From:

Michael Scott

To:

ghoskins_99@yahoo.com Wed, Jul 16, 2003 2:59 PM

Date: Subject:

RESPONSE OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO PAUL GUNTER

Dear Mr. Hoskins:

Attached is the NRC's response letter to questions raised by Paul Gunter of the Nuclear Information and Resource Service regarding the prospective North Anna early site permit application. The attached file is of the pdf format. If you have difficulty opening the file, I would be happy to fax or mail you a hard copy if you will send me the appropriate address information.

If you find that the letter to Mr. Gunter does not address questions you had at the April 2003 public meeting in Mineral or if you have other questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (301) 415-1421.

Sincerely,

Michael L (Mike) Scott Project Manager Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Phone (301) 415-1421 Mail Envelope Properties (3F15A08D.B6E: 4:19783)

Subject:

RESPONSE OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION TO

PAUL GUNTER

Creation Date:

Wed, Jul 16, 2003 2:59 PM

From:

Michael Scott

Created By:

MLS3@nrc.gov

Recipients

Action

Date & Time 07/16 2:59 PM

yahoo.com

ghoskins_99 (ghoskins_99@yahoo.com)

Post Office

Delivered

Transferred

Route

yahoo.com

Files

Size

Date & Time

ML0313601790.pdf

17977

Wednesday, July 16, 2003 2:43 PM

MESSAGE

1641

Wednesday, July 16, 2003 2:59 PM

Options

Auto Delete:

Expiration Date:

Notify Recipients:

Priority:

No

No

Standard

None

Reply Requested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

Conceated

No

Security:

Standard

To Be Delivered:

Immediate

Status Tracking:

Delivered & Opened

July 8, 2003

Mr. Paul Gunter, Director Reactor Watchdog Project Nuclear Information and Resource Service 1424 16th Street NW, Suite 404 Washington, DC 20036

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO YOUR LETTER DATED APRIL 2, 2003, REGARDING THE EARLY SITE PERMIT APPLICATION REVIEW PROCESS FOR NORTH ANNA NUCLEAR POWER STATION

Dear Mr. Gunter:

This letter responds to the three questions raised in your letter of April 2, 2003 to Michael Scott and Andrew Kugler of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff regarding the early site permit (ESP) application review process for North Anna Nuclear Power Station.

Question 1:

Under what conditions can the public raise concerns/contentions in the ESP Environmental Review regarding the environmental impact from the potentially limitless and indefinite expansion of the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation as the result of the construction and operation of an unknown number of new nuclear units given any new, significant or conflicting information affecting the Commission findings in the Final First Nuclear Waste Confidence Decision as issued on August 31, 1984, the Final Second Waste Confidence Decision of September 18, 1990, and the "Status Report on the Review of the Waste Confidence Decision" of December 6, 1999?

Response:

The Commission understands that the proposal of Dominion Energy, Inc., the prospective applicant for an ESP at the North Anna site, envisions construction of no more than about 2400 megawatts (electric) of additional nuclear capacity at the site. Because of the need for an ESP applicant to provide bounding analyses in support of its application, any ESP application will, in substance, reflect a limited number of reactors. Accordingly, Dominion's proposal would not involve limitless and indefinite expansion of spent fuel storage requirements. Nevertheless, the Commission has codified its generic determination that:

spent fuel generated in any reactor can be stored safely and without significant environmental impacts for at least 30 years beyond the licensed life for operation (which may include the term of a revised or renewed license) of that reactor at its spent fuel storage basin or at either onsite or offsite independent spent fuel storage installations. Further, the Commission believes there is reasonable assurance that at least one mined geologic repository will be available within the first quarter of the twenty-first century, and sufficient repository capacity will be available within 30 years beyond the licensed life for operation of any reactor to

dispose of the commercial high-level waste and spent fuel originating in such reactor and generated up to that time.

