0	
	As RO	Instrument/ Component	2		5 ^{(2, 4,} ₅₎	3 ^{(3, 5,} ₆₎	8	
		Major	1		1 ⁽⁵⁾	1 ⁽⁶⁾	2	
	SRO-I							
		Reactivity	0	0			0	
		Normal	1	1 ⁽¹⁾			1	
	As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2	5 ^{(2, 3,} 4, 5)			5	
		Major	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾			1	
		Reactivity	0				:	
		Normal	1					
- 1				l		l		

		Major	<u> </u>			
Instructions:	(1)	Enter the operating tes	st number and	Form ES-D-1 e	event numbe	ers for each
	(2)	evolution type. Reactivity manipulation	ns may be cor	nducted under n	ormal or <i>col</i>	ntrolled abn

Instrument/ Component 2

Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement. (3)

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

SRO-U

Michael K. RASCH

Revision 1 12/3/2003

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	IUCLEAR STATION Evolution	Minimum	ATES: 0			
Applicant Type	Type	Number	ATC 1	SCETIATION SS 2	Numb BOP 3	TOTAL
	Reactivity	11				
	Normal	1				
RO	Instrument/ Component	4				
	Major	1				
	Reactivity	1	1 ⁽¹⁾		0	1
	Normal	0	0		1 ⁽²⁾	1
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2	3 ^{(2, 3,} ₄₎		2 ^(4, 6)	5
	Major	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾		1 ⁽⁶⁾	2
(SRO-I)						
2	Reactivity	0		0		0
	Normal	1		1 ⁽³⁾		1
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2		5 ^{(2, 4,} ₅₎		5
MANSO.	Major	1		1 ⁽⁵⁾		1
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				

ns		

- evolution type.
 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or controlled abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.
 Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement.

 Michael K. Ash Thurful Kall (2)
- (3)

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

Revision 1 12/3/2003

GRAND GULF N	DATES: 02/09/2004 – 02/13/2004					
Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	BOP	Scenario	Numb	er
Турс	Турс	INGITIDO	1	2	3	TOTAL
	Reactivity	1				
	Normal	1				
RO	Instrument/ Component	4				
	Major	1				
	Reactivity	1	0	1 ⁽¹⁾		1
	Normal	0	0	1 ⁽³⁾		1
As RO	Instrument/ Component	2	3 ^(3, 5)	3 ^{(2, 4,} ₅₎		6
	Major	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾	1 ⁽⁵⁾		2
SRO-I						
3	Reactivity	0			0	0
	Normal	1			1 ⁽²⁾	1
As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2			5 ^{(3, 4,} 5, 6)	5
	Major	1			1 ⁽⁶⁾	1
	Reactivity	0				
	Normal	1				
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2				
	Major	1				

Instructions:

- (1)
- Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.

 Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

 Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement. (2)
- (3)

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

Revision 1 12/3/2003

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION

DATES: 02/09/2004 - 02/13/2004

	Applicant Type	Evolution	Minimum		nario Num	ber	
	туре	Туре	Number	1 1		TOTAL	
		Reactivity	11	ļ			
		Normal	1				
	RO As RO SRO-I SRO-U 1, 2, 3	Instrument/ Component	4				
		Major	11				onormal .a of be
		Reactivity	1				or each
		Normal	0				
	As RO	Instrument/ Component	2				
·		Major	11				
	SRO-I		T				
		Reactivity	0				0 1 5
		Normal	ormal 1				
	As SRO	Instrument/ Component	2				
		Major	1				
į		Reactivity	0	SS 1 TOT	0		
		Normal	1	1(1)		1	bnormal 2.a of 1 be
		Instrument/ Component	2	1 -		5	
		Major	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾		1	
Instruction	(2) evo (2) Re cor Ap (3) Wh	olution type. activity manipulation nditions (refer to Sec pendix D. nenever practical, bo	ns may be cond ction D.4.d) but oth instrument a	ucted unde must be sig	r normal or on the contract of	controlled abno Section C.2.a c tions should be	of
Author:	арі		K. Ras at				

NRC Reviewer:

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION BACKUP SCENARIO DATES: 02/09/2004 - 02/13/2004

