

requires the additional time to begin to coordinate with the Attorney General.¹

Second, in its answer to NMED's petition to intervene, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Staff has argued that NMED's petition does not satisfy all the requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f), which sets forth the showing that a petitioner must make for each contention raised. NMED acknowledges that its petition does not satisfy each of the requirements of 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f) for each of its contentions. However, the requirements of that provision are rigorous, more rigorous than generally required for intervention in a legal proceeding. *Compare* Rule 24, Fed. R. Civ. P. (setting forth requirements to intervene in federal court proceeding). NMED did not initially have adequate time to prepare its petition. NMED therefore requests an opportunity to make the necessary showing in order to intervene. NMED requires at least until May 14, 2004 to make such a rigorous showing.

NMED is in a unique position, as the representative of the Governor of the State of New Mexico, and should be allowed the opportunity to make the necessary showing to participate in a proceeding that will determine whether a uranium enrichment facility will be licensed to operate within the State's borders.

Counsel for Louisiana Energy Services, L.P., the New Mexico Attorney General, the Nuclear Information and Resource Service, and Public Citizen do not oppose this motion. NRC Staff does not take a position at this time.²

¹ In this regard, counsel for the Attorney General indicated to NMED counsel that they do not wish to be bound by NMED's extension. NRC Staff and Louisiana Energy Services, L.P. must still file answers to the Attorney General's petition to intervene, and the Attorney General must still evaluate how to respond and whether counsel for the Attorney General will need additional time to reply as well.

² Counsel for NRC Staff indicated that she would review NMED's motion and the reasons therefore, and respond. She indicated that she was concerned about the NRC's January 30, 2004 Notice of Receipt of Application of License in which the NRC stated that this matter would be moved expeditiously and that extensions causing unnecessary delay would not be granted absent unavoidable and extreme circumstances. NMED's request for a three week

For the foregoing reasons, NMED respectfully requests an extension until May 14, 2004 to file its reply in support of its petition to intervene.

Respectfully submitted,

NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT



Claybourne Clarke
Assistant General Counsel
Tannis L. Fox
Deputy General Counsel
1190 St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-6110
Telephone (505) 827-2855
Facsimile (505) 827-1628
Clay_Clarke@nmenv.state.nm.us
Tannis_fox@nmenv.state.nm.us

Certificate of Service

I hereby that a copy of the foregoing pleading was served by mail as indicated by an asterisk (*), by electronic mail as indicated by a double asterisk (**), and by facsimile as indicated by a triple asterisk (***) on this 22nd day of April, 2004.

Administrative Judge***
Paul Bollwerk
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3F23
Washington, D.C. 20555
E-mail: gpb@nrc.gov

Administrative Judge***
Charles Kelber
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3F23
Washington, D.C. 20555
E-mail: cnk@nrc.gov

Administrative Judge***
Paul Abramson
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: T-3F23
Washington, D.C. 20555

Office of the Secretary***
Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudication Staff
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: O-16C1
Washington, D.C. 20555
E-mail: HEARING DOCKET@nrc.gov

extension does not represent substantial or unnecessary delay in the process, and NMED believes it has shown good cause for the extension, as required by the Board's recent order.

E-mail: pba@nrc.gov

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication***
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop: O-16C1
Washington, D.C. 20555

Patricia A. Madrid, Attorney General***
Glenn Smith, Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
P.O. Box 1508
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
Facsimile: 505-827-4440

James R. Curtiss**
Winston & Strawn
1400 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
E-mail: jcurtiss@winston.com

Rod Krich, Vice President***
Licensing, Safety and Nuclear Engineering
Louisiana Energy Services, L.P.
2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 610
Washington, D.C. 20037

Lindsay A. Lovejoy, Jr.**
Nuclear Information and Resource Service
1424 16th Street, N.W., Suite 404
E-mail: Lindsay@lindsaylovejoy.com

Lisa B. Clark***
Angela B. Coggins
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
E-mail: lbc@nrc.gov
Abcl@nrc.gov

Tannis L. Fox
Claybourne Clark
Attorneys for New Mexico Environment
Department