10 CFR §51.23(a) (2003). The rule is supported by the Commission's Waste Confidence Decision and its subsequent reviews. See Requirements for Licensee Actions Regarding the Disposition of Spent Fuel upon Expiration of Reactor Operating Licenses, 49 Fed. Reg. 34,688 (1984) (codified at 10 CFR §51.23); Waste Confidence Decision Review, 55 Fed. Reg. 38,474 (1990); Waste Confidence Decision Review: Status, 64 Fed. Reg. 68,005 (1999). In light of the rule, the Commission's underlying decision and the subsequent reviews and findings, a contention challenging 10 CFR §51.23 would generally be inadmissible.

Question 2:

Where in the ESP Application process are public concerns and contentions addressed regarding the potential socioeconomic impacts from security issues arising out of expanded nuclear power plant operations on Lake Anna?

Response:

The staff expects that socioeconomic impacts associated with a proposed new nuclear power plant (including those associated with security measures) will be addressed in the environmental report accompanying the ESP application and will be reviewed as part of the NRC's evaluation of environmental impacts in accordance with 10 CFR Part 51. The NRC staff will perform an independent evaluation as part of the staff's consideration of an ESP application. During this process, there are three opportunities for members of the public to provide information on their concerns: first, the public may participate in the scoping process for the NRC staff's development of an environmental impact statement; second, after the completion of the staff's draft environmental impact statement, the public will have at least 45 days to submit comments to the NRC staff for consideration; and third, if a member of the public requests and is admitted as a party in the ESP licensing hearing they may seek to raise a contention for consideration at the hearing.

Question 3:

By whose authority can the public's access to Lake Anna be restricted as the result of elevated security codes? What Memorandums of Agreement (MOA) or Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) with Dominion Energy with which authorities currently exist for restricting public access to Lake Anna under elevated security codes?

Response:

The Commonwealth of Virginia has the authority to restrict usage of Lake Anna as necessary to ensure public health and safety. We are not aware that any memoranda of understanding with Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO), the licensee for the North Anna Power Station, are required to exercise that authority. Dominion Energy, Inc., the prospective applicant for an ESP, and VEPCO are both subsidiaries of Dominion Resources, Inc.

-3-

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Michael Scott at (301) 415-1421.

Sincerely,

/RA/

James E. Lyons, Director New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 719

cc: See next page

-4-

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Michael Scott at (301) 415-1421.

Sincerely,

/RA/

James E. Lyons, Director New Reactor Licensing Project Office Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Project No. 719

cc: See next page

ACCESSION NO. ML031360179

OFFICE	NRR/NRLPO	NRR/NRLPO*	NRR/RLEP	NSIR*	NMSS/DWM*
NAME	MLScott	MGamberoni	JTappert	VOrdaz	JSchlueter
DATE	7/3/03	5/19/03	7/03/03	5/27/03	5/22/03
OFFICE	NMSS/SFPO*	OGC	NRR/NRLPO		
NAME	JMonninger	RWeisman	JLyons		
DATE	5/27/03	7/7/03	7/7/03		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Distribution for Letter to P. Gunter dated July 8, 2003

Hard Copy

NRLPO Rdg.

MScott

SKoenick

RJenkins

JSebrosky

JLyons

AKugler

JTappert

VOrdaz

ATardiff

JMoore

RTrojanowski RHannah

E-mail

PUBLIC

RidsNrrOD (S. Collins)
RidsNrrAdip (R. Borchardt)

RidsOgcRp

NRLPÖ Group

NORTH ANNA EARLY SITE PERMIT SERVICE LIST

Mr. David A. Christian Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Dominion Energy, Inc. 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, VA 23060

Mr. Joseph D. Hegner Lead Engineer - Licensing Dominion Generation Early Site Permitting Project 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, VA 23060

Mr. C. Lee Lintecum County Administrator Louisa County P.O. Box 160 Louisa, Virginia 23093