	Applicant	t Evolution	Minimum		Scenario	Numb	er
	`Туре	Туре	Number	SS 4	ATC 4	BOP 4	TOTAL
		Reactivity					
	RO	Normal					
		Instrument/ Component					
		Major					
		Reactivity			1 ⁽²⁾	0	
	As RO	Normal			0	1 ⁽¹⁾	
	AS RU	Instrument/ Component			1 ⁽²⁾	3 ^{(2, 3,} ₅₎	
		Major			2 ^(4, 5)	2 ^(4, 5)	
	SRO-I			· .			
		Reactivity		0			
		Normal		1 ⁽¹⁾			
	As SRO	Instrument/ Component		3 ^{(2, 3,} ₅₎			
		Major		2 ⁽⁵⁾			
	· · ·	Reactivity					
		Normal					
	SRO-U	Instrument/ Component					
		Major					
Instructions:	(1) (2)	Enter the operating tes evolution type. Reactivity manipulation conditions (refer to Sec	ns mav be cond	ucted un	der norr	nal or co	ontrolled abnorm
	(3)	Appendix D. Whenever practical, bo included; only those that applicant's competence.	at require verifia	able actio	ons that	provide	ons should be insight to the
Author:		Michael	K. RASCH	mi	-/ /~	lend	,
NRC Review	wer:	MARK	HAIRE	M	M	~	
				1	_		

GRAND GULF NUCLEAR STATION DATES: 02/09/2004 - 02/13/2004 Scenario Number Applicant **Evolution** Minimum Туре Type Number SS TOTAL Reactivity 1 Normal 1 RO Instrument/ 4 Component 1 Major 1 Reactivity 0 Normal As RO 2 Instrument/ Component Major 1 SRO-I Reactivity 0 1 Normal As SRO Instrument/ 2 Component Major 1

	Reactivity	0	0	 0
	Normal	1	1 ⁽³⁾	 1
SRO-U	Instrument/ Component	2	5 ^{(2, 4,} ₅₎	5
	Major	1	1 ⁽⁵⁾	1

Instructions:

(1)

Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each

evolution type.

Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.4.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D.

Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the (2)

(3)applicant's competence count toward minimum requirement.

Author:

K. KASCH

NRC Reviewer:

HAURE MARK

Revision 1 12/3/2003

Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Dates 02/09/2004 - 02/13/2004

	Applicant #1 RO /SRO-I /SRO-U		Applicant #2 RO/ SRO-I/ SRO-U			Applicant #3 RO/ SRO-I /SRO-U			
Competencies	L	CENAR			CENAR	10	S	CENAR	IO
	ss 1	BOP 2	ATC 3	ATC 1	SS 2	BOP 3	BOP 1	ATC 2	SS 3
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	1, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	2, 3, 4, 5	3, 4, 6	3, 5	2, 3, 4, 5	1, 3, 4, 5, 6
Diagnose Events and Conditions	2, 3, 4, 5	4, 5	3, 4, 5	2, 3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 6	3, 5	3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5, 6
Understand Plant and System Response	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	3, 4, 5	1, 3, 4, 5, 6	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	2, 4, 6	3, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Operate Control Boards (2)(4)	N/A	3, 4, 5	1, 4, 5, 6	1, 2 3, 4, 5	N/A	2, 4, 6	3, 5	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	N/A
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL	ALL
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	ALL	N/A	N/A	N/A	ALL	N/A	N/A	N/A	ALL
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.
- (4) Only applicable to RO positions at GGNS.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

NRC Reviewer:

Revision 1 12/3/2003

Grand Gulf Nuclear Station

Dates 02/9/2004 - 02/13/2004

BACKUP SCENARIO	Applicant #1 (RO)SRO-I/SRO-U		Applicant #2 RO/SRO-I/SRO-U		Applicant #3 RO/SRO-I/ SRO	
Competencies	SCEN	SCENARIO		SCENARIO		ARIO
	ATC 4		BOP 4		SS 4	
Understand and Interpret Annunciators and Alarms	2, 4, 5		2, 3, 4, 5		2, 3, 4, 5	
Diagnose Events and Conditions	2, 3, 4, 5		2, 3, 4, 5		2, 3, 4, 5	
Understand Plant and System Response	2, 3, 4, 5		1, 2, 3, 4, 5		2, 3, 4, 5	
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	ALL		ALL		ALL	
Operate Control Boards (2)	2, 4, 5, 6		1, 2, 3, 4, 5		N/A	
Communicate and Interact With the Crew	ALL		ALL		ALL	
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	N/A		N/A		ALL	
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	N/A		N/A	AND DE SECULO DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CON	3	

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.
- (4) Only applicable to RO positions at GGNS.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:	
NRC RAV	iowar.

Facility: GAANID GULF NUCLEARSTATION Date of Exam: GFERRUARY	Exam Lo	evel: R	OSRO				
2004		Initials	3				
Item Description	а	b	С				
Clean answer sheets copied before grading	m	50R	non				
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	M	SOR	Tou				
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	m	sor	Jan				
Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail	m	sor.	Tom				
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	m	SOR	Van				
Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	ph	50K	Mm				
Printed Name / Signature	,	D	ate				
a. Grader Michael K. Rasch Muhal Kus		2/16	12004				
b. Facility Reviewer(*) Steven D. Reyns Sten Kens	-	2/18	12004				
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) To. MKERNON Dykn	~	2/23	<u> 12004</u>				
d. NRC Supervisor (*) A.T. Gooy / aT Hody 3/25/2004							
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.							

Page 2 of 8 04/29/2004

07:39:00

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2003 To 09/30/2004

Report 21 Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Ca	ındidates	Туре	Exam Aut	thor Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
02/09/2004	Callaway / 05000483 / 2004301 TAC #: X02258			Prep	FFF	JOHNSTON, GARY W.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
2/09/2004	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2004301 TAC #: X02259			Prep	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E. WERNER, G E.
2/09/2004	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2004301 TAC #: X02237	SROU - 3	SROI - 2	Admin		MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
2/17/2004	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2004301 TAC #: X02237			Doc		MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
2/19/2004	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2004301 TAC #: X02247		•	Prep		STETKA, THOMAS F.	STETKA, THOMAS F.
03/01/2004	Callaway / 05000483 / 2004301 TAC #: X02258	RO - 5 SROU - 3	SROI - 4	Admin	FFF	JOHNSTON, GARY W.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W. STETKA, THOMAS F.
3/08/2004	Callaway / 05000483 / 2004301 TAC #: X02258			Doc	FFF	JOHNSTON, GARY W.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
3/15/2004	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2004301 TAC #: X02259	RO - 6 SROU - 2	SROI - 2	Admin	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MURPHY, MICHAEL E. STETKA, THOMAS F. WERNER, G E.
3/18/2004	Cooper / 05000298 / 2004011 Procedure #: 7111111B					JOHNSTON, GARY W.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
3/22/2004	Fort Calhoun / 05000285 / 2004301 TAC #: X02259			Doc	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MURPHY, MICHAEL E. STETKA, THOMAS F. WERNER, G E.
)4/04/2004	Comanche Peak / 05000445 / 2005301 TAC #: X02269			Prep	NNF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	CLAYTON, KELLY D.
4/04/2004	Comanche Peak / 05000446 / 2005301 TAC #: X02270			Prep	NNF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	CLAYTON, KELLY D.
4/05/2004	Cooper / 05000298 / 2004011 Procedure #: 41500					JOHNSTON, GARY W.	HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
4/05/2004	Cooper / 05000298 / 2004011 Procedure #: 7111111B					JOHNSTON, GARY W.	HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
04/19/2004	Wolf Creek / 05000482 / 2004301 TAC #: X02247			Prep		STETKA, THOMAS F.	MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.