Ms. Lillian M. Cuoco, Esq.
Senior Nuclear Counsel
Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.
Millstone Power Station
Building 475, 5th floor
Rope Ferry Road
Rt. 156
Waterford, Connecticut 06385

Dr. W. T. Lough Virginia State Corporation Commission Division of Energy Regulation P. O. Box 1197 Richmond, Virginia 23209

Director
Nuclear Licensing & Operations Support
Virginia Electric Power Company
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Allen, Virginia 23060-6711

Office of the Attorney General Commonwealth of Virginia 900 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Senior Resident Inspector North Anna Power Station U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1024 Haley Drive Mineral, Virginia 23117

Mr. David A. Heacock Site Vice President Virginia Electric and Power Company North Anna Power Station P. O. Box 402 Mineral, Virginia 23117-0402

Mr. Robert B. Strobe, M.D., M.P.H. State Health Commissioner Office of the Commissioner Virginia Department of Health P. O. Box 2448 Richmond, Virginia 23218

Mr. Marvin L. Smith Project Manager North Anna Early Site Permit Project Dominion Energy, Inc. 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, VA 23060

Mr. Eugene S Grecheck Vice President - Nuclear Support Services Dominion Energy, Inc. 5000 Dominion Boulevard Glen Allen, Virginia 23060

Mr. David Lochbaum Union of Concerned Scientists 1707 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20006-3919

Mr. Paul Gunter
Director of the Reactor Watchdog Project
Nuclear Information & Resource Service
1424 16th Street, NW, Suite 404
Washington, DC 20036

NORTH ANNA EARLY SITE PERMIT SERVICE LIST

Mr. Ron Simard Nuclear Energy Institute Suite 400 1776 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Russell Bell Nuclear Energy Institute Suite 400 1776 I Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-3708

Mr. Thomas P. Miller U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters - Germantown 19901 Germantown Road Germantown, MD 20874-1290

Mr. James Riccio Greenpeace 702 H Street, NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20001

Ms. Patricia Campbell Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

Mr. James F. Mallay, Director Regulatory Affairs FRAMATOME, ANP 3315 Old Forest Road Lynchburg, VA 24501

Mr. Ernie H. Kennedy Vice President New Plants Nuclear Plant Projects Westinghouse Electric Company 2000 Day Hill Road Windsor, CT 06095-0500

Dr. Regis A. Matzie Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer Westinghouse Electric Company 2000 Day Hill Road Windsor, CT 06095-0500 Mr. Gary Wright, Manager
Office of Nuclear Facility Safety
Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety
1035 Outer Park Drive
Springfield, IL 62704

Mr. Vince Langman Licensing Manager Atomic Energy of Canada Limited 2251 Speakman Drive Mississauga, Ontario Canada L5K 1B2

Mr. David Ritter
Research Associate on Nuclear Energy
Public Citizens Critical Mass Energy
and Environmental Program
215 Pennsylvania Avenue, SE
Washington, DC 20003

Mr. Tom Clements 6703 Guide Avenue Takoma Park, MD 20912

Mr. Edwin Lyman Nuclear Control Institute 1000 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 410 Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Jack W. Roe SCIENTECH, INC. 910 Clopper Road Gaithersburg, MD 20878

Dr. Gail H. Marcus U.S. Department of Energy Room 5A-143 1000 Independence Ave., SW Washington, DC 20585

Mr. Charles Brinkman Westinghouse Electric Co. Washington Operations 12300 Twinbrook Pkwy., Suite 330 Rockville, MD 20852

NORTH ANNA EARLY SITE PERMIT SERVICE LIST

Mr. Marvin Fertel
Senior Vice President
and Chief Nuclear Officer
Nuclear Energy Institute
Suite 400
1776 I Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-3708

Dr. Glenn R. George PA Consulting Group 130 Potter Street Haddonfield, NJ 08033

Mr. Arthur R. Woods Enercon Services, Inc. 500 TownPark Lane Kennesaw, GA 30144