Sites: ALL Orgs: 4620

Exam Author:ALL

Page 1 of 8 04/29/2004 Report 21

07:39:00

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2003 To 09/30/2004

Region: 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Cai	ndidates	Туре	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
08/18/2003	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2004301 TAC #: X02257			Prep	NNN	GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C.
09/15/2003	Waterford / 05000382 / 2003007 Procedure #: 7111111B					GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
10/27/2003	San Onofre / 05000361 / 2003005 Procedure #: 7111111B					JOHNSTON, GARY W.	JOHNSTON, GARY W. STETKA, THOMAS F.
10/27/2003	San Onofre / 05000362 / 2003005 Procedure #: 7111111B	•				JOHNSTON, GARY W.	JOHNSTON, GARY W. STETKA, THOMAS F.
11/17/2003	Cooper / 05000298 / 2003007 Procedure #: 7111111B					JOHNSTON, GARY W.	HAIRE, MARK S. JOHNSTON, GARY W.
11/17/2003	San Onofre / 05000361 / 2003301 TAC #: X02242			Prep		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. STETKA, THOMAS F.
11/17/2003	San Onofre / 05000362 / 2003301 TAC #: X02243			Prep		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. STETKA, THOMAS F.
12/15/2003	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2004301 TAC #: X02257			Prep		GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
12/15/2003	San Onofre / 05000361 / 2003301 TAC #: X02242			Admin		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.
12/15/2003	San Onofre / 05000362 / 2003301 TAC #: X02243	RO - 6 SROU - 4	SROI - 2	Admin		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.
12/22/2003	San Onofre / 05000361 / 2003301 TAC #: X02242			Doc		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.
12/22/2003	San Onofre / 05000362 / 2003301 TAC #: X02243			Doc		STETKA, THOMAS F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. STETKA, THOMAS F.
01/01/2004	Columbia Generating Station / 05000397 / 2004301 TAC #: X02266			Admin	NNN	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	GAGE, PAUL C. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
01/05/2004	Grand Gulf / 05000416 / 2004301 TAC #: X02237			Prep		MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.
01/12/2004	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2004301 TAC #: X02257	RO - 5 SROU - 3	SROI - 1	Admin		GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
01/19/2004	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / 2004301 TAC #: X02257			Doc	NNN	GAGE, PAUL C.	CLAYTON, KELLY D. GAGE, PAUL C. MCKERNON, THOMAS O.

Sites: ALL Orgs: 4620

Exam Author:ALL

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/2/2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/9/2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNAT	TURE (2) DATENOTE
	SR. OPS INST. / DEVELOPER	michael Hash	8/18/2003 m	shall Kent 2/12/2004
2. David A. Copper	SR OPS Instructor/Developer	Dui- l'Alcour	9/2/2003 Dei	Aloon 2.16-2004
3. Charles A., Bottemill		OF THE	9-2-03 CA	2-17-04
4. G. Alan Middlebrooks	Training Sept / Fac Rep	alimillimes	9-18-03	See attached
5. Hughie E. Mcknight	Sr. Des Inst Exan Tech Review	HEMOSTA.	10-25-03 (1) (2)	~~ 2-12-04
6. DONNIE K. BROWN	R.O. LEXAN TECH REVIEW	Danie Brown	Osnovos Donn	6) Swh Z4Feb 04
7. J.D. Weeks	R.O. / Exam Tech Review	- Week	03Nov03 11/10/	2/24/2004
8. Sepan Stewart Dixon	R.O / VALIDATOR	- Starret Nine	11-4-03 / 1 / Nu x	2-17-4
9. Timothy D. Boland	SRO / VALIDATOR	- State OBles	11-4-03	V368 2-24-04
10. Steven Angel	RO / validator	X44(201	11/4/03 24	2/17/04
11. Phillip KY HUFF	RO / VALIDATOR	K Will	11-5-03	Brans 2-24-04
12. KECK INGRAM	SRO / Validation	A Second	11/11/03	2/17/04
13. Mickey Ellis	SRO / validation	1 MEDEC 1	11/13/03 AND	W. 100 2111/04
14. Scott E. Bohling	RO / Validation	Sent Like	11.13.03	112 ALX 2-24-04
15. H. T. Powell	SRO / UALIDATION	Nawell)	11-24-5 MAN	11 2 7-17-0
NOTES:			75000	

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/1/2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/9/2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

t.	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2) DA	TENOTE
1.	Michael K. RASCIT	S.R. OFS INST. / DEVELOPER	michael Hash	8/18/2003	\bigcap 1.0	
2.	David A. Coper	SR OPS Instructor/ Developer	DurilACour	9/2/2003	Dan Hour	2/11/04
	Charles A. Bottemil	h Meron & Lie VeritiEl	attended	9.2-05		
4.	a-Alan Middlebrooks	Training Ipr / Fac Rep	alimillimos	4-18-03 (Minima	3/2/2001
5.	Hushic E McKright	Sr. Opt Test Exam Tach Review	HEMETA	10-29-63		7
6.	DONNIE K. BROWN	R.O. I EXAM TECH REVIEW	Danie, Brown	OSNOVOS		
7.	J.D. Weeks	R.O. / Exam Tech Review	I Week	03Nov03		
8.	SCIAN STEWART DIXON	R.O / VALIDATOR	Starret Die	11-4-03		
	Timothy D. Boland	SRO / VALIDATOR	SHOBLE	11-4-03		
10	. Steven Angel	RO / validator	X441201	11/4/03		
11	. Phillip K HUFF	RO / YALIDATOR	K Will	11-5-03		
12	BECK INGRAM	SRO / Validation	and the second	1/11/03		
13	MICKEY ELLIS	SRC / validation	a Malexa	1413/03		
14	Scott E. Bohling	RO / Validation	Sent Like	11:13-03		
15	. H. T. Powelt	SRO / UAlidAtION	Howell)	11-24-5		
NO	OTES:	· /				

NUREG-1021, Revision 8, Supplement 1

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/9/2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/9/2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE. SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE
1 Chad 0-000	00/val: dal:0	Chad lacer	11-24-03 Charles	2-17-04
2. Russell Bordatt	Ro / Validation	Grand Butt	4/24/3 De 11	2/12/4
3. Trank Wenter 4. Robert E. Goldman	STO / VIS beds from	The same	424-3 The found	2-24-4
5. AliNE E. GRIFFIN	COMPUTER THAT / SIMULATOR		11-24-03 11/1/1	2-12-2004 2-16-2004
6. Larry Bufkin	_ Rg / Validation	July 1 f	11-25-3 Jany	2-24-4
7. 1 Did A Edingson	Supr. Das. Traing	Thomas Me Intere	12-19-03 Momen Me Shits	24Feb04 212-04
9. Charles Roberts	Ops Inst. / Simulator Scenarios	Charley Breeks	1.6.04 Charle Shelf	2.12.2004
10. JASON HAYES	RO I SIMULATION SCENARIOS	(May)	1/c/04 my	2/12/204
12. Jerry C Roberts	NO Isimulatur Deenarios Oirector NSA	I Garled W Again	1/26/04 Kondid W Noxx-	2/2/2004 Tacked
13. Willi Krupa	Director MSAC	M. A Krysa	1/26/04 M. A. J.	
15. Steve Reeves	Sigs Instructor / Development	Aten Ross	2/1/04	2-12-02/ 2/12/20+

NOTES:

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2/1/2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2/9/2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE SIGNATURE (2)	DATENOTE
1. Chad Rogers.	RO/Validation	Chad locer	11-24-03	
2. Russell Bardet	Ro / Validation	- Charles St	4/24/3	
3. Track Wear	SPO/M bole from	In a week	424.3	
4. Robert L. Goldman	Software Engl Simulster	White Skill	11-24-03 Kest DO-	2-12-2004
5. AlINE E. GRIFFIN	COMPUTER TECH / SIMULATOR	11/1/1/1/	11-24-03	
6. Larry Bufkin	Re / Validation	South K. J.	11-25-3	
7. 1 Del A Kollingon	NO / welife tion	13/18	12-17-08	
8. Themas Mc Intere	Supv. DAS. Trains	Thomas Me Intere	12-19-03	
9. Charles Roberts	Ops Inst. / Simulator Scenarios	Charles Konells	1.6.04 Charle Robert	2 12.2004
10. JASON HAYES	RO / UNGONTION	Jelly ,	1/6/04	
11. Kowaso W. Hoque	RO ISIMULATUR SCENARIOS	I Sunfield W Hager	1/6/04	
12. Jerry C Roberts	Director NSA	I GROUNT	1/26/04 9 6/600	3/9/04
13. Milli Krupa	Director NSIC	M. A Krusa	1/26/04	
14. Jay 77:12	Mer Training & Development		1-25-04	
15. Steve Reeves	Srop3 Instructor/DioTlead	(Stew Ross	2/4/04 At un O an-	2 To Trans
				1 L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L L

NOTES:

Form ES-201-3

1. <u>Pre-Examination</u>

2. <u>Post-Examination</u>

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>Grape 2004</u>. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2) DA	TENOTE
1. 🕢	MANVIN L CHASE	Septensial, clowords Tull	1.10	oglada	the follow	21204
2Ł	-ule Carillis	Ops instructor/sim operator/	Ely	2.10-4	Elphy)	2-12-4
3. ∠	URIK BUFORD	Ops Sustr	CADylord	2/10/0f	CFD prof	<u>2/12/04</u>
4 5.			<i>Q</i>		<i>-</i>	
			·			
7						
8						
9 10.			·			
11						
12						·
13						
14 15.		:				

NOTES: