

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM WATER QUALITY REPORT January 1978 through December 1991

Prepared by Illinois Power Company 1992

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0LIST OF FIGURES	iv xxiii xxv xxvi xxvi xxvii
 2.0 LIST OF TABLES	xxiii xxv xxvi xxvi xxvii
 3.0 LIST OF APPENDICES. 4.0 PREFACE 5.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 	XXV XXVi XXVii
4.0 PREFACE .	xxvi xxvii
5.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xxvii
	Ł
6.0INTRODUCTION	5 5
7.0METHODS97.1Location of Sampling Sites97.2Sampling Methods97.3Methods for Sample Analyses97.4Statistical Analyses9))) 12 12
8.0 RESULTS 8.1 Water Temperature 8.2 Oxygen and Oxygen Saturation. 8.2.1 Dissolved Oxygen. 8.2.2 Percent Saturation. 8.2.3 Biochemical Oxygen Demand 8.3 Nitrogen. 8.3 Nitrogen. 8.3.1 Total Organic Nitrogen. 8.3.2 Ammonia 8.3.3 Nitrate 8.3.4 Phosphorus. 8.3.3 Nitrogen: Total Phosphorus Ratio 8.4.1 Total Nitrogen: Total Phosphorus Ratio 8.4.2 Orthophosphate. 8.4.3 Total Nitrogen: Total Phosphorus Ratio 8.5.1 Copper. 8.5.2 Lead. 8.5.3 Magnesium 8.5.4 Mercury 8.5.5 Zinc. 8.6 Hardness. 8.7 Other Selected Parameters 8.7.1 Total Dissolved Solids. 8.7.2 Specific Conductance. 8.7.3 Hydrogen Ion Activity 8.7.4 Alkalinity. 8.7.5 Caicium	18 18 28 28 56 56 70 70 76 84 96 96 100 113 113 113 116 124 124 132 140 150 150 167 175 182

A REAL PROPERTY OF A REAL PROPERTY.

•

•

(

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION

TITLE

PAGE

8.7.7	Sulfate	189
8.7.8	Chloride	195
8.8	Bacteria	202
8.8.1	Fecal Coliforms	202
8.8.2	Fecal Streptococcus	209
8.9	Water Transparency.	216
8.9.1	Turbidity	216
8.9.2	Total Suspended Solids	221
8.10	Silica	230
9.0 D		242
9.1	Comparisons With Other Illinois Lakes	242
9.2	Compliance With Illinois Pollution Control Board	
	Standards	242
9.3	Trophic State Index	248
9.4	Trends of Parameters	257
9.5	Limnological Influence of Clinton Power Station	265
10.0 R	EFERENCES CITED	287

[

Ć

FIGURE	CAPTION	PAGE
1	Percent operation of Clinton Power Station and water temperatures (C) at the inlet and outlet water boxes during August, 1987 through December, 1989	4
2	Percent operation of Clinton Power Station and water temperatures (C) at the inlet and outlet water boxes during February, 1990 through December, 1991	5
3	Location of sampling sites used in Clinton Lake during the water quality Environmental Monitoring Program from January, 1978 through December, 1991	30
4	Location of alternate sampling sites used in Clinton Lake during the water quality Environmental Monitoring Program from January, 1978 through December, 19911	ı
5	Frequency histograms of epilimnion temperatures (C) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (1978 through 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation	9
6	Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991, during the period prior to Clinton Power Station operation (1978 through 1986), and during the period when Clinton Power Station was opera- tional (1987 through 1991	1
7	Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) at Clinton Lake sampling sites during 1978 through 1991	2
8 -	Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) for each Clinton Lake sampling site during 1978 through 1991 and during the periods prior to (preoperational) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational)	3
9	Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) for sampling sites in Clinton Lake for the periods prior to (Mode 1) and during (Mode 2) Clinton Power Station operation 2	4
10	Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) in Clinton Lake for the periods prior to (Mode 1) and during (Mode 2) Clinton Power Station operation for April through December	25
11	Epilimnion temperatures (C) for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September, 1987 through 1989 ?	26
12	Epilimnion temperatures for (C) Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September, 1990 and 1991.	27

FIGURE

and a state and the set of the set of

CAPTION

13	Distribution of temperatures (C) from Clinton Lake sampling sites for periods prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation 33
14	Distribution of temperatures (C) at the one meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991
15	Distribution of temperatures (C) at the two meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991
16	Distribution of temperatures (C) at the three meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991
17	Distribution of temperatures (C) at the four meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991
18	Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the one meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph)
19	Composite distributions of temperatures (C) sat the two meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph)
20	Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the three meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph)
21	Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the four meter-depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph)
22	Frequency histograms of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations in Clinton Lake during the preoperational period (1978 through 1986) and the operational period (1987 through 1991)
23	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations by months during 1978 through 1991, and during the periods prior to (1978 through 1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987 through 1991)

P,

)

)

 \bigcirc

CAPTION

(

(

FIGURE	CAPTION	PAGE
24	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations by months in Clinton Lake for the period prior to (Mode 1) and during (Mode 2) Clinton Power Station operation for April through December	45
25	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during 1978 through 1991 and for periods prior to and during Clinton Power Station operation	46
26	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991.	47
27 .	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations between preoperational (Mode 1) and operational (Mode 2) and periods for each Clinton Lake monitoring site	48
28	Epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during April through September for 1987 through 1989	49
29	Epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during April through September for 1990 and 1991	50
30	Distribution of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) from Clinton Lake monitoring sites for periods prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation	53
31	Distribution of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2)	57
32	Distributions of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for depth strata from 1 through 4m for periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operatio (Mode 2)	n 59
33	Distributions of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for depth strata from 5 through 8m and for periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2)	60
34	Frequency histograms of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and durin Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)	g 61

FIGURE

•

CAPTION

I

}

 \bigcirc

35	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen saturation (%) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (left graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (right graph)
36	Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) by months in Clinton Lake during the periods prior to (left graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (right graph). 63
37	Distributions of epilimnion dissolved oxygen saturation (%) for April, June, July, September, October, and November during periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2)
38	Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen saturation (%) for Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 65
39	Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion (1), metalimnion (2), and hypolimnion (3) strata during 1978 through 1991 and during the period prior to and during Clinton Power Station operation 66
40	Monthly distributions of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
41	Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) by site Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during the period prior to and during Clinton Power Station operation
42	Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
43	Frequency histogram of biochemical oxygen demand: (mg/1) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
44	Distributions of epilimnion biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years 72
45	Distribution of biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l) for epili- mnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
46	Distribution of biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l) in Clinton Lake epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for April through September during 1978 through 1986 74

C.

(

FIGURE

-		
00	1626	· .
	SUTE:	

47	Distribution of biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986
48	Frequency histograms of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
49 [`]	Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation
50	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total organic nitrogen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
51	Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during the period prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)80
52 ·	Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)
53	Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
54_	Frequency histograms of ammonia (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
55	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
56	Distributions of ammonia (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation
57	Distribution of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion (1), metalimnion (2), and hypolimnion (3) strata during preoperational and operational periods during 1978 through 1991

FIGURE

Sector Sector

í.

2

CAPTION

P

58	Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
59	Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
60	Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991
61	Frequency histograms of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
62	Distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Power Station operation
63	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of nitrate concentrations (mg/1) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
64	Distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Power Station operation (operational mode)
65	Distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake by months for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode) . 97
66 🗐	Yearly distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
67	Frequency histograms of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph) 99
68	Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation

~.

FIGURE	CAPTION	PA
69	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991	102
70	Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l). in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)	103
71	Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991	104
72	Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991	105
73	Frequency histograms of epilimnion orthophosphate concen- trations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)	107
74	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991	108
75	Distributions of epilimnion orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) for Clinton Lake monitoring sites and by months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation	109
76 _	Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991	110
77	Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) at Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preopera- tional mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation	111
78	Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).	112
79	Frequency histogram of epilimnion copper concentrations (ug/1) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986	114

FIGURE

· • . 9. • •

.

15

li

1

l

CAPTION

F

80	Distributions of copper concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for each monitoring site (top graph), by months (middle graph), and during 1978 through 1986 (bottom graph) 115
81	Distributions of copper concentration (ug/l) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata
82	Distributions of copper concentration (ug/l) by monitoring sites in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata
83	Distributions of copper concentrations (ug/l) from 1978 through 1986 in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata
84	Frequency histogram of epilimnion lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986 120
85	Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for each monitoring site (top graph), by months (middle graph), and during 1978 through 1986 (bottom graph) 121
86	Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986
87	Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at sites where stratification occurred during 1978 through 1986 123
88	Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986
89	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1986 through 1991
90	Distributions of epilimnion magnesium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for sampling sites (top graphs) and by months (bottom graphs) during 1986 (preoperational mode) and 1987 through 1991 (operational mode)
91	Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) years in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991

CAPTION

C

The second

FIGURE

.

92	Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) by monitoring sites in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991 129
93	Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991
94	Frequency histograms of epilimnion mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph) 131
95	Distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Power Station operation
96	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
97	Distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) by years in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
98	Distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) at Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolinnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)
⁹⁹ -	Monthly distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode). 137
100	Frequency histogram of epilimnion zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986 138
101	Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for each monitoring site (top graph), by month (middle graph), and during 1978 through 1986 (bottom graph)139
102	Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during months when stratification occurred
103	Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred

FIGURE

٦

Contraction of the second

i.: ir

19. 1. 1.

منافقة المراجعة الم

1:

il

•

CAPTION

Ł

٦

104	Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) by years in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986
105	Frequency histograms of epilimnion hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph) 144
106	Distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation
107	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991
108	Distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Power Station operation (operational mode)
109	Distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring sites in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during the periods prior to (preopera- tional mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)
110	Yearly distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
111	Frequency histograms of epilimnion total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
112	Distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation
113	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
114	Distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) for Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata

xiii

CAPTION

ſ

FIGURE

•

11.5	Monthly distributions of total dissolved solids concentra- tions (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preopera- tional mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)
116	Yearly distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, meta- limnion and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991156
117	Frequency histograms of epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
118	Distributions of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation
119	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 169
120	Epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September for 1987, 1988, and 1989
121	Epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September for 1990 and 1991
122	Distribution of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)
123	Distribution of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2)
124	Distribution of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake by one meter depth intervals during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode) 165

.

FIGURE

ł

•

CAPTION

125	Monthly distributions of specific conductance concen- trations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion. and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
126	Monthly distributions of specific conductance concen- trations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
127	Frequency histograms of epilimnion pH in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
128	Distributions of pH in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation
129	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of pH in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
130	Epilimnion pH for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September for 1987, 1988 and 1989 172
· 131	Epilimnion pH for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September for 1990 and 1991
132	Distribution of pH in Clinton Lake for 0.5 meter depth intervals during periods prior to (Preoperational) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
133	Monthly distributions of pH in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
134	Distribution of pH from Clinton Lake sampling sites for periods prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation
135	Monthly distributions of pH in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)

)

 $\mathbf{\hat{\mathbf{J}}}$

(

CAPTION

FIGURE	CAPTION	PAGE
136	Frequency histograms of epilimnion alkalinity concen- trations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)	79
137	Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation 1	80
138	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991	81
139	Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)	83
140	Yearly distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata during 1978 through 1991	.84
141	Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred during 1978 through 1991	85
142 -	Distributions of calcium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation	186
143	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of calcium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1986 through 1991	187
144	Plots of calcium concentrations (mg/l) by months for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during years when Clinton Power Station was operational (1987 through 1991)	138
145	Frequency histogram of epilimnion total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986	1.90
146	Distributions of epilimnion total organic carbon concen- trations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years	191

FIGURE

5

-

l

10000

CAPTION

Ī

147	Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through
	1986
148	Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for April through September in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
149	Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
150	Frequency histograms of epilimnion sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph)
151	Distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation
152	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991
153	Yearly distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1981 through 1991
154	Monthly distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
155	Distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred
156	Frequency histograms of epilimnion chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph) 203
157	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991

xvii

CAPTION

PAGE

C

. : :

ľ

FIGURE

158	Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation 205
159	Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode) . 206
160	Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred during the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode) 207
161	Yearly distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata during 1981 through 1991
162	Frequency histogram of epilimnion fecal coliform concen- trations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
163	Distributions of epilimnion fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years
164 -	Distribution of fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
165	Distribution of fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
166	Distribution of fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for April through September in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
167	Frequency histogram of epilimnion fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
168	Distributions of epilimnion fecal streptococcus concen- trations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years

FIGURE

ž

.

CAPTION

169	Distribution of fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./ 100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
170	Distribution of fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for April through September in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
171	Distribution of fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986
172	Frequency histograms of epilimnion turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph) 222
173	Distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation 223
174	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
175	Monthly distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Oper- ational Mode)
176	Yearly distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata during 1978 through 1991
177	Distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred
178	Frequency histogram of epilimnion total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
179	Distributions of total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation

CAPTION

FIGURE

ł

and the second

XX

180	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 231
181	Distributions of total suspended solids concentrations . (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred
182	Monthly distributions of total suspended solids concen- trations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, meta- limnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode)
183	Yearly distributions of total suspended solids concen- trations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991 234
184	Frequency histograms of epilimnion silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).
185	Distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation
186	Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991
187	Monthly distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypo- limnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Opera- tional Mode)
188	Yearly distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991
189	Distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred 241
190	Dendograms illustrating results of average linkage method of cluster analyses of Pinkham-Pearson similarity matrix performed on water quality data for 14 Illinois lakes 244

PAGE

FIGURE

41

	1.0 LIST OF FIGURES	
JURE	CAPTION	PA
191	Mean annual Trophic State Index values for Clinton Lake sampling sites during 1978 through 1991	253
192	Plots of mean annual Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency (y axis), total phosphorus (x axis) and chlorophyll a (z axis) for Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.	254
193	Plots of mean annual Trophic State Index values for total phosphorus, chlorophyll a, Secchi transparency, and mean annual concentrations of total suspended solids (mg/l) for Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991	255
194	Plots of mean annual Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency and chlorophyll a and mean annual concentrations of total suspended solids (mg/l) for Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.	256
195	Map of Illinois depicting locations of 13 Illinois lakes.	258
196	Plots of mean Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency (y axis), total phosphorus (x axis), and chlorophyll a (z axis) for 13 Illinois lakes	259
197	Plots of mean Trophic State Index values for chlorophyll a and total phosphorus for 13 Illinois Lakes	261
198	Regressions of Trophic State Index values for total phosphorus and Secchi transparency (top graph), total phosphorus and chlorophyll a (middle graph), and Secchi	

198	Regressions of Trophic State Index values for total phosphorus and Secchi transparency (top graph), total phosphorus and chlorophyll a (middle graph), and Secchi transparency and chlorophyll a (bottom graph) for Clinton Lake	262
199	Plots of temperature, dissolved oxygen, nitrate, sulfate, chloride, and silica values for Glinton Lake during the period prior to (Operational Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode 2)	268
200	Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 2 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	269
201	Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 13 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.	270

202 Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 8 in Clinton Lake from May, through October during 1987 through 271

CAPTION

FIGURE

- 244 Martin - Share sheet was

(

PAGE

.

203	Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 4 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	272
204	Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 16 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	273
205	Regressions of epilimnion temperatures (C) taken during the period when Clinton Power Station was operational at Site 2 with each of the remaining monitoring sites in Clinton Lake.	275
206	Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 2 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.	277
207	Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 13 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	278
208	Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 8 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.	279
209	Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 4 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	280
210	Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 16 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991	281
<u></u> 211	Plots of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake from May through October during the period prior to (Operational Mode 1) and during (Operational Mode 2) Clinton Power Station operation	284
212	Plots of diatom densities (units/liter) and silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1987 through 1991	285

2.0 LIST OF TABLES

.

•••••

and a state of the

ļ.

.

	LIV DIDI OF INDIES	
NUMB	ER TITLE	PAGE
1	Selected hydrological features of Clinton Lake, near Clinton, Illinois	2
2	Chronological synopsis of environmental monitoring reports which contain environmental data pertinent to the Clinton Power Station, Clinton, Illinois	7
3	Methods, procedures, and preservatives used in the analyses of water samples collected during the water quality environmental monitoring program at Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois	13
4	Number of observations determined for each water quality constituent which was included in the Environmental Monitoring Program for Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.	y 1 16
5	Months when stratification occurred at sampling sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991	29
6	Descriptive statistics for water temperature (C) from depth profiles from Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991	30
7	Average water temperatures (C) from depth profiles for sampling sites in Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991	31
8	Descriptive statistics of water temperature (C) depth profiles for Clinton Lake sampling sites prior to (1985 and 1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987 through 1991)	32
9	Number and percent of observations from epilimnion samp collected from Clinton Lake where data were not within General Use water: quality standards set by the Illinois Pollution Control Board	oles 51
10	Descriptive statistics for dissolved oxygen (mg/l) depth profiles from Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991	52
11	Average dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) from de profiles for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991	pth 54
12	Descriptive statistics of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) from depth profiles for Clinton Lake monitoring sites prior to (1985 and 1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987 and 1991)	55.
	xxiii	

•

and and the start of the best of the section

 \bigcirc

2.0. LIST OF TABLES

(

THE ALL STREET

Ľ

NUMBE	TITLE	PAGE
13	Average dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) for depth strata (1 through 10 meters) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (preoperational) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational)	n 58
14	Comparisons of water quality constituents for Clinton Lake (1987 through 1991) and twelve other Illinois Lakes	243
15	Percentages of annual observations from epilimnion sample collected from Clinton Lake where data were not within General Use water quality standards set by the Illinois Pollution Control Board	246
16	Volumes of water (cubic meters) in Clinton Lake and the corresponding percentages of the total volume of Clinton Lake that had dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 5.0 mg/l during 1987 through 1991	247
17	Concentrations of mercury (mg/kg) in fish flesh from samples of eight fish species collected from Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois	249
18	Lake trophic classification using the Trophic State Index for Secchi transparency (m), total phosphorus (mg/l), and chlorophyll a (ug/l)	251
19	Summary of Trophic State Index values for Secchi trans- parency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll a data from Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois	252
20	Mean Trophic State Index values for total phosphorus, chlorophyll a, and Secchi transparency data from Clinton Lake and thirty-one other Illinois lakes	260
21	Spearman correlation coefficients and regression corre- lation coefficients for water quality constituents in Clinton Lake with Spearman correlation coefficients greater than 0.5000	263
22	Results of trend analyses for water quality constituents monitored in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 and during the period of Clinton Power Station operation (1987 through 1991)	266
23	Descriptive statistics of water quality constituents monitored in Clinton Lake during the periods prior to (preoperational) and during (operational) Clinton Power Station operation	.267
24	Summer of adjunction water temperatures (C) from Clint	

24 Summary of epilimnion water temperatures (C) from Clinton Lake for May through October during 1985 through 1991.276

3.0 LIST OF APPENDICES

ar 194

dia.

()

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Clinton Lake Water Quality Data 1987 through 1991.	A-1
B	Clinton Lake Profiles Data 1987 through 1991.	B-1

....

xxv

. .

4

:

İ

- - 7

4.0 PREFACE

(

This report describes the results of the water quality portion of the Environmental Monitoring Program for the Clinton Power Station for data collected from 1978 through 1991.

This program represents a cooperative effort between the Power Production and Environmental Affairs departments of Illinois Power Company. The Central Laboratory of the Power Production Department was responsible for collections and analyses of water quality samples during the program. The Environmental Affairs Department was responsible for analysis, interpretation and presentation of data.

This report was authored and typed by Thomas V. Clevenger. Technical review was provided by Jill Witts, Thomas L. Davis and Brett J. Marshall. Computer entry of analytical data was performed by Gretchen Williamson. Thomas V. Clevenger and Jill Witts were responsible for data analyses. Charyle A. Miller assisted in preparation of the final draft. :1

Clinton Lake was constructed in 1978 to provide cooling water the Clinton Power Station (CPS). Since then, Illinois Power Compahas monitored the water quality of Clinton Lake to satisfy vario environmental laws, licenses, and permits associated with t construction and operation of CPS. Twenty-eight water qual constituents have been monitored during 1978 through 1991.

Establishment of the preoperational water quality database : Clinton Lake was the primary goal for previous water quality report The preoperational database is used as a baseline for assessments potential effects of CPS operations. The primary goal of this report to assess what effects CPS operations had on the water quality Clinton Lake. These assessments include nine years of water qual data prior to the operation of CPS (1978 through 1986) and five years data since CPS began to operate (1987 through 1991).

Clinton Lake water quality data are similar to other Illinois lak and there were no unusual patterns in the distributions of data for a of the water quality constituents. Cluster analyses of water quality da for 13 lakes in Illinois indicated that Clinton Lake was more similar ten non-cooling Illinois lakes than to the two other cooling lak (Sangchris and Coffeen lakes) used in the comparisons.

Illinois Pollution Control Board General Use water quality standar were not met for six constituents in Clinton Lake. Total phosph exceedances occurred for 73% of the epilimnion samples in Clinton I Exceedance of the total phosphorus standard in Illinois lakes is common exceedances have been reported in 62% of the lakes and reservoirs Illinois. As with other Illinois lakes the high concentrations phosphorus in Clinton Lake are probably due to surface water runo from adjacent agricultural land.

Four water quality constituents (pH, mercury, nitrate, and fec coliforms) had exceedances during the period prior to CPS operation Only one exceedance occurred for pH in epilimnion samples collected fro 1978 through 1988. Six nitrate samples exceeded the IPCB standar during 1978 through 1991. Mercury concentrations exceeded the standa in 25 epilimnion samples (6%) prior to 1984; the only other exceedence after 1984 occurred in a hypolimnion sample during 1989. Exceedances (mercury were attributed to sampling or analytical errors. This conclusi was supported by: inconsistent results among strata and among precedir and succeeding sampling events; exceedances have occurred for only on sample collected since 1984; and results of mercury analyses of fish fles and sediments do not provide evidence that there is a problem wit mercury in Clinton Lake. Fecal coliform counts exceeded the IPC: standard in 14 samples (48) collected from 1978 through 1986. Ratios c fecal coliform to fecal streptococcus suggest fecal contamination is nc from human sources. Samples were not analyzed for fecal coliform subsequent to 1986.

xxvii

Dissolved oxygen concentrations were below the IPCB standard (5 mg/l) during the preoperational and operational periods. Most Illinois lakes cannot meet the standard in bottom waters during summer. One percent of the epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations were less than the standard during the preoperational period and 4% were less than the standard during the operational period. The increase in dissolved oxygen noncompliances during the operational period was apparently due to increased water temperatures.

Carlson's Trophic State Index (TSI) values indicate Clinton Lake is eutrophic (highly productive). The mean TSI (65.9) for Clinton Lake is slightly greater than the mean TSI for 69 other Illinois lakes (65.2). Comparisons of TSI values for Secchi transparency, total phosphorus and chlorophyll a indicate algal production in Clinton Lake may be limited due to light attenuation from suspended inorganic materials (silt and clay). TSI values have gradually increased in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.

į

Х У

San and a state of the

Status entries and we have been and

Analysis of variance indicate significant (95% confidence) differences between preoperational and operational periods for six water quality constituents. Trend analysis for these constituents indicate two of these constituents, temperature and chloride, had increasing trends and four constituents, dissolved oxygen, nitrate, mercury, and silica had decreasing trends.

Water temperatures were significantly (95% confidence) greater during the period when CPS was operational. Temperature is the most important variable in the assessment of CPS-induced limnological changes in Clinton Lake. In general, Clinton Lake does not develop the stable, long-term thermal stratification. Distinct long-term stratification did not develop even at Site 8, the deepest site and the site where stratification was most expected. Temperatures during operational conditions indicate the greatest temperature range among sites was 10.2° C.

Dissolved oxygen concentrations were significantly (95% confidence) lower during the period when CPS was operational. The solubility of oxygen is inversely related to temperature. Periods of depleted oxygen occurred prior to the operation of CPS. However, the operation of CPS appeared to extend the area and duration of depleted oxygen conditions. The extent of oxygen-depleted water in Clinton Lake is within conditions considered normal for non-cooling reservoirs. It is not uncommon for reservoirs to have as much as 50% of their total volume that are not capable of supporting aerobic aquatic communities. Analyses demonstrated that at least 51% of Clinton Lake's waters maintained dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 5 mg/l during operational years.

Decreased nitrate concentrations during the operational period were, in part, attributed to greater concentrations of phytoplankton which depleted nitrate supplies, and to reduced runoff due to low precipitation in 1988. Decreased silica concentrations were attributed to an artifact changes in sample collection schedules between periods, and concomi shifts in diatom population densities. Usage of silica by diato greatly modify flux rates of silica in lakes.

Chloride concentrations were significantly greater during the per when CPS was operational. Sodium hypochlorite is used to treat condenser cooling water system of CPS. However, the cumulative dos used in these treatments could account for only 1% of the increase chloride concentrations in Clinton Lake during the period when CPS operational. The significant increase in chloride concentrations was n likely due to low water levels during the initial years of CPS operation

Influences of CPS operations are mostly associated with increa water temperatures and concomitant decreased dissolved oxy₁ concentrations. These effects are mostly restricted to the area near CPS discharge. Data collected in 1988 were influenced by inordinately hot and dry meteorological conditions (second lowest rain on record in 110 years). Thus, the limnological changes in Clinton L: due to CPS operations are probably overstated for years with more non meteorological conditions.

6.0 INTRODUCTION

Illinois Power Company (IPC) initiated an Environmental Monitoring Program (EMP) for the Clinton Site to satisfy the requirements of various environmentally related permits, approvals, and licenses required from federal and state agencies. The scope of this report is limited to the water quality monitoring portion of the Clinton Lake EMP.

Water quality conditions of Clinton Lake before the Clinton Power Station became operational were characterized in previous reports (Illinois Power Company 1986 and 1989). To characterize preoperational water quality conditions, monitoring data were organized to:

1. Establish preoperational baseline water quality;

2. Determine the inter- and intra-site variability of data; and

3. Identify temporal trends.

 \mathcal{K}

1

Water quality data utilized to characterize the preoperational database for Clinton Lake are defined as data collected from the time Clinton Lake reached pool level (1978) until the Clinton Power Station began power generation (February, 1987).

The primary goal of this report is to assess changes in the water quality of Clinton Lake since Clinton Power Station began operation. Operational data were compared to preoperational data to determine if there were significant changes in:

1. Inter- or intra-site distributions of data; and

2. Long-term trends.

6.1 Description of Clinton Lake

Clinton Lake was built to provide cooling water for the Clinton Power Station (CPS). It is located in DeWitt County approximately 9.6 km east of Clinton, Illinois. Clinton Lake was formed by the construction of an earthen dam across Salt Creek 366 m downstream from its confluence with the North Fork. Dam construction was completed on October 12, 1977 and the lake reached normal pool elevation (210 m) on May 17, 1978. Clinton Lake is a 1,981 ha, V-shaped impoundment with an average depth of 4.75 m and a maximum depth of 13.7 m near the dam. There are approximately 209 km of shoreline. The North Fork arm of the lake extends about 12.8 km from the dam; the Salt Creek arm extends about 25.6 km from the dam. The average width of the lake is 600.5 m at normal pool. Inflows to the lake occur as a direct response to precipitation on its drainage area and through groundwater flow. This and other pertinent data are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1.	Selected	hydrological	features	of	Clinton	Lake,	near
	Clinton,	Illinois.			•		

•

÷

.

PARAMETER	METRIC	ENGLISH
Surface Area	1981 ha	4,895 a
Average Depth	4.75 m	15.6 ft
Maximum Depth	13.7 m	45 ft
Shoreline Length	209 km	130 mi
Storage Capacity	9.15 million cu m	74,000 acre ft
Watershed	766.6 sq km	296 sq mi
Shoreline Development	13.2	13.2
Normal Pool Elevation	210 m	690 ft
Length of North Fork Arm	12.8 km	8 mi
Length of Salt Creek Arm	25.6 km	16 mi

Ĵ)

•

Clinton Lake and approximately 5,000 acres of the surrounding land are leased to the Illinois Department of Conservation (IDOC). The IDOC has opened the area to the public for recreational activities such as fishing, boating, swimming, and camping. Most of the remaining acreage within the watershed is used for agricultural purposes (approximately 180,000 acres).

6.2 Description of Clinton Power Station

The CPS is a nuclear generating facility consisting of one 933 MW steam turbine generator powered by a General Electric boiling water reactor. Construction of the CPS was completed in 1986 and low power testing and commercial operations commenced in 1987. At full load, the once-through cooling system dissipates 6.713 billion Btu/hr. The multi-pressure condenser used at CPS is served by three 189,567 gpm pumps and at 100 percent load is designed for a cooling water temperature rise of 12.6 degrees Celcius. A total cooling water flow of 1387.5 cfs is taken from and returned to Clinton Lake via the once-through system under three-pump operation. Circulating water is withdrawn from the North Fork arm of Clinton Lake, passes through the condenser, flows through a 5 km discharge flume and is discharged to the Salt Creek arm of the lake. The intake is designed to withdraw water from a depth of 2.1 to 6.1 m below the normal pool elevation of 210.3 m Two drop structures are placed in the discharge flume to at msl. dissipate hydraulic energy. The discharge flume is trapezoidal in cross-section and has a surface area of 31.5 ha. Total residence time of the cooling water at full flow is 4.5 hours, of which 4.4 hours is in the discharge flume.

Power generation at the station first began in 1987. Initial criticality of the reactor occurred in February, 1987. A full power operating license was granted by the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and initial synchronization of the generator with the transmission system occurred in April, 1987. The 100 percent reactor power level was first reached on September 15, 1987. The 100-hour reactor warranty run began on October 5 and was concluded on October 9, 1987. Operation was intermittent until August, 1987. Percent operation since August, 1987 is presented in Figures 1 and 2.

All discharges from the CPS are regulated by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA). Illinois Power has an NPDES permit for nine discharges from the CPS. Authorized discharges include those for the sewage treatment plant, radwaste system, transformer area and diesel generator oil-water separators, potable water treatment wastes, screen house intake water backwash, safe shutdown system, area runoff collection basin and the cooling water discharge to Clinton Lake. Permit conditions require IPC to limit pollutant concentrations in each discharge. Water quality for each discharge is monitored and Discharge Monitoring Reports are submitted monthly to the IEPA.

3







JANUARY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1988



JANUARY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1989



1.0

, I

Percent operation of Clinton Power Station and wa temperatures (C) at the inlet and outlet water bo: during August, 1987 through December, 1989.



FEBRUARY 1 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 1998





Figure 2. Percent operation of Clinton Power Station and water temperatures (C) at the inlet and outlet water boxes during February, 1990 through December, 1991.

÷

6.3 History of the Water Quality Monitoring Program

The water quality monitoring program consisted of five phases which parallel the development of Clinton Lake. The Preconstruction Phase consisted of two related monitoring programs. Water samples were collected from Salt Creek and the North Fork from May 1972 to February 1973 and from May 1974 to August 1975 (Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories Inc. 1975 and Nalco Environmental Sciences 1976). The purpose of these monitoring programs was to provide baseline water quality data for Salt Creek and the North Fork before lake construction. The Construction Phase monitoring was performed during October 1975 to October 1977 to document water quality in Salt Creek and the North Fork during construction of the dam and preparation of the lake basin (Nalco Environmental Sciences 1976, 1977, and 1978). Water quality was monitored in Salt Creek, the North Fork and Clinton Lake from October 1977 to May 1978 to document changes during the Lake Filling Phase (Nalco Environmental Sciences 1978). The Lake Development Phase began when the lake reached normal pool elevation and continued until the NRC issued IPC a permit to operate the CPS. The Operational Phase began in February 1987 when CPS began power generation.

Data collection and evaluations associated with the Preconstruction and Construction Phases were contracted to independent consulting firms. Responsibility for the water quality monitoring program was assumed by IPC's Central Laboratory in January 1978 during the Lake Filling Phase. All subsequent monitoring has been performed by the Central laboratory of IPC. Water quality data were organized summarized, interpreted, and reported herein by the Environmenta Affairs Department of IPC. Chronological listing of reports and consulting firms, as appropriate, for the preoperational monitoring is provided in Table 2.
Table 2.Chronological synopsis of environmental monitoring reports
which contain environmental data pertinent to the Clinton
Power Station, Clinton, Illinois.

Illinois Power Company. 1973. *Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. *Applicants Environmental Report, Construction Permit State,* Vols. 1 through 4 and Supplements. Docket Nos. 50–361 and 50–462, Oct. 26, 1973.

United States Atomic Energy Commission. 1974. Final Environmental Statement Related to the Proposed Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. Illinois Power Company, Docket Nos. 50–461 and 50–462.

Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories, Inc. 1975. Clinton Preconstruction Environmental Monitoring May 1974 through April 1975. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, Illinois. Nalco Environmental Sciences. 1976. Clinton Preconstruction

NALCO Environmental Sciences 1976. Clinton Preconstruction Environmental Monitoring May through April 1976. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, Illinois.

<u>1977.</u> Environmental Monitoring May 1976 through April 1977 Construction Phase Clinton Power Station. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, Illinois.

_____ 1978. Environmental Monitoring May 1977 through April 1978 Construction and Lake-filling Phases Clinton Power Station. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, Illinols.

Illinois Power Company. 1979. Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. Environmental Report Operating License Stage.

- Energy Impact Associates. 1980. Thermal Demonstration Pursuant to Illinois Pollution Control Board Rules and Regulations Chapter 3, Rule 203(i)(10). Prepared for Illinois Power Company, Clinton Power Station Unit 1. P.O. Box 1899, Pittsburgh, Penn. 15230.
- U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1982. Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of the Clinton Power Station, Unit No. 1. Illinois Power Company, Decatur, IL.



Table 2. (continued)

1: 70

- Illinois Power Company. 1986. Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program Water Quality Report 1978 through 1984. Environmental Affairs Department. Illinois Power Company, Decatur, IL.
 - _____ 1989. Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program Water Quality Report 1978 through 1988. Environmental Affairs Department. Illinois Power Company, Decatur, IL.
- J. E. Edinger Assoc., Inc. 1989. Hydrothermal Analyses of Clinton Lake for Clinton Power Station Unit 1 Operations. Prepared for Illinois Power Company, Environmental Affairs Department. Decatur, IL.

. · .

7.0 METHODS

7.1 Location of Sampling Sites

Water samples were collected monthly from lake sites 2, 4, 8, and 16 during 1978 through 1986 (Figure 3). Samples were collected from sites 2, 4, 8, 13, and 16 during 1987 through 1991. The frequency of sample collections was monthly during May through September; samples were collected quarterly during the remainder of each year.

Site 2 is approximately 100 m offshore from the cooling water discharge to Clinton Lake, and approximately 4 m deep. This site was chosen to characterize lake conditions at the point of thermal discharge to the lake.

Site 4 is approximately 91 m northwest of the CPS screen house and approximately 7 m deep. This site was chosen to characterize water quality in the vicinity of the CPS intake.

Site 8 is near the former confluence of Salt Creek and the North Fork and approximately 10 m deep. This site was chosen to characterize lake water quality approximately two-thirds of the way along the path between the discharge of cooling water to Clinton Lake and the cooling water intake (cooling loop).

Site 13 is approximately half way between Site 8 and Site 2 and approximately 7 m deep. This site was chosen to characterize water quality approximately one-third of the way along the path of the cooling loop. This site was added in September, 1986 to characterize transitional water quality between Site 8 and Site 2.

Site 16 is approximately 183 m east of the Illinois Route 48 bridge and approximately 3 m deep. This site was chosen to characterize conditions in the Salt Creek arm of the lake and is expected to receive minimal thermal enrichment. Samples were first collected at this site in May 1980.

Inclement winter conditions occasionally made sample sites inaccessible. When this occurred, samples were collected from alternate sites (Figure 4). Alternate Site 2 samples were collected from the east side of the northern span of the DeWitt County Highway 14 bridge. Alternate Site 4 samples were collected from the CPS screenhouse. Alternate Site 8 samples were collected from the Westside boat access near the Clinton Lake Dam. Alternate Site 16 water samples were collected from the east side of the Illinois Route 48 bridge.

7.2 Sampling Methods

Water samples were collected in non-metallic, 6.2 liter Beta bottles. Water samples from the Beta bottles were emptied into an 18 liter plastic compositing tank; a minimum of 12 liters of water was collected from each site.





4. Location of alternate sampling sites used in Clinton Lake during the water quality Environmental Monitoring Program from January, 1978 through December, 1991. Temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, and specific conductance were measured at the surface and at one-meter depth intervals at each site during 1978 through 1985, and at 0.5 m intervals during 1986 through 1991. If thermal stratification (temperature gradient of at least 1° Celsius change per meter) was present, the water column was segmented into epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion for sampling purposes, as appropriate. Samples for other water quality parameters were always collected at one meter below the surface, i.e., from the epilimnion. If thermal stratification was present, samples were also collected from metaand hypolimnion strata. Metalimnion samples were taken at the midpoint between the upper and lower levels of the layer, as defined by the temperature gradient. If a hypolimnion layer existed, samples were collected midway between the lake bottom and the lower limit of the metalimnion. Samples requiring refrigeration (Table 3) were placed on ice in insulated chests.

Replicate sampling of water quality parameters at each site was required by the NRC. From January 1978 through April 1980 two water samples were collected at each site. When thermal stratification was present, two samples were collected from each stratified layer. Subsequent to April 1980, two samples were collected from one randomly selected site per month.

7.3 <u>Methods for Sample Analyses</u>

Samples taken during 1978 through 1986 were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3 (except calcium and magnesium). During 1987 through 1991 samples were not analyzed for BOD, TOC, copper, lead, zinc, fecal coliform, and fecal streptococcus. Concentrations for calcium and magnesium were determined for samples collected during 1987 through 1991. Analytical methods (Table 3) followed Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater (APHA et al. 1976) or Methods for the Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes (USEPA 1979). The numbers of observations used in statistical analysis for each chemical constituent monitored during the Clinton Lake EMP are presented in Table 4.

7.4 Statistical Analyses

Water quality data were loaded on an IBM PS2 Model 80 computer; dBase III Plus and dBase IV database management software were used to facilitate data organization. Statgraphics (Version 3.0) software was used to perform and graphically display statistical analyses. Summary statistics used in the evaluation of water quality data included maximum, minimum, range, arithmetic and geometric mean, median, variance, and standard deviation.

Data that were analytically indicated at the limit of detection (LOD) were assumed to be present at one-half the LOD. The LOD is the lowest concentration of a constituent that will register on the analytical equipment with any confidence. Therefore it is a qualitative indicator rather than a distinct concentration with a known degree of confidence.

Table 3.

Methods, procedures, and preservatives used in the analyses of water samples collected during the water quality environmental monitoring program at Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois.

			MAXIMUN	1 5225
F-17-7-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	i se a constante de la constan		HOLDING	i antenne er
CONSTITUENT	METHOD	PRESERVATIV	E	REFERENCES
n an		• • •	ومدودي مرجع معرفي مارك ورودي مرجع مرجع معرفي مرجع المرجع المرجع	a the second second
Alkalinity	Potentiometric,	Refrigeration	24 Hr.	Method 310.1
Total (CaCO 3)	Titrimetric			USEPA 1979
			•••	Method 403
•				APHA et. al. 1975
Ammonia	Nessiorization	Sulfuric Acid	24 Hr.	Method 350.2
(NH 3-N)	Tollowing	(0.8ml/l)	· · •	USEPA 1979
	Distillation			Method 4188
				APHA BL. BI. 1975
Fecal Collform	Membrane	Refrigeration	6 Hr.	Method 909C
	Fillfation	Sterlie Bottle	- 42'	. AP:HA 61. 21. 1975
Fecal	Membrane	Refrigeration	6 Hr	
Silepidedeus	Finitation	Sterne Dotte	•	
Biochemical	Membrane	Heirigeration	24 Hr.	Method 405.1
	Electrode			USEPA 1979
Demano (5 day)			· *	
Calaium		Allele Aeld		Malhad 015 M
	Absorption		0 1110.	MUNOU 215:1
Chierida	Harouria	Balricoration	29 days	"Nethod 407(B)
	Nitrata	Linearity and a second s	20 Udys	
•	Nillalo			Method 325 3
• •	•	-	···· * n.*	USEPA 1983
Specific	· · · ·	Measured	Measured	Method 205
Conductance		In Situ	In Situ	APHA et. al. 1975
				Montedoro-Whitney Co.
Copper	Atomic Absorption	Nitric Acid	6 mo.	Method 220.2
(Total)	Graphite Furnace	5 ml/l		USEPA 1979
	following Digestion			•
Hardness	EDTA	Refrigeration or	6 mo.	Method 130.2
	Titrimetric	Nitric Acid		USEPA 1983
		If not analyzed		Method 2340C
	<u></u>	within 24 hrs		APHA et. al. 1989
Lead	Atomic Absorption	Nitric Acid	6 mo.	Method 239.2
(Total)	Graphite Furnace	5 mM		USEPA 1979
	following Digestion			
Magnesium	Atomic Absorption	Nitric Acid	6 mo.	Method 242.1
1		to pH 2		USEPA 1983

Table 3 (continued)

.

			MAXIMUM	
CONSTITUENT	METHOD	PRESERVATIVE	TIME	REFERENCES
Morcury (Total)	Atomic Absorption Cold Vapor, Manual following Digestion	Nitric Acid (mercury grade) 5 mVi	.38 days	Method 245.1 USEPA 1979
Nilrale (NO 3-N)	Cadmium Reduction (Manual)	Sulfuric Acid 0.8 mV Refrigeration Filtration, 0.45 u Membrane Filter	24 Hr.	Method 353.3 USEPA 1979
Organic Carbon Total (TOC)	Ocean Int. Analyzer Wet Oxidation	Refrigeration Sulturic Acid 0.8 ml/l	24 Hr.	Method 415.1 USEPA 1979 Ocean Int. Corp.
Organic Nitrogen Total (NH 3-N)	Kjeldahi Nesslerization Subtract Ammonia	Sulluric Acid 0.8 ml/i	24 Hr.	Method 351.3 USEPA 1979 Method 421 APHA et. al. 1975
Orthophosphate Soluble (PO 4-P)	Ascorbic Acid Single Reagent	Refrigeration Filtration, 0.45 u Membrane Filter	24 Hr.	Method 365.2 USEPA 1979 Method 425F APHA et. al. 1975
Oxygen Dissolved	Winkler, Modified Azide full bottle Technique	Manganous Sullate Alkaline lodide Azide	•	Method 360.2 USEPA 1979
Oxygen Dissolved		Measured In Situ	Measured In Situ	Method 422F APHA et. al. 1975 Montedoro Whitney C
Oxygen % Saturation	Calculated		······································	Method 4228 APHA et. al. 1975
pH -	•	Measured In Situ	Measured In Situ	Method 424 APHA et. al. 1975 Method 150.1 USEPA et. al. 1975 Montedoro Whitney C
Phosphorus Total	Ascorbic Acid Single Reagent following digestion	Refrigerate Sulfuric Acid 0.8 ml/l	24 Hr.	Method 365.2 USEPA 1979 Method 425C APHA et. al. 1975
Total Dissolved Solids	Filtration Gravimetry at 180 Celcius	Refrigerate	7 days	Method 160.1 USEPA 1979 Method 2088 APHA et al. 1975

)

Table 3 (continued)

			MUMIXAM	
			HOLDING	
CONSTITUENT	METHOD	PRESERVATIVE	TIME	REFERENCES
Total Succeeded	Filmation	Patrioarata	7 dave	Method 160 2
Collide	Gravimotor at	manifarera	1 0015	110EPA 1070
00105	103-105 Celclus			Method 208D APHA et. al. 1975
Silica	Molybdosilicate	Refrigeration	7 days	Method 370.1
soluble (SiO 2)	-	Filtration, 0.45 u	-	USEPA 1979
		Membrane Filter		Method 4268
				APHA et. al. 1975
Sullate	Turbidimetric	Retrigeration	28 days	Method 375.4
		to 4 Celcius		USEPA 1983
Temperature		Measured	Measured	Method 162
		In Situ	In Situ	APHA et. al. 1975
				Montedoro Whitney Co.
Turbidity	Hach Turbidimeter	Refrigeration	7 days	Method 180.1
	Nephelometric			USEPA 1979
				Method 214A
				APHA et. al. 1975
Zinc	Atomic Absorption	Nitric Acid	6 mo.	Method 289.1
Total	Direct Aspiration	5ml/l		USEPA 1979
	following digestion			

1991.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
ALL REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	Preo	perational	A CONTRACTOR	Ope	rational		
Paraméter	Epi	Mela	ЖНуро	激Epl翁	Meta	[Hypo]	Total
Aikalinity	390	44	18	100	23	9	586
Ammonia	393	44	18	100	23	9	588
Calcium	5	0	0	100	23	9	137
Carbon – Total Organic	388	44	18	0	0	0	450
Chloride	277	22	8	80	22	9	439
Copper	387	44	18	0	0	0	449
Fecal Coliforms	390	43	17	0	0	0	450
Fecal Streptococci	388	44	18	0	0	0	450
Hardness	277	23	8	100	23	9	440
Lead	385	43	17	. 0	0	0	445
Magnesium	5	0	0	100	24	8	137
Mercury	390	42	18	100	23	9	582
Nitrate	398	43	18	190	55	21	725
Orthophosphate	393	44	18	100	23	9	587
Oxygen - Blochemical Demand	388	43	18	0	0	0	449
Oxygen - Dissolved	402	43	18	189	50	17	719
Oxygen - % Saturation	394	35	15	95	8	3	550
pH.	402	42	16	189	50	17	716
Phosphorus – Total	398	44	17	189	58	19	725
Silica	392	44	18	100	23	9	587
Solids - Total Suspended	391	43	18	100	23	9	584
Solids - Total Dissolved	391	43	17	100	23	9	583
Sulfate	277	23	8	100	23	9	440
Temperature	402	. 43	18	189	50	18	720
Turbidity	392	44	18	100	23	9	587
Zinc	388	39	15	0	0	0	442

Table 4. Number of observations determined for each water quality constituent which was included in the Environmental Monitoring Program for Clinton Lake during 1978 through

* Preoperational period (78-86) consisted of monthly monitoring at sites 2,4,8, and 16

Data recorded at the LOD indicate that the constituent is probably present but at a concentration less than the LOD. The practice chosen for handling Clinton Lake water quality monitoring data at the LOD has been used in other studies (Pader 1984, Gleit 1985).

į.

Š.

One-way analysis of variance (Tukey's range test) technique was used to determine the effect of one or more variables on a single dependent variable. Confidence levels were determined for the 95% level. The probability level of 95% is used to reference significant differences in the text of this report.

Linear trend analysis was used to determine long-term trends in data for water quality parameters. The linear trend analysis fits a line through a time series of data using least squares, and extrapolates the generate forecasts. Multiple modified trend line to notched box-and-whisker plots and three dimensional scatterplots were used to illustrate spatial and temporal changes in data. The central box of each notched box-and- whisker plot includes the middle 50% of the data values, between the upper and lower quartiles. The "whiskers" extend to points that are within 1.5 times the interquartile range. Extreme points (outliers) beyond 1.5 times the box length are plotted as individual adjacent values. The central line across the box is at the median. The notch of each box corresponds to the width of the confidence interval for the median. The confidence level on the notches is set to allow pairwise comparisons at the 95% level by examining whether two notches overlap. The width of the box is proportional to the square root of the number of observations in the data set.

Data for phytoplankton, chlorophyll a, and Secchi disc transparency were determined as part of the Biological EMP. Sample collection methods, analytical methods, and data for these parameters are presented in Willmore (1982, 1985, 1987, and 1989).

The Trophic State Index (TSI) of Carlson (1977) was calculated for Secchi transparency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll a data. Listed below are the formulas used to calculate TSIs:

Secchi Transparency TSI = 60-14.41 (Natural log Secchi in meters)

Chlorophyll a TSI = 9.81 (Natural log Chlorophyll a in ug/l)

Phosphorus TSI = 14.42 (Natural log Total Phosphorus in ug/l)+ 4.15

Spearman rank correlation coefficient values were calculated to determine relationships for all of the chemical/physical constituents monitored in Clinton Lake.

8.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Presentation and discussion of the results of each of the 28 water quality constituents are presented in this section. Depth profile data are presented for dissolved oxygen, pH, specific conductivity, and temperature. Because of the large volume of data generated to characterize the profiles, only those data collected during 1985 through 1991 are discussed in this report. This period allows comparisons of the two years previous to CPS operation (1985 and 1986) to the five years during which CPS was operational (1987 through 1991). Profile data from 1978 through 1984 are included in the appendix of the previous EMP water quality report (Illinois Power Company 1986 and 1989).

For the remaining 24 parameters samples were only taken from the epilimnion except when thermal stratification occurred. During periods of stratification additional samples were collected from metalimnion and hypolimnion strata. Data from 1978 through 1991 are utilized to assess results in the metalimnion and hypolimnion during periods of stratification.

8.1 Water Temperature

3

ř:

1

Water temperature is an important factor in the maintenance of a healthy aquatic environment. Temperature regulates the metabolism and composition of aquatic communities. Elevated temperatures increasemetabolism, respiration, and oxygen demand for aquatic organisms. Th rate approximately doubles with every 10 C rise in temperature. Water temperatures influence the rates of chemical reactions occurring in the water and affect other physical properties such as density, solubility, Temperature influences and viscositv. carbonate equilibrium, photorespiration, oxygen solubility, water movement and stratification. Thermal stratification inhibits mixing within water columns, which may indirectly result in the depletion of dissolved oxygen and increased concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus, manganese, and iron. Sustained periods of stratification result in greater volumes of water with low dissolved oxygen content, high carbon dioxide and high hydrogen sulfide concentrations. As a result of sustained periods of stratification only the upper strata may be capable of supporting a diverse, aerobic aquatic life. In some reservoirs, as much as 50% of the total volume may not be involved in the productivity cycle of the reservoir (Drew and Tilton 1970). Problems may also develop when stratification is broken and hypolimnion waters are recycled during the turnover period.

Epilimnion Temperature

The average epilimnion water temperature for 591 samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 was 15.8° C. Epilimnion temperatures ranged from 0.2° to 36.2° C. Frequency histograms of epilimnion water temperatures during preoperational (1978 through 1986) and operational (1987 through 1991) periods illustrate changes in the distribution of temperature data (Figure 5). Both histograms have



bimodal peaks in frequency, however, the peaks occur at greater temperatures during the period when CPS was operational. Average temperatures increased from 13.3° C during the preoperational period to 21.1° C during the operational period. The greater average temperatures were partially due to a change in the sampling schedule. During the operational period temperatures were not determined during some of the winter months.

Temperatures were generally greater during June through September of each year (Figure 6). Temperatures were somewhat greater during 1987 through 1991, especially at Site 2 (Figures 6 and 7). There was no significant difference in the distribution of temperature data among sites during preoperational or operational periods (Figure 8). However, there were significant differences between preoperational and operational distributions of temperature data for each site (Figures 8 and 9). Temperatures for 1987 through 1991 were significantly greater than temperatures during 1978 through 1986 for all sites except Site 13 (Figure 9). Distribution of monthly temperatures during preoperational and operational conditions indicate median monthly operational conditions were generally greater (Figures 6 and 10). Distributions of temperature data by months indicate that operational temperatures were significantly greater during June, August, and November (Figure 10).

Plots of epilimnion temperatures during years when CPS was operational illustrate temperature gradients among sites during April through September of 1987 through 1991 (Figures 11 and 12). The differences between high and low temperatures within the cooling loop ranged from 1° to 8° Celcius during periods when CPS was operational.

Epilimnion temperatures during the operational period were: greater at all sites; more variable among sites; and were most variable near the discharge canal (Site 2).

Temperature Profiles

During warm weather, an upper, heated layer of water of low density may form at the surface. This layer floats over deeper, more dense, and cooler water which insulates the deeper layers from direct contact with atmospheric oxygen. When this layering or stratification stabilizes, the oxygen gradually becomes depleted in the deeper layer (hypolimnion) as a result of biological respiration. Stratification also affects those ions which may be influenced by oxidation and reduction. The reduced ion species often increase with depth during stratification.

Patterns of thermal stratification influence the physiological and chemical cycles of lakes, which in turn govern production, utilization, and decomposition. Typically, stratification is the result of absorption of solar energy by lake waters. Most light energy is absorbed and transformed to heat in the upper two meters of the lake water. Clinton Lake is affected by heat from solar radiation and from CPS heated discharges. Thus, dispersion of heat from the CPS discharge and its additive effect on the annual temperature regime of Clinton Lake is of particular interest. Analyses of the effects of stratification are limited to profile data collected from 1985 through 1991. This includes two years previous to CPS operation and five years when CPS was operational.





Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991, during the period prior to Clinton Power Station operation (1978 through 1986), and during the period when Clinton Power Station was operational (1987 through 1991).



)



Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) at Clinton Lake sampling sites during 1978 through 1991.





Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) for each Clinton Lake sampling site during 1978 through 1991 and during the periods prior to (preoperational) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational).



1.1

Figure 9. Distribution of epilimnion temperatures (C) for sampling sites in Clinton Lake for the periods prior to (Mode 1) and during (Mode 2) Clinton Power Station operation.

.

24

<u>.</u>







ŀ

:

1

4

}





and the second
(

(

Ċ

Figure 12. Epilimnion temperatures for (C) Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September, 1990 and 1991.

Stratification occurred each year during 1978 through 1991 (Table 5). Due to the relative shallowness of several of the Clinton Lake sites, especially Site 16, complete thermal stratification was not developed. The deeper sites (sites 8, 4, and 13) were more likely to exhibit complete stratification.

Annual average temperatures were greater during years when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991)(Table 6). Average annual temperatures were greatest at Site 2 which is nearest the CPS outfall (Table 7). Average temperatures at all sites increased during the operational period (Table 8). Annual mean temperatures ranged from 14.4° to 21.9° C (Table 6). During the preoperational period (1985 and 1986), temperatures ranged from 0.5° to 27.5° C and there were no significant differences among sites (Figure 13). During the operational period (1987 through 1991), temperatures ranged from 8° to 36.5° C and there were significant differences between temperatures at Site 2 and the remaining sites (Figure 13).

Multiple plots of temperatures for each site and depths from one through four meters indicate temperatures were greater near the discharge (Site 2) and generally, temperatures decreased with depth. (Figures 14 through 17). Overlays of temperature plots for 1985 and 1986 illustrate similarities in the distributions of temperatures during the period prior to CPS operations (Figures 18 through 21).

Temperatures during CPS operation (1987 through 1991) were greater at all sites and all depth strata from one through four meters (Figures 18 through 21). Overlays of temperature plots for 1987 through 1991 illustrate distributions of temperatures during years wher CPS was operational (Figures 18 through 21).

Comparisons in distributions of temperature between preoperational years (1985 and 1986) and years when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991) indicate: temperatures for each depth stratum were greater for all sites, especially Site 2 during operational years; and the differences in temperatures between operational modes decreased with increasing depth strata. Thus, temperature differences between preoperational and operational conditions were most noticeable at Site 2 and at the one and two meter depth strata.

8.2 Oxygen and Oxygen Saturation

i

8.2.1 Dissolved Oxygen

Like temperature, the dissolved oxygen (DO) level is important in the protection and maintenance of desirable aquatic communities. Concentrations of DO are an important indicator of existing water quality and the ability of a waterbody to support a well balanced aquatic community. Concentrations of DO vary inversely with temperature and may be substantially affected by atmospheric exchange, photosynthesis, or contributions from tributary flows and groundwater.

Concentrations of DO in lake water can fluctuate greatly during the course of a day. The diurnal fluctuations are typically caused b

Table 5.	Months when	stratification	occurred at	sampling	sites	in
	Clinton Lake	during 1978	through 1991			

Year	Site 2	Site 4	Site 8	Site 13	Site 16
1978	6(a)	6-7	68	-(b)	NS(c)
1979	9	6,7&9	5-9	-	NS
1980	NS	4&7	68	-	NS
1981	5	5	6-9	-	NS
1982	NS	5-7	5-8	-	NS
1983	NS	NS	6-9	-	NS
1984	NS	6&8	8	-	NS
1985	NS	NS	7	-	NS
1986	NS	NS	6-7	NS	NS
1987	6	NS	6-7	6	6
1988	7–9	NS	7-8	7-9	NS
1989	8-11	NS	NS	NS	10
1990	5-6&8-10	6-8	4-5	5,6&8	6-8
1991	4-9	5	5-7	5-6	5,9,&11

a. Months are numerically represented, e.g., 6 equals June.
b. Site 13 was not sampled until September, 1986
c. NS indicates no stratification occurred.

ź . Ŀ, 1,4; ••. . . •. and the second second 4.

Table 6.	Descriptive statistics for water temperature (C) from dept	h
	profiles from Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991.	

.

)

Statistic 🕬 🐑	:2401985)	nd 1986 3	XXX1987÷	Ext 1988	<i>∿∗</i> ≈1989`	1990	a.#1991 :
Sample Size	258	258	498	376	462	486	428
Average	14.3	17.3	19.7	20.2	19.1	19.5	21.9
Median	15.8	20.5	22.6	22.9	21	20.2	25.3
Variance	65.7	50.3	51.9	57.9	43.1	43	55.3
Minimum	0.5	1	9.3	8	7.7	7.23	4.6
Maximum	26.6	27.5	32.4	36.5	33.8	35	34.7
Range	26.1	26.5	23.1	28.5	26.1	27.7	30.1

Year	Site 4	Site 8	Site 2	Site 16
1985	14.6	15.6	12.7	12.8
1986	17.1	16.9	18.0	17.7
1987	19.4	18.9	22.3	20.1
1988	19.4	19.2	23.8	19.9
1989	18.7	18.2	22.1	19.5
1990	19.5	19.1	20.9	18.0
1991	20.9	20.9	27.2	20.7

•

Luble 7. Average water temperatures (C) from depth profiles for sampling sites in Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991.

•

مېر کې د ده د مېږې و ده د مېږې د ده ده. د مېږې د ده د مېږې د ده د مېږې د مېږې د مېږې د مېږې

Table 8.Descriptive statistics of water temperature (C) depth
profiles for Clinton Lake sampling sites prior to (1985 and
1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987
through 1991).

23-024020000	Strates S	lite 2	1.2	Site 4	//////////////////////////////////////	ite 87	Sil Sil	0.16
Statistic	Pre	Op	Pre	Op	Pression	Op	Pre State	Op
	<u></u>			<u> </u>				

Sample Size	87	282	138	471	197	766	73	225
Average	15.0	23.1	15.8	19.6	16.3	19.2	15.2	19.7
Median	17.4	25.2	16.8	22.1	16.9	21.9	16.1	22.3
Variance	75.3	64.6 ·	56.7	45.7	52.9	45.6	74.8	53.4
Minimum	0.8	8.1	0.9	6.1	0.5	6.1	0.5	4.6
Maximum	27.1	36.5	27.3	29	26.4	29.3	27.5	30.6
Range	26.3	28.4	26.4	22.9	25.9	23.2	27.0	26.0

32

.

.

•



Figure 13.

Distribution of temperatures (C) from Clinton Lake sampling sites for periods prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation.

and the second
the state of the state of the state of



.1

Figure 14.

Distribution of temperatures (C) at the one meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991.

34



Figure 15. Distribution of temperatures (C) at the two meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991.

「日本のない」の「「「「「「」」」」



Figure 16.

Distribution of temperatures (C) at the three meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991.

· ·



Figure 17.

日本のなどのためである。その日

AL 9 22

hill bressmond is a

P.

Distribution of temperatures (C) at the four meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 through 1991.



:

)

Figure 18. Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the one meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph).



Figure 19. Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the two meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph).





4 1 1.

20. Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the three meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph).

1111



٠

ſ

Figure 21. Composite distributions of temperatures (C) at the four meter depth stratum for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1985 and 1986 (left graph) and 1987 through 1991 (right graph).

photosynthetic effects associated with primary production. Concentrations of DO typically increase during the day when photosynthetic rates exceed respiration rates and decrease at night when only respiration occurs. Thus, the timing of sample collection is very important for comparisons of DO concentrations in lake water. All samples for the Clinton Lake Environmental Monitoring Program were collected during daylight hours.

Epilimnion Dissolved Oxygen

The average DO from 719 samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 was 8.7 mg/l. During this time DO concentrations ranged from 0.05 to 25.7 mg/l.

Frequency histograms of epilimnion DO concentrations during preoperational and operational periods illustrate changes in the distributions of data (Figure 22). Concentrations of DO have decreased since CPS began operations. The average DO for the preoperational period (1978 through 1986) was 10.2 mg/l; the average DO concentration was 7.8 mg/l during the period when CPS was operational.

Average DO concentrations were lower during the warmer months (June through September) during the preoperational and operational periods (Figure 23). Concentrations of DO were lower during the operational period from May through November (Figure 24). Significantly lower DO concentrations between preoperational and operational periods occurred for August (Figure 24). Distributions of DO concentrations among sites were similar within the preoperational and operational periods (Figure 25). Concentrations of DO were somewhat -lower for each site during the years when CPS was operational (Figure 26). However, only Site 2 had significantly lower DO concentrations during the operational period (Figure 27). Concentrations of DO usually varied less than 4 mg/l among sites during years when CPS was operational (Figures 28 and 29).

The IPCB General Use water quality standard for DO states "dissolved oxygen shall not be less than 6.0 mg/l during at least 16 hours of any 24 hour period, nor less than 5.0 mg/l at any time".

Thirty-one of the 591 epilimnion samples taken from 1978 through 1991 had DO concentrations less than 6.0 mg/l. Eleven of these 31 samples had DO concentrations less than 5.0 mg/l (Table 9). Twelve of the 31 samples occurred during preoperational conditions and nineteen occurred during operational years.

Dissolved Oxygen Profiles

Average annual DO concentrations were lower during the years when CPS was operational (Table 10 and Figure 30). The average annual DO concentration for each site was also generally lower during operational years (Table 11) and the average DO was lower during the operational period for each site (Table 12). Site 2 had the greatest average DO concentration during the preoperational years (1985 and 1986). Concentrations were significantly greater during the


Frequency histograms of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations in Clinton Lake during the preoperational period (1978 through 1986) and the operational period (1987 through 1991). Figure 22.



Figure 23. Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations by months during 1978 through 1991, and during the periods prior to (1978 through 1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987 through 1991).











うちゃ いたのであるい

Figure 25.

1

. • 11 25. Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentration for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during 1978 through 1991 and for periods prior to and during Clinton Power Station operation.





Figure 26. Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations for Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991.



.:

The supervised in the second s

i

Figure 27. Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations between preoperational (Mode 1) and operational (Mode 2) and periods for each Clinton Lake monitoring site.



Figure 28. Epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during April through September for 1987 through 1989.

WALL HIM AND A



)

D

Figure 29. Epilimnion dissolved oxygen concentrations at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during April through September for 1990 and 1991.

Table 9.Number and percent of observations from epilimnion samples
collected from Clinton Lake where data were not within
General Use water quality standards set by the Illinois
Pollution Control Board.

				A	C •					and the second se
COL THE OPERATOR AND ADDRESS TO THE OPERATOR OF	ESIPCE (A)S	W.J.C. 4455 8865	1978 Throug	n 1991	Preoperationa	Period (78-	86)(b) 🖾 🚧 🕅	© Operation	al Period (87-9	1)(c)
Parameter.	Standard	Number Samples	Number In Exceedence	Percent In* Exceedence	Number Samples	Number In Exceedence	Percent In Exceedence	Number Samples	Number In Exceedence	Percent In & Exceedence
Dissolved Occupat	5 0 mo/l	11	11	2	402	3	<1	189	8	4
Dissolved Oxygen	85.90	591	1	<1	402	1	<1	189	0	0
Total Dissolute Collide	1 000 mg/l	491	0 0	0	391	0	0	100	0	D
Chiedde	500 mo/l	377	Ō	0	277	0	0	100	0	0
	500 mg/l	377	Ō	0	277	0	0	100	0	0
	1.5 mg/l	493	Ō	0	393	0	0	100	0	0
	10 mo/l	588	8	3	398	6	1	190	2	1
	0.05 mg/l	587	429	73	398	269	68	189	160	85
Conter Id	20 110/1	387	0	0	387	0	0	- (0)	-	-
	100 uo/i	385	0	0	385	0	0	-	-	-
	0.5 uq/l	490	25	5	390	25	6	100	0	0
	1.000 mg/l	387	0	0	387	0	0	-	-	
Fecal Collionns	200/100 ml	14		4	14		4			

a. 35 III. Adm. Code Subtitle C, Chapter I.

b. Pre-Op Refers to data collected prior to operation of Clinton Power Plant

c. Operational Refers to data collected during period when Clinton Power Plant became operational

d. Data were only collected from 1978 through 1986.

e. Dash (-) Indicates datum was not determined.

Table 10.	Descriptive statistics for dissolved oxygen (mg/l) depth profiles from Clinton Lake during 1985 through 1991.
Statistic 200	1985 1986 Kal 987 1988 1989 1990 1991 19

.

i

.

.

)

				1~~~~			10.0
Sample Size	258	258	498	376	462	486	428
Average	9.4	9.2	7.1	7.7	8.0	8.5	6.9
Median	10.2	9.2	6.7	8.6	8.7	8.3	6.6
Variance	8.6	14.8	7.8	12.0	8.7	9.7	8.3
Minimum	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.03	0.04	0.26	0.16
Maximum	16.1	25.7	11.5	13.4	15.7	16.3	11.9
Range	15.9	25.3	11.4	13.4	15.7	16.0	11.7



Figure 30. Distribution of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) from Clinton Lake monitoring sites for periods prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation.

N.S. C.COR

Table 11.Average dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) from
profiles for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during
through 1991.

....)

Year	She 4	Site 8 0	Site 2	Sitent6%
1985	9.3	9.0	10.2	9.9
1986	9.5	8.7	10.1	9.7
1987	7.3	6.9	7.2	7.6
1988	8.6	7.6	7.3	8.3
1989	8.5	7.6	7.9	9.2
1990	9.6	7.7	8.6	9.0
1991	7.6	6.7	6.5	8.0

(mg/1) ble 12. Descriptive statistics of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/1) from depth profiles for Clinton Lake monitoring sites prior to (1985 and 1986) and during Clinton Power Station operation (1987 and 1991).

P	Site 2	M.	Site 8		Site 4		Site 13	(C)	Site 16	
Statistic	Pre(a)	Op(b)	Pre	Ор	Pre	Op	Pre	Ор	Pre	Op
c Bample Size	88	282	198	766	142	471	16	506	72	225
Average	10.2	7.5	8.9	7.3	9.4	8.3	8.2	7.4	9.8	8.4
Median	10.0	7.4	9.0	7.8	9.5	8.8	9.4	7.4	9.6	8.3
Variance	7.7	7.2	17.0	11.2	9.1	7.4	5.3	10.5	10.1	7.4
Minimum	2.7	0.1	0.2	0.03	2.2	0.6	2.3	0.06	2.9	2.4
Maximum	20.3	12.8	19.0	14.5	17.0	16.3	9.6	14.2	25.7	15.7
Range	17.6	12.7	18.8	14.5	14.8	15.7	7.3	14.2	22.8	13.3

a. Pre - Refers to data collected prior to the operation of Clinton Power station.

b. Op – Refers to data collected during the period after Clinton Power Station became operational.

c. Site 13 was not sampled prior to 1986.

preoperational period at all sampling sites (Figure 31). Average DO concentrations decreased at increased depth strata during preoperatio and operational periods (Table 13). Average DO concentrations w, lower for each depth stratum during the operational period (Table 13). Differences between preoperational and operational DO concentrations ranged from 1.2 to 2.3 mg/l. Significant differences occurred in the distribution of DO concentrations for the one through six meter strata (Figures 32 and 33).

8.2.2 Percent Saturation

Epilimnion Dissolved Oxygen Percent Saturation

The percent saturation for DO averaged 95% in the 489 samples collected from 1978 through 1991. During this period the DO saturation ranged from 0.6 to 195%. Distributions of data were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 34). There were no apparent patterns in the distribution of DO saturation data for sampling sites during preoperational or operational conditions (Figure 35). Plots of preoperational DO saturation by months indicate seasonal influences in the distribution of data (Figure 36). Percentages tend to increase from February through May; percentages are generally lower during June through September; and percentages are greater during October through January (Figure 36). Samples were collected quarterly during operational conditions. Thus, monthly distributions of operational DO percent saturation are relatively incomplete since data were only collected during April, June, July, September, October, and November. There were no significant differences between preoperational and operation conditions among months (Figure 37). Also, there was no appare pattern in the distributions of percent saturation data by years (Figure 38).

Dissolved Oxygen Percent Saturation During Stratification

The average percent DO saturation for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata was 36 and 22%, respectively. This was considerably lower than the epilimnion average of 95%. The average percent saturation decreased with depth during periods of stratification (Figure 39). The percentages of DO saturation generally decreased with succeeding months during stratification, especially in the metalinnion and hypolimnion (Figure 40). Percentages were similar during preoperational and operational periods for each site at each respective stratum (Figure 41). Distributions of percentages for each stratum among years were variable and distributions when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991) were not significantly different from distributions prior to CPS operation (Figure 42).

8.2.3 Biochemical Oxygen Demand

Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) is a parameter which is used to estimate the rate of oxygen depletion from biological activities on organic wastes. Oxygen demand of organic wastes is exerted by: aerobic metabolism of organic material; oxidizable nitrogen; and chemical reducing compounds which react with dissolved oxygen (e.g. ferrov iron, sulfite, and sulfide).

. PROFILE DATA PROFILE DATA PROFILE DATA SITE . SITE 2 SITE 4 21 25 25 พี่ (พอม) ผีสองหล อลีกาอธุรรด สี (พรษ) หี้อยู่หลุ อลีการรราย Lawa annesia I Π T 1 $\overline{\mathbf{\Omega}}$ ŧ Cherry (1) 8 1 8 \$ 1 2 DPENATIONAL HODE OPENATIONAL MODE OPERATIONAL HOOE PROFILE DATA PROFILE DATA SITE 18 SITE 13 25 25 สี (พอผา ผีสองหล อรีกาอรรรอ םובדטרוקים מאמנה (אמער) א ŧ ŏ 2 2 1 1 OPERATIONAL HODE OPERATIONAL MODE

.

تحمد بشيعة

Figure 31. Distribution of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2).

Table 13.	Average dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) for d
	strata (1 through 10 meters) in Clinton Lake during 1
	prior to (preoperational) and during Clinton Power :
	operation (operational).

Depth (m)	Preoperational	Operational	Difference
1	10.4	8.9	1.5
2	9.6	8.4	1.2
3	9.5	7.9	1.6
4	9.4	7.7	1.7
5	9.5	7.3	2.2
6	8.8	6.5	2.3
7	8.3	6.3	2.0
8	7.8	6.2	1.6
9	7.4	5.3	2.1
10	6.7	5.2	1.5

1

Į

•



Figure 32. Distributions of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for depth strata from 1 through 4m for periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2).



Figure 33. Distributions of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for depth strata from 5 through 8m and fo periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2).

CO

PROFILE DISSOLVED OXYGEN PREOPERATIONAL HODE 129 . 100 ... Prequency ... 48 29 . 48 129 189 200 248 ... DISSOLVED OXYDEN SATURATION (X) OFILE DISSOLVED CKYGEN OPERATIONAL HOOE 34 25 29 . FREQUENCY 18 10 8 . 48 128 188 200 249 DISSOLUED DAYSEN SATURATION (X)

Figure 34. Frequency histograms of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).



:

i

EPILIMNION PERCENT SATURATION



Figure 35. Distribution of epilimnion dissolved oxygen saturation (! for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prito (left graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (right graph).





Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) by months in Clinton Lake during the periods prior to (left graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (right graph).

: .

MATL JUNE JLY 24 201 29 18 18 11 X SATUMATION X SARUMATICH DO X BARUMATION Ē Ж 日 8 -84 84 8 1 8 1 1 2 OPENATIONAL HODE OPERATIONAL HODE OPERATIONAL HODE SEPTEMER OCTOBER NOVEHBER 29 211 200 18 11 18 X BATURATION DO X SAURATION SAFURATION \mathbb{R} × 8 8 84

•••••

1 2 OPERATIONAL HODE



. . ..

•••

Sec.

•...

8

!

Distributions of epilimnion dissolved oxygen saturation (%) for April, June, July, September, October, and Novemb during periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Pou Station operation (Mode 2).

2

1

OPENATIONAL MODE

1

OPERATIONAL HODE





EPILIMION DISSOLVED OXYDEN SATURATION



Figure 39.

ij

ŗ

Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion (1), metalimnion (2), and hypolimnion (3) strata during 1978 through 1991 and during the period prior to and during Clinton Power Station operation.



Figure 40.

Monthly distributions of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.



٠.,

Figure 41. Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) by site i Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolim strata during the period prior to and during Clinton Pc Station operation.



ŧ

Figure 42. Distribution of dissolved oxygen saturation (%) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.

Epilimnion Biochemical Oxygen Demand

The average BOD for 388 samples collected from Clinton La during 1978 through 1986 was 2.86 mg/l. During this period BO_{ν} ranged from 0.5 to 10.0 mg/l. Approximately 80% of the BOD values were less than 4 mg/l (Figure 43). The maximum BOD occurred at Site 16 in July, 1980 (Figure 44). Site 16 had significantly greater BOD values compared to the remaining sampling sites (Figure 44). BOD values tended to be greater during the warmer months and lower during colder months (Figure 44). Distribution of yearly mean BOD data indicate inconsistent trends among years (Figure 44).

Metalimnion and Hypolimnion Biochemical Oxygen Demand

Average BOD for 103 samples taken during periods of stratification was 2.59 mg/l. Data ranged from 0.5 to 5.4 mg/l. The maximum concentration occurred at Site 8 during July, 1985. Average BOD concentrations were similar among stratification levels. Averages were 2.68, 2.41, and 2.66 mg/l for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata, respectively. Distributions of BOD data were similar among sites and stratification levels (Figure 45). The BOD values tended to increase in succeeding months during stratification (Figure 46). There were no consistent trends in the distribution of BOD data among years for each stratum (Figure 47).

8.3 <u>Nitrogen</u>

Nitrogen, phosphorus, carbon, and hydrogen comprise the maj constituents of cellular protoplasm. Nitrogen is important because of iterole in the synthesis and maintenance of protein. Nitrogen is also a major nutrient which effects primary productivity in fresh waters. Sources of nitrogen include the atmosphere, biological nitrogen fixation, and runoff from surface and ground waters. Forms of nitrogen in freshwater include total organic nitrogen, ammonia, nitrates, and nitrites. The sources of organic nitrogen are the inputs of nitrogenous debris from the watershed and production through biological activities. Watershed inputs in Clinton Lake include sewage treatment effluents (from CPS and Farmer City), livestock wastes, fertilizer, and crop residues.

8.3.1 Total Organic Nitrogen

Total Organic Nitrogen (TON) is a valuable indicator of productivity in fresh waters. Algal blooms typically will not occur when organic nitrogen concentrations are below 0.6 mg/l (USEPA 1979).

Epilimnion Total Organic Nitrogen

The average TON from 588 samples collected from Clinton Lake from 1978 through 1991 was 0.9 mg/l. The average of 63 Illinois lakes surveyed in 1979 was 0.970 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Concentrations of TON in Clinton Lake ranged from 0.05 to 2.8 mg/l. Distributions of TON concentrations were similar during preoperational and operational

EPILINNION DATA

PREOPERATIONAL HODE





()

(

and the states in the second



EPILIMNION DATA PREOPERATIONAL MODE BIDCHEHICAL OXYDEN DEMAND (HO/L) 12 j . 10 8 6 4 Ľ L 1 2 • 2 8 4 16 SITE • --EPILIMNION DATA . BIOCHENICAL OXYDEN DEMAND (MOLL) 12 • 19 8 8 4 1 -J 1 1 T 2 2 • 1 3 2 . 8 7 8 9 1. 11 12 6 HONTH -EPILINNION DATA DIOCHENICAL.OXYDEN DEHAND (HD/L) 12 : į 18 : i 8 1 • 6 4 i ī ŧ ŧ 2 i . 82 YEAR 78 83 84 85 86 79 ... 81



÷

Distributions of epilimnion biochemical oxygen demand (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years.





Figure 46.

ł

ìļ

h.





periods (Figure 48). There was a down-lake trend for TON. concentrations to decrease from the headwaters (Site 16) to midlake (Site 8) (Figure 49). Distribution of annual mean TON densities and tree analysis indicate a decreasing trend for TON concentrations (Figure 50)... The distributions of TON concentrations were somewhat lower during the operational period for sites 2, 4, 8, and 16 (Figure 49). Distributions of monthly TON concentrations indicate a general increase in TON concentrations from January through May; thereafter mean TON concentrations tend to decrease through December (Figure 49).

Total Organic Nitrogen During Stratification

The average total organic nitrogen (TON) for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata was 0.75 and 0.76 mg/l, respectively. The average organic nitrogen in bottom water samples for 63 Illinois lakes was 0.751 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Minimum and maximum concentrations in Clinton Lake were 0.05 and 2.8 mg/l. Site 16 had greater concentrations of TON during the preoperational period compared to the remaining sites (Figure 51). Concentrations of TON were similar at each site during the preoperational and operational periods. Distributions of data for epilimnion and metalimnion strata indicate TON concentrations decrease from May through August (Figure 52). Annual average concentrations for TON were greater during 1978 and 1979 which were the initial two years after lake formation (Figure 53). Since 1980, TON annual averages were similar during stratification.

8.3.2 Ammonia

Ammonia is highly soluble in water and occurs as a normal en product of the decomposition of nitrogenous organic matter by bacteria (USEPA 1976). Ammonia is an important water quality parameter because of its potential conversion to nitrogen compounds which may be readily assimilated by plants. It may also be an indicator of the presence of decomposing organic matter. Ammonia in water exists as ionized (NH4) and unionized (NH3) ammonia in equilibrium with water and hydroxide ions. The toxicity of ammonia is directly related to pH and temperature. Lethal concentrations for a variety of fish species range from 0.2 to 2.0 mg/l (NH3) (USEPA 1976). In unpolluted waters ammonia generally is less than 1 mg/l. In polluted waters with the uptake of oxygen, ammonia may increase to 12 mg/l or more. The USEPA (1976) criterion for un-ionized ammonia for freshwater aquatic life is 0.02 mg/l. The IPCB General Use water quality standard requires that ammonia-nitrogen not exceed 1.5 mg/l when water temperatures are greater than 15° Celcius and pH values are greater than or equal to 8.0.

Epilimnion Ammonia

The average concentration for ammonia in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 was 0.117 mg/l. Ammonia concentrations in Clinton Lake ranged from 0.01 to 1.4 mg/l (Figure 54). The maximum value occurred at Site 4 on March 21, 1978. The mean ammonia concentration in surface waters of 63 Illinois lakes surveyed during 1979 was 0.051 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). The IPCB General Use water quality standard for ammonianitrogen was not exceeded at any of the Clinton Lake monitoring sites.

EPILINNION DATA PREOPERATIONAL DATA 100 FREQUENCY 49 21 3 1 2 4 TOTAL ORGANIC HITROOPH (HO/L) EPILIMHION DATA OPERATIONAL DATA 30 20 FREQUENCY : 10 3 1 2 4 TOTAL ORGANIC HITROGEN (HG/L)

Figure 48.

Frequency histograms of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).



Figure 49. Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation.
EFILINNION DATA



Figure 50. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total organic nitrogen concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.





ł





Figure 52. Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).





D

D

• • •

Distributions of total organic nitrogen (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.



Only four of 493 samples analyzed for ammonia had concentrations greater than 0.6 mg/l (Figure 54). These four samples were collected relatively early during the history of Clinton Lake (1978 and 1979) and were probably reflective of bacterial decomposition of inundated organic materials. Since 1981 all samples have had less than 0.4 mg/l ammonia (Figure 55). Approximately 87% of the epilimnion samples analyzed for ammonia had concentrations between 0.0 and 0.2 mg/l. There were no apparent changes in ammonia concentrations during years when Clinton Power Station was operational (1987 through 1991). Inter-site and inter-month comparisons do not suggest significant spatial or seasonal patterns in distributions of ammonia data (Figure 56).

Ammonia During Stratification

Average ammonia concentrations (0.34, and 0.45 mg/lfor metalimnion and hypolimnion strata, respectively) were greater than that for the epilimnion stratum (0.117mg/l). Ammonia is typically present in greater concentrations when DO concentrations are low or depleted, thus it is not surprising for increased ammonia concentrations to occur at greater depths where DO is decreased (Sefton et al. 1980). The average ammonia concentration for the hypolimnion stratum in Clinton Lake is almost identical to that reported for bottom waters in 63 other Illinois lakes (0.455 mg/l) (Sefton et al. 1980). Ammonia data for the metalimnion and hypolimnion were significantly greater than that for the epilimnion during the preoperational period (Figure 57). Distribution of data by months indicate seasonal effects for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata (Figure 58). Seasonal trends are not apparent in distributions of epilimnion data for ammonia. Stratification during summer may result in the depletion of ammonia in the epilimnion and concentration in th hypolimnion. During the preoperational period metalimnion anc_ hypolimnion ammonia tended to increase from April through August, then decrease in September (Figure 58). Ammonia concentrations during the operational period for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata were lower. Seasonal distributions during operational years were similar but not as distinctive as during the preoperational period. Average concentrations for the metalimnion were 0.41 and 0.21 mg/l for preoperational and operational periods, respectively. Average concentrations for hypolimnion were 0.57 and 0.21 mg/l for preoperational and operational periods, respectively. There were no apparent long term trends in the distribution of ammonia concentrations among years (Figure 59). Distribution of ammonia data by site indicated there were no significant differences among sites (Figure 60).

8.3.3 Nitrate

Nitrification is the biological conversion of reduced nitrogenous compounds to a more oxidized state. Nitrates represent the most oxidized and readily available form of nitrogen in oxygenated waters. Nitrates and phosphates are generally considered the two nutrients most essential to plant growth. Nitrates in unpolluted waters generally average less than 0.30 mg/l. High concentrations of nitrates constitute a hazard when, under certain conditions, nitrate can be reduced to nitrite in the gastrointestinal tract. Nitrites can then interact with hemoglobin to produce methemoglobin which impairs oxygen transport.

EPILINHIOH DATA 1 8.75 (LON) ATHOMA 1 D l Ļ ¢ 78 78 ... 81 82 83 84 96 88 81 YEAR TREND ANALYSIS 138444-8.987842*T 1 0.75 ANDHIA (HUL) . 0.25 300 408 ... 100 211 ...



Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.

TIME

Ø



Figure 56. Distributions of ammonia (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode Clinton Power Station operation.

ŝί





Distribution of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion (1), metalimnion (2), and hypolimnion (3) strata during preoperational and operational periods during 1978 through 1991.



)

Figure 58. Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strain during 1978 through 1991.





Figure 59. Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion. metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 60.

......

Distributions of ammonia concentrations (mg/l) fo epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clineo Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991.

This risk is especially hazardous to bottle-fed infants. The digestive tracts of infants are not acidic enough to prevent the conversion of nitrates to nitrites (Sefton et al. 1980).

Largemouth bass and channel catfish can be maintained at concentrations of up to 400 mg/l nitrate without significant effect on their growth or feeding activities (USEPA 1976). Nitrates, like phosphates, are removed from the water by planktonic organisms and as in the case of phosphates, the lowest concentrations of nitrates should be observed in the areas of greatest planktonic densities and primary productivity. Nitrate concentrations may become quite low at the end of the growing season. Nitrate concentrations may increase during precipitation events due to land surface runoff.

The IPCB does not have a General Use water quality standard for nitrates. However, the IPCB public and food processing water supply standards require that nitrate concentrations not exceed 10 mg/1.

Epilimnion Nitrate

Nitrate concentrations averaged 3.78 mg/l and ranged from 0 to 14.0 mg/l. The maximum occurred at Site 2 on May 6, 1981. Nitrate concentrations tended to be lower during the period when CPS was operational (Figure 61). Nitrate concentrations increased from November through May and decreased sharply from May through September (Figure 62). This decrease is typically coincident with lake summer population peaks of blue-green algae (Willmore 1989), when total organic nitrogen is the principal nitrogen form in most lakes. Nitrate concentrations typically increase in late fall through early spring when populations of blue-green algae decrease. Increased nitrate concentrations in late winter and spring may also be due to nitrates leached from soil by percolation and runoff.

Intersite comparisons indicate Site 13 had significantly lower concentrations of nitrates during the preoperational period (Figure 62). There were no significant differences among sites during the operational period. Site 16 generally had greater concentrations of nitrates than the remaining sites. This site is closest to the headwaters of Salt Creek and higher concentrations of nitrate are probably indicative of runoff from agricultural lands. Most of the samples from Site 13 were collected during the operational period when the distribution of nitrate concentrations was similar to the remaining sites. Concentrations of nitrates were significantly lower during 1987 through 1989 (Figure 63). Nitrate concentrations increased during 1990 and 1991 and were within the range of data collected during preoperational years.

Nitrate During Stratification

Average nitrate concentrations for samples collected in the metalimnion and hypolimnion were identical at 3.9 mg/l. Concentrations ranged from 0.025 to 14.0 mg/l in the metalimnion and from 0.025 to 11 mg/l in the hypolimnion. There were no significant differences in the distributions of nitrate concentrations for sites between operational and preoperational periods for metalimnion and hypolimnion data (Figure 64).

D

Ð



Figure 61. Frequency histograms of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).



Figure 62. Distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Power Station operation.

STATE OF THE OWNER O

EFILIMION DATA

J

B



Figure 63. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 64. Distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Power Station operation (operational mode).

Distribution of concentrations by months indicate nitrate data are influenced by seasons (Figure 65). Nitrate concentrations tend to decrease from May through August. Nitrate concentrations were lower for the metalimnion stratum during operational conditions from May through September. There was no apparent change in nitrate concentrations among years representing preoperational and operational conditions (Figure 66).

8.4 Phosphorus

Phosphorus is found in water in the form of phosphates, which include orthophosphates, polyphosphates, and organic phosphates in dissolved and particulate forms. Phosphorus is a constituent of fertile soil and the protoplasm and tissues of plants and animals. Like nitrogen, it is an essential nutrient for plants and animals and it is essential for energy transfer in cellular metabolism. It functions in cycles of photosynthesis and decomposition.

8.4.1 Total Phosphorus

In natural waters where runoff from agricultural land is not great, orthophosphates predominate and are dependent on the geochemical nature of the lake and watershed. Orthophosphates applied to agricultural cultivated land as fertilizers are carried into surface waters with stream runoff and melting snow. The mean total phosphorus content of most lakes ranges from about 0.010 to 0.030 mg/l (Reid and Wood 1976). The IPCB General Use water quality standard requires that total phosphorus not exceed 0.050 mg/l in any reservoir or lake with ε surface area of 20 acres or more.

Epilimnion Total Phosphorus

The mean total phosphorus for epilimnion samples was 0.086 mg/l for 1978 through 1991. Concentrations ranged from 0 to 0.73 mg/l during 1978 through 1991. Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 67).

The average concentration for total phosphorus in Clinton Lake exceeded the IPCB standard of 0.05 mg/l. Out of the 587 epilimnion samples collected from 1978 through 1991, 429 (73%) exceeded the standard. Total phosphorus is the most common IPCB standard for which the mean and more than 25% of the individual analyses are exceeded in inland Illinois lakes (IEPA 1988). The average total phosphorus from surface waters of 63 Illinois lakes was 0.119 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Allum et al. (1977) classified eutrophic lakes as those with total phosphorus values greater than 0.02 mg/l. Thus, Clinton Lake could be classified as highly eutrophic based on its total phosphorus concentrations. Fifty-six of 63 Illinois lakes had mean surface total phosphorus concentrations which exceeded 0.02 mg/l, and thus were also classified as eutrophic (Sefton et al. 1980). Another survey of 31 Illinois lakes indicated phosphorus loadings were generally high and from nonpoint sources (Allum et al. 1977).









Ð

Ð

EPILIMNION



Figure 66. Yearly distributions of nitrate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolin strata during 1978 through 1991. ţ,

)



Figure 67. Frequency histograms of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).

Intersite comparisons indicated a decreasing trend in phosphorus concentrations from headwaters to mid-lake sites (Figure 68). Site 16 had the greatest average total phosphorus concentrations (0.12 mg/l). This site is closest to the headwaters of Salt Creek and higher concentrations of total phosphorus are probably indicative of runoff from agricultural lands.

Plots of monthly concentrations during preoperational years indicate a seasonal effect on the distribution of total phosphorus data (Figure 68). Phosphorus concentrations increased from January through March then tended to decrease throughout the rest of the year (Figure 68). This may be due to influx of phosphorus from spring runoff and redistribution of phosphorus during spring turnover. Phosphorus concentrations may be influenced by influx of surface waters from stream discharges, particularly following high rainfall. This seasonal pattern was not apparent from a distribution of data during operational years (Figure 68).

Trend analysis and plots of annual concentrations indicate an increasing trend in phosphorus concentrations in the epilimnion from 1978 through 1986 (Figure 69). Distributions of phosphorus during operational conditions (1987 through 1991) did not contribute to the trend for increasing phosphorus concentrations. Concentrations during operational years were within the range of concentrations experienced during preoperational years.

Total Phosphorus During Stratification

Average concentrations for metalimnion and hypolimnion were 0.09, and 0.081 mg/l, respectively. The maximum value (0.72 mg/l) occurred at Site 8 shortly after lake formation (September, 1978). The average total phosphorus concentration in bottom waters of 63 Illinois lakes was 0.138 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980).

Epilimnion values for total phosphorus typically decreased from early spring through late summer for the preoperational period (Figure 70). This pattern of decreasing concentrations from spring through late summer also occurred in the metalimnion and -hypolimnion during the preoperational period. This seasonal pattern was not apparent in the distribution of data in metalimnion and hypolimnion strata during the operational period. In eutrophic lakes during summer stratification, phosphorus in the hypolimnion typically increases following dissolved oxygen depletion.

Distribution of total phosphorus concentrations were similar among years for samples from the epilimnion stratum (Figure 71). Phosphorus concentrations increased in the metalimnion during the years when CPS was operational. Distributions of total phosphorus data from hypolimnion samples were variable among years with no apparent patterns.

There was a down-lake trend for total phosphorus concentrations to decrease in the metalimnion (Figure 72).

Ę

8.4.2 Orthophosphate



Figure 68. Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation.

EPILIMNION DATA

A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF THE

:

.....

ł

prilimpi

Ø





Figure 69. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total phosphorus concentrations (m in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.





Figure 70. Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).



11

Figure 71. Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimni strata during 1978 through 1991.



(

 $\left(\right)$

Figure 72. Distributions of total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991.

Ortho, or hydrated, phosphates are very soluble and therefore most readily assimilated by aquatic plants. It is the nutrient primarily responsible for lake eutrophication.

Epilimnion Orthophosphate

1.1

The average orthophosphate concentration for 493 epilimnion samples collected from Clinton Lake was 0.025 mg/l. Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations were similar between preoperational and operational periods (Figure 73). The maximum soluble orthophosphate concentration measured in Clinton Lake epilimnion water (0.28 mg/l) occurred at sites 4 and 8 on March 21, 1978. There was no apparent pattern in plots of orthophosphate concentrations among years (Figure Plots among months indicate orthophosphate concentrations 74). increased from January through April and decreased thereafter (Figure There were no significant differences among sites for 75). orthophosphate data collected during 1978 through 1991 (Figure 75). a slight decrease in orthophosphate analyses indicate Trend concentrations from 1978 through 1991 (Figure 74). The decrease is slight and not apparent in distribution of orthophosphate data by years for 1978 through 1991 (Figure 74).

Orthophosphate During Stratification

The average orthophosphate concentration for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata was 0.029 and 0.036 mg/l, respectively. Results ranged from 0.0005 to 0.41 mg/l in the metalimnion and from 0.0005 to 0.14 mg/l in the hypolimnion.

Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations among sites were similar for all strata (Figure 76). Distributions of orthophosphate data were similar at each depth stratum during and between preoperational and operational periods (Figure 77). Distribution of orthophosphate concentrations among months were similar during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 78).

8.4.3 Total Nitrogen : Total Phosphorus Ratio

The total nitrogen : total phosphorus (N/P) ratio is indicative of nutrient limiting conditions. High N/P ratios indicate phosphorus concentrations are insufficient, thus limiting the utilization of all of the nitrogen available for primary production. The average total nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations for epilimnion samples from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 were 0.88 and 0.087 mg/l, respectively. The N/P ratio of these average values is 10.11. This ratio indicates the amount of total phosphorus limits the utilization of all of the nitrogen in Clinton Lake. It does not, however, indicate that phosphorus levels limit growth of aquatic plants in Clinton Lake. Concentrations of phosphorus in Clinton Lake exceeded the IPCB General Use standard, thus, phosphorus levels are sufficient to sustain nuisance populations of algae and aquatic vascular plants in Clinton Lake. The average N/P ratio for Clinton Lake was lower than that for 63 Illinois lakes (44) (Sefton et al. 1980).



Figure 73. Frequency histograms of epilimnion orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).

EPILINNION DATA

••



Figure 74. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analy (bottom graph) of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.

1C8

()



Figure 75. Distributions of epilimnion orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) for Clinton Lake monitoring sites and by months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation.





;

Ì

i

Ð

Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at Clinton Lake monitoring sites during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 77. Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) at Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion. metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation.





، بەرسە سە

20000

c Ø

Distributions of orthophosphate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode)

8.5 Metals

8.5.1 <u>Copper</u>

Copper is an essential micro-nutrient for plants and animals. It is commonly found in natural waters in trace amounts. The amount of copper in ionic solution is generally very small in aerated surface waters. Copper is vital in chlorophyll synthesis and is a constituent of several enzymes. In animals, copper is functional in blood chemistry and hemoglobin synthesis. High concentrations of copper are toxic; concentrations greater than 25 ug/l may be toxic to fish species (Sefton et al. 1980). The average concentration of copper for most lakes is 10 ug/l (Wetzel 1975). Most copper is transported as crystalline solids and adsorbed solid phases. Copper forms stable complexes with organic compounds. The abundance of copper may vary more than twenty-fold during the course of a year. Concentrations of copper may increase during fall and winter.

Epilimnion Copper

Samples collected from Clinton Lake after 1986 were not analyzed for copper. The average copper concentration in 387 epilimnion samples collected from Clinton Lake was 3.6 ug/l. The maximum copper concentration measured in epilimnion water was 13.0 ug/l at Site 16 on February 18, 1981. Approximately 90% of the samples had copper concentrations less than 5 ug/l (Figure 79). Copper concentrations in all epilimnion samples were less than the IPCB General Use standard (20 ug/l) at 200 mg/l hardness. Only nine percent of the 558 samples from 63 Illinois lakes had copper concentrations which exceeded the IPCB General Use water quality standard (Sefton et al. 1980).

There was an apparent down-lake decrease in copper concentrations. Mean concentrations for copper decreased from 4.0 to 3.6 to 3.1 ug/l at sites 16, 2, and 8, respectively. However, there were no significant differences among sites during the monitoring program (Figure 80). There were no significant differences in the distribution of copper data among months (Figure 80). Copper concentrations during 1984 through 1986 were significantly greater than all preceding years, except 1981 (Figure 80).

Copper During Stratification

The average concentration of copper from 106 samples collected during periods of stratification was 2.8 ug/l. Copper concentrations ranged from 0.25 to 21.0 ug/l. The maximum concentration occurred in the hypolimnion at Site 8 during August, 1980. This was the only instance where the IPCB General Use water quality standard was exceeded during 1978 through 1986. This standard was exceeded in six percent of the samples collected from bottom waters of 63 Illinois lakes (Sefton et al. 1980).

Average copper concentrations for epilimnion and metalimnion data were similar; 2.69 and 2.61 ug/l, respectively. Average hypolimnion data were greater at 3.6 ug/l. Distributions of copper concentrations

EPILIMNION DATA

PREOPERATIONAL MODE



Ø

COPPER (UQ/L)

Figure 79. Frequency histogram of epilimnion copper concentration (ug/1) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.


were similar within epilimnion and metalimnion strata (Figure 81). Copper concentrations in the hypolimnion tended to decrease with succeeding months during stratification, however, there were rsignificant differences among months (Figure 81). Distributions c copper concentrations were similar among sites for all strata (Figure 82). There was a tendency for copper concentrations to increase with succeeding years for each strata during 1978 through 1986 in the metalimnion and hypolimnion (Figure 83).

8.5.2 Lead

The presence of lead in natural waters may be attributed to precipitation, lead dust fallout, erosion and leaching of soil, industrial waste discharges, and storm water runoff from roads. Lead concentrations in water are generally not high, due to the low solubility of lead salts. The mean natural lead content in lakes and rivers ranges from 1.0 to 10.0 ug/l (USEPA 1976). Lead concentrations in 63 Illinois lakes were generally below the limit of detection. Only two surface water samples had lead concentrations greater than the detectable level (Sefton et al. 1980).

Lead is not an essential biological element; it is toxic and lead is bioaccumulative. Concentrations greater than 0.1 ug/l are considered deleterious to fish and aquatic life. The toxicity of lead in water is affected by pH, hardness, organic materials, and the presence of other metals. The 96-hour LC50 for fathead minnows in hard water is 482 ug/l (USEPA 1976). The solubility of lead ranges from 500 ug/l in soft water to 3 ug/l in hard water.

Epilimnion Lead

The average lead concentration for 385 Clinton Lake water samples collected from 1978 through 1986 was 1.5 ug/l. During this period lead data ranged from 0.1 to 62 ug/l. Approximately 90% of the lead concentrations were less than 2 ug/l (Figure 84). All but 5 of the 385 samples had lead concentrations less than 10 ug/l and four of the 5 samples occurred at Site 16. The maximum occurred at Site 8 on September 7, 1983. All samples collected from Clinton Lake had lead concentrations less than the IPCB General Use water quality standard (200 ug/l at 200 mg/l hardness). Distributions of lead data were similar among sampling sites, years and months (Figure 85).

Lead During Stratification

The average concentration of lead in the 104 samples collected from Clinton Lake during stratification was 2.13 ug/l. Concentrations for lead during stratification ranged from 0.12 to 62.0 ug/l. Lead concentrations were greatest in epilimnion and metalimnion samples at Site 8 during August, 1983. Average concentrations for epi-, meta-, and hypolimnion strata were 2.23, 2.79, and 1.04 ug/l, respectively. Distributions of lead data in epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata were similar among months (Figure 86) and sites (Figure 87). Distributions of lead concentrations among years for each strata did not indicate any obvious



Figure 81. Distributions of copper concentration (ug/1) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata.



Figure 82.

Distributions of copper concentration (ug/l) by monitoring sites in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata.

118

۶,





[

Distributions of copper concentrations (ug/l) from 1978 through 1986 in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata.



()







84. Frequency histogram of epilimnion lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.



Figure 85. Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for each monitoring site (top graph), by months (middle graph), and during 1978 through 1986 (bottom graph).



Figure 86.

D

Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986.



Figure 87. Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata at sites where stratification occurred during 1978 through 1986.

PARTY NAME

long term trends (Figure 88). Samples were not analyzed for lead during years when CPS was operating.

8.5.3 <u>Magnesium</u>

Magnesium is a common constituent of natural waters. It is usually second in abundance to calcium as the predominant cation in inland waters. Magnesium salts contribute to the hardness of water and when heated, form scale in boilers and condensers. Concentrations greater than 125 mg/l can exert a cathartic and diuretic action. Magnesium concentrations vary from zero through several hundred milligrams per liter in natural waters. Magnesium is an important factor in aquatic primary productivity since it forms the core of the chlorophyll molecule.

Epilimnion Magnesium

The average magnesium concentration of 105 epilimnion samples collected during 1986 through 1991 was 32.2 mg/l. Magnesium concentrations ranged from -23-to-46-mg/l during 1986 through 1991. Magnesium concentrations increased from 1986 through 1988 and then decreased through 1991 (Figure 89). Analyses of data from 1986 through 1991 indicate there is a slight trend for increased concentrations of magnesium. Distributions of magnesium concentrations among sites were similar during the operational period (Figure 90). Magnesium concentrations were greater during fall months (Figure 90).

Magnesium During Stratification

The average concentration of magnesium in metalimnion and hypolimnion strata was 30.8 and 33.3 mg/l, respectively. Magnesium concentrations increased from 1986 through 1988 and, since 1988, decreased for all strata (Figure 91). Distribution of magnesium data among sites were similar for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata (Figure 92). There were no apparent patterns in seasonal distributions of magnesium data (Figure 93).

8.5.4 Mercury

Mercury is a biologically non-essential trace element. Mercury and mercuric salts are highly toxic to humans and mercuric ions are highly toxic to aquatic life. Metallic mercury is insoluble in water, however, in bottom sediments mercury may be converted to organic methyl mercury which can be assimilated and concentrated in the food chain with toxic effects. Mercury may be bioaccumulated in fish tissue 10,000 times the level in water (USEPA 1976). The majority of unpolluted lakes and rivers in the United States contain less than 0.1 ug/l mercury.

Epilimnion Mercury

The average mercury concentration during 1978 through 1991 was 0.251 ug/l. Concentrations ranged from the 0.0003 to 3.1 ug/l (Figure 94). The maximum concentration occurred at Site 4 on August 15, 1984. The IPCB General Use water quality standard for mercury is 0.5 ug/l.



Figure 88. Distributions of lead concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986.

EPILINNION DATA



Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend ana¹ sh (bottom graph) of magnesium concentrations (mg/.) Clinton Lake during 1986 through 1991. Figure 89.



igure 90. Distributions of epilimnion magnesium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for sampling sites (top graphs) and by months (bottom graphs) during 1986 (preoperational mode) and 1987 through 1991 (operational mode).



Ð

Figure 91. Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) years i Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991.



a ser a standarda ser ser a

;

÷

Figure 92. Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) by monitoring sites in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991.

J ALL STRATA EPILIMNION 30 39 MAGNESIUN (HOAL) HADHEBIUH (HOL) 1. 10 •• . . 4 6 7 9 10 11 4 6 7 8 10 11 HONTH HONTH HETALIHNION HYPOLIHNION 30 34 HADHESTUM (HQ/L) B HADESIUN (NO.A.) 10 10



Ñ

1



Distributions of magnesium concentrations (mg/l) by months in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and Ъу Figure 93. hypolimnion strata during 1986 through 1991.



Frequency histograms of epilimnion mercury concentrations (ug/1) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).

Sefton et al. (1980) detected mercury in only two of 63 Illinois lakes that they monitored; concentrations were less than 0.5 ug/l.

Analytical results of 490 epilimnion water samples revealed a mercury concentrations (5.0%) were greater than the IPCB standard (0.5) ug/l; Table 9); all of these occurred during the preoperational period. There is no apparent explanation, other than sampling or analytical error(s), for those elevated concentrations. Mercury results from samples collected from the epilimnion during 1987 through 1991 have not exceeded the IPCB standard. The average mercury concentration from 100 samples collected during the operational period (1987 through 1991) was 0.11 ug/l.

There were no significant differences in monthly or intersite distributions of mercury concentrations (Figure 95). Distributions of mercury concentrations during 1978 through 1991 indicate a trend for decreasing mercury concentrations in Clinton Lake (Figure 96).

Mercury During Stratification

Average mercury concentrations for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata were 0.22 and 0.33 ug/l, respectively. Concentrations ranged from 0.0003 to 0.75 ug/l in the metalimnion and from 0.0003 to 3.7 ug/l in the hypolimnion. The maximum in the hypolimnion occurred at Site 16 in October 1989. Mercury concentrations were greater during 1978 through 1984 and in 1989 (Figure 97). Distributions of mercury concentrations were similar among sites (Figure 98) and months (Figure 99) during stratification.

8.5.5 Zinc

Zinc is an essential micro-nutrient that occurs naturally as zinc sulfide. It is required for photosynthesis, as an agent of hydrogen transfer, and is important in the synthesis of proteins. Zinc is relatively nontoxic to humans but it is acutely toxic to many aquatic biota, especially fish (USEPA 1976). Concentrations as low as 1.0 mg/l may be lethal to fish eggs and larvae. Amounts of zinc in ionic solution is generally very small in aerated surface-waters. The worldwide average concentration of zinc in natural waters is 10 ug/l (Wetzel 1975). The zinc concentration of US drinking waters varies between 0.06 and 7.0 mg/l with a mean of 1.33 mg/l (APHA 1980). Water samples were not analyzed for zinc during 1987 through 1991.

Epilimnion Zinc

The average concentration of zinc in epilimnion samples was 7.3 ug/l during 1978 through 1986. Zinc concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 92 ug/l. All samples had much lower concentrations of zinc than the IPCB General Use water quality standard (1000 ug/l). Approximately 75% of the samples had zinc concentrations less than 10 ug/l (Figure 100). Site 16 had a greater median value for zinc, although there was no significant difference in zinc concentrations among sites (Figure 101). There was no apparent seasonal trend in the distribution of monthly median values for zinc data (Figure 101).



Distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Power Station operation.



Figure 96. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysi (bottom graph) of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in C' Lake during 1978 through 1991.







Figure 98. Distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) at Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperation; mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation. (operational mode).



Figure 99. Monthly distributions of mercury concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).



Figure 100. Frequency histogram of epilimnion zinc concentration (ug/1) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.



Zinc During Stratification

The average zinc concentration for 93 samples collected during periods of stratification was 5.3 ug/l. Zinc concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 49.0 ug/l. The greatest zinc concentrations occurred in the hypolimnion at Site 8 during September, 1978. There were no significant differences in zinc data by months for epi-, meta-, or hypolimnion strata (Figure 102). Distributions of zinc concentrations among sites were similar during stratification (Figure 103). Samples collected during periods of CPS operation were not analyzed for zinc. Distributions of zinc concentrations for each stratum during 1978 through 1986 were similar (Figure 104).

8.6 Hardness

Hardness is a characteristic of water resulting from the presence of polyvalent metallic ions such as calcium, magnesium, iron, manganese, copper, barium, lead and zinc, which cause curdling of soap and deposition of scale on heated surfaces. In natural waters most hardness may be attributed to calcium and magnesium bicarbonates.

Epilimnion Hardness

The average hardness for Clinton Lake epilimnion samples during 1981 through 1991 was 237 mg/l. The minimum and maximum hardness values in epilimnion samples were 102 and 372 mg/l. The maximum occurred at Site 16 in November 1990. Distributions of hardness data were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 105) Hardness was greater at Site 16. especially during the preoperationalperiod (Figure 106). Mean hardness at Site 16 during the preoperational period was 262 mg/l. Greater values at Site 16 may be attributable to greater influence from agricultural land runoff. Monthly distributions indicated hardness concentrations were greater during spring, then declined through summer, and increased again during fall months (Figure Trend analyses for hardness data collected from 1981 through 106). 1991 indicate a tendency for hardness concentrations to increase (Figure Plots of annual hardness data do not support a consistent 107). tendency for increased hardness (Figure 107).

Hardness During Stratification

Average hardness concentrations for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata were 236 and 246 mg/l, respectively. Concentrations ranged from 186 to 300 mg/l in the metalimnion and from 223 to 322 mg/l in the hypolimnion. Hardness values tended to decrease from May through September in the metalimnion (Figure 108). Distribution of hardness values among sites were similar for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 109). Hardness values for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata were similar among years (Figure 110).

8.7 Other Selected Parameters



Figure 102. Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during months when stratification occurred.



Figure 103. Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata a monitoring sites where stratification occurred.



h

::

Figure 104. Distributions of zinc concentrations (ug/1) by years in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1986.



Figure 105. Frequency histograms of epilimnion hardness concentrations (mg/1) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top grr) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bood graph).



Figure 106. Distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during (operational mode) Clinton Power Station operation.

EPILINNION DATA



Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend any is (bottom graph) of hardness concentrations (mg/l, h . Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991. Figure 107.



Figure 108. Distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Power Station operation (operational mode).



(mg/l) Figure 109. hardness Distributions of concentrations at Clinton monitoring sites in Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).



Figure 110. Yearly distributions of hardness concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.

8.7.1 Total Dissolved Solids

In natural waters, dissolved solids consist of carbonates, bicarbonates, chlorides, phosphates and nitrates of calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium, as well as iron and other trace constituents. Dissolved solids are related to electrical conductivity (APHA 1971). Total dissolved solids (TDS) are useful in describing a general measure of primary productivity. Most lakes have a TDS between 100 and 200 mg/l. Excess dissolved solids are objectionable because of potential physiological effects, disagreeable palatability, increased corrosion and encrustation of metallic surfaces. Dissolved solid concentrations affect the osmoregulation (water balance) of aquatic organisms. The quality of dissolved solids determines the nutrients and minerals available for aquatic organisms. Lakes with dissolved solids greater than 15,000 mg/l are unsuitable for most freshwater fishes (USEPA 1976).

Epilimnion Total Dissolved Solids

The average concentration of TDS in 491 samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 was 272 mg/l. During this period TDS ranged from 140 to 490 mg/l (Figure 111). The distributions of TDS data were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure Plots of TDS data indicated Site 16 had greater TDS 111). concentrations compared to the other sites. Concentrations of TDS were lower during summer months (Figure 112). All of the epilimnion samples had lower TDS values than the IPCB General Use water quality standard (1000 mg/l). Site 16 had significantly greater TDS concentrations (Figure 112). Concentrations decreased in a downlake trend from Site 16 to sites 2, 13, and 8. Distribution of annual values does not indicate consistent long term trends in TDS concentrations (Figure 113). Monthly distribution of TDS data indicate a seasonal influence (Figure 112). Concentrations are greater during winter and spring months; concentrations then decline from spring through summer, and increase during fall months.

Total Dissolved Solids During Stratification

The average TDS concentration for metalimnion samples was 264 mg/l and concentrations ranged from 190 to 380 mg/l. Average TDS concentrations in the hypolinion was 272 mg/l and concentrations ranged from 200 to 400 mg/l. The greatest TDS concentration in metalimnion or hypolimnion samples occurred at Site 8 in July, 1978. There were no significant differences in the distribution of TDS data among sites (Figure 114). Distributions of TDS values were similar among months for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata (Figure 115). There were no consistent patterns in the distributions of TDS data among years (Figure 116).

8.7.2 Specific Conductance

A measure of the total amount of ionized materials in water can be obtained through specific conductance, which is a measure of the resistance of water to electrical flow. This parameter closely




ļ

.

• •

1

. İ

Figure 112. Distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months prior. to (preoperational mode) and during (operational m) Clinton Power Station operation.





.

I

Figure 114. Distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) for Clinton Lake monitoring sites for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata.



Figure 115. Monthly distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).



Figure 116. Yearly distributions of total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.

approximates the amount of residue in solution and is correlated with total dissolved solids. Resistance of water is reduced with increasing content of ionized salts. The purer the water is, i.e. the lower its salinity, the greater the resistance to electrical flow. There is a direct correlation between conductance and pH in the intermediate pH range of bicarbonate fresh waters. There is also a well defined relationship between specific conductance and total dissolved solids, chlorides, and sulfates. Temperature of an electrolyte affects ionic velocities; conductance increases about two percent for each degree Celcius. Specific conductivities measured in Clinton Lake are referenced to 25° C. The types of substances dissolved in the water and their concentrations and ionic strengths strongly influence the specific conductivity of water.

Epilimnion Specific Conductance

The average specific conductance from 592 epilimnion samples taken from 1978 through 1991 was 487 umhos/cm. During this time conductance ranged from 202 to 780 umhos/cm. The average specific conductance for 63 Illinois lakes was 362 umhos/cm and the range was 82 to 1800 umhos/cm (Sefton et al. 1980). The maximum specific conductance in Clinton Lake occurred at Site 4 in February 1978. Distributions of specific conductance were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 117). Distribution of specific conductance from 1978 through 1991 by sites indicated a downlake trend for decreasing values. Specific conductance was greatest at Site 16 and lowest at Site 8 during the preoperational period (Figure 118). Distribution of data by months indicated a seasonal influence in specific conductance data (Figure 118). Conductance decreased from April through August and then increased through November. There was no apparent pattern in the distribution of specific conductance data among years (Figure 119). Plots for 1987 through 1991 illustrate the decrease in specific conductance from April through August and the variability among sites during years when CPS was operational (Figures 120 and 121).

Specific Conductance Profiles

Specific conductance for lake bottom waters are generally greater than conductivities for surface waters (Sefton et al. 1980). Mean lake bottom conductivities for 63 Illinois lakes ranged from 86 to 2072 umhos (Sefton et al. 1980).

Site 16 had significantly greater specific conductance during the preoperational period (Figure 122). During the operational period distributions of specific conductance were similar among sites. The specific conductance was greater at most sites during the operational period (Figure 123). During the preoperational period there was a tendency for specific conductance to decrease with increasing depth (Figure 124). During the operational period the distribution of specific conductance was significantly greater at all depths and there was a tendency for values to increase with depth. During periods of stratification there was a tendency for specific conductance to decrease from April through September at epilimnion and metalimnion strata (Figure 125). Specific conductance tended to increase during stratification in the hypolimnion during the preoperational period. The



Figure 117. Frequency histograms of epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Sty 7 operation (bottom graph).



Figure 118. Distributions of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation.



Figure 119. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 120. Epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April through September for 1987, 1988, and 1989.



Figure 121. Epilimnion specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cn for Clinton Lake sampling sites during April throug September for 1990 and 1991.



.

PROFILE DATA

PREOPERATIONAL HODE







Distribution of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (preoperational mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).



Figure 123. Distribution of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during periods prior to (Mode 1) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Mode 2).



PROFILE DATA

OPERATIONAL HODE





PREOPERATIONAL MODE EPILIMION OPERATIONAL HOOE EPILINNION . (X 198) (X 188) SPECIFIC CONDUCTINCE (UPHOS/CH) 1 <u>\$\$</u>\$\$<u>\$</u> Þ 岇 因 容 В PEOIFIG CONDUCTANCE \$\$\$\$ 2 ė 4 4 2 0 7 HONTH 1 2 3 4 8 10 11 18 . . 4 T B MONTH 8 ۲ . 10 11 PREOPERATIONAL HODE HETALIHIION DERATIONAL HODE (X 100) (X 199) . PPEOIPIG CONCURTANCE (UN101/ON) (HO/SOIGHT) BOINTONONO DIJIDIJE 界 × 出 卑 が支 1 宋 K Ж × 字 4 4 2 4 8 • 8 • 4 8 . T B HONTH 10 11 . нонтні PREOPERATIONAL HODE HYPOLINHIOH AND LIPHION (X 188) (X 100) 1 Presirio concuernes (unot/ch) (HD/80440) 3049/JUD/00000 014108-404) Ä R 匁 Σ : 4 æ 2 :

(

10

.

HONTH

Figure 125.

į

R

.

RONTH

Monthly distributions of specific conductance concentrations (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).

4 5 8 7

seasonal distribution of profile data was similar to that for epilimnion data (Figure 126). Specific conductance was greater for each month during the operational period (Figure 126).

8.7.3 Hydrogen Ion Activity

The hydrogen ion activity in water is often represented by pH. For most purposes pH is defined as the negative logarithm of the hydrogen ion activity. A pH of 7 is neutral, while a pH less than 7 is acid and a pH greater than 7 is alkaline. The principal system which regulates pH in natural waters is the carbonate system, which is composed of carbon dioxide, carbonic acid, bicarbonate ion, and carbonate ion. Hydrogen ion activity is temperature dependent, however, pH values for Clinton Lake are referenced to 25° C. The pH is important in biological systems because the toxicity of many compounds is affected by pH; biological decomposition and respiration tend to decrease pH; and photosynthesis tends to increase pH.

Epilimnion pH

The average pH of 591 epilimnion samples collected during 1978 through 1991 was 8.09. During this period the minimum pH value was 6.4 and the maximum was 9.0. Distributions of pH values were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 127). Most Illinois lakes are alkaline and very few of the 63 Illinois lakes monitored by the IEPA had pH values below 7 and only a few had pH values greater than 9 (Sefton et al. 1980).

Values for pH were similar among sites during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 128). Distributions of pH values were similar among months and there were no apparent seasonal effects (Figure 128). There was no apparent trend in the distribution of pH data by years (Figure 129). Distributions of pH data during operational years were within the range of distributions for yearly data during preoperational conditions (Figure 129). Plots for 1987 through 1991 illustrate the distribution of pH data during the warmest months of each year (April through September) and the variability among sites during years when CPS was operational (Figures 130 and 131).

The IPCB General Use water quality standard for pH is "... not less than 6.5 or greater than 9.0 except for natural causes." One sample out of 477 failed to meet the IPCB standard. This occurred at Site 2 in June, 1983 when the pH was 6.4.

pH Profiles

The vertical distribution of pH in lakes typically has greater pH values near the surface and lower pH values in bottom waters. This is due to reduction of carbon dioxide by photosynthesis in surface waters and the generation of carbon dioxide by microbial decomposition in and near bottom sediments.

Values for pH decreased with increasing depth during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 132). With succeeding

PROFILE DATA

PREOPERATIONAL HODE



OPERATIONAL HODE

r



Figure 126. Monthly distributions of specific conductance concentration: (umhos/cm) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).



Figure 127.

Frequency histograms of epilimnion pH in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).





Figure 128. Distributions of pH in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Sty u operation.

EPILIMNION DATA



Figure 129. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of pH in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 130. Epilimnion pH for Clinton Lake sampling sites during A through September for 1987, 1988 and 1989.





Figure 132. Distribution of pH in Clinton Lake for 0.5 meter dept intervals during periods prior to (Preoperational)/ nr during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational M

.

months during stratification pH tended to increase in the epilimnion and decrease in the meta- and hypolimnion for the preoperational period (Figure 133). During the operational period pH tended to increase in the metalimnion (Figure 133). Distributions of profile data for pH were similar among sites during the preoperational and operational periods (Figure 134). Profile pH data were variable and there were no consistent trends among months during preoperational or operational periods (Figure 135).

8.7.4 <u>Alkalinity</u>

Alkalinity is the ability of water to neutralize an acid. This acid-neutralizing capability is due primarily to bicarbonate and carbonate salts of weak acids or the hydroxides of strong bases. Alkalinity may be thought of as a measure of the buffering capacity of water, which is generally dictated by the geochemistry of the watershed. The carbonate system is the major component of this buffering capacity. The carbonate system is also a source of carbon for photosynthesis and is thus related to the biological productivity of a waterbody. Phosphates and hydroxides may also increase alkalinity. Daily fluctuations in alkalinity concentrations are usually negligible. Generally, changes associated with photosynthesis and respiration on the carbonate-carbonic acid system are visible in terms of pH changes. Since pH has a direct effect on aquatic organisms and an indirect effect on the toxicity of certain contaminants, alkalinity is an important water quality parameter. The IPCB has not established a standard for alkalinity in natural waters. The USEPA (1976) criterion for freshwater aquatic life is a minimum of 20 mg/l, except where natural conditions are less. Highly alkaline waters have a distinct unpleasant taste, which is probably due to associated high values for pH, hardness, and total dissolved solids.

Epiliminion Alkalinity

The average alkalinity of 490 epilimnion samples during 1978 through 1991 was 168 mg/l. During this period alkalinity ranged from 72 to 302 mg/1. The average alkalinity for 63 Illinois lakes was 100 mg/l as calcium carbonate; concentrations ranged from 20 to 207 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Distributions of alkalinity were similar for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 136). Concentrations of alkalinities for most Clinton Lake sites during preoperational conditions were slightly less than the averages during operational conditions (Figure 137). During preoperational conditions Site 16 had significantly greater alkalinity concentrations compared to the remaining sites (Figure 137). There were no significant differences among sites during operational conditions. Distributions of data for months indicates there were seasonal influences on alkalinity concentrations (Figure 137). Alkalinity tended to decrease from spring through summer months, then increase during fall months. Distributions of alkalinity concentrations for years do not indicate any apparent long term trends (Figure 138). Distribution of alkalinity during operational years was similar to preoperational years (Figure Trend analysis indicates a slight decreasing trend for alkalinity 138). concentrations (Figure 138).



1

D



4 8

11

7 . .

NONTH

.

.

HONTH



Figure 134. Distribution of pH from Clinton Lake sampling sites for period prior to (1985 and 1986) and during (1987 through 1991) Clinton Power Station operation.

PROFILE DATA





E .

•

.

D



Monthly distributions of pH in Clinton Lake during perio prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Pow Station operation (Operational Mode). Figure 135.



Į





Figure 137. Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinto. Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prio to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational) : Clinton Power Station operation.

:



Figure 138. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.

Alkalinity During Stratification

Average alkalinity concentrations for metalimnion and hypolimnior strata were 168 and 175 mg/l, respectively. Metalimnion alkalinity concentrations ranged from 108 to 279 mg/l; hypolimnion alkalinity concentrations ranged from 120 to 242 mg/l. Average bottom water alkalinities for 63 Illinois lakes ranged from 27.5 to 255 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). During the preoperational period alkalinity values tended to increase during succeeding months of stratification (Figure 139). Conversely, during the operational period alkalinity decreased. This is expected under anaerobic conditions, and when dissolved oxygen becomes progressively depleted during stratification (Sefton et al. 1980). Alkalinity values were greatest for each stratum during the first year after lake formation (1978) (Figure 140). Distribution of alkalinity data during periods when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991) were similar to preoperational alkalinity data (Figure 140). Distributions of alkalinity were similar among sites during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 141).

8.7.5 <u>Calcium</u>

In most fresh water, calcium is the principal cation. Calcium is widely distributed in the common minerals of rocks and soils. Samples were analyzed for calcium beginning in November 1986.

Epilimnion Calcium

Samples were analyzed for calcium during 1986 through 1991. The average calcium concentration from 105 epilimnion samples was 45.4 mg// There was a downlake decrease in the average concentration of calcium Site 16 had the greatest mean concentration (52.2 mg/l); average concentrations for succeeding downlake sites were 45.7, 43.3, and 42.1 mg/l for sites 2, 13, and 8, respectively. There were no significant differences in the distribution of calcium data among sites (Figure 142). Calcium concentrations decreased from April through September during the operational period (Figure 142). There is no apparent pattern in the distribution of annual calcium data (Figure 143). Trend analyses for data from 1986 through 1991 indicate calcium contentrations have increased (Figure 143).

Calcium During Stratification

Samples were analyzed for calcium during periods of stratification during 1987 through 1991. The average concentration of calcium in the metalimnion was 43.7 mg/l; concentrations ranged from 28 to 73 mg/l. The average concentration in the hypolimnion was 48.9 mg/l; concentrations ranged from 35 to 77 mg/l. Calcium concentrations generally decreased through time during periods of stratification (Figure 144).

8.7.6 Total Organic Carbon

Total organic carbon (TOC) is composed of dissolved and particulate organic carbon. Sources of TOC include excretions of metabolites by



Figure 139. Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (operational mode).

.



H

Figure 140. Yearly distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypol--ni strata during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 141. Distributions of alkalinity concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 142. Distributions of calcium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prive to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mc Clinton Power Station operation.

M
EPILINNION DATA

D



Figure 143. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of calcium concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1986 through 1991.

Ø

D

D



Figure 144. Plots of calcium concentrations (mg/l) by months for each Clinton Lake monitoring site during years when Clinton Power Station was operational (1987 through 1991).

aquatic organisms, and humic substances generated by plants, which is mostly leaf litter. The TOC concentration of a water body is directly related to the biochemical oxygen demand of the system. Excessive amounts of TOC cause depletions of dissolved oxygen.

Epilimnion Total Organic Carbon

R,

The average epilimnion TOC concentration for 388 epilimnion samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986 was 4.13 mg/l. The average TOC in surface waters of 63 Illinois lakes was 7.6 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Seventy percent of these lakes had TOC concentrations between 4 and 10 mg/l. Approximately 70% of the TOC concentrations in Clinton Lake ranged from 1 to 4 mg/l (Figure 145). Mean lake values for the 63 other Illinois lakes ranged from 2.5 to 18.8 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). The TOC concentrations in Clinton Lake ranged from 1.0 to 15.0 mg/l during 1978 through 1986. There were no significant differences in the distribution of TOC data among sites or months (Figure 146). Distribution of TOC data among years suggest concentrations have decreased, especially since 1980 (Figure 146). Analyses for TOC were not performed during periods when CPS was operational.

Total Organic Carbon During Stratification

The average TOC concentration of 106 samples collected during periods of stratification was 3.8 mg/l. The average TOC concentration in bottom waters of 63 Illinois lakes was 6.7 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Seventy-one percent had values from 4 to 10 mg/l and lake means ranged from 2 to 12.7 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Minimum and maximum values in Clinton Lake were 2.4 and 10.0 mg/l. The maximum concentration occurred at Site 8 during September, 1981. Distribution of TOC among epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata were similar and there were no significant differences among strata. Distributions of TOC data among sites were also similar and there were no significant differences (Figure 147). Concentrations of TOC tended to decrease from April through June; concentrations were similar from June through August; and then increased during September (Figure 148). Distribution of data among years indicate a trend for TOC concentrations to decrease slightly (Figure 149).

8.7.7 <u>Sulfate</u>

The sulfate ion usually ranks second to carbonate as the principle anion in fresh waters. Sulfate concentrations in natural waters range from a few to several thousand mg/l. It is important in public water supplies because of its cathartic effect on humans. The USPHS (1962) and USEPA (1976) recommend less than 250 mg/l sulfate in drinking water. The IPCB General Use water quality standard for sulfate is 500 mg/l. Sulfate is also important in industrial water supplies because it causes sulfate-noncarbonate hardness scaling in boilers and heat exchangers. Sulfate concentrations greater than 100 mg/l may cause concerns for industrial users. Sulfates occur naturally in waters as a result of leaching from minerals, and as the final oxidized state of

EPILIMNION DATA





TOTAL ORGANIC CAREON (HG/L)

Figure 145. Frequency histogram of epilimnion total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

FREQUENCY

D

.

r





Figure 147.

147. Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion. metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 197





Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/l) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for April through September in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

.



Figure 149. Distribution of total organic carbon concentrations (mg/ for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata : Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

sulfides, sulfites, and thiosulfates. They are also discharged in industrial wastes, and contained in some fertilizers.

Epilimnion Sulfate

Sulfate averaged 38.5 mg/l for the 377 samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991. During this time sulfate concentrations ranged from 13 to 60 mg/l. The average sulfate concentration in the surface waters of 63 Illinois lakes was 53.8 mg/l; and concentrations ranged from 6.2 to 891.2 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). None of the samples analyzed for sulfate from Clinton Lake had concentrations which exceeded either the USPHS drinking water standard (250 mg/l) or the IPCB General Use water quality standard (500 mg/l).

Distributions of sulfate concentrations were slightly greater during the operational period (Figure 150). Distributions of monthly averages do not indicate any apparent seasonal effects in the distribution of sulfate concentrations (Figure 151). There was a downlake trend for sulfate concentrations to decrease from headwater midlake sites during the preoperational period; however, this trend was not apparent during the operational period (Figure 151). Trend analysis (Figure 152) indicate sulfate concentrations are increasing in Clinton Lake; however comparisons of sulfate concentrations among years do not support a consistent increasing trend.

Sulfate During Stratification

Most of the 63 Illinois lakes (80%) had mean concentrations of sulfate in bottom waters which were less than 50 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). Sulfate concentrations were generally vertically and horizontally homogeneous, except where diluted by runoff from precipitation (Sefton et al. 1980). Average sulfate concentration in Clinton Lake metalimnion samples was 35.9 mg/l; concentrations ranged from 20 to 55 mg/l. Average concentration in the hypolimnion samples was 37.8 mg/l and concentrations ranged from 30 to 48 mg/l. Distributions of sulfate concentrations were similar among stratification levels (Figure 153). Sulfate concentrations for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata are similar among preoperational and operational years (Figure 153). Monthly distributions of sulfate concentrations yielded no apparent seasonal trend in metalimnion and hypolimnion strata (Figure 154). Sulfate concentrations were similar for each site during the preoperational and operational periods (Figure 155).

8.7.8 Chloride

This anion is usually not dominant in open lake systems. Chlorides are present in nearly all natural waters to some extent. Chlorides frequently occur due to leaching from mineral deposits, agricultural sources, treated sanitary wastewater, road salt, and industrial wastewater discharges. Chloride content normally increases as the mineral content of a water increases. When chloride concentrations exceed 100 mg/l, waters exhibit a salty taste. Chloride influences osmotic salinity balance and ion exchange, but metabolic utilization does not cause appreciable variations in spatial and seasonal distributions



Ð

Figure 150. Frequency histograms of epilimnion sulfate concentration (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph and during Clinton Power Station operation (b) a graph).



Figure 151. Distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation.

EPILIMNION DATA



.. -- ---

- • •

D

Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991. Figure 152.



Figure 153. Yearly distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1981 through 1991.



Figure 154. Monthly distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).

•...



Figure 155. Distributions of sulfate concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred.

within a lake. Chloride is the major halide stored in most freshwater algal cells. The USEPA (1976) recommends less than 250 mg/l for chlorides in domestic water supplies.

Epilimnion Chloride

Chloride concentrations averaged 22.2 mg/l for the 377 epilimnion samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1981 through 1991. During this time chloride concentrations ranged from 6.5 to 37.2 mg/l. All samples had chloride concentrations which were much lower than thr IPCB General Use water quality standard (500 mg/l). The average chloride concentration of surface waters from 63 Illinois lakes was 17.7 mg/l and average lake concentrations for chloride ranged from 1.3 to 82.7 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980). The majority of these lakes (57%) had mean concentrations less than 15 mg/l (Sefton et al. 1980).

Chloride concentrations in Clinton Lake increased sharply during the operational period (Figure 156). Comparisons in annual distributions of chloride concentrations indicate there were significant differences between years representing preoperational (1981 through 1986) and operational (1987 through 1991) data (Figure 157).

Site 16 had significantly greater concentrations of chloride during the preoperational period, but not during the operational period (Figure 158). Chloride concentrations were similar among months (Figure 158).

Chloride During Stratification

The average chloride in bottom water in 63 Illinois lakes ware essentially equal to surface values (Sefton et al. 1980). Very lit(vertical or spatial variation in chloride concentrations was noted in these lakes (Sefton et al. 1980). The average chloride concentration in metalimnion samples was 23 mg/l; concentrations ranged from 10.7 to 33.2 mg/l. In the hypolimnion, the average chloride concentration was 24.4 and concentrations ranged from 17.8 to 33.5 mg/l. Chloride concentrations were greater during the operational period for each stratum (Figure 159). Distributions of chloride concentrations during the preoperational and operational period were similar among months (Figure 159) and sites (Figure 160). Distributions of chloride data by years were similar for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata (Figure 161).

8.8 Bacteria

8.8.1 Fecal Coliforms

The presence of fecal coliforms indicates fecal contamination of water and a probable occurrence of waterborn pathogens which pose a relative risk of disease transmission. Fecal coliform bacteria are restricted to the intestinal tract of warmblooded animals.



EPILINNION DATA



Figure 157. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Cli n Lake during 1981 through 1991.

{



Figure 158. Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation.



Figure 159. Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinto Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strat for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and q in Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).



Figure 160. Distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred during the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).



Figure 161. Yearly distributions of chloride concentrations (mg/l) i Clinton Lake for epilimnion. metalimnion, and hypolip-io strata during 1981 through 1991.

Epilimnion Fecal Coliforms

The maximum fecal coliform count (1200/100 ml) was measured at sites 2 and 4 in September, 1978 and December, 1982, respectively. The geometric mean of fecal coliform counts for 390 samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986 was 3.6/100 ml. Water samples were not analyzed for fecal coliforms after 1986. The IPCB General Use water quality standard for fecal coliform is 200/100 ml. Of the 390 samples, there were 376 (96%) which did not exceed the IPCB standard (Figure 162). This standard however, was exceeded at all Clinton Lake sampling sites at least once during 1978 through 1986. Site 16 had the greatest geometric mean fecal coliform count (6.8/100 ml), however, there were no significant differences in the distribution of coliform count data among sites (Figure 163). There was no apparent seasonal pattern in the distribution of monthly means for coliform counts (Figure 163). Likewise, there was no apparent trend in the distribution of mean annual counts for fecal coliforms (Figure 163).

Fecal Coliforms During Stratification

The maximum fecal coliform count in the 103 samples collected during periods of stratification was 220/100 ml. The maximum count occurred in the epilimnion at Site 8 during September, 1978. The six greatest counts of fecal coliforms occurred at Site 8 (Figure 164). Distributions of fecal coliform count data among stratification levels at each site were similar (Figure 164). There was no consistent pattern in the distribution of count data among years (Figure 165). Data were most variable during 1985. Count data were more variable during the warmer months, i.e. July and August (Figure 166).

8.8.2 Fecal Streptococcus

The presence of fecal streptococcus is another indicator of fecal contamination. This test is generally performed concurrently with the fecal coliform test to more precisely define the extent and type of fecal contamination of a water. Distributions of fecal coliforms are not restricted to humans, and species have been associated with vegetation, insects, soils and other warmblooded animals. Unlike coliforms, streptococci do not multiply in surface waters. Species of fecal streptococcus were not identified during the water quality monitoring program for Clinton Lake.

Epilimnion Fecal Streptococcus

The geometric mean of fecal streptococcus was 9.7/100 ml during 1978 through 1986. Counts ranged from 0.5 to 13,000/100 ml. Approximately 95% of the samples had counts less than 100/100 ml (Figure 167). The maximum count occurred at Site 16 in March, 1984. Site 16 also had the greatest geometric mean count value (25.8/100 ml). Site 16 is nearest the outlet for the Farmer City sewage treatment facility and is near an area where cattle are pastured. There was a downlake decreasing trend for mean fecal streptococcus counts on the Salt Creek arm of Clinton Lake. Geometric mean counts were 25.3, 6.8 and 5.5 for sites 16, 2 and 8, respectively. There were no significant

EPILIPHION DATA

PREOPERATIONAL HODE

{

ſ



Figure 162. Frequency histogram of epilimnion fecal coliform concentration (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

()

D

D



Figure 163. Distributions of epilimnion fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years.



Figure 164. Distribution of fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimuion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

H)



Figure 165. Distribution of fecal coliform concentrations (no./100 ml) for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.



÷



PREOPERATIONAL MODE



Figure 167. Frequency histogram of epilimnion fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

differences among sites, months, or years (Figure 168).

The most valuable application of the fecal streptococcus test is in the development of fecal coliform/fecal streptococcus ratios. F(coliform/fecal streptococcus ratios of 4.0 or greater typically indicare domestic waste while ratios of 0.6 or less are common to discharges from farm animals or stormwater runoff. The mean ratio of average counts for fecal coliform/fecal streptococcus in Clinton Lake was 0.142 with a range of 0.102 to 0.224. These ratios indicate that contamination by fecal bacteria in Clinton Lake more likely originated from farm animals or stormwater runoff than from human fecal contamination.

Fecal Streptococcus During Stratification

The maximum count (340/100 ml) for fecal streptococcus during stratification occurred in the metalimnion at Site 8 in July, 1981. Distribution of fecal streptococcus counts among stratification levels war similar. Distribution of counts among sites indicated Site 8 tended to have more outlying data. while data for Site 2 were more widely distributed (Figure 169). Outlying data occurred most frequently in July (Figure 170), otherwise distributions of count data were similar among months during stratification. Count data for fecal streptococcus were more widely distributed during 1981, 1982 and 1985, otherwise distributions were similar among the other years (Figure 171).

8.9 Water Transparency

ł

Turbidity and suspended solids are water quality constituents which affect water transparency and estimate the amount of material suspended in the water. Poor water transparency affects the aesthetic quality, ar' degrades recreational and domestic uses of water.

8.9.1 <u>Turbidity</u>

Measurements of turbidity quantify the degree of opaqueness of a water due to the scattering and absorption of light caused by suspended particulates in the water. Turbidity is caused by suspended matter, such as clay, silt, fine organic and inorganic particles, plankton, and other microscopic organisms. The effects of turbidity include reduced photosynthesis and increased water temperatures. Turbid water interferes with recreational use and aesthetic enjoyment of water. Turbidity may influence aquatic blota directly or indirectly. Turbidity may reduce the depth of the euphoric zone, thus reducing primary productivity. Turbidity may also influence growth, disease resistance, movement, food availability, and respiration in aquatic organisms.

Epilimnion Turbidity

Illinois lakes are markedly turbid (Sefton et al. 1980). The average turbidity value of the 493 epilimnion samples collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 was 14.3 NTU. During this time values ranged from 0.9 to 250.0 NTU. The mean surface turbidity for 63 Illinois lakes was 13.1 NTU and values ranged from 0.8 to 91.7 NTU (Sefton et al. 1980). Distributions of turbidity data were similar for



Figure 168. Distributions of epilimnion fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites, months, and years.



Figure 169. Distribution of fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for monitoring sites in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

1







Figure 171. Distribution of fecal streptococcus concentrations (no./100 ml for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1986.

preoperational and operational periods (Figure 172). Turbidity data in Clinton Lake were less variable at the deeper sites. This is expected since turbidity is dependent on local conditions at the time of sampling and is more likely to be increased by resuspension of silt and clay sediments by wind or storm events at the shallower sites. The shallowest site (Site 16) had significantly greater turbidity values compared to the remaining Clinton Lake sampling sites (Figure 173). There is a trend for turbidity to decrease from headwater to midlake sites (Figure 173). This trend for turbidity to decrease in a downlake direction is common for Illinois reservoirs (Sefton et al. 1980). Distribution of monthly turbidity values indicate greater values from March through June (Figure 173). Turbidity values are lower during early spring and winter months. Distribution of annual mean turbidity values does not indicate an apparent long term trend in turbidity for Clinton Lake (Figure 174). Trend analysis of data from 1978 through 1991 indicate a slight trend for turbidity values to increase.

Turbidity During Stratification

In Illinois lakes there is a tendency for bottom water turbidities to have greater variability and for bottom turbidities to be greater than their surface counterparts (Sefton et al. 1980). Average turbidity values for Clinton Lake were similar among stratification levels. Epi-, meta-, and hypolimnion average values were 14.3, 12.7, and 13.5 NTU, respectively. Distributions of turbidity data by months indicate that turbidity levels were less variable during the operational period for all depth strata (Figure 175). Distribution of turbidity values among years was variable (Figure 176). Turbidity distributions among sites for metalimnion and hypolimnion strata indicate values were less variable during the operational period (Figure 177).

8.9.2 Total Suspended Solids

Suspended solids (TSS) are undissolved substances in water. Suspended solids are primarily due to inorganic and organic inputs from the watershed and planktonic organisms. Suspended solids in many Illinois lakes result largely from soil erosion and runoff into reservoir tributaries. Significant suspended solids concentrations may also result from resuspension of bottom materials from wind action and boating, especially at the more shallow locations (Sefton et al. 1980). High concentrations of suspended solids reduce transparency, affect aesthetic quality, and degrade recreational and domestic uses of water.

Epilimnion Total Suspended Solids

The greatest TSS concentrations occurred at Site 16 in June (290 mg/l) and July (210 mg/l) during 1981. Otherwise TSS concentrations were less than 100 mg/l during 1978 through 1991 (Figure 178). The average concentration was 16.6 mg/l. Distributions of TSS concentrations were similar for preoperational and operational periods. TSS concentrations were greater during spring and summer months and lower during winter months during the preoperational period (Figure 179). Distribution of TSS data during the operational period did not exhibit seasonal trends. Concentrations of TSS decreased in a



:

儞

Figure 172. Frequency histograms of epilimnion turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).




Distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation.



÷

Figure 174. Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analys (bottom graph) of turbidity concentrations (NTU) Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 175. Monthly distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).



ł

D







:

Figure 177. Distributions of turbidity concentrations (NTU) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred.



I

Figure 178. Frequency histogram of epilimnion total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.





Distributions of total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for monitoring sites and months during periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mode) Clinton Power Station operation.

••

.

slightly during 1978 through 1991 (Figure 180). The IPCB has not specified a General Use water quality standard for TSS.

Total Suspended Solids During Stratification

Average TSS concentration for metalimnion was 15.9 mg/l; concentrations ranged from 1.9 to 72 mg/l. Average TSS in the hypolimnion was 16.6 mg/l and concentrations ranged from 0.8 to 43.2 epilimnion TSS concentration mg/l. Average was 8.8 mg/l. Concentrations of TSS were similar among sites and strata during preoperational and operational periods (Figure 181). Concentrations of TSS were variable among years without any apparent long term patterns in distribution (Figure 183).

8.10 Silica

4

ł

Silica ranks next to oxygen in abundance in the earth's crust. Degradation of silica-containing rocks results in the presence of silica in natural waters. Most natural waters contain less than 10 mg/l silica. although some may approach 60 mg/l (Cole 1975). The solubility of silica increases as water temperatures rise. Biological use of silica may result in noticeable decreases in surface waters of lakes and reservoirs.

Epilimnion Silica

Silica averaged 2.8 mg/l in the 587 samples which were collected from 1978 through 1991. During this time silica concentrations ranged from 0.02 to 11.0 mg/l (Figure 184). Concentrations of silica were lower during the operational period (Figure 184). Solubility of silica increases directly with temperature. However, concentrations of dissolved silica/ Clinton Lake were typically greater during March and April and low during the remaining warmer months despite the greater solubility of silica in warmer water (Figure 185). This was probably due to the use of silica by diatom populations. Silica is an important constituent in the frustules of diatoms. Population development of diatoms such as Asterionella, Melosira, and Tabellaria is limited, at least partially by silica concentrations of 0.5 to 0.8 mg/l silica. Silica may be depleted from water during diatom "blooms". Diatom populations are typically much greater during cooler months. Decreased silica during summer months probably resulted from depletions of soluble silica by diatom populations. The minimum level of silica for diatom and algal blooms to occur is 0.5 mg/l.

Concentrations of silica decreased slightly in a down-lake direction (Figure 185). Silica concentrations for operational data (especially 1987) were lower compared to concentrations for preoperational years (Figure 186). Trend analysis indicate silica concentrations have decreased (Figure 186).

Silica During Stratification

The greatest concentration of silica (11.0 mg/1) occurred at Site 4 in December, 1982 and at Site 8 in September, 1981. Concentrations of silica in metalimnion and hypolimnion waters were greater than

EPILIMNION DATA 100 TOTAL SUPPENDED SOLIDS (HOAL) 76 8. 26 K Ø Я \mathbf{P} П . 78 71 ... 81 82 83 87 88 89 . 81 84 86 YEAR TREND ANALYSIS 13.368+0.0133248*7 198 TOTAL SUBPENDED SOLIDS (NGA.) 76 ... 25 • : 488 300 211 . 100 TIME .



Yearly distributions (top graph) and trend analysis (bottom graph) of total suspended solids concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 181. Distributions of total suspended solids concentrations(mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion. strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred.

.

ļ











Figure 184. Frequency histograms of epilimnion silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during periods prior to (top graph) and during Clinton Power Station operation (bottom graph).



Q,

Figure 185. Distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton La for monitoring sites and months during periods prior (Preoperational Mode) and during (Operational Mod Clinton Power Station operation.



concentrations in the epilimnion. Waters at depths which delimit the metalimnion and hypolimnion are not within the euphoric zone. Thus silica concentrations in the metalimnion and hypolimnion are (influenced by diatom populations as they are in the epilimnion. Concentrations were progressively greater in the metalimnion and hypolimnion. Stratification generally occurred during May through September and silica concentrations were generally greatest in metalimnion and hypolimnion waters during August and September (Figure 187). Annual distributions of silica were variable and distributions when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991) overlap annual ranges prior to CPS operation (1978 through 1986) (Figure 188). Distributions of silica data were similar among sites and strata for preoperational and operational periods (Figure 189).







Monthly distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata for the periods prior to (Preoperational Mode) and during Clinton Power Station operation (Operational Mode).



Figure 188.

•

Yearly distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) h Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion strata during 1978 through 1991.



Figure 189. Distributions of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake for epilimnion, metalimnion and hypolimnion strata at monitoring sites where stratification occurred.

9.0 DISCUSSION

9.1 Comparisons with Other Illinois Lakes

Average values (1987 through 1991) of chemical and physical analyses were compared to determine the relative similarity of Clinton Lake with 12 other central Illinois lakes (Table 14). Data for the 12 other lakes were obtained from the STORET database developed by the EPA lake program staff (Greg Good, IEPA Division of Water Pollution Control, Springfield, IL). Results among lakes were compared using cluster analysis methods which were illustrated as dendogram plots. Cluster analyses are systematic methods used to present patterns of similarities among databases. A matrix of listwise comparisons using an index formed the basis of the cluster analyses for this comparison of Illinois lakes. A matrix of percent similarities (Pinkham and Pearson 1974) and a matrix of Chord Distance (E. C. Pielou 1984) were calculated from all listwise interlake comparisons of chemical/physical results. Unweighted pair group, average linkage method of cluster analysis was calculated for each matrix and presented as a dendogram plot to depict clustering of lakes with similar levels of chemical/physical constituents (Figure 190).

Physical/chemical constituent values for the 13 lakes used in this comparison were similar; all lakes clustered at the 74% percent similarity level. Clustering patterns varied between the two dendograms, but there were several consistencies. Carlinville and Pittsfield lakes clustered closely in both dendograms; as did Springfield and Taylorville lakes. Lake Bloomington was an outlier in both dendograms. The were three cooling lakes in this comparison; i.e. Coffeen, Sangch. and Clinton lakes. Coffeen and Sangchris lakes clustered closely in both dendograms but, collectively, they clustered with the remaining lakes as an outlying group. Clinton Lake did not cluster closely with the other two cooling lakes. Clinton Lake was most similar to Jacksonville and Lake of the Woods in the Chord Distance dendogram. Clinton Lake was most similar to Lake-of-the-Woods and Lake Decatur in the percent similarity dendogram. This procedure illustrates that the physiochemical characteristics of Clinton Lake are not unique, but are similar to other non-cooling lakes in Illinois.

9.2 Compliance with Illinois Pollution Control Board Standards

The Illinois Pollution Control Board has established State-wide General Use water quality standards for 13 of the 28 constituents which were monitored in the EMP during 1978 through 1991. The General Use water quality standards were not met for six of these constituents (Table 9).

Dissolved Oxygen

The General Use water quality standard requires DO concentrations to be greater than 5.0 mg/l at all times. There were three epilimuion samples (1%) during the preoperational period which had DO

Table 14.

:

.

Comparisons of water quality constituents for Clinton Lake (1987 through 1991) and twelve other Illinois Lakes.

<u> </u>			(<u>t</u>					
		804 C.S.			10/00/00/07/00/0	35636536	Total		Sec.
	Secchi	Turbidity	TSS	Cond.	Alkalinity	194. H. 194.	Phos.	Ammonia	Nitrato
Lakes a start start	(Inches)	题(UTV)》	迷(mg/n)相	(uhmos/cm)	(mg/1) ?	pH	(mg/l) →	(mg/l)	(mg/I)
• K								-	
Clinton	25 .	13.4	18	488	164	8.0	0.086	0.12	2.69
Décatur	12	18.1	40	566	204	8.0	0.238	0.12	2.21
Bloomington	25	5.8	18	487	155	8.1	0.065	0.10	4.37
Carlinville	14	15.7	18	393	125	8.0	0.102	0.13	0.40
Árgyle	72	7.5	4	333	128	8.4	0.050	0.22	0.20
Jacksonville	34	5.8	12	390	148	8.0	0.041	0.12	2.38
Pittsfield	20	9.2	20	329	150	8.0	0.106	0.17	0.30
Weldon Springs	76	4.0	10	403	146	8.2	0.094	0.12	0.78
Taylorville	15	14.5	25	491	131	8.6	0.159	0.10	1.23
Springfield	16	10.6	23	461	142	8.7	0.191	0.12	1.91
Lake of the Woods	43	3.2	7	472	199	8.1	0.066	0.12	0.12
Coffeen	42	2.4	12	848	76	7.9	0.170	0.25	0.15
Sangchris	33	2.9	10	785	118	8.2	0.040	0.30	1.48
AVERAGE	33 '	8.7	16.7	480	145	-	0.113	0.14	1.32

a Data obtained from STORET Database provided by G. Good, IEPA - Division of Water Pollution Control, Springfield

243



Figure 190. Dendograms illustrating results of average linkage method of cluster analyses of Pinkham-Pearson similarity matrix performed on water quality data for 14 Illinois lakes.

jE

concentrations less than 5.0 mg/l (Table 9). These three samples were collected during 1983 (Table 15).

The General Use standard for DO was not met for 12, 3, and less than 1% of the samples collected during 1987, 1988, and 1991 respectively (Table 15). All DO determinations were within the General Use standards during 1989 and 1990. The slightly greater frequency of epilimnion DO concentrations that did not meet the General Use standard during the operational period was probably related to increased water temperatures.

The volumes of water which had concentrations of DO less than 5.0 mg/l were calculated from depth profile data determined during the operational years (1987 through 1991) (Table 16). Determinations were made for the warmest months of the year; i.e. May through September. During each year the largest percent volume less than 5.0 mg/l occurred during either August (1987 through 1990) or September (1991). The greatest volume of water which had DO concentrations less than 5.0 mg/l during the operational period occurred in September, 1991. This volume (23,518,291 m3) represented 41% of the total volume of Clinton Lake.

Most Illinois lakes have depleted DO in bottom waters during summer, even without thermal stratification (Sefton et al. 1980). Drew and Tilton (1970) reported that it is not uncommon for reservoirs to have as much as 50% of their total volume that is not capable of supporting aerobic aquatic communities.

pН

The General Use water quality standard requires pH to be maintained between 6.5 and 9.0. This standard was not met for one sample during the preoperational period (Tables 9 and 15). This occurred at Site 2 in June, 1983, when the pH was 6.4. All samples monitored for pH during the operational period satisfied the General Use water quality standard for pH (Table 15).

Nitrate

The General Use water quality standard for nitrates is 10 mg/l. Six epilimnion samples (1%) had nitrate concentrations which exceeded the standard (Table 9). All six samples were collected during the preoperational period (Table 15). Exceedances were probably due to run-off from agricultural fields in the Salt Creek and North Fork basins.

Total Phosphorus

The General Use water quality standard for total phosphorus was exceeded in 73% of the epilimnion samples collected during 1978 through 1991. Annual exceedances ranged from 44 through 93% of the samples. There was no apparent pattern in the distribution of exceedances among years (Table 15). Exceedances of the phosphorus standard in surface waters occurred in 62% of the lakes and reservoirs in Illinois which were surveyed by Sefton et al. (1980). High concentrations of phosphorus in surface waters of Illinois lakes and reservoirs is attributed to run-off Table 15.Percentages of annual observations from epilimnion samples
collected from Clinton Lake where data were not within
General Use water quality standards set by the Illinois
Pollution Control Board.

Parameter	78	79	Preor 80	eration 81	al Yea 4382	rs (b) 83		85	86	87	Operal	lional 89)	Years (90	c) (.91
Dissolved Oxygen	0	0	0	0	0	6.2	0	0	0	12.5	2.9	0	0	<1
pH	0	0	0	0	0	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Dissolved Solids	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chioride	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sulfate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ammonia	0	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nitrate	0	0	0	4.2	2.1	4.2	0	0	2,4	0	0	0	0	0
Total Phosphorus	44	53	52	69	71	73	71	75	93	77	86	87	92	83
Copper	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-
Lead	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	-
Mercury	8.6	8.3	20.4	10.4	6.5	2.1	2.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zinc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fecal Collforms	11.1	0	0	6.2	6.2	2.1	2	4.2	0		-	-	-	-

(a) 35 III. Adm. Code Subtitle C, Chapter I.

(b) Preoperational Years refers to data which were collected prior to the operation of the Clinton Power Station

~

(c) Operational Years refers to data which were collected during years when Clinton Power Station was operational.

(d) Dash (-) Indicates datum was not determined.

Table 16. Volumes of water (cubic meters) in Clinton Lake and the corresponding percentages of the total volume of Clinton Lake that had dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 5.0 mg/l during 1987 through 1991.

		PERCENT VOLUME		
YEAR/MONTH	VOLUME (CUBIC METERS)	LESS THAN 5 MG/L		
1007		·		
1907	10 402 405	7 69		
	10,402,493 29 656 047	7.03 20.95		
	10 026 004	20.05		
AUGUST	55 770 022	14.50		
SEPTEMBER	29.249.436	21.29		
1988				
JUNE	20.883.149	15.20		
JULY	31.277.120	.22.76		
AUGUST	39.942.382	29.07		
SEPTEMBER	18,409,507	13.40		
1989				
JUNE	10,753,075	7.83		
JULY	26,184,524	19.06		
AUGUST	42,469,472	30.91		
SEPTEMBER	9,951,356	7.24		
1990				
MAY	3,576,008	2.60		
JUNE	19,563,651	14.24		
JULY	16,814,416	12.24		
AUGUST	34,873,170	25.38		
SEPTEMBER	1,603,441	1.17		
1991		•		
MAY	14,972,130	10.90		
JUNE	21,001,735	15.28		
JULY	14,521,163	10.57		
AUGUST	21,820,159	15.88		
SEPTEMBER	56,848,662	41.37		

from agricultural lands (Sefton et al. 1980). Excessive concentrations of nutrients is one of the factors which cause lakes in the Sangamon and Illinois river basins to fail attainment of goals for use support (IEF 1988).

Mercury

The General Use water quality standard for mercury is 0.5 ug/l. This standard was exceeded in 33 epilimnion samples (1.4%) collected from Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 (Table 9). All of the epilimnion mercury exceedances occurred from 1978 through 1984 during the preoperational period. There is no apparent reason for the greater concentrations of mercury during 1978 through 1984. Exceedances may have been due to sampling or analytical errors. These sources of error are supported by the distribution of results. Exceedances were not consistent among strata or over preceding and succeeding sampling events.

Concentrations of mercury in fish flesh and lake bottom sediments are generally within respective guidelines or classifications (Table 17) and do not suggest mercury contamination in Clinton Lake. One fish flesh sample for tiger muskellunge collected in 1981 contained mercury above the FDA guideline (0.5 mg/kg) (Table 17). Sediment samples were collected by the IEPA from three sites in Clinton Lake during August, 1988 and analyzed for mercury. Concentrations of mercury in these samples ranged from 0.04 to 0.06 mg/kg (IEPA 1988).

Mercury has been detected above the LOD only once during the period since CPS became operational. This sample was collected from the hypolimnion at Site 16 during October, 1989.

Fecal Coliforms

The General Use water quality standard for fecal coliforms is 200/100 ml. This standard was exceeded for 14 samples (4%) collected from 1978 through 1986 (Table 15). Ratios of fecal coliform:fecal streptococcus suggest the fecal contamination is not from human sources. Samples were not analyzed for fecal coliforms during the period when CPS was operational (1987 through 1991).

9.3 Trophic State Index

Carlson's Trophic State Index (TSI) (Carlson 1977) was used to determine spatial and temporal trophic relationships within Clinton Lake, and also to compare the trophic status of Clinton Lake with 12 other lakes in Illinois. Secchi disc and chlorophyll a determinations for Clinton Lake were obtained from the Biological Programs Section (BPS) of IP's Environmental Affairs Department. The BPS is responsible for the Biological EMP for Clinton Lake. Data from other lakes in Illinois were obtained from STORET database developed by the IEPA lake program staff (Greg Good, IEPA Division of Water Pollution Control, Springfield, IL).

Table 17.	Concentrations of mercury (mg/kg) in fish flesh from								
	samples of eight fish species collected from Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois.								

Species Analyzed	1981(a)	7981(b)	1982(b)
Channel Catfish	ND(c)	0.07	0.07
Largemouth Bass	0.19	0.09	0.12
White Crapple	0.03	0.04	0.03
Carp	ND	. 0.09	0.10
Bigmouth Buffalo	ND	0.06	ND
Walleye	0.26	0.05	0.07
Tiger Musky	0.74	0.09	0.12
Hybrid Striped Bass	ND	0.07	· ND

(a) From Illinois Power - Field Biology Section samples - analyzed by Analytical Biochemistry Laboratories, Columbia, Missouri.
(b) From IDOC samples analyzed by Illinois Department of Agriculture.

(c) ND Indicates No Data.

The mean TSI value for Clinton Lake, 65.91, characterizes Clinton Lake as eutrophic (Table 18). Most Illinois lakes are eutrophic (58%) tu hypereutrophic (35%); only a few lakes are considered mesotrophic (and oligotrophic (<1%) (IEPA 1988). The mean TSI for 69 Illinois la sampled in 1979 was 65.2; TSI values ranged from 43.6 to 90.3 (Sefton et al. 1980).

Mean Clinton Lake TSI values were 66.12 for Secchi transparency. 68.17 for total phosphorus, and 63.35 for chlorophyll a (Table 19). These TSI values were somewhat greater than the mean TSI values for the 69 Illinois lakes sampled in 1979 (Sefton et al. 1980). Mean values for these 69 lakes were 65.3 for secchi disc, 63.2 for total phosphorus. and 63.7 for chlorophyll a.

The slightly lower TSI value for chlorophyll a in Clinton Lake may be indicative of limited algal production due to light attenuation from suspended inorganic material.

Plots of TSI values by site indicate index values were typically greatest at Site 16 (Figure 191). Site 16 is the nearest to the influence of Salt Creek and is the shallowest Clinton Lake sampling site. Greater TSI values at Site 16 may be reflective of lower Secchi transparency due to wind-induced suspension of silt/clay sediments and greater nutrient concentrations from run-off from agricultural lands in the Salt Creek drainage basin.

There is a tendency for TSI values to decrease as waters are carried downlake. On the Salt Creek arm of Clinton Lake, the TSI values consistently decrease from Site 16, to sites 2, 13, and 8. Site 8 is in the deepest part of Clinton Lake, near the dam, and is furth/ from tributary inflow. The same downlake decreasing pattern is evide. on the North Fork arm of the lake where Site 4 consistently has greater TSI values than Site 8.

Mean annual values for chlorophyll a, Secchi transparency and total phosphorus TSIs were plotted to determine relationships in trophic states among years (Figure 192). Typically, the trophic status increases during the initial few years after a reservoir has been formed (Drew and Tilten 1980). This is apparent from the distribution of TSI values for Clinton Lake from 1978 through 1981 (Figure 192). After the initial years, the trophic status tends to stabilize. It appears that the trophic level for Clinton Lake was in the process of stabilization by 1983 since there is no consistent chronological pattern in the distribution of TSI values from 1983 through 1991 (Figure 192).

Distributions of TSIs for total phosphorus and Secchi transparency are more similar to each other than they are to TSIs for chlorophyll a (Figure 193). Secchi transparency in Clinton Lake is probably more reflective of suspension of clay and silt sediments (as represented by total suspended solids) than of algal concentrations, as represented by chlorophyll a (Figure 194). The similarity in the distributions of TSIs for Secchi transparency and phosphorus may be due to the low solubility of phosphorus and the propensity of phosphorus to adsorb to particles.

Table 18. Lake trophic classification using the Trophic State Index for Secchi transparency (m). total phosphorus (mg/l), and chlorophyll a (ug/l).

Trophic State	TSI)	Secchi Disc (m)	Total Phosphorus (mg/l)	Chlorophyll B (UQ/I)
Oligotrophic	<40	>3.67	<0.012	<2.5
Mesotrophic	>40<50	>2.00<3.67	>0.012<0.025	>2.5<7.5
Eutrophic	>50<70	>0.455<2.00	>0.025<0.100	>7.5<55
Hypereutrophic	>70	<0.455	>0.100	>55

(a) Carison, R.E. 1977. A Trophic State Index for Lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 23:361–369. Table 19. Summary of Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency, total phosphorus, and chlorophyll a data from Clinton Lake, Clinton, Illinois.

Parameter	Mean Value	Mean TSI 🐘	Trophic Status
Secchi Disc	0.65 m	66.12	Eutrophic
Phosphorus	0.085 mg/l	68.17	Eutrophic
Chlorophyli	29.8 ug/i(b)	33.35	Eutrophic
Mean	-	55.86	Eutrophic

(a) Carlson, R.E. 1977. A Trophic State Index for Lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 23:361-369.

1

(b) Average of Chlorophyll concentrations during 1983 through 1991.







Figure 192. Plots of mean annual Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency (y axis), total phosphorus (x axis) and chlorophyll a (z axis) for Clinton Lake during 197% through 1991.





Ę

Figure 194. Plots of mean annual Trophic State Index values for Secci transparency and chlorophyll a and mean annua concentrations of total suspended solids (mg/l) for Clinto Lake during 1978 through 1991.

256

The trophic states of Clinton Lake were compared to those from 12 other Illinois lakes (Figures 195 and 196). These lakes are listed in a order based on their average TSI values in Table 20. Clinton Lake was ranked sixth based on average TSI among the 13 Illinois lakes. Plots of TSI values are illustrated for each lake in Figure 196. Coordinates for each lake represent the combined trophic state for each lake and spaces among coordinates are indicative of trophic state differences among lakes.

Variability among Secchi disc TSIs limits their contribution to the interpretation of trophic states among lakes. Plots of TSIs for chlorophyll a and total phosphorus provide a better comparison of trophic states among lakes (Figure 197). Coordinates of TSI values placed Clinton Lake near the middle of the range of values for the twelve other Illinois lakes.

Regressions of Clinton lake TSI values for Secchi disc, chlorophyll a and total phosphorus are compared in Figure 198. The best regression occurred for total phosphorus and Secchi disc TSIs (r = 0.782). This was because of the relationship of total phosphorus and Secchi transparency to suspended solids, not to chlorophyll a. This also occurred for 69 other Illinois lakes (Sefton et al. 1980). The correlation between total phosphorus and chlorophyll a (r = 0.4159) indicated the potential for algal growth is inhibited, probably by light attenuation from inorganic suspended materials. The greater than expected Secchi TSIs relative to the corresponding chlorophyll a TSIs indicated something other than algae (represented by chlorophyll a) was attenuating light penetration.

9.4 Trends of Parameters

Spearman rank correlation coefficient values were calculated to determine relationships for all of the chemical/physical constituents monitored in Clinton Lake. The coefficients represent pairwise relationships between each constituent over all values in the matrix. The Spearman rank correlation coefficient uses the ranks of the data rather than the actual data values. Each variable is ranked separately. Then, the differences between the ranks of paired observations are calculated to measure the disagreement between pairs. The squared disagreements over all pairs are summed, and a relative measure of disagreement calculated. The coefficient is scaled to fall between -1 (perfect disagreement) and +1 (perfect agreement). This method is equivalent to ranking each variable separately and calculating the usual correlation coefficient on the ranks.

The constituents with the best Spearman correlation coefficient were turbidity and total suspended solids (r = .8088); other constituents with relatively high coefficients included specific conductivity and hardness (r = .7766), sulfate and magnesium (r = .7483), and dissolved oxygen and dissolved oxygen percent saturation (r = .7430). Table 21 presents the parameters with Spearman correlation coefficients greater than 0.5000.






Figure 196. Plots of mean Trophic State Index values for Secchi transparency (y axis), total phosphorus (x axis), and chlorophyll a (z axis) for 13 Illinois lakes.

Table 20. Mean Trophic State Index values for total phosphorus, chlorophyll a, and Secchi transparency data from Clinton Lake and thirty-one other Illinois lakes.

0.52240	Profession, Profession, Same	Trophic State Index								
Number	Lake :	Secchi	Total Phosphorus	Chlorophyll a	MeaniTSI					
1	Sangchris	62.71	57.86	31.25	50.6					
2	Lake-of-the-Woods	58.71	67.15	28.21	51.4					
3	Argyle	52.54	73.96	29.38	52.0					
4	Bloomington	65.06	69.81	31.57	52.5					
5	Coffeen	58,96	77.85	26.72	54.5					
6	Clinton	66.83	69.50	33.30	56.5					
7	Jacksonville	62.04	80.18	29.61	57.3					
8	Pittsfield	70.02	75.09	35.41	60.2					
9	Carlinville	74.99	71.39	35.84	60.7					
11	Taylorville	76.91	77.50	38.33	64.2					
10	Springfield	72.27	83.47	38.44	64.7					
12	Decatur	76.79	80.61	38.74	65.4					
13	Weldon Springs	72.24	83.41	44.12	66.6					

!

.



Figure 197. Plots of mean Trophic State Index values for chlorophyll a total phosphorus for 13 Illinois Lakes.



Figure 198. Regressions of Trophic State Index values for to phosphorus and Secchi transparency (top graph), to phosphorus and chlorophyll a (middle graph), and Secc transparency and chlorophyll a (bottom graph) for Cint Lake.

ŧ

Table 21.	Spearman correlation coefficients and regression correlation
	coefficients for water quality constituents in Clinton Lake
	with Spearman correlation coefficients greater than 0.5000.

		Spearman	Regression
X Axis	Y.Axis	Coefficient	Coefficient
Temperature	Dissolved Oxygen	6979	6458
Dissolved Oxygen	DO % Saturation	.7430	.8547
Total Phosphorus	Orthophosphate	.5353	.6438
Total Phosphorus	Turbidity	.6992	.5585
Total Phosphorus	Total Suspended Solids	.6586	.4766
Nitrate	Silica	.5570	.6154
Orthophosphate	Silica	.6303	.6375
Magneslum	Sulfate	.7483	.7241
Magnesium	Chloride	.7172	.7040
Hardness	Total Dissolved Solids	.7284	.7764
Hardness	Specific Conductivity	.7766	.7770
Hardness	Alkalinity	7293	.7765
Hardness	Calcium	.6780	.7956
Total Dissolved Solids	Specific Conductivity	.7241	.7558
Total Dissolved Solids	Alkalinity	.5810	.6876
Total Dissolved Solids	Calcium	.7063	.8039
Specific Conductivity	Alkalinity	.6882	.7221
Specific Conductivity	Calcium	.5106	.6752
Alkalinity	·Calcium	.6759	.7086
Sulfate	Chloride	.5877	.6252
Turbldity	Total Suspended Solids	.8088	.8455
Fecal Coliform	Fecal Streptococcus	.5742	.4816
Orthophosphate	Fecal Coliform	.5163	.4862
Orthophosphate	Fecal Streptococcus	.5102	.4104

The Spearman correlation coefficient for turbidity and total suspended solids was greater than that reported for 63 other Illinoia lakes (r = .762) (Sefton et al. 1980). Both correlations indicate ther a strong positive trend between these two parameters.

Alkalinity, hardness, total dissolved solids, specific conductance, and calcium each had several correlations with coefficients greater than 0.5000. Alkalinity was also highly correlated with hardness (r = .7293), specific conductance (r = .6882), and total dissolved solids (r = .5810). The high correlations of alkalinity to TDS, hardness, and specific conductance are also associated with calcium.. Carbonate alkalinity commonly results from the association of carbon dioxide and water which forms a weak acid (carbonic acid). This acid reacts with alkaline earth metals (mostly calcium carbonate) to form a bicarbonate and metal ion (mostly Ca++).

The hardness of water is governed by the content of calcium and magnesium salts. The specific conductance of bicarbonate-type lakes in directly related to concentrations of salinity ions (Cole 1975), which are primarily calcium in Clinton Lake. The major cations in surface waters of the world, listed in order of abundance, are calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium (Wetzel 1975). Clinton Lake data and data from surveys of 69 other Illinois lakes (Sefton et al. 1980) indicate that most of the conductivity and total dissolved solids are associated with carbonate alkalinity.

Hardness and specific conductance had a Spearman correlation coefficient of 0.7766. A relationship between these constituents is expected since both are affected by the concentration of ions in water. Hardness in water is governed by the content of calcium and magnes; salts. Specific conductance is a measure of the flow of electi through water and is related to concentrations of salinity ions which are primarily calcium and magnesium (Cole 1975).

High correlations were found for total phosphorus with TSS (r = .6586), turbidity (r = .6992), and orthophosphate (r = .5353). The high correlations with TSS and turbidity may be due to phosphorus adsorption onto suspended silt/clay particles in Clinton Lake. The IEPA attributed high correlations of total phosphorus with TSS, turbidity and Secchi transparency with the relationship of total phosphorus to soil particles (Sefton et al. 1980). Total suspended solids give opaqueness to the water and reduce light penetration. Total suspended solids are made up of inorganic solids (colloidal clay particles), to which phosphorus can adsorb, and organic solids, mainly algae, which utilize phosphorus.

High correlation coefficients were noted for silica with orthophosphate (r = .6303) and nitrate (r = .5570). Silica is an essential nutrient for some taxa of phytoplankton. Diatoms utilize silica in the formation of their frustules and chrysophytes utilize silica to construct silicified scales. Nitrates and orthophosphates are also essential in the metabolism of phytoplankton. Correlations between these constituents may result from metabolic effects of the phytoplankton community in Clinton Lake. Trend analyses indicate long-term trends for the constituents monitored. The results of the analyses are presented as a formula for a first degree line that represents the best fit for the data. Trend analyses (1978-1986) indicated that nine constituents had trends for decreasing values and 19 had trends for increasing values (Table 22). Fecal streptococcus had the greatest trend for increasing values (+0.587089) and fecal coliforms had the greatest trend for decreasing values (-0.095624). Analyses for these bacteria were not performed during the operational period.

Trend analyses during the operational period (i.e.: 1987 through 1991) are also presented in Table 22. Changes in the Y axis intercept in the formula and in the amount of change through time indicate influences of the Clinton Power Station. For the operational period the Y axis intercept for temperature is 10° Celcius greater compared to the results for the preoperational period; however, during the operational period the trend is for temperature to decrease slightly. Lines representing trends for the nutrient parameters (total organic nitrogen, ammonia, total phosphorus, and orthophosphate) are nearly flat representing very little change during preoperational and operational periods. There were increasing trends for hardness, total dissolved solids, and specific conductance during preoperational and operational periods. These trends will probably continue due to the low changeover rate of Clinton Lake and the concentrating effect from increased temperatures and consequential greater evaporative rates due to CPS.

Trend analysis comparisons among operational modes, however, are not associated with any degree of statistical confidence. Analyses of variance (Tukey's procedure) was determined at the 95% confidence interval to determine if there are significant differences in the distributions of data for each constituent between preoperational and 23). (Table Significant differences operational data between preoperational and operational periods were determined for six constituents. Temperature and chloride values had increasing trends and dissolved oxygen, nitrate, mercury and silica had decreasing trends. The extent of CPS influence on these six constituents will be discussed in detail in the next section.

9.5 Limnological Influence of Clinton Power Station

There were significant differences between preoperational and operational periods in the distributions of data for six water quality parameters (Figure 199). Discussions of each of these constituents are presented below.

Temperature

Temperature profile data indicate that Clinton Lake does not develop the classical clinograde pattern of thermal stratification. Thermal profile data during the operational period (1987 through 1991) illustrate that vertical temperature gradients occur, but they are of short duration, and do not correspond to the classical clinograde pattern of thermal stratification (Figures 200 through 204). Thermal stratification is not Table 22. Results of trend analyses for water quality constituents monitored in Clinton Lake during 1978 through 1991 and during the period of Clinton Power Station operation (1983 through 1991).

CONSTITUENT 1987-1991

	-	
Temperature (C)	11.052+0.0163067*T	21.9335-1.54829E-4*1
Diss. Oxygen (mg/i)	9.38337-1.86247E-3*T	10.3629-5.69946E-3'1
DO Percent Saturation	80.1785+0.0286822*T	107.339-0.0441743*1
BOD (ma/l)	2.40081+2.33794E-4*T (a)	
TON (ma/l)	0.962927-3.42047E-4°T	0.761619+7.14635E-1'1
Ammonia Nitrogen (mg/l)	0.245947-2.95974E-4°T	0.0498274+1.96818E-4*
Nitrate Nitrogen (mg/l)	0.352239-3.46615E-4*T	1.65533+2.62677E-3*1
Total Phosphorus (mg/l)	0.0748082+3.54461E-5°T	0.0698909+5.1553E-8'1
Orthophosphate (mg/l)	0.0323633-2.13136E-5°T	9.76524E-3+3.22621K-4
Copper (ua/l)	2.95489+3.47436E-3*T (a)	
Lead (ug/i)	1.63803-1.0103E-3°T (a)	
Magnesium (mg/l)	34,6003-0.0372617°T (b)	32.5619-6.7304E-3°1
Mercury (ua/I)	0.352239-3.46615E-4*T	0.0626536+1.70762E-4*
Zinc (ug/I)	7.07833+1.32452E-3*T (a)	
Hardness (mg/l)	241.868-0.0203227°T (c)	224.473+0.0479309*1
TDS (mg/1)	265.23+0.0202254*T	273.57+0.0159974°T
Sp. Conductance (umhos/cm)	492.358-7.98979E-3*T	486.086+0.0214893°T
pĤ	8.02151-1.44319E-5*T	8.30134-5.91061E-4*I
Alkalinity (mg/l)	177.158-0.0308884°T	167.822+3.65774E-°'1
Calcium (mg/l)	44.8208+8.09734E-3°T (b)	43.1538+0.02789
TOC (mg/l)	4.747-3.15024E-3*T (a)	
Sulfate (mg/l)	36.1922+9.26841E-3*T (c)	39.3537-3.55693E-4·1
Chloride (mg/l)	16.8018+0.0252919*T (c)	27.1515+1.76781E-3*1
Fecal Coliform (no./100 ml)	51.7317-0.0956242*T (a)	
Fecal Streptococcus (no./100 ml)	118.633+0.587089°T (a)	_
Turbidity (NTU)	17.0672-0.0100156°T	7.44005+6.08378E-3*1
TSS (ma/l)	15.6832+2.97911E-3°T	11.6996+9.95984E-3*T
Silica (mg/l)	3.25197-1.57447E-3*T	0.799838+3.52456E-3*

a. Trend analysis determined for period of monitoring (1978 through 1986)

i

b. Trend analysis determined for period of monitoring (1987 through 1991)

c. Trend analysis determined for period of monitoring (1981 through 1991)

Table 23.	 Descriptive statistics of water quality constituents monitored
	in Clinton Lake during the periods prior to (preoperational)
	and during (operational) Clinton Power Station operation.

and all the

And a second sec

.

	18 H M M M	Preoperal	lonal	88 K.H.M.	Operatio	Marka Disertion of the		
		Period			Périod	22. SK 244	ANOVA	
Water Quality Constituent	No:	Mean	Median	INO NO	Mean	Median	Sig. Level	
Temperature (C)	402	13.3	13.5	189	21,1	23.5	.0000° (a)	
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	402	10.2	10.0	189	8.9	9.0	.0000*	
D.O. % Saturation	394	94	92	95	97.1	97.2	.2406	
BOD (mg/l)	388	2.8	2.5	-(b)	-	-	1.000	
Tot. Org. Nitrogen (mg/l)	393	0.9	0.86	100	0.82	0.79	.0239	
Ammonia (mg/ī)	393	0.12	0.10	100	0.1	0.05	.0395	
Nitrate (mg/l)	398	4.3	4	190	2.6	1.6	.0000*	
Total Phosphorus (mg/l)	398	0.08	0.07	189	0.09	0.07	.8790	
Orthophosphate (mg/l)	393	0.03	0.01	100	0.02	0.005	.0538	
Copper (ug/l)	387	3.6	3.4	-	-	-	1.0000	
Lead (ug/I)	385	1.4	0.92	-	-	-	1.0000	
Magneslum (mg/l)	-	-	-	100	32.3	33	1.0000	
Mercury (ug/I)	390	0.28	0.25	100	0.11	0.1	.0000*	
Zinc (ug/I)	388	7.3	5,1	-	-	-	1.0000	
Hardness (mg/l)	277	237	234	100	237	230	.9277	
TDS (mg/l)	391	270	270	100	280	280	.0476	
Conductance (uhmos/cm)	402	485	485	190	495	488	.0579	
pH +	402	8.1	8.1	189	8.1	8.1	.0183	
Alkalinity (mg/l)	390	167	166	100	170	166	.4108	
Calcium (mg/l)	5	53	48	100	45	43	.1489	
Tot, Org. Carbon (mg/l)	388	4.1	3.8	-		-	1.0000	
Sulfate (mg/l)	277	38	38	100	40	40	.0583	
Chtoride (mg/l)	277	20.2	20.8	100	27.6	26	•0000	
Fecal Coliforms	390	33	2.5	-	-	-	1,0000	
Fecal Streptoccus	388	233	7		-	-	1.0000	
Turbidity (NTU)	393	15.6	8.5	100	9.3	7.6	.0107	
TSS (ma/i)	391	17.1	12.0	100	14.7	13.0	.2835	
Silica (mg/l)	393	2.8	1.6	100	1.86	1.2	•0000	

(a) Significance level in distribution of data between preoperational and operational periods indicated by * (b) Dash (-) indicates samples were not analyzed for respective parameters.



Figure 199. Plots of temperature, dissolved oxygen, nitrate, sulfate chloride, and silica values for Clinton Lake during th period prior to (Preoperational Mode 1) and during Clinto Power Station operation (Operational Mode 2).

, ,



Figure 200. Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 2 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

•.•







Figure 202. Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 8 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.



Figure 203. Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 4 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

,





Figure 204. Depth profiles of temperatures (C) at monitoring Site 16 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

stable, and distinct layers are not developed even at Site 8, the deepest monitoring site in Clinton Lake (Figure 202). Thermal profiles at Site 2 during June through September, 1988, were most similar to the typical pattern of thermal stratification. Site 2 is nearest to the therma discharge of CPS. Thermal profiles at the other sites do not illustrate typical, sustained thermal stratification. Thus, any tendency for CPS operations to induce thermal stratification appears to be dependent on Site 2.

The unstable pattern of lake-wide stratification is probably due to disruptive forces of mixing due to CPS operations. Stable thermal stratification also failed to develop in Lake Sangchris, another cooling lake in central Illinois (Brigham 1981).

Regressions of temperature during operational years for Site 2 and the remaining sites illustrate little differences among sites throughout the lake (Figure 205). Actual measurements of temperature ranges during operational conditions indicate the greatest monthly temperature range among sites was 10.2° C (Table 24).

More extensive temperature data are available for Clinton Lake. Temperature data presented in this report represent instantaneous data. Water temperatures in Plunkett (1991) represent continuous temperature monitoring in Clinton Lake. These data were also used to verify and adjust a hydrothermal model for temperatures in Clinton Lake (Edinger 1989). These references contain more extensive databases and discussions of water temperatures in Clinton Lake. It should be emphasized that meteorological conditions during the summer of 1988 represented the second driest on record (110 years) when temperatures were considerably higher than normal.

Dissolved Oxygen

The solubility of oxygen in water is inversely related to temperature and, like temperature, influences the distribution of other chemical constituents, (e.g., ammonia, phosphorus, heavy metals and hydrogen sulfide). In eutrophic lakes, the dissolved oxygen depth profile is characteristically clinograde during thermal stratification. The typical clinograde curve results from respiration and decomposition in the hypolimnion without oxygen replenishment from atmospheric diffusion or photosynthesis. Chemical and biological decomposition in sediments may deplete dissolved oxygen in overlying waters by as much as 50-90% (Sefton et al. 1980). It has been demonstrated that bottom waters in reservoirs may become anaerobic within one year after construction (Kothanderamon and Evans 1975) even without the influence of power plant operations.

Anoxia occurred near lake bottom during preoperational (Illinois Power Company 1986 and 1989) and operational periods (Figures 206 through 210). During the preoperational period, anoxia occurred at Site 8 during July of 1985 and June of 1986. During the operational period, anoxia occurred at sites 2, 13 and 8. The most extensive anoxic period in bottom waters occurred at Site 8 in 1991 when anoxic conditions



Figure 205. Regressions of epilimnion temperatures (C) taken during the period when Clinton Power Station was operational at Site 2 with each of the remaining monitoring sites in Clinton Lake.

うちょうちょうない かってきない かないできたいない

ALC: NOR DO

Table 24.	Summary of	epilimnion	water t	emperatu	ires (C) from	Clinton
	Lake for Ma	ay through	October	during	1985	through	1991.

.

0 65 X36 X	1923	s1985	WARDER	14. A S	1986	632.7	A.M.S.A	\$1987	908/96/2	Rid K	1988	in water	emps.	1989	5.3.2.34	87665 S	×1990	ste Prinse	1 N	<u>.</u> 1991	N. Starting T
Monthan	Minis	Max	Range	Miniĝ	Mate	Hange	Min	Max	Range	MIN	Max:	Range	[Ming:	Max	Range	MInto	Max	Range	Mina	Max	Range
May	16.9	18.2	1.3	16.2	18.0	1.8	21.3	25.5	4.2	14.3	15.4	1.1	13.7	14.4	0.7	18.0	26.2	8.2	19.8	30.0	10.2
June	20.6	22.3	1.7	22.2	23.8	1.6	26.7	32.1	5.4	23.8	32.9	9.1	22.0	23.6	1.6	25.6	32.2	6.6	25.9	31.4	5.5
Juty	25.2	26.6	1.4	26.4	27.5	1.1	25.0	26.3	1.3	27.2	34.8	7.6	26.4	26.7	0.3	24.9	26.1	1.2	27.1	34.7	7.6
August	25.3	26.0	0.7	24.6	25.2	0.6	27.2	30.7	3.5	29.0	36.Ź	7.2	26.4	33.8	7.4	27.5	35.0	7.5	25.2	33.1	7.9
September	19.6	21.0	1.4	21.5	23.0	1.5	21.6	28.6	7.0	23.0	32.0	9.0	23.4	30.2	6.8	20.8	28.2	7.4	26.1	33.1	7.0
October	15.4	16.1	0.7	ND	ND	ND	9.4	11.2	1.8	ND	ND	ND	16.3	25.0	8.7	12.2	20.0	7.8	ND	ND	ND

276

ND Temperature data were not determined during October 1991.



State of the state of the state of the

「「「「「「「「」」」」」

Figure 206. Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 2 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

....

D

D

0



Figure 207. Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 13 in Clinton Lake from May throu October during 1987 through 1991.



Figure 208. Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 8 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

AND A PROPERTY OF



Figure 209. Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 4 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

.



Figure 210. Depth profiles of dissolved oxygen concentrations (mg/l) at monitoring Site 16 in Clinton Lake from May through October during 1987 through 1991.

prevailed from June through September. During this period the DO depth profile was clinograde, which is characteristic of eutrophic lakes.

Results of analyses of variance (Tukey's) indicate distribution (dissolved oxygen concentrations was significantly different between preoperational and operational periods for Site 2 only (Figure 27). Thus, differences in DO profile data which could be attributable to CPS operations are limited to Site 2.

Nitrate

Concentrations of nitrates were significantly lower during the operational period (Figure 63). Distribution of nitrate data among years indicate that the lowest annual distributions of nitrate occurred during the initial three years after CPS became operational (1987 through 1989). Nitrate levels recovered during 1990 and 1991 and were not significantly different from years during the preoperational period. Lower concentrations occurred at all sites, months, and depth strata (Figures 64 through 66) during the operational period.

Decreased nitrate concentrations during 1987 through 1989 were probably due to two concomitant circumstances. Significantly greater concentrations of phytoplankton occurred during these years compared to the preoperational period (Willmore 1991). Nitrates and phosphates are essential nutrients for phytoplankton. Decreased concentrations in nitrates may be attributed to an increase in nitrate usage by significantly greater concentrations of phytoplankton. Nitrate assimilation by photosynthesis can greatly exceed sources of income and generation, and in some instances nitrate concentrations have been reduced to below detectable concentrations (Wetzel 1975).

Decreased precipitation in the lake's drainage basin may have also contributed to significant decreases in nitrate concentrations during the operational period. An inordinately low amount of precipitation, the second lowest recorded in 110 years, occurred during 1988. Major sources of nitrate to fresh waters are the atmosphere, in the form of precipitation, and runoff from surface land drainage and groundwater sources (Wetzel 1975). Thus, the decrease in nitrates during CPS operations may have resulted from greater densities of phytoplankton and supplies which were not replenished through precipitation and runoff.

<u>Silica</u>

There was a significant decrease in silica concentrations between preoperational and operational periods (Figure 199). Average concentrations of silica were 2.98 and 2.1 mg/l for preoperational and operational periods, respectively. The differences between periods may be an artifact of changes in sample collection schedules between periods, and concomitant shifts in diatom population densities. Diatoms assimilate large quantities of silica in cellular metabolism. Usage of silica by diatoms may greatly modify flux rates of silica in lakes (Wetzel 1975).

During the preoperational period, silica samples were collected monthly from March through November Since CPS began operation. silica samples have been collected quarterly. Samples were collected in April, June, July, September, October and November during the operational period. Silica concentrations generally increase in spring and early summer (Figure 211), following a decrease in diatom populations. However, during the operational period, samples were not collected for silica analysis in February, March or May. The majority of the operational data was collected during late summer and fall months when lower silica concentrations were historically observed (Figure 211).

Preoperational and operational distributions of silica were compared for months when operational samples were collected. Results of analysis of variance (Tukey's) indicate distribution of silica concentrations was significantly different only for April (Figure 211).

Silica concentrations were especially low during April of 1987. This corresponded to greater densities of diatoms in March and April, 1987 (Willmore 1991). The increased densities of diatoms in spring, 1987 probably accounted for the low silica levels and the significant difference in the distribution of silica concentrations between preoperational and operational periods (Figure 212).

Chloride

Comparisons in the distribution of chloride concentrations indicate a significant increase between preoperational and operational data (Figure 157). The CPS sewage treatment plant and the condenser cooling system are known sources of chloride contribution to Clinton Lake, but they may not be totally responsible for the sharp increase in chlorides in Clinton Lake during 1988 and 1989. The chlorine gas injection system used to treat condenser cooling water was not operated from 1985 to 1988. The chlorine gas system was replaced with a sodium hypochlorite treatment system which became operable in May, 1988. Thus, increased chloride values detected during 1987 and in the spring (April) of 1988 were not due to treatments for the condenser cooling water system. The total sodium hypochlorite dosage for 1988 was 25,138 gallons (10.6% This dosage could account for an increase of 0.11 mg/l of solution). chlorides in Clinton Lake. Thus, the sodium hypochlorite dosage in 1988 could account for only 1% of the increase in chloride between 1987 and . 1988.

It would seem likely that chloride concentrations would be greater at the discharge (Site 2) if elevated chloride concentrations were due to CPS operations, since Site 2 receives effluent from the CPS sanitary wastewater treatment plant and the condenser cooling water. Analyses of intersite distributions of chloride data indicate no significant differences among sampling sites (Figure 158).

Low amounts of precipitation and high temperatures during the operational period resulted in lower than normal lake levels, which may have concentrated the chloride in the lake. No other causes for the increase in operational chloride levels are known at this time.

Mercury



Figure 211. Plots of silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake from May through October during the period prior to (Operational Mode 1) and during (Operational Mode 7) Clinton Power Station operation.

D

)



÷



Figure 212. Plots of diatom densities (units/liter) and silica concentrations (mg/l) in Clinton Lake during 1987 through 1991.

All of the epilimnion mercury exceedances occurred from 1978 through 1984 during the preoperational period. There is no apparent reason for the greater concentrations of mercury during 1978 through 1984. Exceedances were not consistent among strata or over precedin and succeeding sampling events. Concentrations of mercury in fish fless. and sediment samples do not suggest mercury contamination in Clinton Lake (see Section 9.3). Since CPS became operational mercury has been detected above the LOD only once; this sample was collected from the hypolimnion at Site 16 during October, 1989.

(

,

J

10.0 REFERENCES CITED

Allum, M.O., R.E. Glessner and J.H. Gakstatter. 1977. An evaluation of the National Eutrophication Survey data. National Eutrophication Survey Working Paper No. 900. Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon 79 p.

American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Federation. 1975. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 14th ed. American Public Health Association, New York, N.Y. 875 p.

Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 15th ed. American Public Health Association, New York, N.Y.

American Society for Testing Materials. 1983. Annual Book of ASTM Standards. Vol. 1 Philadelphia, PA. 644 p.

Brigham, A. R. 1981. Water Quality in a Cooling Water Reservoir in the Lake Sangchris Study Case History of an Illinois Cooling Lake. R. W. Larimore and J. A. Tranquilli eds. Illinois Institute of Nat. Res. Nat. Hist. Surv. Div. Champaign, IL.

Carlson, R. E. 1977. A trophic state index for lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 22:361-369.

Cole, G. A. 1975 Textbook of Limnology. C. V. Mosby Co. St. Louis, MO. 283 p.

Edinger, J. E. 1989. Probabilistic Hydrothermal Modeling Study of Clinton Lake. J. E. Edinger Associates, Inc. Wayne, PA 19087. 41 p.

Energy Impact Associates. 1980. Thermal Demonstration Pursuant to Illinois Pollution Control board Rules and Regulations Chapter 3, Rule 203(i)(10). Prepared for Illinois Power Company, Clinton Power Station Unit 1. P. O. Box 1899, Pittsburgh, PA 15230.

Gleit, A. 1985. Estimation for small normal data sets with detection limits. Env. Sci. and Tech. 19(12):1201-1206.

Hughes, R. D. 1989. Sworn Affidavitt National Weather Service. Springfield, IL.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. 1988. Illinois Water Quality Report, 1986-1987. 305(b) report to congress. Division of Water Pollution Control, Planning Section. Springfield, IL. 305 p.

Illinois Natural History Survey. 1981. The Lake Sangchris Study: Case History of an Illinois Cooling Lake. R.W. Larimore and J. A.

10.0 REFERENCES CITED

Tranquilli eds. IL Nat. His. Surv. Bull. Vol. 32. Art. 4. 737 p.

Illinois Power Company. 1973. Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. Applicants Environmental Report, Construction Permit Stage, Vol. 1 through 4 and Supplements. Docket Nos. 50-461 and 50-462, Oct. 26, 1973.

-----1979. Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. Environmental Report Operating License Stage.

Industrial Bio-Test Laboratories Inc., 1975. Clinton Preconstruction Environmental Monitoring May 1974 through April 1975. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, IL.

Nalco Environmental Sciences 1976. Clinton Preconstruction Environmental Monitoring May 1975 through April 1976. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, IL.

-----1977. Environmental Monitoring May 1976 through April 1977 Construction and Lake filling Phases Clinton Power Station. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, IL.

-----1978. Environmental Monitoring May 1977 through April 1978 Construction and Lake filling Phases Clinton Power Station. Annual Report to Sargent and Lundy Engineers. Chicago, IL.

Pader, L. 1984. Strategy and Procedures for Addressing Priori Pollutants in NPDES Permits. Revised March 1984. Pennsylvan. Department of Environmental Resources, Bureau of Water Quality Management, Division of Water Quality, Harrisburg, PA.

Pinkham, C. F. and J. G. Peterson 1974. Applications of a new coefficient of similarity to pollution surveys. Journal WPCF, Vol. 48., No. 4, 717-723 p.

Plunkett, D. W. 1989. Temperature Monitoring Chapter 6. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Biological Report 1983-1988. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL.

Reid, G. K. and R. D. Wood. 1976. Ecology of Inland Waters and Estuaries. 2nd ed. D. Van Nostrand Co. N.Y. 485 p.

Sefton, D. F., M. H. Kelly, and M. Meyer. 1980. Limnology of 63 Illinois Lakes, 1979. Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Water Pollution Control, Springfield, IL.

United States Atomic Energy Commission. 1974. Final Environmental Statement Related to the Proposed Clinton Power Station Units 1 and 2. Illinois Power Company, Docket Nos. 50-461 and 50-462.

10.0 REFERENCES CITED

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1975. Report on Sangchris Lake, Christian County, Illinois EPA, Region V. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Working Paper No. 314. Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory, Corvallis, OR.

-----1976. Quality Criteria for Water. USEPA Washington, D. C. 20460. 256 p.

-----1979. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory. Cincinnati, OH 460 p.

-----1983. Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes. Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory. Cincinnati, OH.

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 1982. Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of the Clinton Power Station, Unit No. 1. Illinois Power Company.

U. S. Public Health Service. 1962. Drinking Water Standards, 1962: USPHS Pub. 956. 61 p.

Wetzel, R. G. 1975. Limnology. W. B. Saunders Company Philadelphia, PA. 743 p.

Willmore, R. L. 1982. Phytoplankton, Chpt. 2. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Biological Report, May 1978 -November 1980. Vol. 1. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL.

-----1985. Phytoplankton, Chpt. 2. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Biological Report, 1981 - 1983. Vol. 1. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL.

-----1987. Phytoplankton, Chpt. 2. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Preoperational Biological Report, 1978 - 1986. Vol. 1. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL.

-----1989. Phytoplankton, Chpt. 2. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Biological Report, 1983 - 1988. Vol. 1. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL.

-----1992. Phytoplankton, Chpt. 2. In Clinton Power Station Environmental Monitoring Program - Biological Report, 1985 - 1991. Vol. 1. Environmental Affairs Dept., Illinois Power Co., Decatur, IL. CLINTON POWER STATION

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM

WATER QUALITY REPORT

January 1978 through December 1991

Appendix A

Prepared by Illinois Power Company 1992

TITLE

(

1

APPENDIX

A Clinton Lake Water Quality Data 1987 through 1991

В

['

.

Clinton Lake Profile Data 1987 through 1991

Appendix A. Clinton Lake Water Quality Data 1987 through 1991

Tables A-1 through A-5 list the water quality data collected from Clinton Lake for the Environmental Monitoring Program during 1987 through 1991. Water quality data for 1978 through 1984 were included in the Clinton Lake Water Quality Report, 1978-1984, Volumes 2, 3 and 4. Water quality data for 1985 through 1988 are included in the Clinton Lake Water Quality Report, 1978-1988. Clinton Lake water quality data are organized by sampling date, site,gradient and parameter code.

Gradients and codes are explained below.

(1) GPAD = Gradient

- 1 = Epilimnion
- 2 = Metalimnion
- 3 = Hypolimnion

(2) PARAMETER CODE

105 = Specific conductance, umhos/cm 110 = pH 115 = Water temperature, C 120 = Dissolved oxygen, mg/l 121 = Dissolved oxygen saturation, % 130 = Turbidity, NTU 205 = Alkalinity, mg/l 215 = Biochemical oxygen demand, mg/l 230 = Hardness, mg/l 240 = Total dissolved solids, mg/l

245 = Total organic carbon, mg/l

(2) PARAMETER CODE (Cont.)

250 = Total organic nitrogen, mg/l

260 = Total suspended solids, mg/l

325 = Calcium, mg/l

335 = Copper, ug/1

345 = Lead, ug/l

350 = Magnesium, mg/l

360 = Mercury, ug/l

395 = Zinc, ug/l

415 = Chloride, mg/l

430 = Ammonia, mg/l

435 = Nitrate, mg/l

440 = Orthophosphate, mg/l

445 = Total phosphorus, mg/l

450 = Sulfate, mg/l

455 = Silica, mg/l

710 = Fecal coliform, no./100 ml

720 = Fecal streptococcus, no./100 ml

(3) DATA

The negative sign represents "less than", and indicates that the concentration was below the limit of detection. For statistical analysis, these values were divided by -2 to give a positive value halfway between the level of detection and zero.

A-2

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER QUALITY DATA FOR 1987

•

•

04 **•** 1 • • • > •

••		P	ARAMETER					PARAMETER	
MONTH DAY S	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	NONTH DAT	r sit	E GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)
•• SITE 2			4.05	***	4 1	5.	8 1	. 440	-0.010
4 15	2	1	105	538.000	4 1	5	8 1	445	860.0
4 15	2	1	110	8.000	4 1	5	8 1	450	40.000
4 15	2	1	115	11.300	4 1	5	8 1	455	-0.020
4 15	2	!	120	10.700		_			
4 15	2	1	121	99.100	++ SITE 1	3	_		
4 15	2	1	130	y.000	4 1	5 1	3 1	105	536.000
4 15	~ ~	1	205	193.000	4 1	5 1	3 1	110	7.800
4 15	4	1	250	224.000	4 1	5 1	3 1	115	10.400
A 15	5		240	0 000	4 1		3 I	120	10.100
4 15	2		260	15.000	- L:	2 I E 4	3 I	121	91.800
4 15 4 15	2	1	325	59.000		2 I E 4	3 I 7 I	130	6.100
4 15	2	i	350	30,000		2 () 5 1	377 101	203	77/ 000
4 15	5	i	360	-0.500	- 1. 2 1	2 L 5 1	3 1	240	225.000
A 15	2	· ·	415	22.700		2 i 5 i	י ב ד ד	240	310.000
Ă 15	2	i	430	-0.100		5 1		260	11 000
4 15	2	1	435	3.400		5 1	5 1 7 1	325	40.000
4 15	2	i	440	-0.010		5 1		350	32 000
4 15	2	i	445	0.050		5 1		340	-0.500
4 15	2	1	450	39.000		5 1	ž	415	72 700
4 15	2	1	455	0.045	· 6 1	5 1	, i i	430	-0 100
					6 1	5 1	3 · 1	435	3.600
** SITE 4					4 1	5 1	3 1	440	-0.010
4 15	4	1	105	539.000	4 1	5 1	3 1	445	0.050
4 15	4	1	110	8.000	4 1	5 1	3 1	450	43.000
4 15	- 4	1	115	10.300	4 1	51	31	455	-0.020
4 15	4	1	120	9.800				•	
4 15	4	1	121	89.100	** SITE 1	6			
4 15	4	1	130	7.300	4 1	51	61	105	\$75.000
4 15	- 4	1	205	196.000	4 1	51	61	110	8.000
4 15	- 4	1	230	224.000	4 1	51	61	115	11.800
4 15	- 4	1	240	290.000	4 1	51	61	120	10.400
4 15		1	250	0.640	4 1	5 1	61	121	97.200
4 15	4	1	260	12.000	4 1	51	61	130	12.000
4 15	-	1	30	60.000	4 1	51	6 1	205	. 201.000
4 15		1	350	32.000	4 1	5 1	6 1	230	241.000
4 15	· •	1	200	-0.500	4 1	5 1	6 1	240	340.000
4 15	2		415	-0.100	4 1	5 1	6 1	200	1.000
4 15 4 15	7		435	3 500	4 1			200	15.000
4 15	7		435	-0.010	• 1			30	. 65.000
4 15 4 15	7		445	0.050) 		350	34.000
4 15	- 2		250	40 000		2 1		300	-0.500
4 15	- 2	;	455	-0.020	4 I 4 1	2 1		412 430	24.900
- 12	-	•	400			5 1	1 A	430	3 700
** SITE 8					4	5 1	6 1	410	-0.010
4 15	8	1	105	534,000		5 1	16 1	445	0.071
4 15	8	1	110	8.000	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	5	6 1	450	47.000
4 15	8	1	115	9.700	4	5 1	6 1	455	0.220
4 15	8	1	120	11.100					
4 15	8	1	121	99.100	++ SITE	2			
4 15	8	1	130	4.500	5 1	9	2 1	105	497.000
4 15	8	1	205	196.000	5 1	9	2 1	110	7.900
4 15	8	1	230	224.000	5 1	19	2 1	115	25.500
4 15	8	1	248	300.000	5 1	19	2 1	120	6.100
4 15	8	1	250	0.710	5	19	2 1	435	2.600
4 15	8	1	260	9.400	5 1	19	2 1	445	0.079
4 15	8	1	325	59.000					
4 15	8	1	350	32.000	** SITE	4			
4 15	8	1	360	-0.500	5	19	4 1	105	491.000
4 15	8	1	415	22.700	5 1	19	4	110	8.200
4 15	8	1	430	0.100	5	19	4 1	115	22.300
4 15	8	1	435	3.700	5 '	19	4	120	10,100

•

.

:

.

•

.

ł

					PARAMETER							
	MONTH	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	C00E(2)	DATA(3)	HONT:		****		PARAMETER	(
							694 L	UAT	2115	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	د. ئ
	۲,	10	,									
	Š	10	2	1	435	2.400	6	5 23	8	3	115	
	-	••	-	,	443	0.068	6	Z3	8	ī	120	22,304
	** SITE	8					6	23	8	2	120	1.00
	5	19	8	1	105	195 000	6	23	8	3	120	-1.004
	5	19	8	1	110	\$ 200	6	23	8	1	435	1.30
	5	19	8	1	115	21.300	6	23	8	2	435	D. Ale
	5	19	8	1	120	9.000	6	25	- 5	3	435	0.490
	5	19	8	1	435	2.400	0	22	g	1	445	0.011
	5	19	8	1	445	0.063	6	27	<u> </u>	2	445	0.044
		11					•	-	·	2	445	0,170
	5	10	11		105		** SIT	E 13				
	5	19	13		105	479.000	6	23	13	1	105	(77 000
	5	19	13	;	115	8.300	6	23	13	2	105	482.000
	5	19	13	1	120	9 400	6	23	13	1	110	8.000
	5	19	13	1	435	2.700	6	23	13	2	110	7,600
	5	19	13	t	445	0.060	6	23	13	1	115	28.200
		••					6	2	13	2	115	26.000
•	• 311E	16	• /				6	23	13	1	120	7.000
	ŝ	19	10	I	105	475.000	6	23	13	1	120	1.700
	Š.	19	16	1	110	8,400	6	23	13	ż	435	1.000
	5	19	16	i	120	23,800	6	23	13	1	445	0.040
	5	19	16	i	435	3 200	6	23	13	2	445	0.098
	5 ·	19	16	1	445	0 120						
						0.160	site	16	••	-		
	SITE	2	_				D	23	16	1	105	484.000
	0 2	3	Z	1	105	476.000	о К	20 71	10	2	105	487.000
		ມ ກ	Z	Z	105	471.000	6	23	16	3	110	8.000
		2	\$	3	105	470.000	6	23	16	1	110	8.000
	6 2	3	2		110	7.700	6	z	16	ż	115	21 - 10
	6 Z	3	ž	ž	110	7.900	6	23	16	1	120	•
	6 Z	3	2	ī	115	32 100	6	23	16	2	120	5.600
	6 Z	3	2	2	115	31,300	6	23	16	1	435	0.670
	6 Z	3	2	3	115	27.900	0	2	16	1	445	0.120
	0 Z	5	2	1	120	5.800	** SITE	2				
	- D Z	2	ž	Z	120	5.400	7	16	>	•	105	
	6 7	,	5	3	120	2.800	7	16	2	1	110	4/1.000
	6 2	Ś	5	5	435	1.200	7	16	ž	i	115	26.000
	6 2	5	2	ž	435	1.200	7	16	Z	1	120	6 000
	6 Z	5	ž	1	433	0.820	7	16	2	1	121	75.000
	6 23	5	2	2	45	0.075	7	16	2	1	130	17.000
	6 Z	\$	2	3	445	0.150	7	16	2	1	205	164.000
							7	10 14	2	1	230	204.000
	311E 4 4 71		,				7	16	2	4	240	250,000
	6 23		• 2		105	454.000	7	16	ž	÷.	250	U.720
	6 23		2		110	000.8	7 1	16	2	1	325	46.000
	6 23		4	i	170	27.100	7 1	6	2	1	350	30,000
	6 23		4	i	435	1 300	7 1	6	2	1	360	-0.500
	6 23		4	1	445	0.050		6	Z	1 .	415	25.900
					-		7 4	6	2	1	430	-0.100
	SITE 8		_				7 1	8	2	1	435	0.900
	0 23		5	1	105	468.000	7 1	6	5	1	440	-0.010
	د م ۲			Z	105	490.000	7 1	6	2	;	443 150	1.070
	6 27		D N	3	105	500.000	7 1	6	ž	i	455	1 100
	6 23		Š.	,	110	8.000			-	•		1.104
	6 23	Ì	5	3	110	7.200	** SITE	4				
	6 23	Ē	3	ī	115	26.700	7 1	6	4	1	105	475.000
	6 23	8	3	2	115	24.000	7 1	5	4	1	110	8.000
							<i>č</i> 10	Þ	4	1	115	25.000

					PARAMETER					PARAMETER	
	HONTH	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CCOE(2)	DATA(3)	HONTH DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)
	-	• •				6 6 6 6					
	7	16	4	1	120	5.000	** SITE 13	47			17/ 000
	7	16	2		130	7.600	7 16	13		110	476.000
	7	16	2	;	205	155.000	· 7 16	17		110	7.900
		16	2		230	207.000	7 16	17		113	20.300
	7	16	7	÷	240	250,000	7 14	47		120	4.900
	7	16	2	i	250	0.660	7 16	13		121	5 000
	7	16	4	i	260	11.000	7 16	13	i	205	145 000
	7	16	4	1	325	44.000	7 16	13	1	230	228.000
	7	16	4	1	350	29.000	7 16	13	1	240	260.000
	7	- 16	- 4	1	360	-0.500	7 16	13	1	250	0.980
	7	16	4	1	415	25.800	7 16	13	1	260	9.000
	7	16	4	1	430	-0.100	7 16	13	1	325	45.000
		10	<u>,</u>	1	435	0.000	7 16	13	1	350	30.000
	, 'r	10	2		115	-0.010	7 10	15	1	360	-0.500
	7	16		1	450	40.000	7 16	13	1	415	26.000
	7	16			450	0.860	7 16	13		430	-0.100
	•		-	•	-33		7 16	17		435	-0.010
	** 517	E 8					7 16	17		445	-0.010
	7	16	8	1	105	472.000	7 16	13		443	38 000
	7	16	8	ż	105	483.000	7 16	13	i	455	1 000
	7	16	8	1	110	8.100			•		•
	7	16	8	2	110	7.700	•• SITE 16				
	7	16	8	1	115	25.200	7 16	16	1	105	493.000
	7	16	8	2	115	24.600	7 16	16	1	110	7.700
	7	16	8	1	120	6.300	7 16	16	1	115	25.200
•		16	• 8	2	120	3.300	7 16	16	1	120	4.500
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10		1	121	21.100	7 16	16	1	121	54.900
	7	16		4	121	3 300	7 16	10		130	22.000
	7	16		;	130	16.000	7 10	10	1	205	170.000
	7	16	8	1	205	159.000	7 16	16		250	200.000
	7	16	8	2	205	185.000	7 16	16	i	250	0.790
	7	16	8	1	230	222.000	7 16	16	1	260	36.000
	7	16	8	2	230	234.000	7 16	16	1	325	50.000
	7	16	8	1	240	260.000	7 16	16	1	350	32.000
	7	16	8	2	240	260.000	7 16	16	1	360	-0.500
	7	16	8	1	250	0.680	7 16	16	1	415	28.200
	7	16		Z	250	0.590	7 16	16	-1	430	-0.100
		10	6	1	260	5.700	7 16	16	1	435	0.330
	, í	10		4	200	22.000	7 16	16	1	440	0.078
•	, ,	10	0 R	, ,	175	44,000	7 10	10	1	440	70.000
		16	2	1	350	30,000	7 16	16		122	2 200
	7	16	8	ź	350	30.000	1 10		•		
	7	16	8	1	360	-0.500	** SITE 2				
	7	16	8	2	360	-0.500	8 19	2	1	105	443.000
	7	16	8	1	415	25.900	8 19	2	1	110	8.200
	7	16	8	2	415	25.400	8 19	2	1	115	30.700
	7	16	8	1	430	-0.100	8 19	2	1	120	4.900
	7	16	5	Z	430	1.200	8 19	2	1	435	-0.050
		10		1	435	0.700	8 19	Z	1	445	0.095
		10	5	2	435	0.160					
	7	10		1	64U 110	1.020		,		105	(30 000
	;	14	0 , 1	۵ ۲	11	0.038	6 IY # 40	2	1	110	-37.000 R 200
	2	16	. ă	2	- us	0.100	L 17 R 10	2	1	114	27.400
	7	16		1	450	39.000	8 19	ž	1	120	5.200
	7	16	. 8	ż	450	34.000	8 19	Ĩ	i	435	-0.050
	7	16	8	1	455	0.730	8 19	4	i	445	0.086
	7	16	8	2	455	3.400			•		
							** SITE 8				
							8 19	8	1	105	449.000

•

• 1r •

					PARAMETER								
	MONTH	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)		MONTH		CITE		PARAMETER	1
									011	atic	GXAD(1)	CODE(2)	20
	8	19	8	1	110	8.000		10	28	•			
	8	19	8	1	115	27.200		10	28			120	10,000
	8	19	8	1	120	4.700		10	- 20		1	121	96,260 i
	8	19	8	1	435	-0.050		10	20	2	1	130	10,990
	8	- 19	8	1	445	0.051		10	20	<u> </u>	1	205	167.006,
								10	- 20	~	1	230	220 .00
	** \$11	E 13						10	20		1	240	250,000
	8	19	13	1	105	443,000		10	~0	. <u> </u>	!	250	9. 43 4
	8	19	13	1	110	8,100		10	20		1	260	14.004
	8	19	13	1	115	27.500		10	20	<u> </u>	1	325	42.000
	8	19	13	1	120	5.500		10	20	<u>ح</u>		350	35.004
	8	19	13	1	435	-0.050		10	28	2	1	360	-0.544
	8	19	13	1	445	0.070		10	28			415	25.34
								10	29	2		430	-0.194
1	•• SITE	16						01 01	28	2		435	0.179
	8	19	16	1	105	449.000		10	28	5		440	-0.016
	8	19	16	1	110	8.200		10	28	5		**)	0,000
	8	19	16	1	115	28.000		10	28	5		450	35.090
	8	19	16	1	120	5.700				~	1	422	0.27
	8	19	16	1	435	-0.050			,				
	8	19	16	1	445	0.160		10	28			4.05	
								10	28	7		105	500.000
•	• SITE	2						10	28	2		110	8.200
	9	17	2	1	105	459.000		10	28	7		115	10.800
	9	17	2	1	110	000.8		10	28	- 2		120	9.700
	9	17	Z	1	115	28.600		10	28	ž	;	121	
	9	17	Z	1	120	7.200		10	28	ž		205	10.000
	9	17	2	1	435	0.050		10	28	Ž	. 1	230	718 000
	y	17	Z	1	<i>4</i> 45	0.054		10	28	ž	1	240	750.000
					•			10	28	4	· · ·	250	230.000
	• \$11E	4			_			10 .	28	ž	;	260	14 000
	Ŷ	W.	4	1	105	452.000		10	28	ž		325	27 1
	9	17	4	1	110	8.100	•	10	28	2		350	, Y
	9	17	- 4	1	115	21.600	•	10	28	ž		340	-
	9	17	4	1	120	6.200	•	10	28	ž	;	415	× m
	9	17	4	1	435	-0.050	•	10	28	Ĩ	i	430	-0.100
	y	v	4	1	445	0.055		10	28	Ĩ.	· ·	235	0.100
				•				10	28	Ĺ.	1	440	-0.017
	SITE		-	_				10	28	4	1	445	0.011
	×	17	8	1	105	452.000		10	28	4	1	450	36.000
		47		1	110	8.100	•	10	28	4	1	455	0.390
		17	8	1	115	Z3.500							•••••
	, Y	47	•	1	120	5.800	•	** \$ITE	8				
		17	-	1	435	-0.050		10	28	8	1	105	494.000
	Y	47	0	T	445	0.048		10	28	8	1	110	8.300
		• 7						10	28	8	1	115	11,200
	3112	17	11	•				10	28	8	1	120	9.800
	6	17	13		105	428.000		10	28	-8	1	121	90.700
		17	13		110	7.800	•	10	28	8	1	130	5.100
	é	17	12		115	24.200		10	28	8	1	205	188.000
	*	••	13)	120	4.800		10	28	8	1	230	214.000
	SITE	14						10	28	8	1	240	280,000
	0	17	74	•	405	//1 000		10	28	8	1	250	0.630
	é	17	16	4	103	401.UUU # 465		10	28	8	1	260	9.700
	é	17	16		110	6.100 9/ AAA		10	28	8	1	325	42.000
	é	17	16		112	7 000		10	28	8	1	350	35.000
	6	17	16	•	120	1.000		10	28	8	1	360	-0.500
		17	16	• •	923 116	-0.050		10	28	8	1	415	25.200
	•			I		0.150		10	28	8	1	430	-0.100
**	\$175	>						10	28	8	1	435	0.070
	10 1	28	,	4	105	100 000		10	28	8	1	440	-0.010
	10	28	5			4YU.000		10	28	8	1	445	0.049
	10 0	10 28	5	1	110	5.400		10 3	28	8	1	450	35.000
		-0	6	1	115	10.600		10 3	28	8	1	455	0.320

0

٠

1

.

-

. د

ł

				PARAMETER				2	CALHIUS	
	MONTH DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	HONTH D	AY 511	E GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	PUTY (1)
						· 11	4	2 1	435	0.085
	** SITE 13					- 11	4	2 1	440	+0.010
	10 28	13	1	105	488.000	11	4	2 1	445	0.058
	10 28	13	1	110	8.400	11	4	2 1	450	38.000
	10 28	13	1	115	10.800	11	4	2 1	455	0.290
	10 28	13	1	120	10.700					
	10 28	13	1	121	98.200	** SITE	4	•		
	10 28	13	1	130	5.200	11	4	4 1	105	485.000
	10 28	13	1	205	167.000	• 11	4	4 1	110	8.000
	10 28	13	1	230	224.000	11	4	4 1	115	12.900
	10 28	13	1	240	270.000	11	4	4 1	120	10.500
	10 28	13	1	250	0.000	11	4	4 1	121	101.000
	10 28	13	1	260	11.000	11	4	4 1	130	8.100
	10 28	15	1	325	42.000	11	4	4 1	205	172.000
	10 28	15	1	350	35,000	11	4	4 1	230	220.000
	10 28	15	1	300	-0.300	11	4	4 1	240	270.000
	10 20	13		413	-0.100	11	2	4 1	250	0.750
	10 20	13		430	0.100	11	÷.	4 1 /	260	10.000
	10 28	13		433	-0.010	11	<u>,</u>	4 1	325	41.000
	10 20	13	-	440	0.010	11	7	4 1	. 350	35.000
	10 20	71		445	37 000		7		360	-0.500
	10 28	13	i	455	0.200	44	2	• 1 / 1	415	25.100
			•			11	2		430	0.150
	** SITE 16					11	7	- ·	~JJ	-0.070
	10 28	16	1	105	500,000	11	2	- - -	440	0.010
	10 28	16	1	110	8.500	11	ž	ž 1	450	35.000
	10 28	16	1	115	9.400	. 11	ž	4 I	455	0.310
•	10 28	16	1	120	11.000			•	~~~	01070
	10 28	16	• 1	121	97.300	** \$1TE	8			
	- 10 28	- 16	1	130	7.700	11	4	8 1	105	487.000
	10 28	16	1	205	172.000	11	4	8 · 1	110	8.000
	10 28	16	1	230	232.000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	81	115	12.100
	10 28	16	1	240	270.000	11	4	8 1	120	9.000
	10 28	16	1	250	0.660	11	4	8 1	121	93.800
	10 28	16	1	260	14.000	11	4	81	130	5.300
	10 28	10]	32	44.000	11	4	8 1	205	170.000
	10 28	10	1	320	36.000	11	4	8 1	230	218.000
	10 25	10		360	-0.500	11	4	8 1	240	260.000
	10 25	10	1	415	26.000	11	4	8 1	250	0.630
	10 20	10		430	-0.100	11	4	8 1	260	5.000
	10 20	10		433	0.050	11	÷.	8 1	325	40.000
-	10 20	14		440	0.015	11	•	6 1	350	35.000
	10 28	16		450	30.000	11	7	6 1 • 1	360	-0.500
	10 28	16	i	155	1-200	11	2	6 I 8 1	415	25.000
			•			11	7		435	0.087
	** SITE 2					11	4	8 1	440	-0.010
	11 4	2	1	105	486.000	. 11	4	a i	445	0.046
	11 4	2	1	110	8.100	11	4	8 1	450	38,000
	11 4	2	1	115	13.500) 11	4	81	455	0.400
	11 4	2	1	120	10.800)				
	11 4	2	1	121	105.000	•• SITE '	13			
	11 4	Z	1	130	9.500	11	4 1	31	105	485.000
	11 4	Z	1	205	167.000	11	4 1	31	110	8.200
	11 4	Z	1	Z30	215.000	11	4 1	3 1	115	12.600
	11 4	2	1	Z40	230.000	11	4 1	3 1	120	11.400
	11 4	Z	1	250	0.720	11	4 1	3 1	121	108.000
	11 4	Z	1	260	13.000	11	4 1	3 1	130	7.500
	11 4	Ž	1	325	37.000	11	4 1	3 1	205	169.000
	11 4	Z	1	350	35.000	11	4	<u> </u>	230	222.000
	11 4	2	1	300	+U.500		2	5 1 7 -	240	250.000
	11 4	5	1	413	24.700		2	ະ ເ	250	0.790
	11 4	۲ د	1	430	-0.100	, 11	- 1	J 1	260	8,500

etterse men en aufait frakse, ere fråns after kunstande på de efterte.

A Support of the Transferration of the second

teller freiter

.

f

.

:

MONTR	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	PARAHETER CODE(2)	DATA(3)
11	4	13	1	325	40.000
11	- 4	13	1	350	35,000
11	4	13	1	360	-0.500
11	- 4	-13	1	415	24.800
11	- 4	13	1	430	-0,100
11	- 4	13	1	435	0.058
11	- 4	13	. 1	440	-0.010
11	. 4	13	1	445	0.051
11	4	13	1	450	34,000
	4	13	1	455	-0.200
** SITE	16				
- 11	- 4	16	1	105	400 000
11	4	16	1	110	8.100
11	4	16	1	115	13.600
11	4	16	1	120	10.300
11	4	16	1	121	100.000
11	4	16	1	130	11.000
	4	16	1	205	176.000
11	4	16	1	230	232,000
11	4	16	1	240	260.000
11	4	16	1	250	0,840
11	4	16	1	260	15.000
·	4	16	1	325	42.000
	4	16	1	350	36.000
	4	16	1	360	-0.500
11		16	1	415	25.800
11	4 .	16	1	430	-0.100
11	*	16	1	435	-0.050
••	,	10	1	440	0.016
11	*	16	1	445	0.085
	2	10	• 1	450	41.000
11	•	16	1	455	0.330

;

* • • •

۱ ۲

SMERIERA ISSUERADO - FOR

÷.

		P	ARAMETER						PARAKETER	
MONTH DAY	SITE C	RAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)		HONTH DAY	SITE	DRAD(1)	CODE (2)	DATA(3)
** SITE 7						<pre>/ • • •</pre>	•	•		
4 12	2	1	105	486.000		4 16	•		440	-0.010
4 12	5		105	100.000		4 12			443	0.050
4 12			110	6.100		4 12		1	450	40.000
4 12	2	-	115	13.500		4 12	5	1	455	0.300
4 12	2	1	120	10.800						
4 1Z	Z	1	121	98.100		** SITE 13	_	•		
4 12	2	1	130	14.000		4 12	13	1	105	485.000
4 12	2	1	205	198.000		4 12	13	1	110	8.200
4 12	2	1	230	280,000		4 12	13	1	115	12.600
4 12	2	1	240	340.000		4 12	13	1	120	11.400
4 12	2	1	250	0.500		4 12	13	1	121	99.600
4 12	2	1	260	28.400		4 12	13	1	130	10.000
4 12	2	1	325	59.000	•	4 12	13	1	205	186.000
4 12	2	1	350	32.000		4 12	13	1	230	263.000
4 12	2	1	360	-0.200		4 12	13	1	240	310.000
4 12	2	1	415	27.900		4 12	13	1	250	0.840
4 12	2	1	430	-0.100		4 12	13	1	260	16,100
4 12	2	1	435	1.000	•	4 12	13	1	325	50,000
4 12	2	1	440	-0.010		6 12	13	i	350	30.000
4 12	2	1	445	0.062		Å 12	13	i	340	-0 200
4 12	2	1	450	45.000		L 12	13	i	415	27 300
4 12	2	1	455	1.070		4 12	13		430	-0.100
- 12	-	•	400			4 12	17		430	-0.100
•• STTE 4						4 16	17			-0.010
4 12	7	1	105	485.000		4 12	13		440	-0.010
4 12	Ĩ	i	110	8.000			43		450	/2.000
4 12	2		115	12 900		4 12	12		430	42.000
4 12	ž	i	120	10.500		• 12	13	•		0.510
4 12	ž	;	120	94 300						
/ 12	7		121	11 000		- SIIE 10				
4 12	7		705	305 000		4 12	16		105	499.000
4 12	•	1	205	205.000	• .	4 12	• 16	1	110	8.100
4 12	•	1	250	. 204.000	-	4 12	16	1	115	13.600
4 12	4	1	240	340.000		4 12	16	1	120	10.300
4 12	4	1.	250	0.550		4 12	16	1	121	94.300
4 12	4	. 1	260	20.000		4 12	16	1	130	20.000
4 12	4	1	325	57.000		4 12	16	1	205	222.000
4 12	4	1	350	32.000		· 4 12	16	1	230	324.000
4 12	4	1	360	-0.200		4 12	16	1	240	380.000
4 12	4	1	415	28,500	•	4 12	16	1	250	0.860
4 12	- 4	1	430	-0.100		4 12	16	1	260	36.300
4 12	4	1	435	0.960		· 4 12	16	1	325	70.000
4 12	- 4	1	440	-0.010		4 12	16	1	350	- 34,000
4 12	4	1	445	0.074		4 12	.16	1	360	-0.200
- 4 12	- 4	1	450	41.000		4 12	- 16	1	415	36.200
· 4 12	- 4	1	455	2.220		4 12	16	1	430	-0.100
						4 12	16	1	435	8.200
** SITE 8						6 12	16	1	440	0.021
4 12	8	1	105	488.000		4 12	16	1	445	0.110
4 12	8	1	110	8,000		4 12	16	1	450	47.000
4 12	8	1	115	12.100		4 12	16	1	455	3.710
4 12	B	1	120	9.900				•		
4 12	Ā	1	121	100.000		** \$175 2				
6 12	ž	1	130	8.600		5 11	,	1	105	551 000
4 12	. 8	1	205	184.000		5 11	2		110	8.100
4 12	8	1	230	256.000		5 11	5		115	14.700
4 12		1	240	310.000		S 11	2		170	11 200
L 12		1	250	0.900		E 11			120	/ 50/
- 16			240	13.300		2 13 E 44	ć		433	4.200
- 12			170	44 000		2 11	€	1	443	0.020
• 12	•		363	71 000						
4 12	8	1	220	31.000		TT SITE 4			_	
4 12	8	1	360	-0.200		5 11	- 4	1	105	536.000
4 12	8	1	415	27.100		5 11	4	1	110	7.90
4 12	8	1	430	-0.100		5 11	- 4	1	115	14.500
4 12	8	1	435	0.680		5 11	4	1	120	12.20

٠

•

·

1

			PARAMETER						
MONTH DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)				PARAMETER	1
			-		MONTH DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	f i.
.									,.
5 11	- 4	1	435	4.100					
5 11	- 4	1	445	0.041	•• •••				
				••••	•• \$ITE 16				
** SITE 8					6 16	16	1	105	504 000
5 11	8	1	105	577 000	6 16	16	1	110	JU0.004
5 11	8	1	110	8 200	ő 16	16	1	115	6.3VA 30 AAA
5 11	8	1	115	15 100	6 16	16	1	120	29.000
5 11	8	1	120	17,100	6 16	. 16	1	135	0.20
5 11	Ă	;	120	13.200	6 16	16	2	/76	1.900
5 11	ž	÷		3.700	6 16	16	-		3.300
	•	•	**7	0.061	6 16	16	;	443	0.100
** SITE 13								442	0.120
5 11	12			.	** SITE 2				
5 11	47		105	546.000	7 21	5			
5 11	13	1	110	8.200	7 71	Ş		105	484.000
5 11	13	1	115	14.300	7 21	<u> </u>	2	105	487.000
5 11	13	1	120	12.900	7 91	~	2	110	8.000
5 11 5 11	13	1	435	5.800	7 21	<u> </u>	Z	110	8.000
2 11	13	L L	445	0.062	7 71		1	115	34.800
** 5175 44					7 21	Š	2	115	30.700
aiic 10 8 au	• •				7 74	٤.	1	120	5.100
	16	1	105	601.000	7 21	2	Z	120	3.600
3 11	16	1	110	8.200	7 21	2	1	121	72.800
· • • • •	10	.1	115	15.400	7 7	<u> </u>	2	121	48.600
5 11	10	1	120	12.400	7 7	~	1	130	10.000
5 44	16	1	435	6.800	7 21	~	2	130	12.000
2 11	10	1	445	0.100	7 7	<	1	205	146.000
** ****					7 21	ζ.	2	205	148.000
ailt 2	•				7 21	2	1	230	191.000
a 15	Z	1	105	507.000	7 21	2	Z	230	214.000
0 16	Z	1	110	8.400	7 21	Z	1	240	270.000
6 16	2	1	115 .	32,900	7 21	Z	2	240	280.000
6 16	2	1	120	7.300	7 21	2	1	250	0.720
6 16	2	1	435	2,100	7 21	2	2	250	/ 10
6 16	2	2	435	2.600	7 21	2	1	260	3
6 16	2	1	445	0.077	7 21	2	2	260	1
6 16	2	2	445	0.110	7 21	2	1	325	32,000
			-		. 7 21	2	2	325	30,000
TTE 4					7 21	2	1	350	34.000
6 16	4 .	1	105	513.000	7 21	2	2	350	34.000
ó 16	4	1	110	8 400	7 21	2	1	360	+0.200
6 16	4	1	115	23 800	7 21	Z	2	360	•0.200
6 16	4	1	120	6 700	7 21	2	1	615	30.500
6 16	4	1	435	2 400	7 21	2	2	615	30 300
6 16	4	1	445	0.000	7 21	z	1	. 430	0.270
				0,000	7 21	2	2	430	0 370
** SITE 8					T 21	2	1	435	0.270
6 16	8	1	105	513 000	7 21	2	2	435	0.480
6 16	8	1	110	8 400	7 21	2	1	440	0.077
6 16	8	1	115	24 100	7 21	2	2	640	0 044
6 16	8	1	120	£ 100	7 21	2	1	445	0.045
6 16	8	1	435	7.100	7 21	2	2	445	0 110
6 16	8	1	445	6.040	7 21	2	1	450	44.000
				0.009	7 21	Z	2	450	39.000
** SITE 13					7 21	2	1	455	2 100
6 16 1	3	1	105	E11 000	7 21	2	2	455	2 300
6 16 1	3	i	110	311.000			-		
ó 16 1	3	1	110	6.700	** \$ITE 4				
6 16 1	3		130	27.000	7 21	4	1	105	485 000
6 16 1	3	1	120	5.600	7 21	4	1	110	8 400
6 16 11	5	,	433	2.000	7 21	4	1	115	57 300
6 16 11	ī.	۰ ۲	6J2	4.800	7 21	4	i	120	61.600
6 16 11	i i	9	432 //F	2.100	7 21	4	1	121	78 600
6 16 11		,	447	0.079	7 21	4	i	130	10.JUU 4 400
6 16 11		1	843 //e	0.074	7 21	4	1	205	146 000
	•	•	** 7	0.022	7 21	4	i	230	210.000
									* * * * * * * * *

- - - -

				PARAMETER						
	MONTH DA	Y SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	MONTH D	AT SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)
	7 2	:1 4	. 1	240	290.000	7	21 8	,	126	0 540
	72	1 4	: 1	· 250	0.720	7	21 8	3	435	0.260
	77	1 4	, 1	260	10.000	7	21 8	1	440	0.055
	7 2	1 4	1	325	30.000	7 1	21 8	Ż	440	0.072
	7 7	1 4	1	350	34.000	7 7	21 8	3	440	0.090
	7 2	1 4	1	360	-0.200	7 2	21 8	. 1	445	0.056
	7 2	1 4		415	30.000	7 2	21 8	2	445	0.081
				430	-0.100	7 2	21 8	3	445	0.120
	7 7		•	433	0.0/0	7 2	21 8	1	450	42,000
	7 7	1 1		//5	0.050	7 2	21 8	2	450	40.000
	7 2	., –		450	45 000	7 2	21 B	3	450	39.000
	7 2	-1 Z		455	1.700	7 2	(1 8	1	455	1.600
			•	-33	71100	7 2	21 8	23	455	Z.500 3.800
	•• SITE 7 2	8 18	1	105	481.000		1			
	7 2	1 8	2	105	517.000	3116 1	13) 11 - 12	•	105	100 000
	7 2	1 8	3	105	-536.000	7 7	1 13	2	105	490.000
	72	1 8	1	110	8.200	7 2	21 13	1	105	. 500.000
	72	1 8	2	110	7.300	7 2	21 13	;	110	7 400
	72	1 8	3	110	7.200	7 2	1 13	1	115	28.700
	72	18	1	115	28.300	7 2	1 13	ż	115	27.200
	72	18	2	115	25.200	7 2	1 13	1	120	5.800
	7 2	18	3	115	23.800	7 2	1 13	Ż	120	0.120
	7 2	1 8	1	120	7.000	7 2	1 13	1	121	77.000
	7 7		4	120	0.100	7 2	1 13	2	121	1.530
	7 7	1 8	د ۱	120	0.030	7 2	1 13	1	130	5.600
•	7 2	1 8	2	121	1 220	7 2	1 13	2	130	7.400
	7 2	1 8	3	121	0.600	7 2	1 13	1	205	146.000
	7 2	1 8	ī	130	4.600	7 2	1 15	2	205	146,000
	72	1 8	2	.130	10.000	7 2	1 13	1	250	216.000
	72	18	3	130	16.000	7 2	1 13	1	250	220,000
	7 Z	1 8	1	205	146.000	7 2	1 13	;	240	300.000
	72	1 8	2	205	156.000	7 2	1 13	1	250	0.730
	72	18	3	205	174.000	7 2	1 13	2	250	0.520
	72	18	1	230	220.000	7 2	1 13	1	260	8.800
	7 2	18	2	230	234.000	. 72	1 13	2	260	12.000
	7 2	1 8	2	230	238.000	7 2	1 13	1	325	30.000
	7 2	1 8	1	240	260.000	. 72	1 13	2	325	· 32.000
	7 7	1 8	2	240	270.000	. 72	1 13	1	350	34.000
	7 2	10 1 8		240	250.000	. 7 2	1 13	2	350	34.000
-	7 2	1 8	z	250	0.520	7 2	1 13	1	360	-0.200
	7 2	1 8	3	250	0.430	7 7	1 13	~ ~	360	-0.200
	72	1 8	1	260	9.400	7 2	11 12 11 11	2	415	29.800
	72	18	2	260	18.000	7 2	1 13	1	430	0.140
	72	18	3	260	16.000	7 2	1 13	2	430	0.260
	72	1 8	1	325	32.000	• 72	1 13		435	0.560
	7 2	18	Z	325	38.000	7 2	1 13	Ź	435	0.590
	7 2	18	. 3	325	39.000	72	1 13	1	440	0.068
	7 2	1 5	1	350	34.000	7 2	1 13	2	440	0.078
		1 8	2	350	34.000	· · 72	1 13	1	445	0.074
	7 2		د •	350	34.900	7 2	1 13	2	. 445	0.068
	1 4		1	300	-0.200	7 2	1 13	1	450	47.000
	7 7	1 D	2	UOC NAJ	-0.200	7 2	1 13	2	450	45.000
	7 7	. D 1 я		200 215	30.100	7 2	ii 13	1	455	1,900
	7 2	1 8	;	415	29.500	7 2	a 13	2	455	2.000
	7 2	1 B	3	415	28.900		4			
	7 2	1 8	1	430	0.120	3116 7 7	10 11 14		105	/
	7 2	1 8	Ż	430	0.510	7 7	10 21 14	1	102	403.UUU A 300
	7 2	1 8	3	430	1.100	7 7	1 16	1	110	5.200 78 600
	72	18	1	435	0.480	7 2	1 16		120	A 700
								•	12.4	0.100

A-11

•

					PARAMETER						PARAMETER	
	MOWIN	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	HONTE	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DAT
	•		••									
	1	21	10	1	121	88.200	8	10	13	1	120	7.300
	4	21	10	1	130	14.000	8	10	13	2	120	-3.100
	4	21	10	1	205	144.000	8	10	13	3	120	-0.100
	4	21	16	1	230	223.000	8	10	13	1	435	-0.050
	1	21	16	1	240	310.000	8	10	13	2	435	1,100
		21	16	1	250	0.870	8	10	13	3	435	-0.050
		21	16	1	260	Z4.000	8	10	13	1	445	0 073
	4	21	16	1	325	31.000	8	10	13	2	445	0.070
	1	21	16	1	350	33.000	8	10	13	3	445	0.092
	7	21	16	1	360	-0.200						••••
	4	21	16	1	415	31.200	** SITE	16				
	7	21	10	1	430	0.150	8	10	16	1	105	457,000
	7	21	10		435	0.250	8	10	16	1	110	8,100
	7	21	10		440	0.069	8	10	16	1	115	30.500
	7	21	10		443	0.150	8	10	16	1	120	6.200
	7	21	10		430	40.000	8	10	16	1	435	-0.050
	•	£ 1	10	•	433	1.700	8	10	16	1	445	0,130
	** 5176							_				
	3110	10	,	•	105		** SITE	2				
		10	5		105	448.000	. 9	14	2	1	105	503,000
		10	2	4	103	449.000	9	14	2	2	105	512.000
	ž	10	5	2	110	7.000	9	14	2	1	110	8.300
	ž	10	5	1	115	7.900	9	14	Z	2	110	8.200
	8	10	2	,	115	30.200	9	14	Z	1	115	32.000
	ē.	10	2	ĩ	120	4 800	9	14	z	Z	115	28.300
	8	10	2	ż	120	3,100	y	14	2	1	120	6.600
	8	10	2	1	435	0.390	Ŷ	14	ž	2	120	4.000
	8	10	Ž	ż	435	•0.050	y o	14	4	1	121	91.000
	8	10	ž	1	445	0.056	· • •	14	4	2	121	52.600
	8	10	Ž	ż	445	0.120	y o	14	4	1	130	008.5
			-	-				14	<u> </u>	2	130	9.400
	** SITE	4					ý .	14	<u> </u>	1	205	158,000
	8	10	4	1	105	443.000	y	14	4	Z	205	158
	8	10	4	i	110	8.200	y o	14	<u></u>	1	230	224
	8	10	4	i	115	29.000	7		~	<u> </u>	250	227.000
	8	10	4	Ť	120	7,900	7	47	~	1	240	250.000
	8	10	4	1	435	+0.050	7	19		<u>د</u>	240	250.000
	8	10	4	1	445	0.066	7	47			20	0.900
						•••••		17	~	ć	200	0.870
	** \$11E	8		•			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12	2		. 200	. 22.000
	8	10	8	1	105	445,000		12	5	4	200	22.000
	8	10	8	Z	105	494.000	0	12	2	,	323	34.000
	8	10	8	1	110	8.300	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12			- 750	34.000
	8	10	8	Z	110	6.800	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	92	5		350	30.000
•	8	10	8	1	115	29.300		12	5	<u>د</u>	350	30.000
	8	10	. 8	2	115	25,500		14	5	2	. 360	-0.400
	8	10	8	1	120	-8.600		14	2	1	200	-0.400
	8	10	8	2	120	-0.100	o •	14	5	2	415	77,000
	8	10	8	1	435	1.700		12	2	1	415	33.200
	8	10	8	2	435	-0.050	• •	14	2	;	430	0.100
	8	10	8	1	445	-0.010	o •	14	2	-	435	-0.100
	8	10	8	2	445	0.160	ý ·	14	2	2	435	+0.050
							ġ,	14	2		440	-0.030
	** SITE	13					ý ·	16	ž	;	440	-0.010
	8	10	13	1	105	451.000	ġ ·	14	2	1	445	0 100
	8	10	13	2	105	452.000	ġ ·	14	2	2		0 000
	8	10	13	3	105	472.000	é ·	14	5		250	LL 000
	8	10	13	1	110	8.200		14	;	;	730	12 000
	8	10	13	Z	110	7.700	0	14	.,	1		1 200
	8	10	13	3	110	7.000	0	14	,	, ,	933 288	1,000
	8	10	13	Ť	115	30.900	*		•	6	433	1.400
	8	10	13	Ž	115	29.400	** ****	4				
	8	10	13	3	115	27.800	5112	14	1	•	105	515 AM
				-	••••		*		-	J	105	313,000
							•					

.

1000

+4

					PARAMETER								
ж	NTH 1	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE (2)	DATA(3)						the second	
									PAT				語言に見たい
	9	14	16	1	445	0,170		11			•	546	Souther when
	9	14	16	1	450	46.000		11		Ň		411	2-0 (000 - 7-0 - 5)
	9	14	16	1	455	1.200		11	ž	Ă		130	J4 1000
								11	ž			170	-0.020
••	SITE	2						11	Ĕ		i	440	0.730
	11	8	2	1	105	542.000		11		Ē	i	445	0.010
	11	8	2	1	110	7.900		11		Ē	. i	450	47 000
	11	8	2	1	115	19.000		11		8	1	455	0 310
	11	8	2	1	120	8.900			-	-	•		0.2.0
	11	8	2	1	121	97.800		T12 **	F 13				
	11	8	2	1	130	6.800		11	8	13	1	105	548,000
	11	8.	2	1	205	166.000		11	8	13	1	110	8,000
	11	8	2	1	230	218,000		11	8	13	1	115	11,200
	11	8	2	1	240	280,000		11	8	13	1	120	9.800
	11	8	2	1	250	0.750		11	8	13	1	121	90.700
	11	8	2	1	260	7.200		11	8	13	1	130	8,000
	11	8	2	1	325	34.000		11	8	13	1	205	170.000
	11	8	2	1	350	37.000		11	8	13	1	230	220.000
	11	8	2	1	360	-0.200		11		13	i 1	240	280.000
	11	8	2	1	415	34.300	•	11		13	1	250	0.970
	11	8	2	1	430	-0.020		11	8	13	1	260	11,000
	11	8	2	1	435	0.190		11	8	13	1	325	34,000
	11	8	2	1	440	0.026		11	8	13	1	350	37.000
	11	8	2	1	445	0.061		11	8	13	1	360	-0.200
	11	8	2	1	450	47.000	•	11	8	13	1	415	34.600
	11	8	2	1	455	0.680		11	8	13	1	430	-0.020
								11	8	13	1	435	0.200
**	SITE	4						11	8	13	1	440	0.018
	11	8	- 4	1	105	546.000		11	8	13	1	445	0.072
•	11	8	- 4	1	110	7.900		11	8	13	1	450	48.000
	11	8	4	1	115	8.500		11	8	13	1	455	0.500
	11 -	8	4	1	120	10.600							
	11	8	4	1	121	91.400	•	** \$118	E 16				
	11	8	- 4	1	130	6.300		11	8	16	1	105	552.000
	11	8	4	1	205	168.000		11	8	16	1	110	8.000
	11	8	4	1	230	237.000		11	8	16	1	115	8.600
	11	8	- 4	1	240	270.000	•	· 11	8	16	1	120	11_100
	11	8	4	1	250	1.000		11	8	16	1	121	96.500
	11	8	4	1	260	10,000		11	- 8	16	1	130	14.000
	11	8	4	1	325	36.000		11	8	16	1	205	170.000
	11	8	- 4	1	350	37.000		11	6	16	1	230	239.000
	11	8	- 4	1	360	-0.200		11	8	16	1	240	280,000
	11	8	4	1	415	34.100		11	8	16	1	250	1.300
	11	8	- 4	1	430	-0.020		11	8	16	1	260	14.000
-	11	8	4	1	435	0.220		11	8	16	· 1	325	34.000
	11	8	4	1	440	0.014		11	8	16	1	350	37.000 .
	11	8	<u> </u>	1	445	0.059		11	8	16	1	360	-0.200
		8		1	450	49.000		11	8	16	1	415	34.600
	11	8	4	1	455	0.520		11	8	16	1	430	-0.020
		<u>.</u>						. 11	8	16	1	435	0.110
:	2115	5		•		F/0 000		11	8	16	1	440	0.024
	11	đ e	5	1	105	549.000		11	8	16	1	445	0.094
	11	5 e	8	1	110	8.000		11	8	16	1	450	50.000
	11	ð P	8	1	. 115	9.300		11	8	16	1	455	0.540
	11	٥ ۴	0		120	10.900							
	11	0	0	1	121	90.500							
	11	ō	5]	130	5.600							
	11	0	5]	205	165.000							
	11	0	5]	230	244.000							
	11	5	5	1	240	280.000							
	11	5	8	1	250	1.100							
	11	6	5	1	260	5.500							
		8	8	1	30	54.000							
	11	8	8	1	350	37.000							

·····

and the second

.

.

					PARAMETER								1
	NONTH	DAY	SITE	GRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)		IN TH		****		PARAMETER	4
							•			9115	exec(1)	CODE(Z)	(ر. ۵۸۰
	0	14	1			• • • •							
	ģ	14	- 7		110	8.400		9	14	13	2	205	158.000
	ý.	14	4	. i	120	2,000		9	14	13	3	205	157.000
	Ŷ	14	4	i	120	67 000		9	14	13	1	230	226.000
	9	14	4	1	130	5.300		9	14	13	2	230	228.000
	9	- 14	- 4	1	205	156.000		9	14	13	3	230	225.00
	9	- 14	- 4	1	230	224.000		y y	14	13	1	240	250.000
	9	14	- 4	1	240	250,000		Y	14	13	2	240	240.000
	9	14	- 4	1	250	1,100			42	13	2	240	240.000
	9	14	4	1	260	12,000		0	12	13	1	250	1.700
	9	14	4	1	325	33,000		ó	12	17	-	20	0.920
	9	14	4	. 1	350	35,000		ģ	14	13	د ۹	250	D.710
	Ŷ	14		1	360	-0.400		9	14	13	2	200	15.000
	7	14	•	1	415	33.200		9	14	13	3	260	13.000
	ő	12	2	1	430	-0,100		9	14	13	Ĩ	325	3.000
	ý	14	- 2		433	-0.050		Ŷ	14	13	2	325	35.000
	9	14	Ĩ.	;	115	0.010		9	14	13	3	325	35,000
	9	14	4	1	450	44.000		9	14	13	1	350	36.000
	9	14	4	1	455	1,300		·9	14	13	2	350	36.000
								y c	14	13	3	350	36.000
	SITE	8						9	14	13	1	360	-0.400
	9	14	8	1	105	513,000		ő	14	10	4	360	-0.400
	ž	14	8	1	110	8.600		ó	14	13	د ۲	360	-0.400
	y o	14		1	115	23.900		ġ	14	13	2	417	33.800
		14			120	10.300		9	14	ũ	3	415	33.200
	, , 0	14			121	124.000		9	14	13	1	430	-0.100
	ý	14	ž	;	150	4,400		9	14	13	2	430	0.110
	9	14	2	;	230	158.000		9	14	13	3	430	0.200
	9	14	8	i	240	220,000		9	14	13	1	435	-0.050
	9	14	8	i	250	1,100		9	14	13	2	435	7 7
	9	14	8	1	260	13,000		9	14	13	3	435	•
	9	14	8	1	325	34.000	•	- ¥	14	13	1	440	-cv
	9	14	8	1	350	36.000		0	14	13	4	440	-0.010
	9	14	8	1	360	-0,200		ó	14	ii.	1	440	-0.010
		14 4 /		1	415	33,600		ò	14	13	;		0.0/4
		19 92			430	-D. 100		9	14	13	3	445	0.007
		12			435	-0,050	•	9	14	13	1	450	44 000
	ő.	14	8		440	-0.010		9	14	13	ź	450	40.000
	ġ.	14	8	;	443	0.003		9	14	13	3	450	43,000
	9	14	8	i	455	1 p<0		9	14	13	1	455	1.200
			-	•		0.750		9	14	13	2	455	1.100
**	SITE	13						+9	74	13	3.	455	1.500
	9 1	14	13	1	105	513.000	** 9	1175	16				
		14	13	Z	105	517.000		9	14	16	1	105	521 000
		14) 12	15	3	105	525.000		9	14	16	i	110	8 300
			13		110	8,500	•	9	14	16	i	115	26.100
	9 1	4	13	2	110	a.400 7 mm		9	14	16	1	120	5.200
	9 1	4	13	1	110	7.900		9	14	16	t	121	62.600
	9 1	4	13	ż	115	26.100		9	14	16	1	130	18.000
	9 1	4	13	3	715	23.300		9	14	16	1	205	160.000
	91	4	13	ī	120	9.400		9	14	16	1	230	230.000
	91	4	13	2	120	7.600		Y	14	16	1	240	250.000
	9 1	4	13	3	120	3.900		4	14	16	1	250	1.000
	91	4	13	1	121	119.000		7	14 12	10]	260	42.000
	9 1	4	13	2	121	95.000		ő	14	10	1	325	35.000
	91	4	13	3	121	46.400		\$	14	16	1	330	36.000
	9 1	4	13	1	130	5.600		9	14	16	1	300	-U.AUU 31 /hn
	y 1	~	13	Z	130	5.600		9	14	16	i	-13 130	00#,400 1 100
	9 1 0 1	2	13 17	3	130	5.400		9	14	16	i	435	+0.050
		-		•	203	156.000		9	14	16	1	440	0.016

A-14

.

CLINTON POLES STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROBADA LACE WATER BUALITY BATA POR 1989

.

1

-

27

ないないです。

			PARAMETER							
NCNTH	SITE	CELD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)		HON'T R	8178		2	and division of the
									1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	and the new loss of
SITE	2					\$172	13			
4	- 2	1	105	\$53.000		4	13	1	944	10 M 10 M 10 M 10 M 10 M 10 M 10 M 10 M
7	,		110	1 700		4	13	i	110	10118.01011 12:56
7	•		445	1 300		4	13		111	5.56 State 1.55 State
		-		0.2W		Ĩ.		:	120	
		1	120	12.300		Ĩ	13		121	
	Z	1	121	103.000		2	17		121	101/000
4	Z	1	130	9.600		7			120	7.200
4	Z	1	205	180.000		7		1	203	171.000
4	Z	1	Z30	268.000		7			025	246.000
4	2	1	240	320.000		;	13	1	240	250.000
4	Z	1	250	0.940		7		1	20	6.700
4	Z	1	260	17.000		;	13	1	.260	12.000
4	Z	1	325	47.500		;		1	20	38.000
4	2	1	350	36.000		;	13	1	320	36.000
4	2	1	360	-0.500		•	13	1	360	-0.500
4	2	1	415	35.200		•	15		435	34.000
4	2	· 1	430	-0.100			13		430	-0.100
4	2	1	435	1.900		4	13	1	435	0.530
4	2	1	440	-0.010		<u> </u>	13	1	440	-0_010
- 4	2	1	445	• 0.078		4	13	1	445	0.057
4	2	1	450	55.000		4	13	1	450	\$0.000
4	2	1	455	-0.200		4	13	1	455	-0.200
SITE	4					SITE 1	6			
4	- 4	1	105	567.000		- 4	16	1	105	598.000
4	- 4	1	110	8.600		4	16	1	110	8.800
4	- 4	1	115	8.200		4	16	1	115	7.700
4	4	1	120	12.400		۲.	16	1	120	14.100
4	4	1	121	107.000		4	16	1	121	119,000
4	4	1	130	7.800		4	16	· 1	130	11.900
4	4	1	205	184.000	•	4	16	1	205	174.000
4	•4	1	230	268.000		4	16	1	230	273.000
4	6	1	240	320.000		- 4	16	1	240	340.000
4	4	1	250	0.920		4	16	1	250	1.200
4	4	1	260	14.000		- 4	16	1	260	26.000
4	4	i	325	49.000		- 4	16	1	325	57.000
4	Ĺ.	1	350	36.000		- 4	16	1	350	35.000
Ĩ.	Ĩ	i	340	-0.500		4	16	1	360	-0.500 /
i i	Ĩ	;	415	37 200		4	16	1	415	36.600
ĩ	Ĩ	;	430	+0.100		4	16	i	430	•0.100
ĩ	ĩ	;	475	7 300	•	7	16	1	475	7 300
	7	;		-0 ftp		Ĩ.	16	i	Ĩ,	=0.010
	7		115.	8 677		i i	16	i	45	0.056
	7	:	450	U.V/2		ĩ	36	÷	150	40,000
7	7		430	2.00	•.	ĩ	16	;	455	»0 200
•	•	•		0.400		-		•	-33	-0.200
\$17E	•					\$175	2			•
	•	•	105	\$14 000		5	2	1	105	547.000
7			110	1 500		ŝ	2	i	110	8.400
7.		:	115	7 800		Š	2	i *	115	14.200
7	, i	;	120	12 000		ŝ	ž		120	10,100
1	ž	i	121	102.000		Š	ž	i	435	3,100
Ĩ			430	4 400		Š	2	1	445	8.073
1	ž	:	205	172 000		-	-	•		•••••
7	, i		770	7/4 000		SITE	2			
;		1	20			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	•	105	5/1 000
2	-		240	0.700	•	5	ž	i	110	8 400
7			200	8 900			Ĩ.	- i	115	1/ 300
7		1	200	5.600		÷	- Z		120	÷ 100
;	2		20	31.000		ś			165	7.100
2	-		330	J1.000		5	ĩ	•	Ĩ	0.041
7	5	1	300	-1.300		•	-	•		U.U.A
,	5	1	412			2175	1			
•	2	1	430	-0.100		۰د	- *	4	105	\$17 MM
•	5	1	435 (14)	0.440		í	ž		100	31.000
4	5	1	440	-0.010		ć	ž		110	E.400
4	8	1	445	0.052		3		:	113	14.100
4	8	1	450	48.000		2	-		120	10.000
. 4	8	1	455	-0.200		2	-]	435	1.600
						2	ē	٦	445	0.045

(

.

.

4.4				PARAMETER						(
WO	NTH	SITE	CRAD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	lucul.			PARMETER	
							N SITE	GND(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)
						•				
21	17 31 5						6 8	1	130	7.400
	5	13	i	100-	541.000		6 A	÷.	130	11.000
	5	13	i	115	8.600		6 ž	1	130	11.000
	5	13	1	120	10.200	1	6 8	ż	205	164.000
	5	13	1	435	2.500		5 8	3.	205	174.000
	2	13	1	445	0.067		8	1	230	252.000
. 517	E 16							Z	230	254.000
	5	16	1	105	577 000		i i	1	230	257.000
	5	16	1	110	8.500		8	ż	240	300.000
	2	16	1	115	13.700		8	3	240	260.000
	ś	14	1	120	11.300			1	20	0.250
	5	16	i	435	8.700		8	4 4	250	0.690
					4.100	á	Š.	ĩ	260	0.690
\$11	<u>ح</u> م	•	-			4	8	2	260	17.000
	2	5		105	500.000	• •	5	3.	260	14.000
	š -	ž	;	110	7.800	Ă	5 8	· ·	325	47.000
	6	ž	j	120	23.200		ĩ	3	325	46.000
	6	2	1	121	83.800	6	8	ĩ	330	46.000
	D 4	2	1	130	28.000	4	8	2	350	35,000
•	6	ź	1	205	146.000	0 4		3	320	36.000
	6	ž	i	240	232,000	ă	ž	2	360	-0.200
	6	2	1	250	D.700	•	8	3	360	-0.200
	D 6	2	1	260	23,000	4		1	415	31,400
	5	ž	1	325	51.000	. 4	8	2	415	32.900
	5	2	i	360	26.000	-	ž	1	415	33.500
	5	2	1	415	23.400	•		ż	430	0.100
		2	1	430	0.340	4	8	3	430	-0.100
	5	2	1	435	8.000	•	8	1	435	3.600 /
6	i i	ž	· ·	445	0.054	ž		÷.	435	4.800
6		2	i	450	32.000		ž	1	440	2.600
		2	1	455	6.400	4		Ż	440	0.019
SITE	4					•	4	3	440	0.032
6		4	1	105	1 70 mm	ž	:	1	445	0.050
6		4	i	110	7 900	ě	i	ŝ	445	0.065
6		4	1	115	22.000	é ·	1	ī	450	0-02-U 000 14
		4	1	120	8.000			2	450	45.000
Ĩ		2	1	121	93.200		8	2	450	48.000
• 6		i	i	205	11.000	é	- i	2	433	0.990
6		4	1	230	250.000	6	i	Ĵ	455	1.200
- 6		4	1	240	290.000		•			1.600
			1	250	0.870		5 			
		ĩ	i	325	14.000	ī	ŭ	1	105	500.000
6	4	5	1	350	33.000	6	13	i	115	4.000
6		5	1	360	-0.200	é .	13	i	120	7.400
6		b 1	1	415	29.900	6	13	1	121	86.700
š			1	430	0.300		13	1	130	14.000
6			i	<u></u>	2.600		ถึ	3	130	11.000
6	4		1	445	6.062	é	13	ī	205	72.900
6	4	•	1	450	42.000	6	13	2	205	165,000
0	4	•	1	455	2.800	6 1	13	2	205	172.000
SITE	8					2	13	2	230	225.000
6	8		t	105	\$36.000	Ă	13	ŝ	230	249.000
6	8		1	110	7.900	6	13	1	240	270.000
6	Ĕ		1	115	22.500	6	13	2	240	280.000
• ∡			1	120	8.700	• 4	10	2	240	290.000
0	đ		1	121	100.000	6	13	1	250	0.570
						•	••	4	250	0.610

•

.

٠

•

			PARAMETER					PARAHETER	
NONTH	SITE	GRUD(1)	CC0E(2)	DATA(3)	NCHTH	517E	GUD(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)
6	13	3	250	0,740	7	4	1	115	26,400
Ă	13	ī	260	12,000	7	6	1	120	11.600
Ă	13	ż	260	8.300	7	4	1	435	2.200
Ă	13	3	260	8.600	ż	Î.		445	0.146
2	17	1	125	48,000	•	-	•		
ž		;	755	48 000	\$17F				•
	47	÷	175	44,000	*****	· .	•	105	40/ 000
	1.1		750	78,000	4			100	
	13	-	330	20.000					6.100
	13	2	350	33.000	<u> </u>		1	115	26.700
0	15	2	350	35.000	1		1	120	10.800
- 6	13	1	360	-0.200	7	8	1	435	0.840
6	13	2	360	-0.200	. 7	- 1	2	435	0.870
6	13	3	360	-0.200	7		1	445	0.045
6	13	1	415	27.100	7	8	2	445	0.068
6	13	2	415	30.800	•				
6	13	3	415	33,300	SITE	13			
6	13	ĩ	430	-0.100	7	. 13	1	105	489.000
ě	13	ż	430	-0,100	÷	11		110	8,100
Ā	17	Ę	430	0.740	÷			115	26 500
ž	47		135	4 800	<i>i</i>	11		120	12 100
ž		÷	175	7.600	4			140	2 100
	47	4	435	3.000			1	633	0 074
		2	• • • • •	2.000	1	u	1	443	0.006
•	13	1	440	0.002					
6	U	z	440	0.032	SITE	16			
6	13	3	440	8.027	7	16	1	105	485,000
6	13	1	445	0.087	7	16	1	110	8,100
6	13	2	445	0.081	7	16	1	115	26.600
6	13	ŝ	445	0.056	ż	14	· · ·	120	11,900
Ă	- m	ī	450	46.000	÷	14		475	0.960
ž		;	150	44 000	1	10	4	/15	0.000
		<u>é</u>	4,70		4	10	4	433	8.730
•	-15	2	430	46.000	1	16	1	443	0.045
6	13	1	455	Z.800	7	- 16	2	445	0.090
6	13	2	455	1.400					
6	13	- 3	455	1.100	SITE	2			
					8	2	1	105	468.000
SITE 1	16				1	2	2	105	477.000
6	16	1	105	538.000	Í.	Ž	ī	110	8.300
6	16	1	110	7.700	ī	ž	Ż	110	B.100
6	16	1	115	23,400	ī	5	1	115	33,800
Ā	56	•	120	4.800	i	;	;	115	29.600
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	14		121	81.100		5		120	A 200
	44		110	71 000			4	120	(200
	10						4	100	
•	10	1	<i>a</i> ao	155.000		- 2	1	• <u>•</u> •••	0.900
•	10	1	Z30	27.000		2	Z	435	0.850
	16	1	240	300.000	. 8	Z	1	445	0.985
6	16	1	250	0.900	1	2	2	445	0.113
6	16	1	260	20.000			•		•
6	- 16	1	325	58.000	SITE	4		•	
4	16	1	350	26,000	A	4	1	105	463.000
Ă	14	i	360	-0.200	Ĭ		i	110	8.400
Ă	16	i	415	23.400	Ă	Ĩ	i	115	26.900
i i i	16	· · ·	430	0.220	i	Ĩ	i	120	9.400
Ā	14	j	435	12,000		Ĩ		435	8,970
	14		iiin	8 089			:		0.081
	44		112	0 130	•		•		41441
	19		150	3/ 000					
•	10	1	430	34.000	SLIE	•	•		/30 000
0	16	1	432	8.400			1	100	
	-				3		1	110	0.00
SITE	Ζ.				£		1	115	Z6.400
7	2	1	105	483.000	1		1	120	6.600
7	2	1	110	8.000			. 1	435	1.400
7	2	1	115	26.700	Ē	Ĩ	1	445	0.059
7	5	1	120	11.400	-	-	-	-	
÷	5		175	2.000	erts	13			
	<u></u>	:		0.000	-110	·" ••	•	105	<u> </u>
(₹	1	443	4.430	9	 		103	
	_				8	<u> </u>		110	8.100
SITE	4				8	13	1	115	27.300
7.	4	1	105	478.000	8	13	1	120	8.500
7	- 4	1	110	8.200	8	13	1	435	0.780

A-17

•

•

÷

.

ł

1

Andrew Providence			PAUMETER						
PR. 45 (11	211E	GU0(1)	CCDE(2)	DATA(3)				PARMETER	
						ETTE	GLUD(1)	CC0E(2)	DATA/31
3	13	1	445	0.022					
				V.V/2	10	2	2	130	
\$17E	16				10	Ź	1	205	¥-000
	14	•			10	2	;		160.000
	14	1	105	455.000	5 t	•		200	160.000
	10	1	110	8.300	10		1	Z30	230,000
5	16	1	115	27 000	IU IV	Z	Z	Z30	233 001
8	16	1	120		10	2	1	240	7/0 000
8	16	÷	120	0.800	10	2	2		240.000
, i	14	:	• <u>•</u> •••	1.700	10		7		240-000
•	10	1	445	0.112	10		1	00	0.370
	-					<u> </u>	2	230	0.400
SITE	2				10	Z	1	260	13 000
9	2	1	105	144 000	10	2	2	260	17.000
9	Z	9	105	400.000	10	2	1	11	13.000
9	5		163	402,000	10	2		~~~~	\$0,000
ė	-	1	110	8.300	10			20	38:000
	£	<u> </u>	110	8.500	10			320	34.000
	<u> </u>	7	115	30.200	10	<u> </u>	2	320	34.000
y	2	2	115	77 400	10	4	1	360	+0.400
9	2	1	120	4 700	10	2	2	340	0.000
9	2	Ż	120	0.700	10	2	1	415	-0.000
9	2		124	5.700	10	2	;		ZY:300
ė			433	1.200	10	5	-	412	20,200
		e e	433	6.200	10	1	1	430	-0,100
	<u> </u>	1	445	0,052	10	<u> </u>	Z	430	-0.100
Ÿ	Z	2	445	0.020	18	2	1	435	1 100
			-		10	2	2	635	1. TON
#1TE 4	٢				10	2	Ť	<u>Ha</u>	0.720
•	6	•	104		10	2	<u>,</u>	110	-01010
Ó	ž		140	461,000	10			440	-0.010
	- 7	1	110	8.200	10		1	445	0.061
	7	1	775	23,600	10	<u> </u>	Z	445	0.072
	4	1	120	4.100	10	2	1	450	40.000
Y	- 4	1	435	0.040	10	2	2	450	17 000
•	4	1	445	0.700	10	2	1	455	37.000
		-		0.034	10	. 2	2	185	0.930
SITE 8							-	413	0.820
					S17F 4	1			
ė		1	105	467.000	10	<u>ر</u> ۱	•		
2		1	110	8,600	10	•	1	195	478,000
y y	8	1	115	26.100	10	- 4	1	110	8.500
9	8	1	120	7 000	10	4	1	115	14 100
9	6	1	175	7.000	10	- 4	1	120	10.200
•	2	i	114	0.940	10	4	- Ť	121	A.000
	-	•	440	0.060	10	i i		46.1	41-200
1111 11					10	7	:	130	8.400-
	**	-			10	7	1	205	160.000
	12	1	185	668.000			1	230	227,000
Y	13	1	110	\$ (00	10	- -	1	240	248 000
9	13	1	115	16 (00	10	. 4	1	250	A E16
•	13	•	120		10	4	1	240	0.310
9	iii -		144	8.400	10				14.000
ė		1	433	0.700	10	7		30	37.000
•	6	T	445	0.080	10		1	220	22.000
						•	1	· 260	-0.600
ALLE 10					10	4	1	415	31,600
- 9	16	1	105	154 000	10	- 4	- 1	- 430	-0.100
•	- 16	İ	110	436.000	30	-4	1 1	435 -	-V.100
•	16			8.800	10	4	i	440	V-800
ė.	14	· ·	715	23.700	10	ĩ		115	-0.010
é	14	1	120	8,900	10	- I			0.073
	.10	1	435	0.720	10	7	1	430	40.000
7	10	1	445	0.098	14	. •	T	435	0.230
•	16	2	445	0.097					
					SITE &				
SITE 2					10		1	105	235
10	2	1	105	100 on -	10	8	i	110	
10	-	-		490,000	10	ž	•	848	6.500
10	5	<u>د</u>	102 .	492.000	10			112	16,600
	· <u>«</u>	1	110	8.400	40	-	Ţ	120	9,100
10	` Z	Z	110	8 400	10	÷.	T	121	95.0m
10	2	1	115	* ***	10	8	1	130	6 144
10	2	7	446	0.00	10		1	205	100
10	2	Ŧ	113	21.200	10	8	Ť	710	100.000
10		1	120	8.200	10	i		200	230.000
	4	4	120	7,500	10	-	1	240	230.000
10	2	1	121	100.000	14	e	1	Z50	0.690
10	2	2	121	97 805	10	8	1	260	8.000
10	2	İ	130	10.000	10	8	1	325	Tá MA
	-	•	1.00	·y.000	10	1	İ	150	37.000
					•		•		34.000

A-18

.

. .

•

-

NONTH SITE CLUD(1) CODI(2) DATA(3) NOTH SITE CLUD(1) CODI(2) DATA(3) 10 8 1 410 30.0 10 16 3 30.0 14. 10 8 1 413 30.0 10 16 2 30.0 10. 10 8 1 435 0.100 10 16 2 413 31. 10 8 1 445 0.077 10 16 2 413 31. 10 8 1 455 0.600 10 16 2 433 0. 10 13 1 105 471.600 10 16 2 443 0. 10 13 1 120 9.600 10 16 2 443 0. 10 13 1 130 3.300 10 16 2 440 0.				PARAMETER					PARAMETER	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NONTR	SITE	CLAD(1)	CC0E(2)	DATA(3)	HCHTH	1111	GUD(1)	cost(1)	947A(3)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		••••			•••••••••					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$										_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	8	1	360	-0_400	10	16	3	350	34.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	ž	i	415	30,400	10	16	1	360	-0.400
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	8	1	430	-0,100	10	16	2	360	-0.400
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	8	i	435	0.710	10	16	3	360	. 3.700
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	ž	1	440	-0.010	10	16	1	415	. 31.800
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	8	1	445	0.070	10	16	Z	415	31.300
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	8	1	450	42.000	10	16	3	415	31,400
SITE 10 16 2 430 -0. 10 13 1 105 471,000 10 16 1 455 0. 10 13 1 115 17,800 10 16 3 455 0. 10 13 1 121 100,000 10 16 3 440 -0. 10 13 1 121 100,000 10 16 2 445 0. 10 13 1 200 10 16 2 445 0. 10 13 1 200 9,000 10 16 1 450 40. 10 13 1 200 9,000 10 16 2 455 0. 10 13 1 200 9,000 10 16 2 455 0. 10 13 1 455 1,000 10	10	8	1	455	0.860	10	16	1	430	0.140
SITE 10 16 1 4.3 4.30 -0. 10 13 1 105 471,000 10 16 1 4.55 0. 10 13 1 105 471,000 10 16 1 4.40 0. 10 13 1 120 9.460 10 16 1 4.40 0. 10 13 1 120 9.460 10 16 1 4.40 0. 10 13 1 120 9.460 10 16 1 4.40 0. 10 13 1 200 246,000 10 16 3 4.55 4. 10 13 1 200 6,000 10 16 3 4.55 1. 10 13 1 430 -6,100 11 2 105 5.55 1. 10 13 1 430 <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td> <td>16</td> <td>2</td> <td>430</td> <td>+0_100</td>			•			10	16	2	430	+0_100
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$17E 1	13				10	16	3	430	-0.100
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	105	491.000	10	16	1	435	0.430
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	110	8,600	10	16	Z	435	0.650
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	115	17.800	10	10	3	435	0.720
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	120	9.400	10	10	4	440	-0.010
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	121	100.000	10	44	÷	440	-0.010
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	130	5.300	10	14		115	0.121
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	205	160.000	10	14	;	115	0.134
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	230	226.000	10	16	i	145	0.111
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	240	240.000	10	16	1	450	40,000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	20	0.800	10	16	2	450	41,000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	260	9.000	10	16	i	450	42,000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	20	41.000	10	16	1	455	1,000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	320	34.000	10	16	;	455	0.880
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 10	13	1	360	+0.400	10	16	3	455	1,200
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	415	31.100			•		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13	1	430	-0.100	SITE	2			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	15		4.55	1.000	11	- 2	1	105	504.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	13		440	-0.010	11	2	ż	105	505.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	.17		450	42 000	11	ž	ī	110	8.300
10 10 11 2 1 11 2 1 115 20. \$17 10 16 1 105 453.000 11 2 115 16. 10 16 2 105 444.000 11 2 1 120 8. 10 16 2 105 444.000 11 2 120 8. 10 16 2 105 457.000 11 2 120 8. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 1205 142. 10 16 2 115 17.300 11 2 2 250 142. 10 16 2 115 17.300 11 2 2 250 240. 10 16 1 120 9.700 11 2 2 240 270. 10 16 1 1	10	11		455	0.790	11	2	2	110	8.300
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			•	422	•••/•	11	2	1	115	20.300
10 16 1 105 433.000 11 2 3 115 16. 10 16 2 105 444.000 11 2 1 120 8. 10 16 3 105 445.000 11 2 1 120 8. 10 16 3 105 445.000 11 2 1 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 1 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 1 130 7. 10 16 2 115 17.300 11 2 1 205 162. 10 16 2 115 16.800 11 2 2 205 162. 10 16 1 120 10.800 11 2 1 200 200. 11 2 1 200 200. 11 2 2 200. 200. 11 2	\$17F 1	A				11	2	2	115	17.400
10 16 2 105 444,000 11 2 1 120 8. 10 16 3 105 447,000 11 2 120 8. 10 16 1 110 8.700 11 2 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 2 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 2 130 7. 10 16 3 110 8.900 11 2 1 250 142. 10 16 3 115 18.100 11 2 2 250 142. 10 16 3 115 16.800 11 2 1 240 270. 10 16 3 120 9.700 11 2 1 240 270. 10 16 1 121 120.000 11 2 2 260 0. 0. 10 </td <td>10</td> <td>16</td> <td>1</td> <td>105</td> <td>483,000</td> <td>11</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>115</td> <td>16.400</td>	10	16	1	105	483,000	11	2	3	115	16.400
10 16 3 105 447,000 11 2 120 8. 10 16 110 8.700 11 2 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 1 205 162. 10 16 2 115 18.100 11 2 1 205 162. 10 16 2 115 17.300 11 2 1 205 162. 10 16 1 120 10.900 11 2 2 200 230 10 16 1 120 10.900 11 2 2 240 270. 10 16 1 120 9.700 11 2 1 240 270. 10 16 1 120 9.700 11 2 1 250 0. 10 16 1 12	10	16	ż	105	484.000	11	2	1	120	8.100
10 16 1 10 8.700 11 2 1 130 7. 10 16 2 110 8.800 11 2 2 130 10. 10 16 3 110 8.900 11 2 1 205 162. 10 16 3 115 18.100 11 2 2 205 162. 10 16 2 115 17.500 11 2 2 205 162. 10 16 3 115 16.800 11 2 2 205 162. 10 16 3 115 16.800 11 2 2 200 220 10 16 1 120 9.700 11 2 1 240 270. 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 2 250 0. 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 2 250 0. <t< td=""><td>10</td><td>16</td><td>3</td><td>105</td><td>487.000</td><td>11</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>120</td><td>8.400</td></t<>	10	16	3	105	487.000	11	2	2	120	8.400
101621108.800112213010101631108.9001121205162.1016111518.1001121205162.1016111517.3001121250240.1016311516.8001121240270.1016112010.9001121240270.101611209.700112126011.10161121120.000112126011.101611209.700112126011.101611209.700112226011.101611209.700112226011.101611209.700112126011.10161205160.000112226011.10161205160.000112135537.10161205160.000112135033.10161205236.0001121450-0.10161240250.000 <td< td=""><td>10</td><td>16</td><td>1</td><td>110</td><td>8.700</td><td>11</td><td>Z</td><td>1</td><td>130</td><td>7.400</td></td<>	10	16	1	110	8.700	11	Z	1	130	7.400
101631108.9001121205162.1016111518.1001122205162.1016211517.3001122200240.1016311516.8001122250240.1016112010.9001121240270.101621209.7001121240270.10161121120.000112226011.1016113012.000112226011.1016113012.000112226011.1016113012.000112226011.10161205160.000112135539.10161205160.000112235033.10161230236.0001121360-0.10161230236.0001122360-0.10162240250.000112145030.10163250256.000112245000.101632606.840<	10	16	2	110	1.200	11	Z	Z	130	10.000
1016111518.1001122205162.1016211517.3001121250240.1016311516.8001122230238.1016112010.9001121240270.101621209.7001121260270.10161121120.00011222500.1016113012.000112226011.1016113012.000112226011.1016113012.000112226013.10161205160.000112235535.10161205160.000112235034.10162205160.0001121360-0.10163250236.0001122360-0.10163250250.000112243530.10163260250.000112243530.101632606.84011214350.101632606.840 <td>10</td> <td>16</td> <td>3</td> <td>110</td> <td>8.900</td> <td>11</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>205</td> <td>162.000</td>	10	16	3	110	8.900	11	2	1	205	162.000
1016211517.3001121250240.1016311516.8001122250238.1016112010.9001121240270.1016212010.80011222506.101631209.70011212506.1016113012.00011222500.1016113012.000112224013.1016113012.000112224013.1016313010.000112224013.10163205140.000112235536.10161205140.000112135035.10161205140.0001121360-0.10161205236.0001121360-0.10161205256.000112143530.10163250256.000112143530.101632506.630112143530.101632500.000 <td< td=""><td>10</td><td>16</td><td>1</td><td>115</td><td>18,100</td><td>11</td><td>Z</td><td>z</td><td>205</td><td>162.000</td></td<>	10	16	1	115	18,100	11	Z	z	205	162.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	2	115	17.300	11	2	1	250	240.000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	3	115	16.800	11	Z	Z	250	258.000
10 16 2 120 10.800 11 2 2 200 200 10 16 3 120 9.700 11 2 1 250 0 10 16 1 121 120.000 11 2 2 260 11 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 1 260 11 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 2 260 13 10 16 2 130 12.000 11 2 2 260 13 10 16 2 130 12.000 11 2 2 325 13 10 16 2 205 160.600 11 2 2 350 34 10 16 2 205 160.600 11 2 1 350 34 10 16 2 210 218.600 11 2 360 -0 10	10	16	1	120	10.900	11	Z	1	240	270.000
10 16 3 120 9.700 11 2 1 200 0 10 16 1 121 120.000 11 2 2 250 0 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 2 260 11 10 16 1 130 12.000 11 2 1 260 11 10 16 3 130 12.000 11 2 1 325 39 10 16 3 130 10.000 11 2 1 325 39 10 16 1 205 160.000 11 2 1 350 34 10 16 1 230 236.000 11 2 1 360 -0 10 16 1 230 236.000 11 2 1 415 30 10 16 1 240 250.000 11 2 1 415 30	10	16	2	120	10.800	· · · · ·	2	Z	240	270.000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	3	120	9.700	11		1	200	0.710
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	1	121	120.000	11		4	240	11 000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	1	130	12.000	11	2		240	13.000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	- 16	Z	130	12.000	ii	5	4		39,000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	16	3	130	10.000	ii	;	;	325	40,000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	10	1	205	160.000	ii	2	ī	350	34.000
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	10	4	200	140 000	11	Ž	ź	350	33.000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	10		210	714 000	11	Ž	1	360	-0.100
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 2 1 415 30 10 16 1 240 250.000 11 2 2 415 30 10 16 2 240 250.000 11 2 1 430 0 10 16 2 240 250.000 11 2 1 430 0 10 16 3 240 260.000 11 2 1 435 0 10 16 3 240 260.000 11 2 1 435 0 10 16 3 250 0.860 11 2 1 435 0 10 16 3 250 0.870 11 2 1 440 0 10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0	10	10	-	200	230.000	11	ž	ż	360	-0.100
10 16 3 250 250.000 11 2 415 30 10 16 2 240 250.000 11 2 1 430 0 10 16 3 240 250.000 11 2 2 430 0 10 16 3 240 260.000 11 2 1 433 0 10 16 1 250 0.840 11 2 1 433 0 10 16 2 250 0.830 11 2 2 433 0 10 16 3 250 0.870 11 2 1 440 0 10 16 3 250 0.470 11 2 1 440 0	10	10	<u> </u>	200	714 000	11	ž	Ĩ	415	30.800
10 16 2 240 250.000 11 2 1 430 0 10 16 2 240 250.000 11 2 1 430 0 10 16 3 240 260.000 11 2 2 430 0 10 16 1 250 0.840 11 2 1 435 0 10 16 2 250 0.850 11 2 2 433 0 10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0	10	10		2/0	250.000	11	Ž	ź	415	30.600
10 16 2 240 260.000 11 2 2 430 0 10 16 1 250 0.840 11 2 1 433 0 10 16 1 250 0.840 11 2 1 433 0 10 16 2 250 0.850 11 2 2 433 0 10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0	10	14	÷	240	250 000	11	Ż	1	430	0.130
10 16 1 250 0.840 11 2 1 435 0 10 16 2 250 0.850 11 2 2 435 0 10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0 10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0	10	44	÷	240	260.000	11	2	Z	430	0.110
10 16 2 250 6.830 11 2 2 433 0 10 16 3 250 0.470 11 2 1 440 0 10 16 3 250 0.470 11 2 1 440 0	10	14	ĩ	250	0.840	11	2	1	435	0.610
10 16 3 250 0.670 11 2 1 440 0	10	44	;	250	0.830	11	2	2	435	0.640
	10	14	i	250	0.670	11	2	1	440	0.020
10 16 1 260 22.000 ii 6 2 mu U	10	16	ī	260	22.000	11	2	2	440	0.918
10 16 2 260 23.000 11 2 1 445 0	10	16	2	260	23.000	11	2	1	445	0.073
10 16 3 260 22,000 11 2 2 445 0	10	16	ŝ	260	22.000	11	2	2	445	0.063
10 16 1 325 33.000 11 2 1 450 40	10	16	ī	325	38.000	11	Ž	1	450	49.000
10 16 2 325 39.000 11 2 2 450 41	10	16	ż	325	39.000	11	2	Z	450	41.000
10 16 3 325 38.000 11 2 1 455 0	10	16	Ī	325	38.000	11	Z	1	435	0.940
10 16 1 350 34.000 11 Z Z 455 0	10	16	1	350	34.000	11	z	Z	455	0.510
10 16 2 350 34.000	10	16	2	350	34.000					

Statute Statutes

ĺ

-11;-

ĺ

ſ

·

NCK	TH SITI	E GW0(1)	PARAMETER CODE(2)	DATA(3)	MONTH	SITE	GRAD(1)	PARMETER CODE(2)	DATACT
SITI 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		105 110 115 120 130 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 2	496.000 8.400 11.200 10.100 8.400 160.000 235.000 240.000 38.000 38.000 38.000 38.000 38.000 31.000 -0.110 0.430 -0.011 0.664 40.000 6.830	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	115 120 130 205 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230 230	13.000 10.200 16.000 16.000 250.000 250.000 15.000 38.000 34.000 -0.100 0.330 0.100 0.330 0.008 43.000 0.580
SITE 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	100112888888888888888888888888888888888	502.000 8.400 10.400 10.100 6.600 257.000 250.000 3.000 3.000 31.000 -0.100 0.700 -0.100 0.700 -0.100 0.500 0.500 -0.001 0.551 40.000 6.660					·
SITE 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	82152888888888888855555	501.000 8.500 9.900 6.300 144.000 234.000 270.000 8.750 2.000 34.000 35.700 0.000 35.700 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000000 0.0000 0.0000 0.00000 0.00000 0.0000 0.000		-	•		
SITE 16 11 11	16 16	1 1	105 110	499.000 8.800			·		

A-20

•

and the state of the second

								PARMETER	
			PARAMETER	DATA(3)	NONTH	SITE	GUD(1)	CODE(Z)	DATA(3)
NCNTH	SITE	COD(1)	LUDE(2)	V0104=1					
					4		1	240	300,000
	2				7	Ĕ	ź	240	310.000
4	- 2	1	105	558.000	2	` Ē	3	240	290.000
- 4	2	1	110	8.800	4	8	1	250	0.830
. 4	2	1	115	13.800	4	8	2.	250	0.820
6	2	1	120	111.000	٤	8	3	- 250	0.810
. 4	7		130	16.000	4	8	1	200	17.000
. 2	2		205	180.000		5	4	260	11.000
	,		230	270,000			1	325	57.000
	2	1	240	320.000		ž	ż	325	55.000
4	2	: 1	250	0,900	Ĩ	ě	3	325	54.000
. 4	2	1	260	£2.000 54.000	4	8	1	350	31.000
4	2		325	31,000	٤	8	<u>2</u>	320	30.000
			360	-0.001	4		3	220	-0.001
	2	i	415	29.000		-		360	-0.001
		i i	430	-0.100	2		3	360	-0.001
. 4	1	2 1	435	5,400		Ì	īī	415	25.000
· 4		2 1	440	-0.010		. 8	1 2	415	28.000
. 4		2 1	443	41.000		. 1	3	415	27.000
- 4	2		420	2,800			1 1	430	-0.104
ť •		к J						210	0.140
SITE	4						s 3	435	5.800
4		6 1	105	514.000			Ż	435	6.100
4	. 4	6 1	110	8,500			8 3	435	000.61
, 4			115	16.200		i 1	6 1	440	-0.010
			121	160,000	4			440	0.020
		i i	130	9,300			6 J	445	0.100
	•	i 1	205	168.000			ż	445	0.160
· 4	ن ا	4 1	230	250.000		i i	i 3	445	0.090
4		4 1	240	310.000		L 1	8 1	450	38.500
		6]	250	23,000	4	6 i	8 2	450	30.000
	6 2		325	52,000	• •	ι.	8 3	450	3.600
	c i	Z i	350	29.000	•	ц		455	3,200
	i	i 1	360	-0.001		2	8 3	455	4,000
	6	4 1	415	25.000		•			
. 4	6	4 1	430	-0.190	SITE	13			
ц 4	ç	4 1	435	-0.010		4 1	IS 1	100	550.000
			145	0.140		4 3		110	14.400
:	2	7 i	450	37.000		4		120	14.100
:	Ĩ.	i i	455	2.800		2	13 1	121	139.000
						2	īs i	150	, 11.000
SITE	8		105	R/3 000		ι, ·	13 1	205	186.000
ų –	4	8 1	105	547.000		4	1 • 1	250	200.000
	4		105	558.000		4		240	1.300
	2	1 1	110	9,000		4	ו ני ת 1	260	23.000
•	ĩ	ž	110	- 8,900		1	i i	22	64.000
	4	8 3	110	004.8		i .	i i	350	30.000
1	4	8 1	115	13,300		4	13 1	360	-0.001
	4	8 2	115	10.000		4	13 1	.415	25.000
ņ.	2	6 3	120	14.200		4	12 1	450 175	5_600
r	7	0 1 8 7	120	12,900		4	រេ រ		-0.910
	2	i 3	120	11.000		1	13 1	445	0.120
;	4	8 1	121	137.000		ĩ	ü i	450	38.300
	4	8 1	130	7.100		4	13 1	455	Z.900
i.	4	5 2	130	7 200					
	4	6 3	205	196.000	ST	E' 16		400	AA7_000
	2	р I 8 7	205	180,000		4	10 1	100	8.500
17	-	i i	205	180,000		7	16 1	115	15.000
	4	8 1	230	256.000		7	16	120	12.600
	4	8 2	230	266.000		Ĩ.	16	1 121	124.000
	4	8 3	230	266,000			-		

										(
NONTH	\$ITE	GAD(1)	PARANETER CODE(2)	PATA(3)		NONT	N SITE	GRAD(1)	PARMETER CODE(2)	DATA(3)
4	16 16	1	130 205	21.000 216.000			5 13	1	120	8,400
4	16	1	230	333.000			5 73 5 13	2	120	8.100
4	16		240	370.000		j	5 13	1	120	7.300
4	16	i	260	39,000		5	5 13	Ż	435	6.100 4.400
4	16	1	325	78.000) 13 17	3.	435	6.800
	16	1	350	36.000		5	13	2	445	0.080
4	16	i	415	-0.001		5	13	ī	45	0.060
4	16	-1	430	0.160		817E	44			0.000
2	16 14	1	435	7.600	. •	5	⁷⁰ 16	•	400	_
4	16	i	445	-0.010		Š	16	i	110	705.000
4	16	1	430	44.300		5	16	1	115	19.000
•	10	r	455	2,600		ś	16		120	9.500
SITE 2	2					5	16	i	445	13.000
5	2	1	105	571.000		\$1 1 F	,			•
รี	ź	2	105	562.000		4	` z	t	105	E/1 000
5	2	ż	110	8.300		6	2	2	105	539,000
2 2	2	1	115	26.200		2	2	1	110	8,300
5	2	1	115	24.600		i i	ž	1	110	8,000
5	2	ż	120	7.700		6	2	2	115	27.000
5	2	1	435	6.400			Z	1	120	7.400
5	2.	4	435	6.900		, i	2	2	120	5.700
Ś	ž	ż	45	0.300		4	ž	i	445	. 0.070
SITE A				•.•/•		4	2	2	• 445	0.100
5	4	1	105	5/5 m		SITE	4			
ş	4	1	110	5.100		6	4	1	105	510,000
5	-	1	115	18.000			- 1	2	105	533.000 /
5	4	i	435	7.500		4	4	ī	· 110	541.000
5	4	1	445	0.020			4	2	110	8.000
SITE 8						. i	- 2	1.	110	8.000
5	8	1	105	560,000		4	4	2	115	24.900
5	8	2	105	565.000			4	3	115	24.000
ś	2	3	105	564.900		š	- 2	2	129	14.100
5	8	ż	110	7.900	•• •	. 6	4	ŝ	120	£.000
3	8	3	110	8.100			4	1	435	5.800
Ś	2	1	115	19.300	• :	ĩ	- 2	3	435	5.600
5	8	3	115	14.200	•	6	4	ī	- is i	0,070
- 5	8	1	120	9.100		2	4.	ş	445	0.040
5	i	3	120	8.300				-	445	0.050
5	8	1	435	7.000		1 311E 1		•		
5	8	3	435	5.800		Ă.	8	ł	100	530.000
5	8	1	445	0.070			8	1	115	25.400
5	8	Z	445	0.050			8	1	120	12.000
•	-	-	443	0.080		6	ž	i	445	5.800
SITE 13						\$17F			-	
5	5	2	105	566.000		iu	13	1	105	518 mm
5 1	3	3	105	542,000		6	13	Ž	105	551.000
5 1	13	1	110	8.100		•	ររ ររ	2	105	561.000
2 1	دا ۲	ž	110	8.100		i	13	2	110	8.400
5 1	3	1	115	8.000 30 7m		6	13	ŝ	110	8.000 7_800
5 t	3	Ż	115	19.600	· •	6	13	1	115	25.000
5 t	3	3	115	18.400		0 *	13	2	115	25.800
						•	1.0	3	115	Z3.300

A CONTRACTOR

.

A-22

.

.



.

NONTH	SITE	GUD(1)	PARANETER CODE(2)	DATA(3)	NONTH	SITE	BRAD(1)	PALMETER CODE(2)	DATA(3)
6	13	3	120	10.400	7	4	1	22	45.000
6	13	2	120	6.400	1		2	363	30.000
6	13	3	120	4.800	÷.		2	350	29,000
6	13	1	435	6.600	7	- 7	1	340	-0.001
6	13	Z	435	6.000	7	- 7	ż	-360	+0.001
0	15	3	•32 LLS	0.070	7	4	1	415	25.000
Å	11	;	745	0.070	7	4	2	415	24.000
ě.	13	3	445	0.040	7	- 4	1	430	-0.400
-					7	- 4	Z	430	-0.400
SITE	16				7		1	CLA 171	\$ 200
6	16	1	105	530.000	`	;	4	422	0.015
6	16	2	105	551.000	4	- 2	2	440	-0.010
2	16	1	110	7 900	ż	i i	ī	445	0.062
Å	10	1	115	25.700	7	4	2	445	0.079
6	16	ż	115	24.200	7	- 4	1	450	34.000
6	16	ī	120	8.200	7	4	2	450	31.000
6	16	Z	120	7.200	7	4	1	633 455	A.100
6	16	1	435	9.200	1	•	4	~~	
6	16	Z	435	10.000	\$1TF				
9	10		4403	0.140	7	<u>ک</u> آ	1	105	\$03.000
e	10	•			7	Ĩ	1	110	8.200
SITE	2				7	8	1	115	25.300
7	- 2	1	105	542.000	7		1	120	9,300
7	2	1	110	8.400	7	1	1	121	2.800
7	2	1	- 115	26.000	. 4			205	160,000
4	2		120	130.000	÷	ĭ	i	230	245.000
÷.	. 5		130	9.400	7	Ī	1	240	270.000
ż	2	i	205	160.000	-7	8	1	250	0.900
7	2	1	230	262.000	7		1	260	45-000
7	2	1	240	290.000	1			350	30.000
<u> </u>	2	1	250	1.010	÷			360	-0.001
- 4	2		200	49 000	ż		1	415	25.000
	5		30	30.000	Ì	Ĩ	i i	430	-0.400
	5		360	-0.001	7	1	1	435	5.100
ż	2		415	24.000	7	8	1	440	-0.010
7	ž	1	430	-0.400	7	1	1	445	0.045
7	2	1	435	5.500	<u> </u>			450	1.500
7	2	1	440	0.018	. 7	1	1	433	• • • • • • •
<u> </u>	Z	1	445	0.077	***	17			
	2		420	3,000	7	ີ ບ	5 1	105	518.000
• •	•		-33		7	1	3 1	110	.8.200
SITE	4				. 7	1	5 1	115	25.100
1	- 4	1	105	508.000	7		3 7	120	148.000
7	4	2	· 105	- 535.000	7	้ ใ ส		130	5.400
<u> </u>	4	1	110	7 800	÷	· .	3 1	205	164.000
			110	25,700	j	, ī	3 i	230	250.000
÷	7		115	24.300	· 7	. T	31	240	290.000
7		1	120	9,900	7	1	3 1	250	1.300
7	L L	Ż	120	5,400	1	. 1	3 1	260	10.000
7	4	1	121	124.000			3 1	343	31.000
7	4	1	130	4,000				3.0	-0.001
7	4	2	130	11.000		, ,	3 1	415	25.000
7	4		200	176,000		i i	ī i	430	-0.400
- '	2		230	246.000		r i	13 I	435	5.300
- '	2		230	260,000		7 1	3 1	440	+0.010
ż		īī	240	260.000		<u>r</u> 1	13 1	445	140
7	4	2	240	290,000		r i	1	47U 192	1.800
7	4	i 1	250	0.940		• . `	ы 1		
7	4	<u>z</u>	250	0.900	e172	14			
7	4		260	20,000	9116	7	16 1	105	563.000
7		L Z	260	£1.000		-	·~ •		

Ser la constante de la constan

11.2

.

			PARAHETER					
PERCEN	SITE	GMD(1)	CCDE(2)	DATA(3)	NONTH ST	E CRAD(1)	PARAETER ODE/2)	
							(L) (L)	DATA(3)
7	16	,	100	/				
ż	16	Ť	105	487.000	site a			
7	16	ż	110	6.300 7.400	8	81	105	451.000
7	16	ī	115	24 900	8	81	110	8.400
7	16	ż	115	23,100		8 1	115	27.500
7	16	Ĩ	120	10.200	8	6 1	120	10.400
7	16	2	120	4.700		8 1	· 435	3.000
7	56	1	121	124.000	. 4	5 T	445	0.062
4	10	1	130	10.000	\$17F 13			
÷	10	2	130	26.000	8 1	5 1	105	
÷	16	2	200	172.000	8 1	5 2	105	448.000
7	16	ī	230	100.000	8 ti	5 ī	110	*/9.000 8.400
7	16	ż	230	234.000		2	110	7.500
7	16	1	240	300.000		1	115	29.500
Ţ	16	2	240	260.000	6 1. 2 1	7	115	26.900
4	16	1	250	1.300	8 11	-	120	11.100
÷	10	2	250	0.960		1	120	-1.000
ż	16	1	260	18.000	8 13	2	275	3.000
7	16	1	175	24,000	8 13	ī	45	3.400
7	16	ż	3 2	23.000	£ 13	Z	445	0.073
7	16	ī	350	31.000				
7	16	2	350	23.000	SITE 16			
7	16	1	360	-0.001	4 16	1	105	443.000
7	16	2	360	-0,001	• 10	Z	105	455.000
÷	16	1	415	24.000	• 10 8 44	3	105	470.000
÷	10 14	2	415	18.000	04 00 At 8	1	110	8.200
ż	16	2	430	-0.400	8 16	ŝ	110	7.900
7	16	ī	275	4 200	4 16	ĩ	115	20 500
7	16	Z	435	5.400	4 14	2	115	28,200
7	16	1	440 .	0.023	4 16	2	115	27.300
Ţ	16	2	440	890.0	4 16	1	120	8.800
÷	16	1	445	0.120	4 30 8 46	Ž	120	6.100
÷	10	2	445	0.190	0, P Af 1		120	Z.700
ż	16	2	450	32.000	8 14	ż	100	3 500
7	16	ī	455	4.000	4 16	3	33	1.700
7	16	ż	455	2.400	4 16	1	445	0.210
•		-		4.800	8 16	2	445	0.140
SITE 2								
8	2	1	105	453.000	311E Z	•		
	2	Z	105	459.000	. 7 2		105	470.000
2	5		110	8.200		;	105	470.000
i	5	4	110	7.700	÷ 2	ĩ	110	4/3,000
8	ž	ż	115	33.000 .	9 - 2	2	110	8.200
8	2	ī	120	6.600	9 2	1	115	28,200
• •	2	2	120 .	4.300	7 2	* '2	115	24,200
	2	1	435	3.200	1 2	1	120	7.200
	2	Z	435	3.000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4	120	4.500
	2	3	445	0.079	÷ 2	2	275	1.800
•	•	•	~~	0.095	Ý Ž	ī	ũ,	1.086
SITE 4					9 2	2	445	0.067
8	4	1	105	445.000				
8	4	2	105	464,000	SITE 4			
8	4	1	110	8.400	7 4	T	105	468.000
8	4	Z	110	8.000	7 4 1		110	000.5
5	7	1	115	28.100	Ý Ž	÷	115	22.600
u R	2	4	115	27.200	9 4	i	275	2 000
Ă	7	2	120	11.200	· • • •	i	ili s	0.080
	i i	1	435	3.400				
8	4	ż	435	2.700	SITE 8	_		
8	4	1	445	0.073	7 4	1	105	470.000
8	4	2	445	0.110	7 8		110	000.5
					7 G G 2	1	115	22.500
					7 Q	•	141	7.400

1

1

- -- -- .

									5-1145		
			PARAMETER					•	ALL LANGE		
NONTH	SITE	GLU(1)	CC0E(2)	DATA(3)		RONTH	8178	MAR(1)		1. X	
										Carl and a second of	
									, 1in (
9	8	1	435	1.700		10	4	1	301 ~		
Y	0	1	443	0.077		10		1	100		
SITE	13					10	2	1	340		
9	13	1	105	468.000		10			240		
9	13	1	110	8.300		10	4	i	323	37:000	
9	13	1	115	23.400		10	- 4	1	330	19.000 -	
Y	13	1	120	7.500		10	4	1	360	+0.001	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	13		45	0.050		10	1	1	413.	24.000	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second
•		•				10		i	435	9.170	
SITE	16					10	- 4	1	440	0.028	
9	16	1	105	461.000		10	4	1	445	0.063	
y c	16	1	110	8.800		10	4	1	450	36.000	
ý	16	1	120	7.300		10	•	1	435	Z.600	
ģ	16	i	435	1,400		SITE	8				
9	16	1	445	0.120		10	8	1	105	456.000	
	•					10	8	1	110	7.800	
3115	2 ,		105	/ 37 000		10	8	1	115	16.800	
10	2	;	105	473.000		10		1	120	7.500	
10	ž	ī	110	7.400		10	Ê	1	121	79.000	
10	2	2	110	7.800		10	Ē	i	205	148,000	
10	2	1	115	20.000		10	8	1	230	228.000	
10	2	2	115	16.100		10		1	240	260.000	
10	2	ż	120	7.600		10		1	250	0.580	
10	ž	ī	121	88.000		10	ĭ	- i	725	000.e	
10	2	1 .	130	14.000		10	Ĩ	i	320	30.000	
10	-7	2	130	47.000		10	8	1	360	-0.001	•
10	2	1	205	156.000		10	8	1	415	26.000	
10	2	1	230	778 000		10		1	430	0.170	
10	ž	ż	230	236,000		10			e22	1.300	
10	Ž	Ī	240	290.000		10	ī	i	45	0.075	
10	2	2	240	290.000		10	8	i	450	35.000	
10	Z	1	200	0.650		10	1	1	455	2.200	
10	2	1	260	18,000		\$177 1	•				
10	ž	ż	260	18.000		10	. 12	1	105	661.000	
10	2	1	325	41.000		10	ធ	i	110	7.600	
10	Z	2	22	48.000		10	13	1	115	17.800	
10	2	1	022	25.000	•	10	<u><u></u></u>	1	120	7.600	
10	2	1	340	-0.001	•	10	ц т	1	121	82.000	
10	ž	ż	360	-0.001		10	ä	•	205	144.000	
10	2	1	415	26.000		10	ũ	í	230	218,000	
10	~ 2	2	415	26.000		10	13	1	240	250.000	
10	2	1	430	0.210		10	<u>u</u>	1	250	0.600	
10	2	1	435	2,100		10	11		200	13.000	
10	ž	ż	435 -	3.300		10	ũ	i	350	29,000	
10	2	1	440	0.058		10	. 13	i	360	-0.001	
10	2	2	440	0.060		10	12	1	415	27.000	
10	2	1 7	443 445	0.120		10	17	1	430	0.110	
10	2	1	450	37,000		10	13		435	1.300	
10	ž	ż	450	38.000		10	ធ	i	445	0.055	
10	2	1	455	3.800		10	13	Í	450	34.000	
10	2	2	455	5.200		10	13	1	455	2.200	
****							e -				
10	~ _	1	105	457-000			, 1A	•	105	50/ 000	
10	-	i	110	7.700		10	16	i	110	7.400	
10	Ĩ	i	115	15.500		10	16	i	115	12.200	
10	4	1	120	7.700		10	16	i	120	8.000	
10	4	1	121	79.000		10	16	1	121	76.000	
10	- 4	1	130	9.300		10	16	1	130	34.000	

			PARAMETER						••••		
	2115	FU0(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)		NONTH	SITE	GLD(1)	CODE(2)	DATACE	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	78 18 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	200 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 240 240	168.000 238.000 1.300 42.000 54.000 23.000 25.000 26.000 6.270 5.800 0.160 0.250 29.000 10.000		11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	\$ 3 5 5 3 5 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	22222222222222222222222222222222222222	240,000 279,000 44,000 44,000 -0,001 24,000 -0,100 2,800 -0,100 2,800 -0,100 2,800 -0,010 39,000 1,700	
SITE 2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	8911522188888888888888888888888888888888	583.000 8.100 8.500 12.600 109.000 5.400 271.000 370.000 6.740 10.000 40.000 40.000 6.130 5.500 -0.810 44.000 3.900		sine : 11 17 17 17 17 11 11 11 11 11	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	85558258588888888858855555555555555555	459.200 9.100 9.100 11.400 102.000 4.500 238.000 301.000 0.740 12,000 48.000 48.000 48.000 -0.001 24.000 -0.001 24.000 -0.001 24.000 -0.001 0.069 60.000	構成などの言語を見
SITE 6 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	*************	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1915 1915 1927 1928 1928 1928 1928 1929 1929 1929 1929	534.600 7.800 9.200 166.003 5.900 196.800 258.000 327.000 6.450 11.000 54.000 37.000 -0.001 26.000 0.130 3.400 -0.010 2.500 4.2000 2.900	•	SITE 16 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	* *******************	55556655888888888855566555555555555555	1,700 720,000 8,000 7,300 12,900 108,000 3,400 274,000 430,000 430,000 84,000 84,000 84,000 -0,001 27,000 -0,100 8,900 0,016 0,066 53,000 9,500	
111 11 11 11 11 11 11	8 8 8 8 8 8	1 7 1 1 5 1	105 110 115 120 121 130 205	477.000 7.800 9.700 11.000 95.000 3.900 166.000							

.

an ann a' fhean an ta ga ann an Meanairte Ann an ann an ann an

			PARAMETER					PARAMETER	
	SITE	CE10(1)	COF(2)	DATA(3)	HONTH	SITE	GU0(1)	COE(2)	DATA(3)
		,						•	
	•				4	4	· 1	130	\$.1000
3116	· .			c	4	4	1	205	178.0000
4	Z	1	105	367,000	4	4	1	230	248.0000
4	2	2	105	563,0000	4	4	1	240	300.0000
4	2	1	110	7.9000	· 🔒	Ĩ		250	8.4700
4	2	2	110	8,0000	4		;	260	16 0000
4	2	1	115	22.7000	-	7	:	176	\$7 000
4	2	2	115	19_1000	-		:	360	30,000
4	2	1	120	8,7000		7	:	350	-0.0010
4	2	2	120	8,7000	7	;	:	360	
4	2	1	121	101_8000	,			+15	23.000
4	2	1	130	4.7000		•	1	430	-0.1000
4	2	2	130	4,9000	•		1	435	5.9000
4	2	1	205	179.0000	4	4	1	44.0	-0.0100
4	2	2	205	182.0000	4	4	1	445	0,0880
L.	2	1	230	244.0000	4	4	-1	450	36.000
, i		,	250	246.0000	4	- 4	1	455	1.2130
	,	-	24.0	320,0000					
			6 9/9	300,0000	SITE	1			
		4	240		4		1	105	514.0000
			200		4		1	110	7.9000
		Z	200	0.900	4	1	1	115	14.9000
4	z	1	260	18,0000	4	. I	1	120	10.4000
	2	2	260	21,000	4		1	121	105,9000
4	2	1	22	54.0000	4	Ē	i	130	4,9000
4	Z	. Z	320	59.0000			•	. 205	174.0000
4	z	1	350	32,8000	L L			250	748.0000
4	2	2	350	21.0000	Ĩ			74.0	290,0000
4	2	1	340	-0,9010	-			200	a \$400
4	2	2	360	-9.0010				250	
4	.5	1	415	25.5000				250	
4	2	2	415	23.4000	- A		1	22	55.000
4	2	1	430	-0,1000			1	350	30.0000
4	2	2	430	-0.1000	4		1	340	-0.9010
4	2	1	435	4.1000	- 4	- 8	1	415	25.5000
- 4	2	2	435	4.2000	4		1	430	-0.1000
L.	· 2	-	440	6.8180	4	1	1	435	5,9000
4	2	ż	440	8.5190	4		1	44.0	-0,8100
4	2	1	445	E.0580	4		1	445	0_0480
, i		,	445	8.0790	.4	1	1	. 450	34.0000
Ĩ			450	35.0000	4		1.	435	0.4260
	· ,	;	450	55,0000					
		•	(85	1 4450	SITE	13			
				1 4040	4	ឋ	1	105	530,0000
•	2	Z	33		4	13	1	110	7,9000
					4	5	1	115	17.0000
SITE	4				L.	13		120	9.2000
4	4	1	105	512.0000			4.	121	103.2000
4	- 4	1	110	7.9000	• •		;	120	5 5000
4	- 4	1	115	14.2000	•		•		3.700
4	4	1	120	11.2000	•	ນ 📻		205 111	160.000
4	4	1.	121	110.2000	4	น	1	20	246,0000

1

--- --- .

			PARMETER						
NCMEN	SITE	GUD(1)	CODE(2)	PATA(3)			-	PARMETER	
							econ(1)	EEEE(2)	data(3)
4	13	1	240	310.0000	4	14			
4	13	1	250	0.7800	Ĩ.	14	,	415	25.5000
4	B	· 1	260	16.0000	L.	16		413	28.7000
4	13	1	325	56.0000	· 4	14	3	415	32.0000
4	13	1	320	31,0000	4	- ia	,	450	-0.1000
4	13	1	340	-0.0010	4	14	÷	430	-0.1000
	13	1	415	24.6000	L.	16	•	N 00	-0.1000
	u	1	430	+0.1000	4	16	,	435	8.4000
•	U	1	435	5.4000	4	16	3	435	7.0000
	U 5	1	440	0.0180	4	14	1	440	0006.9
;	13	1	443	0.0960	4	14	2	440	-0.5100
-	13	1	450	34.0000	4	14	3	440	-0.0100
•	u	1	433	1.7410	• 4	14	1	448	-6-0100
-	a.				4	16	2		0.0970
	•	-			4	16	3		0.1200
-	10		705	410.9000	4	14	1	450	9.1100
	10	1	110	7.9000	4	16	2	450	41.0000
-	44	1	115	15,,5000	4	16	3	430	42.000
-	14	1	120	11.1000	4	16	ī	275	43.000
	14	1	121	112.7000	4	16	2	455	6.5040
Ā	14	<u> </u>	121	112.9000	4	56	3	455	5 10/0
Å		•	121	103.0000			-		3. 1000
			130	5.0000	- BITE 2				
-	14	~	120	7.8000	5	z	1	105	410 0000
4	ū		130	5.4000	5	Z	ž	305	474 mm
Ĩ		-	205	207,0000	5	2	Ť	110	7 4000
Ĩ		4	205	217_9000	5	2	ž	110	7 (000
		3	205	224.8000	5	2	t	115	
	14	1	230	223.0000	5	2	2	115	30.9000
	10	2	250	300.0000	5	2	1	120	23.4000
7	10	3	230	377.0000	5.	2	2	120	6.3000
7	10	.1	240	370.0000	5	2	1	475	3.2000
7	16	2	240	380.0000	5	2	2		4.1000
7	14	3	240	390.0000	5	2	Ť	445	
	10 ·	1	259	0.8400	5	2	2	* 445	0. 3000
Ā	10	2	250	8.6600			-		0-1700
Ā	14	3	259	8.8200	ALTE 4				
Ĩ	14		209	26.0000	<u>,</u> 5	4	1	105	430.0000
Ĩ.	14	4 4	264	25.0000	5	• 4	2	105	480.0000
ĩ	14		280	32.0000	5	-4	3	105	\$04.0000
Ă		-		70.0000	5	4	1	110	1.1000
	-	4	30	73.0000	° 5	4	Z	110	7.8000
Ĩ	14	3	323 .	77,0000	5	4	3	110	7.3000
ī	14		330	33.0000	5	4	1	115	23.4000
ĩ		.	350	33.0000	5	4	Z	115	22,3000
Ĩ.	ũ		300	36,9000	5	4	3	115	18.4000
4	14	,	360 ·	-0.0010	5	4	۲	120	11.7000
4	<u>.</u>	4 . 1	360	-0.0010	5	4	2	120	8.1000
-	~	3	300	-0.0010	5	4	3.	120	6.7000

.



.

•

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL HONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER QUALITY DATA FOR 1991

			PARMETER					PARAMETER	
PONTH	SITE	GUAD (1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	HONTH	SITE	EXO(1)	CDE(2)	DATA(3)
									•
5	4	1	435	5.3000	5	16	2	105	454,0000
5	4	2	435	5.1000	5	16	1	110	7_2000
5	- 4	3	435	4.0000	5	16	2	110	7.0000
5	- 4	1	445	0.0900	5	16	1	115	19,8000
5	4	2	445	0.1000	5	16	2	115	18.3000
5	4	3	445	0.1500	5	16	1	120	6.8000
					5	16	2	120	5.7000
SITE	8				5	16	1	435	7.4000
5		1	105	496,0000	5	16	2	435	7.8000
5		2	105	\$05.0000	5	16	1	445	0.2100
5		3	105	510.0000	5	14	Z	445	0.2500
5		1	110	7_6000					
5		2	110	7.4000	SITE	2			
5		3	110	7,1000	6	2	1	105	\$01.0000
5	1	1	115	23.4000	6	2	2	105	518.0000
5		Z	115	22.2000		2	3	105	507.0000
5		2	115	18.4000	4	2	1	110	7.3000
5		1	120	7,3000	6	2	2	110	7.9000
5		Z	120	4.4000	4	2	3	110	6.9000
5	8	. 3	120	1.9000	6	2	1	115	31.4000
5		1	433	5,8000	6	2	2	115	27.7000
5		2	433	5.5000	6	2	3	115	25.6000
5		3	55	5.5000	4	2	1	120	6.3000
5		1	- 445	0.0730	4	2	2	120	6.8000
5		2	445	6.0920	6	2	3	120	1.1000
5	1	3	445	-0.0100	6	2	1	121	86.4000
					. •	2	t	130	14.0000
SITE 1	3				6	2	· 2	130	13.0000
5	13	1	105	444.0000	4	• 2	2	130	16,0000
5	12	2	105	495.0000	6	2	1 '	• 305	170.0000
5	13	2	105	496.0000	4	.5	2	205	175.0000
• 5	2	1	110	7.4000	4	. 3	3	205	180.0000
_ 5	13	2	110	7.5000	4	2	1	230	224.0000
5	12	.2	110	7.2000	6	2	2	230	241.0000
5	13	1	115	24.9000	6	2	2	230	248.0000
5	13	2	115	22_4000	6	2	1	240	250.9000
5	13	2۱	115	20_8000	6	2	2	240	250.0000
5	13	1	120	6.7000	4	2	- 2	243	390.0000
5	13	2	120	6.8000	6	· Z	1	250	0.6600
• 5	8	3	120	4.3000	6	Z	Z	250	6.7400
5	13	1	435	5.9000	4	z	3	20	6.7500
5	13	2	435	5.9000	•	2	1	260	15.0000
5	13	3	435	4.7000	•	Z	2	250	11.0000
5	8	1	445	6.1400	6	Z	3	260	23.0000
5	13	2	443	0.1400	•		1	325	53.0000
5	13	3	445	9,1100	•	2	Z	22	47.0000 .
					•	2	2	<u> </u>	55.0000
SITE 1	16				•	2	1	220	27.0000
5	16	1	105	413,0000		Z	Z	320	25.0000

A-29

.

H

				PALANETER					PARAMETER	
	PLAN (R	TITE	GLAD(1)	CODE(2)	BATA(3)	NCNTH	SITE	GUQ(1)	CDE(2)	PATA(3)
	4									
		< •	1	360	-4.0005	•		2	105	487.0000
	-		*	340	-9.0005	•		2	105	510,0000
	,	,		360	-9.0005	•		1	110	8.0000
		,	,	412	21.2000			2	110	7,4000
	4	;	÷	412	20.8000			3	110	7,1000
	4		4	413 670	21.4000	•		1	115	28,5000
	4	ź	2	430	-0.1000	•		<u> </u>	115	23,2000
	4	2	ī		+0.1000		-	3	115	18,1000
	4	2	1	(N	-0.1000		-	1	120	10,5000
	6	2	,	433	7,4000		-	2	120	1.4000
	4	2	1	440	8.400			•	120	-1.0000
	4	2	2	44.0		Å	-	:	121	130,2000
	6	ž	3	44.0	V. USOU 8 4740	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			100	6.4000
	6	1	1	445		4		د ۲	150	10,0000
	4	2	2	445	8.2000	4		4	130	15,9000
	4	Z	1	450	30.0000	6	1	;	~	162.9000
•	4	z	• 2	430	31.0000	6	1	ī		132.0000
	4	Z	1	435	4.3500	4	1	1	2000	156,0000
	4	Z	2	455	4.9100	4	1	2	200 200	224.0000
	6	Z	3	455	5.3300	6	1	š	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	220,000
						6	8	1	340	225.000
	SITE 4	1			•	4		2	340	250.0000
	4.		t _	105	481,8000	6	8	3	24.0	
. •	4	٤.	1	110	7.7000	6	8	• • •	. 250	2/0.0000
	6	4	1	115	25.9000	6	8	2	250	8.8400
	6	4	1	. 120	7.1000	6	8	3	250	8.6700
	4	4	1	121	85,000	6	8	1	240	17.8000
	•	4	1.	130	11,8000	6	8	2	260	14.0000
	•	4	1	205	142.0000	6	8	3	260	15.0000
	•	4	1	250	272,0000	4	1	1	325	47.9000
. ·	•	4	1	340	250.8000	6	t	2	225	47.0000
	•	4	1	250	0.2703		1	2	325	52.0000
	•	4	1	260	12.0000	● 1		t	350	26,0000
•	•	4	1	325	48.0000	6 4	1	2	350	26.5000
		4	1	353	27.0000	6 4	8	3	350	27.0000
		•	3	350	26.0000	• •		1	340	-0.0005
		2	1	360	-0_2005	•		2	340	-0.0005
	-	7	1	473	20.4000	•		. 2	340	-0.0005
	4	7		4.50	-0.1000	4	•	T	415	21,1000
	6			433 440	5.9000		•	2	415	21,3000
		4	1	445	6.016G		•	•	415	20,8000
	6	Ĩ.	j,	 	0./300			•	439.	-9.1900
	4	4	1	450				•		-0.1000
	i.	ĩ		453	JC.000	4 4		•	430	-0.1000
	-	-	•	-11	3-900	4 +		•	435	5.9000
	SITE B					4 1		4 7	433	6.4000
	4		•	105				J 4	433 433	5.8000
	-	-	•	644	472.000			•	440	-0.0100

Ð

A-30

(

1

CLINTON POWER STATION CONTENTS

							5		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
			PARMETER			·				
NCNTH	SITE	EU0(1)	CCDE(2)	BATA(3)	INC. IT I	1111	anne (1)		C	
										Same
6	8	2	440	-0.0100	•	13	1	•••) 		
6	8	2	440	0.0420	•	13	4		11 000 00	
6	1	1	445	8.4500		11		4,90		
6		2	445	0.5100	•	ນ 		454	30.000	
6	1	2	445	6.6770	•	13	د م	600	6.880	
6	1	1	450	29.0000	•	13	1	433	4.3200	
4	8	2	450	31.0000	•	13	2	433	•	•
6	1	3	450	30.0000		••				
		1	455	3.4800	ante .	10		4.04	45/ 0000	
	8	2	455	3.4900	•	10	1	100	7	
6	1	3	455	3,7500	•	14	1	110	7.5000	
					6	14	1	115	. 2000	
SITE	13				•	16	1	120	e.2000	
6	13	1	105	502,0000	6	14	1	121	105.0000	
6	13	2	105	487,0000	4	. 16	1	150	25.000	
6	13	1	110	7,2000	6	16	1	200	184.0000	
6	13	2	110	7,3000	6	14	1	Z30	250.5000	
6	13	1	115	27,3000	6	16	1	240	2/0.0000	
6	13	2	115	22,9000	4	- 14	1.	250	1.0000	
6	13	1	120	6.9000	6	- 16	. 1	260	23.0000	
- 6	13	2	120	-1,0000	4	- 16	1	352	57,0000	
6	13	1	121	85,4000	4	16	1	330	31.0000	
4	13	1	130	6.6000	6	- 14	1	360	-0.0005	
	13	2	130	11,0000	6	16	1	415	22.4000	
. 6	13	1	205	148.0000	4	- 16	1	430	0.1400	
6	13	2	205	146.0000	• 4	- 16	1	433	4.1000	
6	13	1	250	250,9000	6	16	1	440	8.0100	
6	13	2	230	234,0000	4	14	1	443	5.1200	
6	13	1	240	280,0000	6	- 16	1	450	36.0000	
6	13	2	240	270.0000	6	- 16	1	453	é.8400	
6	13	1	20	1.8200						
6	13	: Z	20	8,5500	SITE	2				
4	13	1	260	7.9000	7	· 2	1	105	444.0000	•
4	. 13	2	240	9.0000	7	- 2	2	_ 105	466.0000	
•	1	1	325	49,9000	7	· 1	: 1	110	7.6000	
	. 13	2	375	22.0000	7	. 1	2	110	7.4000	
	1	5 1	350	26.0000	7	' 1	1	115	34.7000	
	5 13	2	350	27.9000	7	· 1	2 Z	115	31,6000	
(5 12	3 1	360	-9.0005	7	' 1	2 . • 1	120	6.1000	
	5 12	5 2	360	-0.0005	7	' '	2 2	120	4,4000	
	5 12	s 1	415	21,3000	1	' '	2.1	433	3.7000	
	5 11	5 2	415	21,0000	7		2 2	435	3.4000	
	. 1	1	430	8.1000	1		2 1	445	0.0540	
	i 1	5 2	430	-0.1000	7		2 Z	445	0.0450	
		1	435	5.5000					•	
		 . 2	435	6.3000	SITE	4				
		3	435	4,9000	. 1	r i	6 1	105	461.0000	
		s 1	440	8.0330	7	r 4	6 1	110	7.7000	
	- 14 41	 . 7	440	8.0470	7	7 - 1	6 1	115	27.1000	

_..

(

.

1

			PALANETER	•				PARAMETER	
HONTH	\$ITE	EUD(1)	CC0E(2)	DATA(3)	NONTH	SITE	GLU0(1)	CODE (2)	BATACTO
7		•			-			_	
7	7		120	6.2000	SITE	4		•	
7		:	45	3.7000		- 4	1	105	417.0000
•	-	•	44.5	8.0350		4	1	110	7.8000
\$175				•	1	- 4	1	115	25.4000
7	•				-	4	1	120	7.3000
7		,	105	443.0000	8	4	1	433	1.7000
, ,		<u>د</u>	100	491.0000		- 4	1	445	0.0680
7		,	110	7.4000			•		
7			110	7.1000	TITE	*			
7		,	113	27.9000	1		1	105	427.0000
7			115	22.0000			1	110	7.7000
, , ,			120	7_4000	•		1	115	26.2000
,		2	120	-1.0000	8	8	1	120	6.7000
	:	1	435	3-3000	E .	8	1	435	1.4000
		Z	423	3.5000	8	8	1	445	0.0640
· · ·		1	445	8,0280					
•	•	Z	445	9.0230	SITE 1	3			
8175 F					8	13	1	105	435,0000
	, 	•		•	-	13	1	110	7.4000
<i>'</i>	5	1	105	470.0000	*	13	1	115	27.5000
,	11	1.	110	7_8000	8	13	1	120	6.8000
+	13	1	115	25,4000 .		13	1	435	1,5000
, ,		1	120	5.3000		13	1	445	8.0440
÷		.1		3.4000					
•	13	T	403	0.0310	BITE M	5			
-					8	16	1	105	417,0000
7	44			•	- 8	- 14	.1	110	8.3000
· · ·		1	TCS	443.0000	8	- 16	1	115	25.2000
		1	110	7.9000	*	- 14	1	120	7.4000 .
	**	1	115	25.4000	8	- 16	1	435	1.2000
	16	1	120	6.0000	8	14	1	445	9.1060
	10	1	423	3.0000					
' .	70	1	445	8.0450	site 2				
					9	2	1	105	396,0000
	•	•			9	2	2	105	396.0000
	2	1	705	428.0000	,	2	1	110	7,3000
	•	4	740 ·	433.0000		2	2	110	7,8000
	-	,	110		,	2	1	115	33.1000
	. 2	•	110	7.7000		2	. 7	115	32.0000
1	,	;	115	33.1900	,	Z	1	120	6.4000
i i	,		10	23.4000		2	Z	120	5.4000
1	-	;	120	0.2000	7	. Z	T	121	91.8000
i	-	- -	120	1.9000	7	7	1	130	10.0000
1	2	3	•JJ /T	1,0000	7	2	Z	130 •	12.8000
ī	,	4	 4.15 	2.000	Y	Z	1	205	154.0000
	-	,		0.0/30	• •	Z	Z	205	190.0000
-	-	•	-	0.0030	7	Z	1	230	186.0000
					7	Z	Z	230	186.0000
				•	7	Z	1	240	230.0000

Ï

.

-

CLINTON POLES ETAILON ENVIRONMENTAL ROUTING ING PROBAN

								BARAMETER	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
			(mone (2)	8474/33	Month K	6177	man(1)	cm1(7)	BATACO
	94 I Z	600(1)			~~~~				
•	-	•	340	770 8000	81 7 5	•			•
	4	4	250	8.7300	-,	•	2	105	403.0000
7	4	•	200	8 4000		ī	2	110	7,5000
, ,	<u>بد</u>		200	14,0000			2	115	26.1000
y .	4		340	78,0000			2	120	4.5000
	4	-	175	28,0000			,	121	56,4000
,		,	175	28,0000		i	1	130	4,7000
		•	350	27,0000		Ē	1	205	258,0000
	,	,	350	27,0000	9		1	230	186.0000
é		-	360	-0,0050	9		1	240	210,0000
	,	2	340	-0,0050			1	250	0.5300
	2		415	23,1000	•	1	1	260	6.0000
	2	2	415	23,9000	9	1	1	. 325	30,9000
	,	-	430	8.2600	,		1	350	27.0000
		,	430	1,2500		1	1	360	-0.0050
	2	1	435	8.7100			1	415	23.1000
	2	2	635	0_4200	9		1	430	-0_1000
	2	1	440	6.0180	•		1	435	0.7900
•	2	2	440	8.8350	9	1	1	440	-0.\$100
•	2	1	445	8.0900	,		1	445	0.0620
	2	2	445	8,1220			1	450	30.0000
•	2	Ĩ	450	31,2000	9		1	455	2,3000
•	2	2	450	31,0000					
•	2	1	455	2,4000	SITE	13			
	2	2	455	2,9000	•	13	: 1	105	406.0000
-	-	_			•	1	: 1	110	7.4000
SITE	4		•		•	13	1	115	27,2000
•	. L	4	105	377,9000	•	12	1	\$20	4,4000
	Ĩ	•	110	7,8000	9	1	1	121	58.0000
•	1	1	115 -	26,1000	,	12	5 1	130	6.7000
,		1	120	5,4000	9	1	s = t	205	90.0000
•	Ā	1	121	48,1900	9	12	s 1	230	190.0000
•	4	. 1	130	10.0000	,	1	5 1	340	210.0000
		1	205	125,5000	• •	1	3 1	م ح	8.7700
	Ĺ		230	184.0000	,	1	3 1	260	9.0000
,	4	1	240	215.0000	,	۲ (s 1	325	30,0000
	4	1	250	8,8400	1	• t	3 1	350	27.0000
	4	1	260	16,0000	9	1	3.1	360	-0.0050
•	4	1	325	25.0000	9) t	3 • 1	415	22,2000
	4	1	350	27,0000	9	· 1	3 • 1	430	-0,1000
,		5	340	-0,0050	9	• 1	3 1	435	0.7800
•	4	. 1	415	22.9000	9	• 1	3 1	440	0.0150
	4	1	430	6.1080	1	7 1	3 1	. 445	0.0770
	4	1	435	8.4200	9	7 1	3 1	450	31,0000
9	4	1	440	-0.0100	•) 1	5 1	455	2,4000
	4	5	445	9,0050					
	4	1	450	31.0000	SITE	16			
•	4	1	435	2,4000	٩	p 1	16 1	105	398.0000
•	-	,	-		•	, 1	16 Z	105	266*0000

.

.

l

1

			PARAMETER					2424ME759	
HCN)	TH SITE	GUD(1)	መደ(2)	DATA(3)	NONTH	SITE	GUD(1)	CODE(2)	GATACES
	9 16	1	110	8.2000	11	,	•	7/2	
	9 16	2	110	8.1000	11	2		~~~~	220.0000
	7 14	1	115	28,0000	11	2	÷	200	0.5500
	4 14	2	115	27.7000	11	2	;	200	11,0000
	7 14	1	120	6_4000	11	2	i	323	36.0000
1	9 16	2	120	5.4000	11	2	;	3.0	27.0000
1	9 14	1	121	855000	11	2	i	418	-0.0005
1	7 14	1	130	15.0000	11	2	;	413	2.1000
1	16	2	130	17.0000	. 11	2		478.	9,1600
1	1 16	1	205	122,0000	11	2	1	440	0.5600
9	14	2	205	130,0000	11	2		448	0.0360
9	14	1	. 230	184.0000	11	2		450	0.0420
9	14	2	230	184,0000	11	ž		184	27.7000
,	- 14	1	240	190.0000		-	•		1.000
7	14	2	240 -	200.0000	SITE .	4			
9	54	1	250	1.2000	11	4	•	105	
•	14	2	230	8.7800	11	4	,	110	419.0000
9	14	1	260	22.0000	11	4	1	110	8.0000
7	14	2	260	29,0000	11	4	1	120	6.2000
,	54 -	1	125	27.0000	11	4	i	121	10.8000
7	- 14	2	325	27.0000	11	4	1	150	
7	14	1	350	27.0000	11	4	1	205	*.9000 1/8.9000
	14	2	350	27.0000	11	4	1	230	772.0000
7	56	1	360	-0.0050	11	4	1	260	2/0.0000
7	16	. 2	360	-9.8050	11	4	1	250	210,000
	36	1	415	21.9000	11	4	1	260	1.000
	56	,2	415	23.4000	11	4	-9	325	74 0000
	14	1.	430	8.2260	11	-4	1	350	20 0000
	14	Z	430	8-3500	11	4	1	360	-1 0005
	14	1	425	0.0530	11	4	1	415	76.4000
7	14	z	435	4.3800	11	4	1	430	8.2500
	346	1	440	0.0320	11	4	t	435	8.5400
	16	2	440	8.0350	11 ·	4	1	440	8_8770
	16	1	445	\$.1210	11	4	1	445	E.0570
	10	z	445	0.1310	11	4	1 +	450	28,7000
,	10	1	450	31.0000	11	4	1	455	0.9700
	10	2	430	32,8000					
	10	1	435	2.3000	atte a				
,	**	2	455	2.6000	11	8 . •	1	195	412.0000
-	,				11	8	1	110	8.0000
- 11		•			11		1	115	4.1000
11	<u>,</u>	1	705	429.0000	11	4	1	120	10.8000
11	•	1	110	8.2000	11		1	121	\$2.4000
11	•	1	• 113	17.8000	11	8	1	130	3.4000
37	\$	•	120 .	9.000	11		1	205	147.8000
11	د ۲	:	121	96.2000	11		1	230	205,0000
	۹ ۲		130	4.8000	17	•	1	240	220.0000
44	۲ ۲	1	205	177.000	71	8	1	250	8.4703
••	4	1	230	204.0000	11	8	1	260	2.0000

			PARAMETER					PARAMETER	
NCHTX	SITE	GM0(1)	CODE(2)	DATA(3)	HONTH	SITE	GU0(1)	CCDE(2)	CENTAG
		•	***	75 0000			_		•
11		÷	150	29 0000	11	16	z	230	218.0000
			140	-0.0005	11	10	1	240	240.0000
	:		300	2(9000	11	16	2	240	20.000
		:	413	6 3000	31	14	1	250	6,3800
11			400 / 75	6.5700	11	- 14	Z	250	9.7100
••			433 470	0.3700	11	- 16	1	260	16.0000
44				0.0300	11	16	2	260	9.0000
••			440	10,000	11	16	1	328	37,0000
		:	450	0 7000	11	16	Z	325	40.0000
••	•	•	دبه	4.1700	11	16	1	350	29.0000
SITE 1					11	14	Z	350	30.0000
11	. 12	1	105	A17.0000	11	16	1	360	-0.0005
11	13	1	110	8.1000	11	- 14	2		-0.0005
11		1	115	8.0000	11	14	1	415	25.000
11			120	10.4000	11	16	. 2	415	25,7000
11		1	171	45.2000	11	14	1	430	6.1700
11	π	÷	150	4.5000	11	14	Z	438	0.1400
11		÷	200	142 0000	11	16	1	435	0.5400
11	ñ	;	750	210 0000	. 11	14	Z	433	0.000
11	ñ	i	340	230.0000	11	16	1	440	0.0250
11	ñ		250	0.5200	11	76	Z	440	0.0200
11	11		240	7,0000	11	10	1	445	0.0720
11	ū	i	325	37,9000	11		2	443	0.000
11	13	1	350	29,0000		10	1	450	30.4000
11	13	1	340	-0.0005	11	10	4	454	33,000
11	13	1	415	24.8000		10	-	A33	1.000
11	13	1	430	8.1300			•	433	1.2000
11	13	1	(35	0.5500					
11	11	1	440	8,6240					
11	13	1	445	6.0530					
11	13	1	450	29,1000					
11	13	1	495	0.7900					
		•							
SITE S	6				•	•		•	
11	16	1	105	434.8000					
11	16	2	105	437.0000	•				
11	16	1	110	8.3000					
11	16	2	110	8.3000			· ·		
11	14	1	115	10.0000					
11	56	2	115	8.0000					
11	16	1	120	11.0000					
11	16	Z	120	11.5000					
11	16	1	121	97.3000					
11	16	1	130	7.9000	•				
11	16	2	130	7.0000	•				
11	16	1	205	156.0000					
11	16	Z	205	132.0000					
11	16	1	230	205.0000					

Appendix B. Clinton Lake Profile Data 1987 through 1991

Tables B-1 through B-5 list the water quality profile data collected from Clinton Lake for the Environmental Monitoring Program during 1987 through 1991. Profile data for 1978 through 1984 were included in the Clinton Lake Water Quality Report 1978-1984, Volumes 2, 3 and 4. Profile data for 1985 and 1986 were included in the 1989 Clinton Lake Water Quality Report. Clinton Lake profile data are organized by sampling data, site and depth. Parameter names are abbreviated as follows:

TEMP = Water temperature, C

DO = Dissolved oxygen, mg/l

COND = Specific conductance, umhos/cm

pH = pH

•.

•

HOW IN	SITE	DEPTH	TEMP	° DC) COND	р н	MONTH	TITE	DEDTH	TENO		•	,
							root h	- 31 1C	UCPIN	I ICAP	00	COND	P
													•
** SITE	E 2						,	47					
4	2	0.5	11.30	10.7	538	8.0	7	12	0.2	10.20	9.2	539	8.0
4	2	1.0	11.30	10.6	538	8.0	7	12	7.0	10.10	9.2	539	8.0
4	2	1.5	11.30	10.2	538	8.0	4	13	(.)	10.10	9.0	539	8.0
4	2	2.0	11.30	10.3	538	8.0	;	13	8.0	10.00	9.2	538	8.0
4	2	2.5	11.30	10.0	538	8.0	•	13	5.5	10.00	9.3	539	8.0
4	2	3.0	11.30	9.9	539	8.0		• /					
4	2	3.5	11.20	9.8	538	8.0	311E	10					
4	2	4.0	11.10	9.7	538	8.0	,	10	9.5	11.80	10.4	575	8.0
								10	1.0	11.80	10.3	575	8.0
TT SITE	- 4						-	10	1.5	11.80	9.9	576	0,8
4	- 4	0.5	10.30	9.8	539	8.0	7	10	2.0	11.80	10.0	576	8.0
4	4	1.0	10.20	9.8	538	8.0		10	2.5	11.80	9.7	576	8.0
4	- 4	1.5	10.20	10.0	539	8.0		10	3.0	11.80	9.6	576	8.0
4	4	2.0	10.20	10.1	538	8.0	•	10	3.5	11.70	8.8	578	7.9
4	4	2.5	10.10	10.1	538	2.0	4	16	4.0	11.40	8.8	579	7.9
4	4	3.0	10.10	10.0	578	# 0		_					
4	2	3.5	10 10	10.0	67.0	0.0	** SITE	2					
2	Ĺ	4.0	30.10	10.0	220	Đ.U	5	2	0.5	25.50	6.5	497	7.9
L	ĩ	4.5	10.10	10.0	220	8.0	5	2	1.0	25.50	6.1	497	7.9
Ĩ		5.0	10.10	10.0	238	1.0	. 5	2	1.5	25.30	6.6	497	7.0
7	- 7	5.5	10,10	Y.3	535	8.0	5	2	2.0	25.20	6.5	495	7.0
2	7	J.J 4 0	10.10	¥.3	538	8.0	5	2	2.5	24.80	6.4	493	8.0
ĩ		4.4	0.00	Y.3	538	7.9	5	2	3.0	24.30	6.6	490	8.0
ĩ	7	7 0	9.90	Y.3	538	7.9	5	2	3.5	24.10	5.1	492	8.0
ž	1	7 6	7.70	y.•	538	7.9	5	2	4.0	23.50	4.4	494	7.9
Ĩ,	7	8.0	9.70	y.4	222	7.9							
ĩ	7	8.0	9.90	9.4	538	7.9	** SITE	4					
•	-	0.2	A.A A	9.4	538	7.9	5	4	0.5	22.30	10.5	101	8.2
						•	- 5	4	1.0	22.30	10.0	400	J 7
	۰.			• • •			- 5	• 4	1.5	22.00	0.0	480	8.2
,	•	0.5	9.70	11.1	534	8.0	Š	ź	2.0	21.70	0 1	485	
,		1.0	9.80	10.0	533	8.0	Š	Ĩ	2.5	21 40	0 1	403	0.1
	8	1.5	9.80	10.4	533	8.0	Š	ž	3 0	21 60	7. 4	403	0.1
	8	2.0	9.80	10.5	533	8.0	ŝ	Ĩ	3 5	21 50	7.5	*00	0.1
	8	Z.5	9.70	10.5	534	8.0		1	1.0	21 50	7.2	*0/	0.1
4	8	3.0	9.70	10.4	535	8.0	· š	2	15	21.20	7.1	400	0.0
4	8	3.5	9.60	10.5	535	8.0	š	ž	5.0	21 00	7.1	470	5.0
4	8	4.0	9.60	10.4	534	8.0	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	2	5.5	20.80	0.7 • •	*00	1.9
4	8	4.5	9.60	10.5	535	8.0	š	7	A 0	20.20	9.3	473	1.8
4	8	5.0	9.60	10.0	534	8.0	č	7	4 5	20.70	1.4	470	7.9
4	8	5.5	9.50	10.4	535	'a.o	,	-	0.3	«v.vv	0.3	212	7.8
4	8	6.0	9.50	10.2	535	8.0							
4	8	6.5	9.50	10.Z	535	8.0	·			39 80	• •		
4	8	7.0	9.50	10.2	535	8.0			0.5	<1.20	Y. D	485	8.Z
4	8	7.5	9.50	10.4	534	8.0	3		1.0	21.20	Y.0	485	8.Z
4	8	8.0	9.40	9.9	535	8.0	3		1.5	21.20	5.8	484	8.2
4	8	8.5	9.40	10.0	535	8.0	. 3	- D	2.0	21.20	9.3	484	8.Z
4	8	9.0	9.40	9.6	\$33	8.0	2	8	2.5	21.00	8.9	486	8.2
4	8	9.5	9.30	9.4	535	8.0	2. E	•	3.0	20.90	9.1	488	8.Z
4	8	10.0	9.30	10.1	536	8.0	5	•	3.3	20.70	8.8	469	8.1
4	8	10.5	9.30	10.0	536	8.0		•	4.0	20.30	8.Z	491	8.1
							, s	•	*.2	20.40	7.6	494	8.1
** SITE 1	3								5.0	20.30	7.4	494	8.0
4	13	0.5	10.40	10.1	536	7.8			2.2	19.30	5.9	500	7.9
4	13	1.0	10.40	10.0	536	7.8	J #		0.0	17.40	3.9	507	7.8
4	13	1.5	10.40	10.2	536	7.8	J E		7.7	10.00	5.6	510	7.8
4	13	2.0	10.40	10.0	536	7.8	5		1.0	10.20	4.9	511	7.7
4	13	2.5	10.40	9.9	536	7.8	3		1.2	10.40	4.8	511	7.7
4	13	3.0 1	10.30	9.8	534	7.8	2	D	8.U	18.30	5.1	513	7.7
4	13	3.5	10.30	10.2	537	7 8	2	8	5.5	18.30	3.6	514	7.6
4	13	4.0	10.30	10.0	538	7 0	5	8	7.0	18.20	3.7	515	7.6
Ł	13	4.5 1	0.30	0 0	832	7.7	5	8	9.5	18.00	3.4	514	7.5
4	13	5.0 1	10.20	10 1	530	*.*	5	8	10.0	18.10	3.5	513	7.5
Ĺ	13	5.5 1	0 20	0 =	337 870	0.0	•						-
Ĺ	13	60 1	0.20	7.0 6 E	337 870	6.V	** SITE 1	3					
-		1		7.2	334	6.V	5	13	0.5	22.60	9.5	478	8.3

B-2

.

••

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL HONITORING PROGRAM

				_			••	.•		મન્	Provide Dist	1	S		1	
	HONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TEMP	DO	COND	pН	HON'T)	1 3	in 3	HPTHE	ST 2	然時 於		教師 1	L
											مكان لا الم	1157			10.11	1.1.1.1.1.1
											6.6	リキアにあ	(A_{i}, A_{i})		Sec. 19.	Art is a
	-				• /	/ 3 m						1. 1. 1.		Star in		
	5	13	1.0	22.40	¥.0	●/¥	8.3		•		7.7		2.11	all	11.1	
	5	13	1.5	22.40	9.4	480	8.3		6	:8	10.0	21 (70 H	SP1 52			
	Ś	13	2.0	22.10	9.1	481	8.3		6	18	10:5'	1144 28			1.1.1	
			2 6	21 00	0 1	481	8.2						$\mathcal{T}_{1}(\cdot, \mathbf{N})$	distant.		Seven.
	2	13	<u> </u>	21.70	7.0	/				-	_		10 C	1.1	5117	SALE EN
	5	13	3.0	21.70	· ¥.1	004	0.6							147.2	1 - C	51919999F
	5	13	3.5	21.50	8.5	489	8.Z		6	13	0.5	25,20	- 7.7 - 7	STRE,	日月月	582600
	č	11	4 0	21.20	7.9	491	8.1		6	13	1.0	28:20	7.0	372	10	1.1.2016
			7.2			. 10/				47	4 6	28 20	7.6	177 .	- 8	1.1.1.1
	>	12	4.2	20.90	0.1		0.0									
	5	13	5.0	.20.60	5.6	499	8.0		6	15	2.0	28,10	6. /	475 .		:
	Č.	13	5.5	20.40	5.5	504	8.0		6	13	2.5	28,10	6.4	473	• 8,0	
						607	7 0			43	3.0	27.80	6.3	171	8.0	
	2	15	6.0	20.00	2.6	307										
	5	13	6.5	19.70	3.3	516	7.7	•	6	73	3.5	21.10	2.3	473	1.7	
								•	6	- 13	4.0	27.30	5.1	477	7.9	
		47							4	13	4.5	27.10	6.2	478	7.8	
	3116	10				190	• /			47	6.0	24 50	17	480	7 7	
	5	16	0.5	23.80	10.0	473	0.9	• • • •	P	15	5.0	20.30				
	5	16	1.0	23.70	9.1	475	8.4		6	13	5.5	26.40	3.6	461	7.6	
	Ē	16	1.5	23.50	8.5	476	8.4	1	6	13	6.D	26.00	1.7	482	7.6	
	-		3 6	71 40		174			Ř.	11	6.5	25.00	0.4	497	7.2	
	2	10	C. U	2.10	P •1	410			~		7 6	2/ 10	0.3	100	7 7	
	5	16	2.5	ZZ.90	7.Z	460	8.Z		Ð	15	1.0	24.10	v.c	≈ y¥	1.6	
	5	16	3.0	22.50	6.9	480	8.2			••						
	•							12 **	TE S	16 .						
								• • •	4	94	n K	28.50	0.1	483	8.0	
	211E	2								-10						
	6	2	0.5	32.40	7.2	475	7.7	•	6	16	1.0	22.30	7.4	404	8.0	
		2	1.0	32.30	5.6	475	7.7		6	16	· ·1.5	28.20	6.3	487	8.0	
•		5	4 E	72 30	5.1	175	77	• .	Â	46 -	2.0	27.30	5.6	489	8.0	
	•	· •		32.30					~		2.5	77 30	K 1	101	7 0	
	- 6	2	Z.0	31.60-	2.1	475	1.0		•	10	2.3	21.20	2.2	-71		
	- 6	2	2.5	31.40	5.2	472	7.9		6	16	3.0	27.20	2.3	472	1.0	
	6	2	3.0	30.00	5.5	470	7.9									
		5	X E	20.80		470	7.8	12 ** .	TF	2						
•		-		~ ~		177				- .		74 00	71	471	8.0	
	6	· 4	٩.0	£Y.80	2.3	4//	1.2	•	1	<u> </u>	0.3	20.00		7.94		
					•	•	• •		7	2 - Z	1.0	20.00	0.0		6.V	
	** SITE	4						•	7	2	1.5	25.90	6.4	471	8.0	
	4	L	0.5	27.10	6.8	454	8.0		7	2	2.0	25.90	6.4	471	8.0	
	, i				4.7	121		-	÷			25 00	6 1	472	8.0	
	0	•	1.0	2/.10	D.(424			1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		/***		
	6	- 4	1.5	27 . 20	6.6	454	8.0		7	Z	3.0	2.50	2.0	412	6.0	
		. 🖌	2.0	27.20	6.1	455	8.0		7	2	3.5	25.60	5.6	474	8.0	
				27 10	5.7	184	. 6		7	2	4.0	25.60	5.4	474	8.0	
	Ð		2.5	21.10	2.1				1	- 2		× 10		191	7 0	
	6	- 4	3.0	26:80	3.4	461	7.0		7	2	A.7	0.40	2.1		4.7	
	6	- 4	3.5	26.50	2.6	467	7.5	·								
		i	4.0	26 20	2.0	472	7.1		TF	1						
						/9/		•	÷.	· .	A 'S	25.10	6.6	176	8.0	
	6	- 4	4.5	<i>20.00</i>	1.6	4/4	<u></u>	•	1	•	U.J		e -			
	6	- 4	5.0	25.80	1.1	476	7.Z		7	- 4	1.0	D. 00	5.0	6 12	8.0	
	A	. A	5.5	25.70	1.0	677	7.2		7	- 4	1.5	25.10	5.1	473	8.0	
	, in the second s			36 40		170	7 7		7	1	2.0	25.10	4.8	· 472	8.0	
	D		0.0	2.00		410			÷.		2 6	25 10	6.0	177	8.0	
-	6	- 4	6.5	230	0.6	400	1.6		1		<u> </u>		2.0	-16		
									7	- 4	3.0	2.10	2.2	472	8.0	
	** \$11	E 8						•	7	- 4	3.5	2.10	4.6	472	8.0	
	A		0.5	26.60	9.2	468	8.0		7	4	4.0	25.10	4.4	472	8.0	
				74 70	7 0	448			7	4	4.5	25.10	4.5	L72	7.9	
	•	-	1.0					•	4	7	2.5	36 40	1 -	173	70	
	6	5	1.5	26.80	. 6.9	400	8.0		1	•	2.0	0.10		412	1.7	
	6	8	2.C	26.80	6.9	468	8.0		7	- 4	5.5	Z.1 0	5.0	472	7.9	
	Ă	ī	2.9	26.80	7.1	468	8.0		7	- 4	6.0	25.10	4.5	472	7.9	
				36 80	7 6	119-			•							
	0		5 3.0	20.50	1.0	600	0.0									
	6		3.5	5 Z6.80	7.1	469	8.0	** 2	TE	5						
	6	1	4.0	26.80	7.0	469	0.8		7	8	0.5	Z5.4 0	7.4	470	8.D	
				26 80		140	1.1		7		1.0	25.40	5.8	471	8.0	
	•					110			÷.		4 6	× 10		271	8.0	
	6	2	5.0	Z6.70	6.2	409	8.0		-	đ	1.7		2.2			
	6	1	5.5	5 26.60	6.1	469	7.9		7	8	Z.0	5.40	2.2	470	0.0	
			1 Å (26.30	3.0	177	7.9		7	8	2.5	25.50	6.0	471	8.0	
	0					191	7 8		7	, j	3.6	25.50	5.8	670	8.0	
	6	Į	D.	20.20	3.1	410	1.3		1	÷		30 64	2.4	170		
	6		s 7.0	5.40	0.9	482	7.5		1	0		23.30	0.1			
	6	. 1	5 7.5	5 25.30	0.4	48 4	7.2		7	8	4.0) 75.40	5.9	470	6,0	
	Ā			1 24 50	0.1	100	7.7		7	8	4.S	5 25.40	6.0	470	8.1	
	0								-		R. 1	25.40	6.0	470	8.1	
	6	1	5.	24.00	U.2	AYU	.1.6		1				6 P	170		
					~ *										I	

Ľ

6

A

Ð

•

-

Ļ

Month Site DEPTH TEMP DO COND PH 7 8 4.0 23.20 4.3 470 8.1 6 8 2.5 27.20 4.4 460 8.1 7 8 7.5 5.2.20 4.3 470 8.1 6 8 3.0 27.20 4.4 460 8.0 7 8 6.0 25.10 6.1 470 8.0 8 4.0 27.10 1.3 46 8.0 1.4 40 8.0 4.0 27.10 1.3 40 8.0 4.0 27.10 1.3 40 8.0 6 5.0 27.10 1.4 40 8.0 7.0 8.0 6 5.0 27.10 1.4 40 8.0 7.0 8.0 7.0 8.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 8.0 7.0 8.0 7.0 1.0 8.0 7.0 8.0 7.0 8.0 7.0 7.0 8.0										707					
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	MONTH	SITE	DEPTN	TEMP	ĐO	COND	рн	WONTH	SITE	DEPTI		00	-	- 11	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-											00	- COMU	рк	ł
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	8	6.0	25.20	6.3	470	8.1	*	*						
7 8 7.3 2.5.20 6.3 6.4 8.1 8 8 3.5 27.10 3.5 6.0 6.0 7 8 8.5 27.10 1.5 4.6 6.0 2.0 8 8 4.0 27.10 1.5 4.6 6.0 2.0 6 6.0 2.0 6 6.0 2.7 6 8 4.5 27.10 4.5 4.0 4.0 6.0	ż	8	2.0	25 20	0.4	469	8.1	8	ž	3.0	27.20	4.4 / T	449	5.0	
7 8 8.0 27.10 8.1 8 8 2.7 2.5 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.6 0 2.7 0 2.6 0 2.7 0 2.6 0 2.7 0 2.6 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0 0<td>7</td><td>ĕ</td><td>7.5</td><td>25 20</td><td>0.4</td><td>469</td><td>8.1</td><td>8</td><td>ā</td><td>3.5</td><td>27.10</td><td>1.0</td><td>449</td><td>5.0</td><td></td></th0<>	7	ĕ	7.5	25 20	0.4	469	8.1	8	ā	3.5	27.10	1.0	449	5.0	
7 8 8.5 25.10 6.1 27.00 8 9.4 2.5 27.10 4.3 5.0 8 8 5.5 27.10 4.3 5.6 6.0 8.	7	8	8.0	25.10	6.1	409	6.]	8	8	4.0	27.10	4.5	110	8.0	
7 8 9.0 24.00 4.6 4.75 7.8 6 8 5.0 27.10 4.3 4.0 8.10	7	8	8.5	25.10	6.1	470	8.0	8	8	4.5	27.10	3.9	449	8.0	
7 8 9.5 22.0 22.3 422 7.6 8 6 5 5.5 27.10 4.1 449 8.0 7 13 0.5 26.30 5.4 477 7.9 8 8 6.5 27.10 4.1 449 8.0 7 13 0.5 26.30 5.4 477 7.9 8 8 7.5 7.10 4.1 449 8.0 7 13 1.5 26.30 5.3 476 7.9 8 8 7.5 27.10 4.1 449 8.0 7 13 1.5 26.30 5.3 476 7.9 8 8 7.5 27.10 4.1 440 450 7.0 7 13 3.5 27.00 5.5 24.00 4.0 477 7.8 8 13 1.5 27.50 5.5 4.43 8.1 7 13 5.0 26.00 3.4 477 7.8 8 13 5.5 27.50 5.5 4.43 <t< td=""><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>9.0</td><td>24.90</td><td>4.6</td><td>475</td><td>7.8</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>5.0</td><td>27.10</td><td>4.3</td><td>449</td><td>8.0</td><td></td></t<>	7	8	9.0	24.90	4.6	475	7.8	8	8	5.0	27.10	4.3	449	8.0	
f 8 10.0 250 7.3 6 8 6.0 27.10 4.10 440 8.0 r sile 13 1.0 26.30 5.8 477 7.9 8 8 7.2 27.10 4.10 440 8.0 r 13 1.5 26.30 4.9 476 7.9 8 8 7.25 27.10 4.10 440 8.0 r 13 1.5 26.30 4.9 477 7.9 8 8 9.5 26.20 1.0 440 4.35 7.9 r 13 1.5 27.50 5.1 453 8.0 7.9 8 8 9.5 26.20 1.0 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 413 8.1 7.5 8 8 7.5 8 8 7.5 8 8 7.5 8 8	7	8	9.5	24.50	2.3	482	7.6	5	3	5.5	27.10	4.0	449	8.0	
** site 13 ** site 3	7	8	10.0	23.60	1.0	490	7.3	8		6.0	27.10	4.1	449	8.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	** 5176	12						8	а 8	0.2 7 1	27.00	4.0	449	8.0	
7 13 1.5 2.33 4.77 7.9 8 8 8.0 2.70 3.2.4 4.63 7.7.8 7 13 2.0 2.3.0 4.3 4.77 7.9 8 8 9.0 2.6.77 1.0 4.69 7.5 7 13 2.0 2.3.0 4.4 3.77 7.9 8 8 9.0 2.6.70 1.0 4.69 7.5 7 13 3.0 2.0 4.3 4.77 7.9 8 8 9.5 2.6.20 1.0 4.60 7.5 7 13 3.0 2.0 2.0 7 7.9 8 8 9.5 2.6.20 1.0 4.0 7.5 8 13 1.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 1.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 1.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 3.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 3.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 3.0 27.50 5.3 4.3 8.13 3.0 27.70	7	13	05	24 30				8	ž	7.5	27.10	3.9 4 D	449	8.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	13	1.0	26.30	2.8 1 0	4//	7.9	8	8	8.0	27.00	3.4	450	7.0	
7 13 2.0 2.30 4.7 2.7 7.7 3.7 3.7 $2.6.70$ 1.0 4.59 7.5 7 13 3.0 22.30 4.6 4.77 7.9 a a a 9.5 24.70 1.0 459 7.5 7 13 3.0 22.30 4.3 477 7.8 a 113 0.5 22.50 5.5 433 $b.1$ 7 13 5.0 22.00 3.4 477 7.8 a 13 1.0 27.50 5.5 433 $b.1$ 7 13 5.0 22.00 3.4 477 7.8 a 13 1.0 27.50 5.3 433 $b.1$ 43 1.0 27.20 4.0 6.13 3.0 27.20 4.04 8.10 7.7 a 13 5.0 27.00 4.04 8.10 7.7 a 13 5.0 27.00 4.04 8.10 7.7	7	13	1.5	26.30	5.3	476	7.9	8	8	8.5	26.90	2.4	454	7.8	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	13	2.0	26.30	4.7	476	7.9	8	8	9.0	26.70	1.0	459	7.5	
7 13 3.0 26.20 4.3 477 7.9 7 13 3.0 26.10 4.0 477 7.9 8 13 0.5 27.50 6.1 443 8.1 7 13 4.0 26.10 3.5 477 7.8 8 13 1.0 27.50 5.3 443 8.1 7 13 5.0 26.00 3.6 477 7.8 8 13 2.5 27.50 5.3 443 8.1 7 13 5.0 26.00 3.6 477 7.8 8 13 3.2 27.30 5.1 443 8.1 7 13 6.0 25.90 3.3 477 7.8 8 13 3.5 27.30 3.1 444 8.1 7 13 6.0 25.90 3.7 8 13 3.5 27.30 3.1 4.5 8.1 8.13 4.5 27.50 6.0 4.5 8.0 7 16 1.0 25.20 3.0	7	13	Z.5	26.30	4.6	476	7.9	5	8	9.5	26.20	1.0	460	7.5	
7 13 3.5 26.10 3.5 4.0 4.77 7.8 8 13 0.5 27.50 6.1 4.3 8.1 7 13 4.5 26.10 3.5 477 7.8 8 13 1.0 27.50 5.5 443 8.1 7 13 5.0 26.00 3.4 477 7.8 8 13 2.5 27.40 5.1 443 8.1 7 13 5.5 26.00 3.4 477 7.8 8 13 3.0 27.30 5.1 443 8.1 7 13 6.0 5.2 27.0 5.0 2.4 460 7.7 8 13 3.0 27.30 4.7 4.4 8.1 7 16 1.0 25.20 4.0 407 7.7 8 13 6.0 27.10 4.9 4.4 8.1 7 16 1.0 25.20 4.0 407 7.6 8 13 6.0 27.10 5.4 4.0 8.0	7	13	3.0	26.20	4.3	477	7.9								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	13	3.5	26.10	4.0	477	7.9	3116	13						
7 10 1.5 2.5 26.10 3.7 477 7.8 8 13 1.5 27.50 3.2 443 8.1 7 13 5.0 26.00 3.6 477 7.8 8 13 2.0 27.40 5.1 443 8.1 7 13 6.0 25.90 2.9 479 7.8 8 13 2.0 27.40 5.1 443 8.1 7 13 6.0 25.90 2.9 479 7.8 8 13 3.0 27.20 4.9 444 8.1 7 13 6.5 25.90 2.9 493 7.7 8 13 3.5 27.20 4.9 444 8.1 7 16 1.5 25.20 4.5 444 8.1 8 13 5.5 26.50 5.4 445 8.0 7 16 1.5 25.20 4.5 443 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.50 5.4 4.5 8.0 7 7 <td< td=""><td>7</td><td>13</td><td>4.0</td><td>26.10</td><td>3.5</td><td>477</td><td>7.8</td><td>о А</td><td>13</td><td>1.0</td><td>27.50</td><td>6.1</td><td>443</td><td>8.1</td><td></td></td<>	7	13	4.0	26.10	3.5	477	7.8	о А	13	1.0	27.50	6.1	443	8.1	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	13	4.3	20.10	3.7	477	7.8	Ĩ.	15	1.0	27.30 27 5n	2.2	443	8.1	
7 13 6.0 25, 90 2,0 477 7.8 8 13 2,3 27,30 2,1 441 8,1 7 13 6.5 25,90 3,3 478 7,8 8 13 3,0 27,30 4,7 444 8,1 7 13 7,0 2,4 400 7,7 8 13 3,5 27,20 4,9 444 8,1 ** SITE 16 7 16 1,0 22,00 5,0 4,4 8,1 3,5 27,20 4,9 445 8,0 7 16 1,0 22,00 3,9 473 7,7 8 13 5,5 26,70 5,4 445 8,0 7 16 2,0 2,4 453 7,7 8 13 6,5 26,70 5,4 445 8,0 7 16 2,0 2,4 4,3 8,0 7,7 8 13 6,5 26,70 5,4 45 8,0 7 16 2,0 2,4,0	7	13	5.5	26 00	3.0	477	7.8	8	13	2.0	27.40	5.5	443	8.1	
7 13 2.5 2.4 470 7.8 8 13 3.0 27.20 4.77 4.12 8.13 3.5 27.20 4.9 444 8.11 ** SITE 16 8 13 4.5 27.20 4.9 444 8.11 7 16 0.5 25.70 4.0 493 7.7 8 133 5.0 27.20 4.9 444 8.10 7 16 0.5 25.70 4.0 493 7.7 8 135 5.0 7.10 4.5 8.0 7 16 2.0 27.20 3.8 495 7.6 8 13 4.5 27.10 4.9 445 8.0 7 16 2.0 $51.31.30$ 5.8 443 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.90 5.0 449 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.90 3.0 8.2 8.0 8.2 8.10 8.2 8.10 8.2	7	13	6.0	25.90	2.5	4/1	1.8	8	13	2.5	27.30	5.1	ш	G-1 R 1	
7 13 7.0 25.80 2.4 400 7.7 8 13 3.5 27.20 4.9 444 8.11 ** SITE 16 7 16 0.5 25.70 4.0 403 7.7 8 13 5.0 27.20 4.9 444 8.10 7 16 0.5 25.70 4.5 477 8 13 5.0 27.00 5.0 445 8.0 7 16 1.5 27.20 4.9 445 8.0 7 16 2.0 27.60 3.4 493 7.7 8 13 5.0 27.00 4.5 8.0 7 16 2.0 3.0 27.80 3.6 47.7 8 13 5.0 27.00 4.9 4.5 8.0 7 16 2.0 3.7 405 7.6 8 16 1.0 22.10 6.6 448 8.2 8 2 1.0 0.70 4.9 442 8.2 8 16 2.0	7	13	6.5	25.90	3.3	478	7.8	8	13	3.0	27.30	4.7	444	8.1	
** SIFE 16 8 13 4.0 27.20 4.8 4.44 8.10 7 16 0.5 25.70 4.0 473 7.7 8 13 4.5 27.20 4.8 4.45 8.0 7 16 1.5 25.20 3.9 473 7.7 8 13 4.0 27.20 4.8 8.0 7 16 1.5 25.20 3.9 473 7.7 8 13 6.0 27.10 4.9 455 8.0 7 16 2.0 27.80 3.6 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 26.70 4.7 455 8.0 7 16 2.0 27.80 3.6 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 26.70 4.7 455 8.0 ** SITE 2 ** 8 2 0.5 31.30 5.8 443 8.2 8 16 0.5 27.90 5.0 4.0 8.2 7.9 8 2 2.5 30.70 4.9 4	7	13	7.0	25.80	2.4	480	7.7	8	13	3.5	27.20	4.9	444	8.1	
$\begin{array}{c} 116 16 \\ \hline 1 10 25.20 4.5 407 7.7 \\ \hline 1 6 1.0 25.20 4.5 407 7.7 \\ \hline 1 6 1.0 25.20 4.5 407 7.7 \\ \hline 1 6 1.0 25.20 3.0 405 7.7 \\ \hline 1 6 2.0 24.90 3.8 405 7.6 \\ \hline 7 16 2.0 24.90 3.8 405 7.6 \\ \hline 7 16 3.0 24.80 3.7 405 7.6 \\ \hline 7 16 3.0 24.80 3.7 405 7.6 \\ \hline 7 16 3.0 24.80 3.7 405 7.6 \\ \hline 8 113 4.5 26.70 4.7 445 8.0 \\ \hline 7 16 3.0 24.80 3.7 405 7.6 \\ \hline 8 116 1.0 22.00 5.7 449 8.2 \\ \hline 8 2 1.0 30.70 4.9 443 8.2 \\ \hline 8 2 1.5 30.70 4.9 443 8.2 \\ \hline 8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.2 \\ \hline 8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.0 \\ \hline 8 2 2.5 30.20 3.9 441 8.1 \\ \hline 8 2 2 4.0 28.80 2.4 \\ \hline 4 1.0 27.40 3.2 \\ \hline 8 2 2 4.0 28.80 2.4 \\ \hline 4 1.0 27.40 5.2 \\ \hline 8 4 3.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 1.0 27.40 5.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 5.5 27.40 4.9 433 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 3.5 27.40 4.7 433 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 4.5 27.40 4.7 433 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 4.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 4.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 4.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 5.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 8 4 4 5.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 2 4.5 28.00 6.4 \\ \hline 9 2 4 5 27.40 5.1 \\ \hline 9 4 \\ \hline 1 0 27.40 5.1 \\ \hline 9 4 \\ \hline 1 0 27.40 5.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 9 4 \\ \hline 1 0 27.40 5.1 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 9 4 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 9 4 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.4 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.2 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1.6 0 6.3 \\ \hline 1 0 2 1 1 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 $		• •				• -		5	13	4.0	27.20	4.8	444	8.1	
7 16 0.5 25.70 6.0 437 7.7 6 13 5.5 24.00 5.0 445 8.0 7 16 1.5 25.20 3.9 497 7.7 8 13 5.5 24.00 5.6 445 8.0 7 16 2.5 24.00 3.6 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 22.10 4.4 8.0 7 16 2.0 24.00 3.6 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 22.10 4.5 8.0 7 16 2.0 3.1.30 2.8 443 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.00 4.7 443 8.2 8 2 1.0 30.70 4.9 443 8.2 8 16 2.0 27.00 3.4 450 8.1 8 2 2.0 30.70 4.9 441 8.1 8.0 7.9 9 2 0.5 24.0 25.8 8.0 8 2 30.0	SITE	16						5 •	13	4.5	27.20	4.9	444	8.0	
7 10 1.5 23.00 4.3 473 7.7 8 13 6.0 27.00 3.4 453 8.0 7 16 2.5 2.2.00 3.8 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 26.70 4.7 445 8.0 7 16 2.5 2.2.00 3.8 495 7.6 8 13 6.5 26.70 4.7 445 8.0 7 16 2.5 21.00 3.6 495 7.6 8 16 0.5 22.00 5.7 449 8.2 8 2 0.5 31.30 5.8 443 8.2 8 16 0.5 22.00 5.7 449 8.2 8 2 1.5 31.00 4.3 450 8.1 8 16 0.5 22.00 0.5 7.7 8 16 2.0 27.00 3.0 4.0 8.2 8.1 8 2 2.0 30.70 4.4 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1 8.1	7	16	0.5	25.70	6.0	493	7.7	c Æ	15	5.0	27.00	5.0	445	8.0	
7 16 2.0 24.90 3.8 495 7.6 7 16 2.5 24.80 3.6 495 7.6 ** \$117 16 2.5 24.80 3.6 495 7.6 ** \$117 16 2.5 24.80 3.6 495 7.6 ** \$117 16 2.5 24.80 3.6 495 7.6 ** \$117 16 2.5 24.07 4.7 4.8 8.1 8 16 0.5 28.10 6.6 448 8.2 8 16 1.0 25.00 5.7 4.07 8.0 8 16 1.0 25.00 5.0 449 8.2 8 16 1.0 25.00 4.0 8.0 8.1 8 16 2.0 27.70 3.4 452 7.9 8 2 3.0 27.40 3.1 3.0 3.4 3.0 8.1 16 3.0 27.00 3.4 452 7.9 9 2 1.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8.1 8.1 8.1 </td <td>7</td> <td>16</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>25.20</td> <td>4.) 7 0</td> <td>493</td> <td>7.7</td> <td>8</td> <td>13</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>27.10</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>443</td> <td>8.0</td> <td></td>	7	16	1.5	25.20	4.) 7 0	493	7.7	8	13	6.0	27.10	2.9	443	8.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7	16	2.0	24.90	3.8	493	7.7	8	13	6.5	26.70	4.7	<u>us</u>	8.0	
7 16 3.0 24.60 3.7 475 7.6 8 strte 16 ** SITE 2 8 2 0.5 31.30 5.8 443 8.2 8 16 0.5 28.10 6.6 448 8.2 8 2 0.5 31.30 5.8 443 8.2 8 16 0.5 28.10 6.6 448 8.2 8 2 1.5 31.00 4.3 442 8.2 8 16 2.0 27.60 4.3 450 8.1 8 2 2.0 30.27 3.9 441 8.1 8 16 2.0 27.60 4.3 450 8.1 8 2 30.27.60 3.2 445 8.0 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 2 30.0 27.40 3.2 447 7.9 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 2 30.5 27.40 5.2 437 8.2	7	16	2.5	24.80	3.6	495	7.6							•••	
** SITE 2 8 16 1.0 22.00 5.7 449 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.90 5.0 449 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.90 5.0 449 8.2 8 16 1.5 27.90 5.0 449 8.2 8 16 2.0 27.60 4.3 450 8.1 8 2 2.0 30.20 3.9 441 8.1 8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.0 8 2 3.0 27.60 3.2 445 8.0 8 2 4.0 28.80 2.4 446 7.9 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.5 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.4 459 8.0 9 2 2.5 28.70 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 0.5 27.40 5.9 437 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.60 5.5 459 8.0 9 2 4.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 2.5 27.40 4.9 439 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.60 4.5 451 8.0 8 4 4.0 27.40 4.9 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 461 8.0 9 2 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.3 452 8.1 9 4	7	16	3.0	24.80	3.7	495	7.6	· · · site ·	16						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-		:				8	16	0.5	28.10	6.6	448	8.2	Ĺ
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3116	2			_			6 9	10	1.0	25.00	5.7	449	8.2	-
3 2 1.5 31.00 4.3 4.2 8.2 316 2.5 27.20 4.0 450 8.1 8 2 2.5 30.20 3.9 441 8.1 8 16 2.5 27.20 3.4 452 7.9 8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.0 8 16 2.5 27.20 3.4 452 7.9 8 2 2.5 2.5 4.7 7.9 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 2 0.5 27.40 5.9 437 8.2 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 4 0.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 4 0.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 0.5	о Я	\$	0.5	31.30	5.8	443	8.2	S R	10	1.2	27.90	5.0	449	8.Z	
8 2 2.0 30.20 3.4 442 8.2 8 2 2.0 30.20 3.9 443 8.0 8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.0 8 2 3.0 29.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.0 29.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 9 2 2.0 28.70 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 6.1 459 8.0	ž	2	15	30.70	4.9	443	8.2	ă	16	2.5	27 20	4.3	450	8.1	
8 2 2.5 30.10 3.3 443 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.0 27.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.5 27.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.5 27.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.5 27.40 3.2 445 8.0 ** SITE 2 8 2 3.5 27.40 5.9 437 8.2 9 2 0.5 28.70 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.5 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 3.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.5 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 3.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>ž</td><td>2.0</td><td>30.20</td><td>۹.J ٦ D</td><td>442</td><td>8.2</td><td>8-</td><td>16</td><td>3.0</td><td>27.00</td><td>3.4</td><td>452</td><td>7.0</td><td></td></t<>	8	ž	2.0	30.20	۹.J ٦ D	442	8.2	8-	16	3.0	27.00	3.4	452	7.0	
8 2 3.0 29.60 3.2 445 8.0 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 2 3.5 27.30 2.5 447 7.9 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 ** SITE 4 0.5 27.40 5.2 437 8.2 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 ** SITE 4 0.5 27.40 5.2 437 8.2 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.5 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 3.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 4 <th< td=""><td>8</td><td>2</td><td>2.5</td><td>30.10</td><td>3.3</td><td>443</td><td>8.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>•••</td><td></td></th<>	8	2	2.5	30.10	3.3	443	8.1							•••	
8 2 3.5 29.30 2.5 447 7.9 9 2 0.5 28.70 7.4 457 8.0 8 2 4.0 22.80 2.4 446 7.9 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 457 8.0 ** SITE 4 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 457 8.0 ** SITE 4 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 457 8.0 ** SITE 4 9 2 2.0 28.70 6.1 457 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 437 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 2.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.60 5.5 453 8.1 8 4 2.5 </td <td>8</td> <td>2</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>29.60</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>445</td> <td>8.D</td> <td>** SITE</td> <td>2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	8	2	3.0	29.60	3.2	445	8.D	** SITE	2						
8 2 4.0 28.80 2.4 446 7.9 9 2 1.0 28.60 7.2 459 8.0 ** SITE 4 9 2 1.5 28.70 6.2 459 8.0 8 4 0.5 27.40 5.9 437 8.2 9 2 2.0 28.70 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 1.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 6 4 1.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.0 28.60 6.1 459 8.0 6 4 2.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 459 8.0 8 4 3.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 21.90 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 5.5 27.40 5.1 438 8.2 9 4 <td>8</td> <td>2</td> <td>3.5</td> <td>29.30</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>447</td> <td>7.9</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>28.70</td> <td>7.4</td> <td>457</td> <td>8.0</td> <td></td>	8	2	3.5	29.30	2.5	447	7.9		2	0.5	28.70	7.4	457	8.0	
** SITE 4	5	Z	4.0	28.80	2.4	446	7.9	Y 0	2	1.0	28.60	7.2	459	8.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	** \$1TE	4						ý	2	2.0	28.70	6.5	439	8.0	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	` ∠		77 (0				ý	2	25	28.70	0.2	439	8.0	
8 4 1.5 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 3.5 22.60 6.1 459 8.0 8 4 2.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.40 5.5 459 8.0 8 4 2.5 27.40 4.9 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 461 8.0 8 4 3.0 27.40 5.0 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 461 8.0 8 4 3.0 27.40 5.0 439 8.2 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.2 452 8.1 8 4 5.5 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 5.0	8	4	1.0	27.40	2.Y 5 7	43/	8.2	. 9	2	3.0	28.60	6.0	459	0.0	
8 4 2.0 27.40 5.1 439 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.60 5.5 459 8.0 8 4 2.5 27.40 4.9 439 8.2 9 2 4.0 28.60 5.5 459 8.0 8 4 3.0 27.40 5.0 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 451 8.0 8 4 3.0 27.40 5.0 439 8.2 9 2 4.5 28.60 4.5 453 8.1 8 4 4.0 27.40 5.1 438 8.2 9 4 0.5 21.90 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.0 452 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 2.0 21.80 6.0 452 8.1 8 4 6.0	3	4	1.5 2	27.40	5.1	437	8.2	· 9	2	3.5	28.60	6.1	459	8.0	
842.527.404.94398.2924.528.604.54618.0843.027.405.04398.2924.528.604.54618.0843.527.404.64398.2940.521.906.44538.1844.027.404.94388.2940.521.906.44538.1845.027.405.14388.3941.021.606.24528.1845.027.405.24388.2941.521.706.14528.1846.027.304.84398.2942.021.806.04528.1846.027.304.54398.2943.022.106.14528.1846.027.304.54398.2943.022.106.34528.1846.527.205.44498.0945.022.706.34528.1881.027.205.44498.0945.022.706.34538.1881.027.205.44498.0945.022.70 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>4</td><td>2.0 2</td><td>27.40</td><td>5.1</td><td>439</td><td>8.2</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>4.0</td><td>28.60</td><td>5.5</td><td>459</td><td>8.0</td><td></td></t<>	8	4	2.0 2	27.40	5.1	439	8.2	9	2	4.0	28.60	5.5	459	8.0	
8 4 3.0 27.40 5.0 439 8.2 8 4 3.5 27.40 4.6 439 8.2 9 4 0.5 21.90 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 4.0 27.40 4.9 438 8.2 9 4 0.5 21.90 6.4 453 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.2 452 8.1 8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 6.0 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.2 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>4</td><td>2.5 2</td><td>17.40</td><td>4.9</td><td>439</td><td>8.2</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>4.5</td><td>28.60</td><td>4.5</td><td>461</td><td>8.0</td><td></td></td<>	8	4	2.5 2	17.40	4.9	439	8.2	9	2	4.5	28.60	4.5	461	8.0	
a 4.5 27.40 4.6 439 $B.2$ 9 4 0.5 21.90 6.4 453 $B.1$ B 4 4.0 27.40 5.1 438 $B.2$ 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.2 452 8.1 B 4 4.5 27.40 5.1 438 $B.2$ 9 4 1.0 21.60 6.2 453 8.1 B 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 $B.2$ 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 B 4 5.5 27.40 4.7 438 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 B 4 6.0 27.30 4.8 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 B 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 B 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.0 22.20 6.3 452 8.1 B 4 6.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 B B 1.0 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 B B 1.0 </td <td>8</td> <td>4</td> <td>3.0 2</td> <td>7.40</td> <td>5.0</td> <td>439</td> <td>8.2</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	8	4	3.0 2	7.40	5.0	439	8.2								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	с я	÷.	3.5 2	7.40	4.6	439	8.2	\$11 <u>C</u>	• ,		31.00			• •	
8 4 5.0 27.40 5.2 438 8.3 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 5.5 27.40 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 1.5 21.70 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 5.5 27.40 4.7 438 8.2 9 4 2.0 21.80 6.0 452 8.1 8 4 6.0 27.30 4.8 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 1.0 27.20	B.	2	4.0 2	7.40	4.9	438	8.2	9	2	1.0	21.60	0,4 4 2	475	5.1	
8 4 5.5 27.40 4.7 438 8.2 9 4 2.0 21.80 6.0 452 8.1 8 4 6.0 27.30 4.8 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.0 22.10 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 8 1.0 27.20	8	4	5.0 2	7.40	3.1	438	8.3	9	2	1.5	21.70	6.1	452	8.1	
8 4 6.0 27.30 4.8 439 8.2 9 4 2.5 22.00 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 6.5 27.30 4.5 439 8.2 9 4 3.0 22.10 6.1 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 8 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 8 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 8 1.0 <td>8</td> <td>4</td> <td>5.5 2</td> <td>7.40</td> <td>4.7</td> <td>438</td> <td>Ø.2</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>21.80</td> <td>6.0</td> <td>452</td> <td>8.1</td> <td></td>	8	4	5.5 2	7.40	4.7	438	Ø.2	9	4	2.0	21.80	6.0	452	8.1	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	4	6.0 Z	7.30	4.8	439	8.2	9	4	2.5	22.00	6.3	452	8.1	
5 4 7.0 27.30 5.2 438 8.2 9 4 3.5 21.90 6.3 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 4.0 22.20 6.2 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 452 8.1 ** SITE 8 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 * 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 * 8 1.5 27.20 4.4 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>4</td><td>6.5 2</td><td>7.30</td><td>4.5</td><td>439</td><td>8.2</td><td>9</td><td>4</td><td>3.0</td><td>22.10</td><td>6.1</td><td>452</td><td>8.1</td><td></td></td<>	8	4	6.5 2	7.30	4.5	439	8.2	9	4	3.0	22.10	6.1	452	8.1	
** SITE 8 9 4 4.0 22.20 6.2 452 8.1 8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 8 8 1.0 27.20 4.7 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 8 1.5 27.20 4.4 449 8.0 9 4 6.0 22.80 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 7.0 23.10 5.9 454 8.1	8	4	7.0 2	7.30	5.2	438	8.2	ý	5	3.5	Z1.90	6.3	452	8.1	
8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4.5 22.40 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 0.5 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.0 22.70 6.3 453 8.1 8 8 1.0 27.20 4.7 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 8 1.5 27.20 4.4 449 8.0 9 4 6.0 22.80 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 7.0 23.10 5.9 454 8.1								¥ 6	2	4.0	22.20	6.Z	452	8.1	
8 8 1.0 27.20 5.4 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 8 1.0 27.20 4.7 449 8.0 9 4 5.5 22.60 6.2 453 8.1 8 8 1.5 27.20 4.4 449 8.0 9 4 6.0 22.80 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1	- alie 8			* ~~	• •			7	2	4.) (4	42.40 77 m	6.3	452	8.1	
5 8 1.5 27.20 4.4 449 8.0 9 4 6.0 22.80 6.3 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 9 4 7.0 23.10 5.9 454 8.1	· •	е 8	1 0 2	7.20	5.4	449 	8.0	9 9	2	5.5	52.1U 77 AN	6.3 4 7	435 /83	5.ï	
8 8 2.0 27.20 4.3 449 8.0 9 4 6.5 23.00 6.1 452 8.1 9 4 6.5 23.10 5.9 454 8.1	8	ž	1.5 2	7 20	• •• •	449 //o	0.5	, 9	Ĩ.	6.0	22.80	0.C A 7	ッコン ムミン	0.1 R 1	
9 4 7.0 23.10 5.9 454 8.1	8	8	2.0 27	7.20	(449 j	5.V	9	4	6.5	23.00	6.1	452	8.1	
•					(0.V	9	4	7.0	23.10	5.9	454	8.1	
	•										-			~ • •	

B-4

.
CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER QUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1987

•

_____ ··

HONTH	SITE	DEPTN	TEMP	ÞO	COND	pH	NUMER	SITE	DE₽TH	TEMP	00	CONO	~
													pn
9	4	7.5	23.10	5.7	452	8.1	40	,			~ ~		
							10	2	4.0	10.00	7.0	502	8.1
** SITE	8						10	- 7	5 0	10.00	7.3	502	8.1
9	8	0.5	23.20	6.1	452	8.1	10		5.5	10.70	9.4	502	0.1
9	8	1.0	23.50	5.9	452	8.1	10	Ĩ.	6.0	10.70	9.5	502	8.1
9	8	1.5	23.50	5.8	452	8.1						202	0.1
9	8	Z.0	23.50	5.7	452	8.1	** SITE	8		•			
9	8	Z.5	23.50	5.7	454	8.1	10	B	0.5	11.20	9.9	494	8.3
y 0		3.0	23.30	2.0	424	8.1	10	8	1.0	11.20	9.8	494	8.3
		2.5	23.30	7.4 E E	424	8.1	10	8	1.5	11.10	9.8	496	8.3
ó	ž	4.5	23.50	5.5	151	8.1	10	8	2.0	11.10	9.7	496	8.3
9	ž	5.0	23.50	5.7	191	8.1	10	8	Z.5	11.10	9.7	496	8.3
9	8	5.5	23.50	5.6	454	8.1	. 10	5	3.0	11.10	9.7	496	8.3
9	8	6.0	23.50	5.0	454	8.1	10	5	3.5	11.10	9.7	498	8.3
9	8	6.5	23.50	4.8	455	8.0	10		4.0	11.10	9.D	49/	8.3
9	8	7.0	23.50	4.7	455	8.0	10		5.0	11.00	9.0	499	6.3
9	8	7.5	23.00	5.0	456	7.9	10	ž	5.5	11.00	9.5	500	83
9	8	8.0	22.80	4.7	455	8.0	10	8	6.0	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
v o	5	8.5	22.80	4.5	455	8.0	10	8	6.5	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
9 0		9.0	22.70	4.0	455	8.0	10	• 8	7.0	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
, 0	С Я	10 0	22 80	2.0	432	7.9	10	8	7.5	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
•	U	10.0	*****	2.0	-00	(.)	10	8	8.0	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
** SITE	13						10	. 5	8.5	11.00	9.5	498	8.3
9	13	0.5	24.10	5.0	458	7.8	10	8	9.0	11.00	9.4	. 499	8.3
9	13	1.0	24.20	4.8	458	7.8	10	-	Y.5	11.00	Y.4	498	8.3
. 9	13	1.5	23.90	4.5	459	7.8	10		10.0	11.00	9.J	475	0.3
9	13	2.0	23.80	.4.5	459	7.8	10		11.0	11 00	7.7	497	0.3
9	13	2.5	24.00	4.4	459	7.8	10	ž	11.5	11.00	9.4	200	8.3
9	13	3.0	24.10	4.4	459	7.8		-					~
9	13	3.5	23.90	4.4	459	7.8	** SITE	13					
9 0	13	4.0	23.80	4.3	459	7.8	10	13	0.5	10.80	10.8	488	8.4
ő	13	5.0	24.00	4.3	437	7.8	10	13	1.0	10.80	10.7	485	8.4
ý	13	5.5	23.60	4.1	450	7.8	10	13	1.5	10.60	10.7	489	8.4
9	13	6.0	23.40	4.0	459	7.8	10	13	Z.0	10.70	10.6	489	8.4
9	13	6.5	23.10	3.2	461	7:8	10	15	2.5	10.70	10.5	490	5.4
9	13	7.0	23.30	2.4	461	7.8	-10	13	3.0	10.40	10.0	490	0.4
							10	13	2.5	10.40	10.1	400	0.4
** SITE	16						· · · 10	13	4.5	10.40	10.3	402	8.4
9	16	0.5	23.80	6.0	461	8.1	10	13	5.0	10.40	10.2	492	. 8.4
9	16	1.0	23.80	5.9	461	8.1	10	13	5.5	10.40	10.1	493	8.4
: Y	16	1.5	24.20	5.8	462	8.1	· 10	⁻ 13	6.0	10.40	10.1	493	8.4
9	16	2.5	23.90	5.6	402	8.1	· 10	13	6.5	10.40	10.1	492	8.4
ģ	16	3.0	24.00	5.1	1.12	8.1							
				•••			3115	10		0.40		(00	
** SITE	2						10	16	1.0	0.40	11.0	500	6.7
10	2	0.5	10.60	11.1	490	8.4	10	16	1.5	9.40	11.0	500	8.5
10	Z	1.0	10.60	10.8	491	8.4	10	16	2.0	9.30	10.9	500	8.5
10	Z	1.5	10.60	10.8	491	8.4	10	16	2.5	9.30	10.9	500	8.5
10	2	2.0	10.60	10.7	491	8.4	10	16	3.0	9.30	10.8	500	8.5
10	2	2.3	10.60	10.7	491	8.4							
10	4	3.0	10.50	10.0	491	8.4	** SITE	2					
10	۲	2.2	10.20	10.0	471	0.4	11	2	0.5	13.70	11.1	487	8.1
** SITE	4						11	2	1.0	13.50	10.8	486	8.1
10	¯ 4	0.5	10.80	0 A	500	8.2	11	Z	.1.5	13.20	10.9	486	8.1
10	ž	1.0	10.80	9.8	500	8.2	11	Z	2.0	13.20	10.9	488	8.1
10	4	1.5	10.80	9.7	501	8.2	11	2	4.5	13.20	10.8	487	8.1
10	4	2.0	10.80	9.9	501	8.2	11	2	J.U 7 E	13.20	10.9	487	5,1
10	4	2.5	10.80	9.7	501	8.2	11	2	2.5	13.10	10.9	400	0.1 8 1
10	4	3.0	10.80	9.7	502	8.2		·	4.0	12.10	10.0	400	0.1
10	4	3.5	10.80	9.7	502	8.1							

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1987

7	КТНО	SITE	DEPT	N TEN	P D	O CONC) pH
**	SITE	4					
	11	4	С.	5 13.0	0 10,	6 478	8.0
		4	1.	0 12.9	0 10.	5 485	8.0
	44		1.	5 12.7	0 10.	3 484	8.0
		4	Ζ.	0 12.6) 10. (6 483	8.0
		4	Ζ.	5 12.60	10.2	2 484	8.0
		4	3.	0 12.60	10.2	2 486	8.0
	11		3.	12.60	10.1	486	8.0
	11		4.(12.60) 10.0	485	8.0
	44	,		12.50) 10.0	48 6	8.0
		7	2.1	12.50	10.0	488	8.0
	11	2	2.3	> 12.50	10.0	488	8.0
	••	•	0.1	12.50	9.9	488	8.0
**	SITE	8					
	11	8	0.5	12.10	10.0	487	8.0
	11	8	1.0	12.10	9.9	487	8.0
	11	5	1.5	12.10	9.8	487	8.0
	11		2.0	12.00	9.7	487	8.0
	11		2.2	12.00	9.8	487	8.0
	11	ž	3.0	11.90	9.8	487	8.0
	11	Ă	2.5	11.90	y.o	487	8.0
	11	ă	4.5	11.90	7.0	465	0.5
	11	8	5.0	11 80	9.3	465	5.0
	11	8	5.5	11 80	7. D	467	8.0
	11	8	6.0	11.80	7.0	490	8.0
	11	8	6.5	11.80	7.5	490	8.0
	11	8	7.0	11.80	0 5	471	0.0
	11	8	7.5	11.80	9.5	497	8.0
	11	8	8.0	11.80	9.5	492	8.0
	11	8	8.5	11.70	9.0	492	8.0
	11	8	9.0	11.40	8.3	494	7.9
	44	8	9.5	11.30	8.2	496	7.9
	44	5	10.0	11.30	0.6	496	7.9
	••	8	10.5	11.30	7.8	497	7.9
** 5	17E 13	3					
	11	13	0.5	12.60	11.5	486	# 2
	11	13	1.0	12.60	11.4	485	1 2
•	11	13 -	1.5	12.60	11.2	4.87	8.2
•	11	13	2.0	12.60	11.1	487	8.2
1	11	13	2.5	12.50	10.9	487	8.1
1	11	13	3.0	12.40	10.6	489	8.1
	1 i 1 4	13	3.5	12.30	10.5	489	8.1
	19	13	4.0	12.30	10.5	488	8.1
	11	13	4.3	12.30	10.5	490	8.2
1	1	13	5.5	12,30	10.5	489	8.2
1	1	13	6.0	11 80	10.7	409	8.1
1	1	13	6.5	11.40	8.5	490	8.1 8.0
**	TE 47						
ə (1	16 10	16	n E				
1	i -	16	1.0	13.00	10.6	500	8.1
i	i	16	1.5	13.00	10.3	499	8.1
1	1 .	16	2.0	13 20	0.0	***	ō.]
1	1 .	16	2.5	13.10	0.5	+YY	0.1
					***	- 77	0.J

ł

i

ł

;

B-6

						- 41-3													
						U.	EIV										i jura		
HONTH	SITE	DEPI	H	TEMP	00	CONO	рн		1	J O	me	in S				17 9 1	0°≈ 00 <		рн
•• SITE	z						~ 0				ада 1	11		1.0	11.70	10.	1 3	35 I	8.0
1 4	2	1. 0.	.0 1	12.20	8.9 10.4	584 584	8.0 8.0			•• ;	BITE '	16	,	0.3 [.]	12:30	10.	.1 6		7.9
4	2	1.	.5 1	12.20	10.4	585	8.0 8.0				4	16 16		1.0	12.20	10. 9.	0 6 9 6	.55 .60	7.9 7.9
4	2	. 2	.5 1	12.20	10.3	584 586	8.0 8.0				4	16 16		2.0 2.5	12.30	9. 9.	9 6 .9 6	.60 :61	7.9 7.9
4	2	3	.5	12.20	10.3	586 586	8.0 8.0				4	16		3.0	12.20	9.	.9 6	.61	7.9
4	2	4	.5	12.10	10.2	586	. 8.0			**	SITE 5	2		0.5	14.70	11	.2	50 551	8.1 8.1
** SITI	E 4	0	.5	12.20	10.1	585	7.5	•			5	2		1.5	14.70	11	.3	552 554	8.0 7.9
L L	4	1	.5	12.20	10.0	585	7.	1			5	2		2.5	14.60	11 11	.6. .6.	555 558	7.8 7.8
4	4	2	.5	12.10	9.9	586	5 7.9))			5	2	2	3.5	14.30	11	.5	554	7.8
4		3	5.5 .0	12.10	9.8 9.8	58	5 7.9 7 7.9)	-	••	SITE 5	4		0.5	14.90	12	.7	536	8.1
4			1.5 5.0	12.00	9.7 9.7	58 58	77.	7 B			5			1.0	74.50) 12) 11) 11	.6	539 539	7.8 7.7
4			5.5 5.0	12.00	9.7	58 58	6 7.1 8 7.1 8 7.1	5	•		5			2.5	14.3	5 11 5 11	.2	541 541	7.7
** \$11	TE 8	• •		12.00							5	4	L L	3.5	14.3		1.2	541 541 541	7.7 7.7 7.7
•	6 i	B (B '	0.5	12.10	10.5	> 53 5 53	38. 58.	0 0. 0			5	•	6 6 6	4.5 5.0 5.5	14.2	0 1' 0 1'	1.2	543 544	7.7 7.7
	6 6 7.	6 8 :	1.5 2.0	12.00	10.6	53 5 5 5	4 8. 5 8.	Ŭ O		•	5		2	6.0	14.2	0 1	1.2	542	7.7
	- - -	8	3.0	12.00	10. 10.	5 53 5 53	5 B. 15 B.	0' 0	•	. •	• 5111 5	8	8	0.5	15.2	0 1	3.4	521	8.2
4	4	8	4.0 4.5	11.90 11.90	10.9	5 53	15 8. 15 8.	0			5		8	1.0	12.1	01 01 101	2.7	523 524	8.1 8.0
	4	8	5.0	11.90	10.	4 33 5 53 8 57	90 8. 56 8. 57 8.	.0 .0			5 5 5		8	2.5	14.1	10 10 10	2.2	525 525	7.9 7.8
	• 4 4	8	6.5	11.80	10. 10.	5 5	57 8 36 8	.0 .0	•	•	5		8	3.5	14.1	50 1 50 1	12.0	525 525	7.8 7.8
	6	8	7.5	11.80	10. 10.	4 S 3 S	37 8 38 8	.0 .0			5		8	4.1	5 94.1 5 94.	50 1 10 1	11.9	520 531	7.8
•	4	8 8	8.5 9.0	11.80 11.80	10. 10.	,4 5 ,3 5	39 8 39 8	.0			5		8.	5.: 6.1	5 14. 5 14. 5 14.	20 20 00	11.7	534 538	7.8 7.8
	4	8	9.5	11.80	-10. 10.	.3 5 .3 5 2 5	40 8 40 8 40 8	.0) .	8	7.	0 13. 5 13.	90 70	10.9 10.8	538 539	7.7
	4	8	11.0	11.80	10.	.3 5	41 8	.0			•	5	8	8. 8.	o 13. 5 13.	70 70	10.9 10.7	539 540	7.7 7.7
2 **	ITE 13 4	13	0.5	11.90	10	.8 5	51	.0		•	1	5	8	9. 9.	0 13. 5 13. 0 17	,70 ,60	10.4	542 542	7.7
	4	13 13	1.0	11.90	10 10 10	.6	552 (552 (1.0 1.0				5	8	10.	5 13.	30	9.0	544	7.6
	~	13 13 13	2.5	11.80) 10) 10) 10		552 i 552 i	5.0 5.0			** \$1	TE 1. 5	5 13	Q.	.5 14	.40	12.9	546	8.2
	4	13 13	3.5	11.8) 10) 10	1.3	552 553	5.0 5.0	•			5	13 13	1.	.0 14	.30	12.9	548 548 548	; 6,6 ; 8,1 ; 7,4
	4	13 13	4.5	11.8		1.3	553 552 657	6.0 6.0				5 5 5	13 13 11	2	.0 14 .5 13 .0 13	.90	10.9	548	7.7 .7.1
	4	13 13 17	5.5 6.0	5 77.5) 11.8 : 11.7	0 10 0 10 0 10	1.2).2).2	554 555 555	5.0 5.0				5	13 13	34	.5 13	.80	10.7 10.6	541 541	1 . 77) 1 . 77)
	-	• •	444																

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1988

6

l . :

:. :

·

.

___ ...

NONIK	SITE	DEPTH	I TEMP	DO	CONC) рн	MONTH	SITE	DEPTH	I TEMP	DO	CON		
													, bu	(
5	13	4.5	13.70	10.6	546	7.8	4	17	7 0	Ar	• •			
5	13	5.0	13.70	10.6	546	7.8	Č Á	17	3.0	23.70	5.4	517	8.0	
2	13	5.5	13.60	10.5	546	7.8	6	11	2.2	25.20	4.9	515	7.9	
2	13	6.0	13.60	10.5	545	7.7	. 6	13	4.5	24 70	×.y	21/	7.9	
2	13	6.5	13.50	9.9	546	7.7	6	· 13	5.0	24.40	4.7	210	7.8	
	13	7.0	13.40	9.6	546	7.6	6	13	5.5	23.20	1 2	510	7.5	
** ****							6	13	6.0	23.10	0.7	500	7.5	
3115	10						6	13	6.5	22 80	0.1	303	1.5	
3	16	0.5	15.70	12.5	605	8.2			••••	~~~~~	0.5	220	1.4	
	10	1.0	15.30	12.3	603	8.1	** SITE	16						
3	10	1.5	15.00	10.9	604	0.8	6	16	0.5	29.20	8.5	504		
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	10	2.0	14.80	10.3	610	7.8	6	16	1.0	29.00	8.2	506	5.0 R 5	
, ,	10	2.3	14.70	10.0	609	7.7	6	16	1.5	28.30	7.6	500	8 5	
	10	2.0	14.60	9.9	606	7.7	6	16	2.0	26.30	7.9	509	8.4	
PR SITE	,						6	16	2.5	26.20	7.0	510	8.4	
6	• ,		11 10	- /			6	16	3.0	25.30	3.1	520	7.9	
6	2	1 0	33.20	7.4	507	8.4								
6	2	1.5	32.7V 72 20	7.3	507	8.4	** SITE	2						
6	2	2.0	31.30	() ()	507	0.4	7	2	0.5	35.90	5.0	481	8.0	
6	ž	2.5	29.00	2.0	515	8.3 # 1	7	2	1.0	34.80	5.1	484	8.0	
6	ž	3.0	28.70	3.8	510	8.1	7	2	1.5	31.60	2.3	485	8.0	
6	2	3.5	27.80	1.6	515	77	7	Z	2.0	30.70	3.6	487	8.0	
							<u> </u>	Z	2.5	29.70	3.4	487	7.9	
** SITE	4						4	2	3.0	29.70	3.3	486	7.9	
6	4	0.5	23.80	9.2	513	8.4		,						
6	4	1.0	23.80	9.3	513	8.4		٦,						
6	4	1,5	23.80	9.2	513	8.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	;	0.5	27.20	6.Z	485	8.1	
6	4	2.0	23.80	9.2	513	8.3	7	2	1.0	27.20	6.2	485	8.1	
6	4	2.5	23.80	9.1	513	8.3	ż		20	27 30	2.9	485	8.0	
0	4	3.0	23.80	9.0	513	8.2	7	2	25	27 20	2./	C54	7.9	
0	4	3.5	23.80	8.9	513	8.2	7	ž	3.0	27 20	3./	465	7.9	
0	4	4.0	23.80	8.9	513	8.2	7	- Ă	3.5	27.20	57		7.9	/
6	7	4.2	23.70	8.1	513	8.1	7	4	4.0	27.20	5.8	486	70	ſ
Š	7	5.0	23.70	7.2	517	8.1	7	4	4.5	27.20	5.7	4.87	7 0	
6	7	2.2	22.30	5.7	517	7.9	7	4	5.0	27.20	5.3	487	7.0	
-	-	0.0	«Z.YU	4.1	519	7.7	7	4	5.5	27.20	4.9	490	7.9	
** SITE	8							-						
6	8	0.5	24.20	0 4	613	• •	•• \$ITE	8						
6	8	1.0	24.10	9.1	513	8.4	. 7	8	0.5	28.30	7.0	481	8.2	
6	8	1.5	24.00	8.7	515	1 7	1	8	1.0	28.30	7.0	481	8.2	
6	8	2.0	24.00	8.6	515	8.3	1	8	1.5	28.20	6.9	482	8.3	
6	8	2.5	23.90	8.3	516	8.2	1	5	2.0	28.20	6.9	481	8.Z	
6	8	3.0	23.80	8.1	516	8.1	1	•	2.5	28.20	6.9	481	8.2	
6	8	3.5	23.80	7.9	517	8.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		3.0	25.20	6.9	480	8.1	
6	8	4.0	23.80	7.8	517	8.1			2.2	20.20	7.0	479.	8.1	
6	8	4.5	23.80	6.9	518	8.0	7	ž	4.5	20.20	7.1	478	8.1	
		5.0	23.30	6.0	518	7.9	7	8	5.0	28.20	7.3	477	8.7	
		2.2	CS.50	5.5	520	7.8	7	8	5.5	28.00	5.2	479	8.1	
6		A.C. 1		5.1	520	7.8	7	8	6.0	26.50	0.1	503	7.4	
6	Ř	70		3.3	320	7.8	7	8	6.5	25.20	0.1	517	7.3	
6	ž	75	22 80	6.U	220	1.8	7	8	7.0 2	24.70	0.1	523	7.3	
6	8	8.0	2.50	7.U	961 610	7.4	7	8	7.5	24.10	0.1	532	7.2	
6	8	8.5	7.20	1.8	576	1.0 7 t	7	8	8.0	23.80	0.1	536	7.2	
6	8	9.0 2	2.00	1.0	877	7.8	7	8	8.5	2.30	0.1	542	7.2	
6	Ē	05 2	1 80	D 1	50	7.2	7	8	9.0	23.10	0.1	545	7.2	
-	-	· • • • •		v., .	360	6 sh		-						
** SITE 13							TT SITE 1	3	• -					
6	13	0.5 2	7.60	8.5	511	8.6	7	15	0.5	70.70	6.0	490	8.0	
6	13	1.0 2	7.60	8.6	511	8.5		15	1.0	18.70	5.8	490	8.0	
6	13	1.5 2	7.50	8.3	511	8.5	7	13	1.5 2	70	5.5	491	8.0	
6	13	2.0 2	6.60	7.8	512	8.4	7.	13	2.0 2	0.70	5.6	471	7.9	
6	13	2.5 2	6.30	7.8	512	8.3	7	13	2.3 2	0.00	4.0	491	7.9	
							•	1 af	J.U. 6	0.30	ə./	442	1.8	

B-8

•

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER QUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1988

.

.

MONTH	SITE·	DEPTH	TEMP	00	COND	pK		NONTH	SITE	DEPTN	TEXP	ÞO	COND	рH
7	13	3.5	28.30	3.8	493	7.8		R	13	5.5	27.10	0.1	185	
7	13	4.0	28.20	3.4	493	7.7		8	13	6.0	26.80	0.1	405	6.0
7	13	4.5	28.00	1.9	495	7.6		-		••••	20100	•••		0.1
7	13	5.0	27.20	0.1	500	7.4		3T12 **	16					
7	13	5.5	26.20	0.1	516	7.3		8	16	0.5	30.60	6.6	154	
7	13	6.0	25.40	0.1	522	7.2		Ā	16	1.0	30.50	6.2	157	0.2
						• • • • •		Ā	16	1.5	30.50	5.0	187	0.1
** SITE	16							Ā	16	2.0	30.30	14	421	0.U 7 e
7	16	0.5	29.20	7.4	686	8.3		. *		2.0	30.10	•.0	401	1.5
7	16	1.0	28.50	6.7	485	8.2	,		2					
7	16	1.5	28.40	6.8	4.8.7	8.3		0	٠,	n 5	32 00	4 7	600	·
7	16	2.0	27.90	5.0	492	8.1		, ,		1 0	32.00	0.1 4 E	502	8.4
7	16	2.5	27.60	4.3	496	7.0			2	1.0	31 40	0.7	202	6.3
•						•••		é	5	2 0	28 30	3.7	503	8.3
** \$1TE	2							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2	2.5	27 20	4.0	512	8.2
8	2	0.5	36.50	5.2	448	8.2			•			/	212	0.2
8	2	1.0	36.20	4.8	447	8.2			4					
8	2	1.5	36.70	4.4	448	8.0		0	<u> </u>	0.5	23.10	8.4	515	8.4
8	2	2.0	34.90	3.1	449	7.9		ý	2	1.0	23.00	.7.0	515	8 4
8	Z	2.5	31.80	0.1	452	7.4		ó	2	1.5	23.00	7.6	516	A 3
							•	ė	Ĩ.	2.0	23.00	7.5	517	8.2
** \$ITE	4							, 9	4	2.5	22.90	7.8	517	8.3
8	4	0.5	29.00	8.0	443	8.4		ģ	Ĩ.	3.0	22.90	7.8	518	8.3
8	4	1.0	29.00	7.9	443	8.2		.9	4	3.5	22.90	7.8	518	8.2
8	4	1.5	29.00	7.8	443	8.2		9	4	4.0	.22.90	7.7	518	8.2
8	4	2.0	29.00	7.7	443	8.1		9	.4	4.5	22.90	7.6	519	8.Z
8	4	2.5	28.90	7.2	444	8.0		9	4	5.0	22.90	7.3	518	8.2
8	4	3.0	28.90	7.1	445	8.0								
	4	3.5	28.80	5.4	446	7.9		** SITE	8					
8		4.0	28.80	4.5	448	• 7.9		9	8	0.5	24.10	11.1	511	8.6
		4.5	28.60	3.3	452	7.6	••	9	8	1.0	23.90	10.3	513	8.6
8	4	5.0	28.30	0.6	459	7.2		9	8	1.5	23.90	10.1	514	8.5
	•					_	•	9	8	2.0	23.60	9.6	514	8.5
AA ZITE	8						•	9	8	2.5	23.40	8.9	517	8.4
8	8	0.5	29.30	8.6	445	8.4		9	8	3.0	23.40	8.6	517	8.4
8	8	1.0	29.30	8.6	445	8.3	•	9	8	3.5	23.40	7.8	518	8.3
8	5	1.5	29.30	8.5	445	8.2		9	8	4.0	23.40	8.3	518	8.3
8	8	2.0	29.30	8.5	446	8.Z		9	8	4.5	23.30	8.0	518	8.3
5	5	2.5	29.30	8.3	446	8.1		9	8	5.0	23.20	7.1	520	8.Z
		3.0	27.20	6.3	447	5.1		9		5.5	23.20	5.9	522	8.1
	•	3.7	20.00	2.0	44/	1.9	•	9	8	6.0	23.00	4.8	525	8.0
		1.0	20.40	3.0	433	1.1	• •	9	8	6.5	23.00	4.2	527	7.9
5		5.0	28.90	2.4	433	1.0 7 E	•	9		7.0	22.90	3.6	. SZB	7.8
	ž	5.0	27 80	2.4	420	7.2		¥	8	(.)	22.60	3.1	528	7.7
	R	A 0	27 10	0.1	401	7.4	•	y y		0.U	22.00	2.1	530	7.7
	Ĕ	6.5	26.80	0.1	470	7.0	•	7	0	0.7 0 ^	~~····	2.4	222	1.7
ž	8	7.0	25.90	0.1	400	6.8			. 0	7.0	22.10	٤.٧	222	1.0
ž	8	7.5	25.50	0.1	101	6.8			47					
8	8	8.0	24.90	0.1	506	6.7		· •	17		26 80			• /
8	8	8.5	24.50	0.1	511	6.7			47	4 0	26.40	.0 /	213	G.O
8	8	9.0	23.60	0.1	530	6.6		ő	17	1 5	26 30	8 4	515	4.5
8	B	9.5	23.20	0.1	535	6.5	•	ó	13	2.0	26.10	7.6	517	8.4
							• •	6	13	2.5	24.50	5.8	522	8.2
** SITE	13						•	ģ	13	3.0	24.20	5.9	521	8.1
8	13	0.5	30,90	7.3	451	8.4		9	13	3.5	24.10	5.3	522	8.0
8	13	1.0	30,90	7.3	451	8.2		ÿ	•13	4.0	23.60	4.9	524	8.0
8	13	1.5	30.90	7.1	453	8.2		ġ	13	4.5	23.30	3.9	525	7.9
8	13	2.0	29.40	3.1	452	7.7		ģ	13	5.0	23,20	3.0	528	7.8
8	13	2.5	29.20	2.8	461	7.7		ŷ	13	5.5	23.00	1.9	529	7.6
8	13	3.0	29.00	2.6	462	7.6		9	13	6.0	22.90	1.3	532	7.6
8	13	3.5	27.90	0.4	470	7.1		•						- ••
8	13	4.0	27.80	0.1	471	7.0		** \$115	16					
8	13	4.5	27.80	0.1	472	7.0		9	16	0.5	26,10	10.0	500	8.6
8	13	5.0	27.70	0.1	473	6.9	•	Ŷ	16	1.0	24.10	5.2	521	8.3

.

.

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER QUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1988

(

ŕ

MONTH	SITE	DEPT	H TEM	, DC	COND	рн
9	16	1.	5 24.00	3.5	524	8.1
** SITE	1					
11	1	1.0	8.50	10.4	5/4	70
11	1	1.5	5 8.60	10.0	- 340 \$24	7.7
11	1	2.0	8.50	10.0	540	7.9
11	1	2.5	8.50	10.5	550	7.0
11	1	3.0) <u>я</u> 50	10.5	550	7.7
11	i	3 6	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10.5	226	7.9
11	1	4.0		10.2	222	1.9
11	;	u	0.30	10.2		7.9
11	÷	5.0	1 1 30	10.5	22/	7.9
11	i	5.5	8.30	10.6	558	· 8.0
** SITE	2					
11	2	1.5	18.90	8.8	545	7.0
11	2	2.0	18.70	8.5	545	7 0
11	2	2.5	18.50	8.5	549	7.9
** SITE	8					
11	8	1.0	9.30	10.9	549	8.0
11	8	1.5	9.30	10.6	549	8.0
11	8	2.0	9.30	10.6	550	8.0
11	8	2.5	9.20	10.5	551	8.0
11	8	3.0	9.20	10.5	551	8.0
11	8	3.5	9.20	10.5	553	8.0
11	8	4.0	9.20	10.5	554	8.0
11	8	4.5	9.20	10.5	556	8.0
11	8	5.0	9.20	10.5	555	8.0
11	8	5.5	9.20	10.4	556	8.0
11	8	6.0	9.20	10.4	558	8.0
11	8	6.5	9.20	10.4	558	8.0
11	8	7.0	9.20	10.4	558	8.0
11	8	7.5	9.20	10.4	559	8.0
11	8	8.0	9.20	10.4	559	8.0
11	8	8.5	9.20	10.4	560	8.0
11	8	9.0	9.20	10.4	560	8.0
** SITE 1	3					
••	13	1.0	11.20	9.8	548	8.0
11	15	1.5	11.20	9.8	548	0.8
	13	2.0	11.20	9.7	549	0,8
11	13	2.5	11.20	9.7	551	8.0
11	13	3.D	11.20	9.6	551	8,0
11	13	3.5	11.20	9.6	552	0.5
11	13	4.0	11.20	9.6	553	0,5
	13	4.5	11.00	9.5	554	8.0
11	13	5.0	10.80	9.7	555	8.0
11	12	2.2	10.50	9.8	555	8.0
••	()	0.0	10.50	9.7	557	8.0
** SITE 16	•					
11	16	1.0	8.60	11.1	552	0.5
11	16 🤺	1.5	8.40	11.0	552	8.1
11	16	2.0	8.00	11.1	553	8.1

B-10

.

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL NONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1989

нонти	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	80	COM0	PI	PONTH S	site	DEPTH	TDP	90		• j. M
SITE	2						4	13	5.0	7.90	11.95	523	8.60
4	- 2	1.0	8.20	12.50	553	8.70	4	13	5.5	7.90	11.95	525	8.40
4	2	1.5	8.20	12.40	551	8.80	4	13	6.0	7.90	11.95	525	8.40
6	2	2.0	8.20	12.30	553	8.80	4	13	6.5	7,85	11.90	525	8.40
4	2	2.5	8.20	12.30	355	8.80							
4	2	3.0	8.20	12.20	557	8.80	SITE 16						
4	2	3.5	8.20	12.20	559	8.80	. 4	16	1.0	7.70	14.10	596	8.80
	-						4	14	1.5	7.70	14.00	600	8.80
SITE	4						4	- 14	2.0	7.70	14.00	601	8.80
4	4	1.0	8.20	12.40	567	8.60	4	16	2.5	7.70	13.90	603	C8.8
4	4	1.5	8.20	12.40	569	8.70							
4	4	2.0	8.20	12.40	571	8.70	SITE 2						
4	4	z.5	8.10	12.40	573	8.70	5	2	1.0	14.19	10.11	547	8.45
4	4	3.0	8.10	12.40	573	8.70	5	2	1.5	14,18	9.92	547	8_47
4	4	3.5	8.20	12.40	575	8.70	5	2	2.0	14.17	9.89	548	4.48
4	4	4.0	8.20	12.40	576	8.70	5	2	2.5	14.19	7.85	547	8.47
4	4	4.5	8.20	12.30	577	8.70	5	2	3.0	14.17	7. K	549	8.47
4	4	5.0	8.20	12.30	576	8.70	5	2	3.5	14.19	7.84	350	8.46
4	4	5.5	8.20	12.30	579	8.70							
4	4	6.0	8.20	12.30	578	8.70	\$17E 4						
							5	4	1.0	14,31	9.10	541	8.44
SITE	8						S	4	1.5	14.32	9.06	541	8.44
4		1.0	7.90	\$2.90	514	8.50	5	4	Z.0	14.32	7.06	342	8.44
* 4	8	· 1.5	7.99	11.80	517	8.30	3	4	2.5	14.33	7.06	503	4.45
. 4		2.0	7.90	11.70	517	8,50	5		3.0	14,23	6.9/	344	8.43
		2.5	7.90	11.40	519	8.50	3	•	3.3	14.JU	4.77	347	6.44
. 4		3.0	7,90	11_40	521	8.30	3		4.0	14.30	8.7/	247	8,43
4	8	3.5	7.90	11.40	522	8.50	3	•	4.3		4. P	246	8,43
4	8	4.0	7.90	\$1.40	525	8.50	5	•	3.8	14,32	8.70	330	8.42
4		4.5	7.80	11,50	523	8.50	2	•	3.3	14.31	8.8C	222	6,40
4		5.0	7.80	11.50	525	1.50							
4		5.5	7,30	11.40	524	8.30	3112 3						
4		6.0	7,30	11.60	525	8.50	3		1.0	74,70	10.43	337	8.43
4		6.5	7.80	11.40	525	8.50	2		1.3	14.19	V-4 25	337	8.63
4		7.0	7,80	11.40	328	8.30	3		2.0	1/ 11	9.65	507	9.4L 9.43
4		7.5	7,30	11.40	329	8.30	3		1.5	4/ 60	2.47	501	1 47
		8.0	7.80	11.40	220	4.50	,			14 40		110	1.5
		8.3	7.40	11.80	331	8.57			4.0	14.10	0.16	54.8	1.42
		7.0	7.00	11,80	334	8.50	í	- 1	4.5	14.14	9.15	544	8.61
		7.3	7 80	41 30	871	8.50	5	ī	· 5.0	14.13	7.08	546	8.42
		14.4	7.90	11000	8122	8.50	Š	1	5.5	14.12	9.12	545	8.42
-	•	14.3	1.000	11.20			5	1	6.0	14.12	9.19	546	8,42
-	**						5	-	6.5	14.12	9.16	547	8.42
		• •	7 65	17 10	816	8.40	5		7.0	\$4.12	9.16	548	8.41
	ы. т		8.00	17.05	514	8.40	5	Ĩ	7.5	14.13	9.15	547	8,42
-		- 17	2.00	12.40	520	2.40	5		1.0	14.13	9.12	548	8,43
-		2.4	7.64	12.01	520	1.40	Š	1	8.5	14.13	9.14	548	8.42
	່ ມ 	6.3	4.73 7 6	13 80	624	8.40	, i	, j	9.0	14.14	9.12	54.9	24.8
,		3.4	7.00	11 85	427	8.40	5	1	9.5	16.14	9.01	551	8.42
	្រះ	3.3	7.00	17.00	871	3.60	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		10_0	14.15	9.04	550	8.41
	្រះ		7.00	91 ME	\$75	1.40	, ,		10.5	14.10	9.07	551	1.46
- 4	<u>ک</u> ۲	4.3	(. W	11.77	20			-					

CLINTON POLER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1989

•

•

.

.

.

.

									•		• • • •		
NCNTH	\$172	DEPTI	t tem	90	COND	PH	NUMTH	SITE	BEPTH	TDP	90	COND	
SITE													
7			34 70				311E 1	•		_			
7		1.0	, 10.30 74.40	12,10	4/8	8.10	-	10	0.5	27.00	15.70	476	8.37
7		1.0	24.34	11,20	4/8	8.15	1	14	1.9	26.40	11.90	485	8.14
7	ž	2.6	26.10	10,20	464	8.11		14	1.5	25.90	- 8.09	497	7.86
7	-	4.U 7 4	20.10	7.80	485	8.05		34	Z.0	25.40	4.94	\$07	7.58
7		1.5	34.00	9.40	447	4.03	1	14	2.5	25.20	3.30	512	7.44
7	-	7.U T T	24.00	V. 10	463	8.02							
7	Å.	4.0	24.00	4.70	407	8.00	3112 4			-			
7	4	4.5	26.00	8,30	487	7.77		4	0.3	33.80	6.25	468	8.29
7	4	5.0	24.00	8.40	472	7.77	:		1.0	22.80	4.09	468	8.29
7	4	5.5	25.20	4 30	476	7.00		· ·	1.3	33.70	6.07	469	8.30
7	4	4. 0	26.50	1 90	****	1.60	-		2.0	33.60	6.00	469	8.31
		••••			20	1.34			2.5	29.40	4.19	477	8.07
SITE								2	3.0	29.10	3.10	477	7.97
7	1	0.5	74 70			• ••	•	2	3.5	28.50	1.45	481	7.74
7	Ĩ	1.0	24 70	10.400	473	8.10							
7	Ĩ	4.5	24.70	10.80	494	8.12	3112 4						
7	Ĩ.	2.0	24 40	30.20	476	#.07		•	9.5	27.00	9.68	463	8.34
7	ž	2.5	24 40	. 7.80	470	¥.00	:	•	1.0	26.90	7.55	463	8.35
7		3.0	26.15		300	7.55	:	•	1.3	26.80	9.15	444	8.33
7	i.	3.5	25.30	7 70	477	7.94	:	7	2.0	25.40	7.91	466	8.25
7	ŧ	4.0	25.40	7 20	501	1.19		2	2.5	76.40	7.21	468	8.17
7	8	4.5	25.50	5 30	344	0.74 T.40	-	•	3.0	26.30	7.18	447	8.15
7		5.0	25.50	8 40	240	1.JBV		•	3.5	26.30	7.20	448	8.16
7	8	5.5	25.20	3.40	24C 816	(:	•	4.0	24.30	7.25	448	8.16
7	2	4.0	34.90	1.00	510	7.34		•	4.5	24.30	7.29	468	8.15
7		4.5	74 30	1.70	510	7.39		•	3.0	26.30	7.29	469	8.16
7	Ĩ	7.8	24 40	1.30	313	7.29		•	\$.5	24.30	7.04	440	8.15
7		7.5	26 30	1.20	315	7.24	•	4	4.0	25.70	2.74	478	7.82
7	ĩ		2/ 30		520	7.20							
7	1		17 m	0.20	527	7.15		•					
7	ž	• •	77 /0	8.04	227	7.10			4.5	24.40	4.83	449	8.01
7	ž	• <	22.00	9.04	323	7.07			1.0	24.40	4.45	470	8.01
7		10.0	21.50	U.U.	337	6.77		-	1.5	26.30	6.46	47 <u>2</u>	7.96
	-		4 1 4 3 V	V.U	343	6.71		-	2.0	24.30	6.31	472	7.98
\$17E 13								•	2.5	24.10	4.19	475	7.85
7	13	0.5	27.00	** **					3.0	Δ.π	4.75	477	7.45
7	13	1.0	36.50	49 40	4970	8.00 8.00			3.3	~23.40	4.00	477	7.42
7	13	1.5	26.30	14.70	487	8.13			4.0	22.40	3.40	477	7.56
· 7	13	2.0	26.20	44.75	470	8.TU 8.M		-	4.3	23.40	2.89	479	7.56
7	13	2.3	24.10		490	0.00		•	3.0	23.50	2.26	481	7,47
7	13	3.0	25.70	7.70	477	8.01 9 aŭ		•	3.3	22.30	2.18	483	7.44
7	8	3.5	25.40	4 30	8/17	7.452 /			0.U 4 F	2.30	Z.05	483	7,41
7	is 🛛	4.0	25.20	5.40	507	7 48	1		9.3 7 4	40-30 14 (c	2.01	485	7.40
7	13	4.5	25.00	6.30	504	7 14	i		7.0	47.40	1.67	485	7.37
7	13	5.0	24.90	4.50	505	7.40	1	ī	10	20-00 20-00	9,25	490	7.34
7	13	5.5	24.90	4.20	506	7.44	ī		1.5	53.10 36.40	V.06	492	7.28
7	13	6.0	24.30	2.70	500	7 41	ž			47.19 96.44	U.U4	495	7.25
7	13	6.5	24.40	6.80	\$17	7 90	Ĩ			43.1V 74 Ar	u.04	496	7.23
7	13	7.0	24.20	6 20	834	1.467	-		7.3	40.90 N AA	V.04	498	7.22
-				4.64	X 1	f • 17	•	•	N-0	24 .8 0 ·	0.04	501	7.22

1

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1989

NONTH	SITE	DEPTH	T₽₽	60	COND	PK	HCHTH	SITE	DEPTH	TEP	80	COND	-
3115	•						SITE 1	6 .	•				
10	•	8.5	16.27	6.70	479	8,44	10	16	0.5	18.97	10.74	480	8.64
10		1.0	10.20	8.80	4/0	8.30	10	- 14	1.0	18.13	10.90	483	8.70
10		1.5	16.27	8.61	482	8.50	10	16	1.5	17.28	10.82	484	8.81
10	4	2.0	16.Z7	8.78	666	8,50	10	- 14	2.0	16.84	9.70	486	1.45
10	•	2.5	10.2	8.80	455	6.33	10	16	Z.3	16.77	9.70	487	8.86
10	4	3.0	14.20	8.76	465	8.33 6 m	10	16	3.0	16.59	9.00	489	1.85
30	4	2.2	14.24	8.76	483	8.52						•	
10	4	4.0	14.24	8,76	483	8,31	SITE	2					
10	4	4.5	16.24	8.72	432	1.51	11	2	0.5	20.71	8.47	504	8.24
10	4	5.9	16.24	8.47	472	8.51	11	2	1.0	20.26	8.06	504	1.31
10	4	5.5	14.22	8.60	492	8,30	11	2	1.5	19.62	8.46	504	1.29
10	4	6.0	14.23	8.52	492	8,49						•••	
	•						•						
84 I E	• •	0.5	14.42	• 73	485	8.50							•
10		1.0	34 42	9 10	485	8.51							
10		1.5	14.41	9.04	455	1.52							
10		-2.0	14.58	9.05	484	8.50							
10		2.5	14.57	1.16	486	8.50							
10	1	3.0	14.54	2.13	486	1.51					•		
10		3.5	14.54	1.10	2.84	8.51							
10	Ĩ	6.0	14.54	1.0	485	1.51							
10	Ē	4.5	14.54	8.80	690	8.51							
10	ž	5.0	54.54	8.77	489	8.50					-		
10		5.5	14.55	8.73	492	8.49							
10		4.0	14.51	3.41	482	2.43							
10		4.5	14.52	8.71	446	1.47							
10		7.0	14.52	8.74	495	1.41							
10		7.5	14.53	8.47	497	1.41							
10		8.0	16.51	8.41	496	8.47				•			
10		8.5	14.50	1.12	499	1.41							
10	Ť	9.0	14.30	8.51	501	1.4	•						
10		1.5	14.49	1.55	507	8.47							
10	ī	10.0	14.49	1.57	\$07	1.4							
	-												
81TE 1	3					•							
10	13	8.5	17.70	9.34	490	8.57				•			
10	13	1.0	17.76	1.35	491	8.59							
10	13	1.5	17.44	9.00	491	8.39							
10	13	2.0	17.41	8.89	491	8.40							
10	13	2.5	17.60	8.95	491	8.59		-					
10	13	3.0	17.54	8.78	491	8.57						•	
10	13	3.5	17.55	8.73	493	8.56							
10	13	4.0	17.51	8.73	494	8.56							
10	13	4.5	17.30	8.78	494	8.54			•				
10	13	5.0	17.4	8.78	494	8.55							
10	13	5.5	17.47	8.82	494	8.54							
10	13	. 6.0	17.44	8.83	495	8.55							
10	13	4.5	17.44	8.81	497	8.54							
10	13	7.0	17.36	8.74	496	8.54							

B-13

CLINTON POLER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL NONITORING PROCEAN LAKE WATER GLALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1990

.

(

ŕ

NCHTH	\$ITE	DEPTH	TD#	D 0	60MD	71	MONTH	SITE	DEPTN	TDP	80	20140	P 1
BITE	Z						4	11		** **			• • •
4	2	0.5	13.85	11.75	559	1.12			2.3		W.8/	357	5.55
- 4	2	1.0	ធ.ខ	11.38	558	8.79	Å		4.0	13.12	16.30	556	1.15
4	2	1.5	13.82	11.27	560	8.77				13.71	12.23	561	1.23
4	2	2.0	13.12	11.32	540	8.79	Ĩ.		3.0	12./3	11.30	563	4.75
4	2	2.5	13.80	11.25	565	8.77	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		3.3	12.74	11.06	570	8.73
4	2	3.0	13.71	11.22	570	8.75	4		4.U	11 70	11.01	570	8.72
4	2	3.5	13.44	11.09	570	8.75	4		7.0	11.79	10.26	576	8.45
4	2	4.0	13,44	10.80	573	8.70		-			7./0	577	8.56
	1						SITE 14	6					
4	- <u>-</u>		14 84	44.90			4	14	9.5	15.00	12.40	661	8.57
i i	4	5.8	14, 20	20.27	214	1.6	4	16	1.0	14.98	12.39	667	8.51
4	4	1.5	14.47	14 41	214	8.85	4	- 14	1.5	15.00	12.27	462	8.49
6	4	2.0	14.45	14 11	214 612	8.8/ 8.94	4	14	2.0	14.40	11.80	671	8.46
6	4	2.5	94 41	14 00	213	8,60	4	16	2.5	14.21	11.33	677	8.42
4	4	3.0	14. 10	15.00	212	8.83	4	14	3.0	13.50	7.18	702	8.33
4	4	3.5	14.38	15 84	217 217	4.47							
4	4	4.0	14.95	15 64	217	8.87	SITE 2						
4	4	4.5	14.94	14.71	830	8.87	5	2	9.S	26.04	8.96	570	8.22
4	4	5.0	14.10	14.71	367 619	8.70	5	2	1.0	26.20	8.94	571	8.30
4	4	5.5	13.80	11.78	507	8.60	5	2	1.5	24.13	8.80	571	8.29
4	4	6.0	13.20	10.26	504	8.53	5	2	2.0	z. 17	8.68	572	8.29
						•	3	2	2.5	24.57	7.74	542	8.23
SITE 8	1						3	2	3.0	22.04	8.87	598	8.19
4		0.5	13.40	\$4.49	543	1.98	. >	z	3.5	21.20	7.25	617	4.15
4	8	1.0	13.34	14.19	543	8.97					•		
4	8	1.5	13.33	14.00	344	8.96	*****						
4	8	2.0	13.31	13.91	\$43	8.6	, 3	•	0.3	18.08	9.79	544	8.15
4	8	2.5	13.28	13.77	344	1.5	,	1	1.0	17.97	1.52	545	8.15
4	8	3.0	13.25	12.97	546	1.92	5	2	1.5	17.95	7.40	545	8.15
4	8	4.0	12.12	12.89	347	8.8		2	2.0	17.94	9.37	544	8.14
4	1	4.5	11.85	13.00	54	8.85			<u>د</u> ے	17.72	7.35	547	8.15
٤.	8	5.0	11.36	12.44	\$47	8.82	÷	7	3.0	17.44	7.96	558	8.10
4	8	5.5	11.17	12.34	549	8.79	,	-	3.3	17.34	7.14	559	8.09
4	8	4.0	10.60	11.61	\$53	8.72	5	7	4.U 4.E	17.51	7.13	560	8.06
4	8	6.5	10.30	11.15	556	8.71	5	7	•	17.981	7.13	540	8.01
4	1	7.6	10.62	11.07	555	8.64	Š	4	1.5+	17.76	7.13 # 1/	200	8.07
4		7.5	9.97	11.05	258	8.45	5	Ĩ.	4.8	17.48		3()	8.06
		8.0	9.94	11.01	358	8.6 3	5	4	6.5	17.25	7.09	201	8.01 7.07
		8.3	548	10.74	560	8.60							f olki
		7.0	9.51	10.11	541	8.54	SITE 8						
•		7.5	9.46	7.93	543	1.52	5		1.5	19.35	9.15	-	
•	•	30.0	9.41	9.86	343	8.49	5		1.0	17.33	9.04	540	1.13
			•	•			5	1	1.5	19.30	7.04	959	1.14
				.			5	8	2.8	19.27	9.02	559	8.15
	ມ 	0.3	74.43	14.23	\$50	9.01	5	8	2.5	19.24	9.05	\$59	1.14
-	4	7.0	¥.37	74.06	350	8.N	5	8	3.0	19.20	9.13	359	8.17
•	ม 17	1.3	*.2	13.78	550	8.93	5	8	3.5	17.18	9.17	559	8.17
7	ы 17	4.0	14.Z3	13.53	550	8.91	5	8	4.0	19.14	9.22	559	8.19
2	1) 11	2.3	14.20	13.68	552	8.91	5	8	4.5	19.12	9.20	\$59	8.18
-	4	3.9	H.D	13.43	352	8.90	5	8	5.0	19.11	9.17	559	8.17

•

.

B-14

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL NONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER BUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1990

NONTH 1	SI TE	DEPTH	TEM	D O	CO10	PK	NONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	5 0	000	PH
								,	• •	** **			
5		5.5	19.11	9.12	562	8,17			2.0		12.07	210	8.02
5	1	4.0	19.10	9.11	562	8.14		•	2.5	24.74	10.52	202	8.01
5		6.5	19.10	7.08	563	8.16	•	•	3.0	24.38	7.54	337	8.01
5		7.0	19.05	9.03	542	8.15	•	•	3.3	24.32	9.20	538	8.02
5		7.5	19.06	8.82	564	8.13	6	4	4.0	24.31	8.50	279	8.01
5		8.0	18.82	8.31	565	8.12	6	4	4.5	24.22	1.43	540	7.99
5	8	8.5	18.02	7.00	566	8.06	•		5.0	23.97	8.04	541	7.96
5		9.0	17.95	6.96	565	8.02	6	•	5.5	23.84	8.35	351	7.97
5	8	9.5	17.81	6.70	366	8.00	•	•	6.0	22.29	3.71	796	7.12
5	8	10.0	17.24	5.43	544	7.94	•	•	4.5	21,00	3.18	636	1.34
5	8	10.5	17.05	4.41	547	7.45							
5	8	11.0	17.05	4.18	368	7.81	3112	•					
							•		0.5	26.05	12.42	231	8.30
SITE 13									1.0	2.34	11.99	530	1.23
5	13	0.5	20.72	1.4	567	8.07	6		1.5	2.0	11.47	527	8.20
5	13	1.0	20.66	8.60	566	8.09			Z.0	23,07	1.0	537	8.15
5	13	1.5	20.42	8.46	566	8.10		. I	2.5	24.10	7.80	547	8.11
5	13	2.0	20.25	8.10	566	8.11	•		3.0	24.84	7.45	549	8.06
5	13	2.5	17.55	8.05	563	8.11			3.5	24.56	7.01	351	8.0 3
5	13	3.0	19.21	7.87	542	8.11	•		4.9	24,36	6.77	201	7.90
5	13	3.5	39.36	7.79	563	8.07			4.3	44.1A 97.7V	6.3/	333	7.90
5	13	4.0	18.67	7.55	562	4.08			3.8		8.04 7 Ta	334	7.74
5	13	4.5	18.50	7.35	561	8.06			3.3	43.47 99.75	3.17	330 R¥/	7.07
* 5	12	5.0	18.35	7.30	542	3.6 5		- :	6.U 4 F		3./*	334 ETT	7.67
5	12	5.5	18.13	7.06	542	8.0K		. :	•3	<u></u>	3.4/	300	(<u>1</u> 00
5	U	4.0	17.85	6.90	542	1.03			7.9	10.10 10 m	3,00	33/	7.40
5	13	4.5	17.47	5.96	542	8.00			1.5	~~~~~	16	336	7.70
5	13	7.0	17.36	4.83	565	7 . R				44.74 m m	4,83	337	1.11
								. :	4.3	22.11	3.34	20)	7,00
SITE 16									7.0	**×	4.07	- 201 E.CE	7.31
5	- 16	0.5	17.06	9.57	705	8.07			7.3	54 77. N	4.44		7.50
5	- 16	1.0	17.55	7.54	705	8.08	•	•	10.0	64.904			(
5	- 16	1.5	\$8.95	7.47	796	3.06		•					
5	- 14	2.0	18.92	7.44	700	8.97	4	, ,		21 35	10 54	\$30	B 40
5	- 14	2.5	18.6	7.36	706	8.06			1.0	17 et	10	577 572	9,44
5	- 16	3.0	18.69	7.15	707	5.03			1.0	97 et		540	
									1.5	97 44	7 41		1 20
_ SITE_Z									2 8	24*14	4 40	L.e	8.67
6	2	6.0	D. D	7.30	534	4.25				20.00 74.01	4.40	8.8 T	
6	2	0.3	32.37	7.35	348	1.24		ä	3.0	25.65	5.25	558	7.90
	Z	1.0	52.17	7.41	341	8.428 8.52			4.0	24.40	5.62	558	7.57
•	Z	1.3	23.00	1.00	2%C	8.67	4		14.5	24.67	4.12	542	7.79
•	2	2. 0	31.36	0,80 4 47	94J *	8.44	Ă		5.0	23.16	4.04	542	7.73
•	z	2.5	30.19	1.47	100	7 87		13	5.5	23.44	4.75	\$63	7.78
•	2	3.9	4/ .UC	2.00	414 414	7.7%	6	13	6.0	23.26	4.81	561	7.81
•	Z	3.3	40.47 77 M	4.W		7.40	4	13	4.5	23.14	3.07	366	7.49
•	2	4.0	44.70	40 14	<i>,</i>			13	7.0	23.07	2.65	546	7.63
							-		•••				
3172 4	, ,			41 44	510	8.06	SITE 1	6					
•	•	U.J	40.7K	14 14	610	1.09	4	14	0.5	27.80	9.16	530	8.31
•	4	1.0		**.00	314	1.V7	Ā	16	1.0	25.70	8.15	530	8.16
6	- 4	1.5	D./Z	17 -72	212	0.00	-						

.

ķ

B-15

CLINTON POLER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL NONITORING PROCEAU LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1990

(

.

.

_____.

RONT	¥ 8171	e depti	t TDI	9 80	COND	PK	RENCH	SITE	DEPTH	TD₽	80	COMO	Px
	6 16	1.5	Z4.47	7 7.40	\$75	8.02	7	13	1.5	25.96	11.47	847	
	6 14	i 2.t	24.17	7.23	551	7.85	7	13	2.0	25.86	11.41	51/	*.40
•	6 1 6	2.5	Z.Z	6.80	5%	7.77	. 7	13	2.5	25.80	11.23	516	1 24
	5 14	5 3.0	22.00	5.06	64	7.54	1	.12	3.0	25.70	10.90	515	1.25
							7	13	3.5	2.32	7.80	531	8.14
#112							7	13	4.0	25.07	4.77	540	7.96
,		0.5	26.02	10.42	541	8.46	7	13	4.5	వ.ట	6.79	\$39	7.95
		1.0	2.R	10.05	543	1.32	7	13	5.0	24.98	4.42	340	7.92
	· ·	. 1.3	2.54	7.96	554	8.22	7	13	5.5	24.95	5.95	544	7.86
;	· ,	34	20.30	7.80	223	8.06	T	2	6.0	24.25	5.70	542	7.84
7	2	3.8	25.17	7.44	346	8.04		11	6.3	24.73	4.71	542 ·	7.73
	-	3.4	••••	/ . 110	344	8.04	1	IJ	7.0	¥.53	2.32	\$47	7.40
SITE 7	4						SITE 14	5					
7	2	0.5	2.#	9.87	506	8.21	7	16	0.5	2.9	11.66	\$36	8.33
7	2	1.0		7.87	505	1.22	7	16	1.0	24.94	10.20	563	8.26
7	ī	7.8	2.0 7 0	7.72	507	8.21		16	1.5	24.42	8.87	\$63	8.05
7	4	2.5	2.42	10.43	300	8.22	· · ·	10	2.0	24.16	6.71	\$45	7.93
7	4	3.0	25.24	1.17	518	1 11	7	10	2.5	23.06	4.72	487	7.55
· 7	4	3.5	2.0	7.27	\$77	8. LJ 2. M7		u.	3.0	22.30	3.14	472	7.40
7	4	4.0	24.25	6.77	5%	7.%	•	-	2.7	4	2.42	447	7.31
7	4	4.5	24.76	6.33	519	7.91	SITE Z						
7	- 4	5.0	24.44	5.80	\$23	7.15		2	0.5	34 87	4 47		
7	- 4	5.5	24.JJ	5.45	\$35	7.79	ŧ	2	1.0	34.97	4 17	453	5.18
7	4	6.0	25.26	4.36	533	7.42	ŧ	2	1.5	34.92	4.75	453	
	_							2	2.0	34.15	4.17	451	8.21
SITE								2	2.5	34.39	4.07	453	8.20
		0.5	234	9.35	502	8.15	8	2	3.0	33.06	4.34	459	7.96
· · ·		1.0	D. 2	9.30	503	8.15	8	2	3.5	29.72	3.25	443	7.73
7		1.3	2.2	1.37	305	L.16	•						
7		2.0		7.39	506	8.17	गत ४						
7		1.0	20.5 75 M	7.18	508	1.17		4	6.5	28.03	11.30	444	8.41
7		3.5	20.00 25.00	7-42	305	4.17	-	*	1.0	28.09	11.22	445	8.45
7	ī	4.6	24.90	7.16	308	4.17		4	1.5	25.05	11.14	•••	J. 45
7	ž	4.5	24.91	× • • •	310	4.18 7.44		*	2.0	25.05	11.14	444	8.46
7	Ĩ	5.0	24.38	A.20	217	7.96			2.5	23.01	11.03	443 .	8.45
7		5.5	24.36	4.55	510	7 47		7	3.8	24.65	10.5K	445	8.45
7		6.0	24.81	5.97	521	7.87		7	2-3	20.03 70 m	70.72	445	1.44
7		4.5	24.79	5.85	\$24	7.45	Ĩ.	4	4.5	28.53	10.36	445	8.43
7		7.0	24.66	3.92	525	7.45	8	4	5.0	27.51	8.34	493	1.71
7		7.5	24.39	3.40	531	7.40		4 '	5.5	27.25	4.06	-	8.05
r -		8.0	24.37	3.26	532	7.54	8	- 4	6.0	26.21	1.05	484	7.48
		E .3	24.25	2.53	334	7.53							• • • • •
		7.0	24.12	0.42 *	\$39	7,47	SITE 8						
, ,	-	9.3	23,37	0.42	543	7.43		\$	6.S	27.50	10.72	451	8.41
•	•	19-8	D.R	U. 26	548	7.41			1.0	27.46	10.37	451	8.42
									1.5	27.41	9.82	454 .	8.40
7	77		84 47						2.0	27.40	9.86	455	8.38
7	ñ	1 0	60,1/ 54,49	11.45Z	316	4.21			2.5	27.30	7.93	442	1.25
•		***	60.14	11-12	316	8.25			2.0	27.12	7.85	442	8.26



.

•

•

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1990

.

.

•

•

NONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	p 0	000	PI	NCNTH	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	90	COND	PH
										m 4	4.75	448	8.00
1		3.5	26.79	3.52	447	7 61	, ,		2.3	77 4	4.71	118	£.00
1		4.0	26.45	4.79	440	7 17		2	3.U 7 1	77.41	6.72	448	7.99
8		4.5	26.55	4.13	471	7.47	,	-	3.3	22.34	6.81	468	7.99
1	1	5.0	26.35	2.30	413	7 47	,			72 33	4.12	468	8.00
1	8	5.5	26.00	10.37	410	7 72	,	2	5.0	77.29	6.87	469	8.00
1		6.0	2.0	0,34	197	7.34	•		5.5	22.55	6.90	463	8.01
		6.3	2.43	4.7	481	7.35	,		4.5	22.15	6.77	465	8.00
8		7.0	2.4/	دني. • • •	400	7.34	. ,	-					
		7.5		0.47	197	7.33	8175						
		8.U	24571	77.0	506	7.32	4	· .	0.5	22.76	7.4Z	471	8.05
		6.3	74.65	8 11	505	7.31	9		1.0	22.76	7.36	470	8.05
		y,u a t	76 37	0.53	513	7.30			1.5	22.77	7.24	470	8.05
		7.3	24.38	0.33	513	7.29			2.0	22.17	7.17	470	8.10
•	•	14.24					•	1	2.5	3 22.76	7.13	470	8.10
	+ T						•) (i 3.	22.75	7.07	470	8.10
	ંત	0.5	29.50	11.54	445	8.45	1) 1	i 3.	5 22.75	7.09	470	8.10
	ñ	1.0	29.50	11.10	444	1.45	9	•	1 4.	22.76	7.05	470	8.09
	ิบ	1.5	29.43	10.89	445	8.47	9	1	1 4.S	5 22.76	7.05	470	8.05
		2.0	29.27	10.13	444	8.63	•)	L 5.	0 22.76	7.05	470	8.06
	. 19	2.5	28.75	9.47	453	8.37	•		8 5.3	3 22.76	7.01	470	8.07
	1	3.0	25.44	9.52	455	8.40		•	6 é.	0 22.77	7.63	470	8.07
	1	5.5	28.52	9.25	454	8.40	9	•	5 4.	5 22.76	7.63	470	8.47
	1	4.0	28.53	9.30	455	8,40		•	£ 7.	a 22.76	7.02	471	8.07
· ·	1 13	4.5	28.18	6.14	446	1,17		•	s 7.	\$ 22.17	7,83	471	8.07
1	11	5.0	27.90	4.33	473	7,80		•	6 K.	0 22.77	6.99	471	8.07
1	1	5.5	26.90	0.70	479	7.50		•	1 1.	5 22.76	4.77	A71 /**/	8.UG 4.84
	1	6.0	26.43	0.31	483	7,41		9	Ł 7.	5 22.77	6.V/	414	8.00
1	1 T	i 6.5	25.10	1.32	492	131		9	8 7.	5 22.74	8.77	413	
\$17E	14						SUIE	ົນ			7.67	447	\$.26
	E 1	s 0.5	30.14	1.06	442	8.30		y			7 44	443	1.26
4	1 1	6 1.0	834	8.77	44.5	7 44		7		× 77.40	7.17	49	8.25
1	L 3	s 1.5	2.2	6,14	433	7.44					7.35	442	8.30
4	t 3	6 2.6	27.94	5.19	4,37	7.15		.	13 E 17 7	s 75.47	7.24	449	8.30
i	8 1	6 Z.	7.3	3.24	4/¥ 270	7.92				0 71.42	7.28	448	8.30
	8 1	e 21	រដា	4.88		1		•	n I	5 23.40	7.17	448	.8.25
•								•	13 4	.0 23.34	7.02	448	8.27
8178	- 2			7 14	47	8.61		9	13 4	5 23.30	6.96	449	\$.27
	7	2 4	5 60.13 6 26.27	7.23	470	8.33		•	13 1	. 23.14	4.48	448	8.25
		2 1.	5 28.25	7.25	470	8.27		•	13 5	5 2.09	6.66	447	8.23
		2 2.	0 25.22	7.21	470	1.5							
	•	2 2.	5 25.06	5.75	670	8.72	\$11	E 16					
		2 3.	0 24.14	4.52	475	8.24		9	16 (1.5 24.38	7.62		9.04
	é	2 3.	5 23.93	3,65	471	8.10		•	16 1	.0 20.77	7.30	461	8.82
	,			••••				•	16	.5 20.44	7.27	441	8.59
***								•	16	1.0 20.25	7,47	440	1.57
	•	۵.	5 22.40	7.10	448	7.91		7	16	2.5 20.11	7.14	440	8.54
	•	4 1.	0 22.39	6.94	448	8.00							
	•	- ··· ▲ 1.	5 22.39	6.82	468	8.01	51	TE 2					
	•	4 2	0 22.42	6.79	445	7.97		10	2	0.5 21.10	3 7.74	479	7.59
	-					-							

ł

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROCEAU LAKE WATER GLALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1990

PICHTH	BITE	DEPTH	TEP	\$0	COND	PK	PONTH	SITE	BEPTH	TDP	80	000	
				_									
10	2	1.0	20.00	7,89	475	7.72	10	13	4.0	17.20	7.35		7.74
10	2	1.5	19.40	7.99	471	7.42	10	13	4.5	16.90	7.31	441	7.78
10	2	2.0	18.30	7.43	477	7,82	10	13	5.0	16.80	7.24	440	7.74
10	2	2.5	16.10	7,57	473	7.75	10	13	5.5	16.80	7.23	459	7.75
10	2	3.0	13.80	7.86	506	7.80						~~~	
10	2	3.5	13.50	7.77	509	7,56	SITE 1	6					
							10	16	0.5	12.40	8.05	506	7 51
SITE	4						10	14	1.0	12.20	7.95	506	7.43
10	4	0.5	15.50	7.76	456	7.72	10	56	1.5	11.60	7.47	501	7.43
10	4	1.0	15.50	7.70	457	7.76	10	14	2.0	11.22	7.15	490	7.55
10		1.5	15.50	7.48	457	7.76	10	16	2.5	10.80	7.70	426	7.28
10		2.0	15.50	7.54	454	7.77							
10	•	2.5	15,30	7.55	458	7.78	SITE 2	2					
10	•	3.0	15,30	7.54	458	7.78	11	2	9.5	12.85	10_31	509	7.95
10	•	3.5	15.30	7.51	440	7.78	11	2	0.5	8.62	12.70	584	8.12
10		4.0	15,30	7.44	440	7.78	11	2	1.0	12.85	10.25	505	7.96
10	4	4.5	13.43	7.42	461	7.78	11	2	1.0	8.52	12.42	583	8.11
10	4	5.0	15.05	7,38	472	7.79	11	2	1.5	12.86	10.19	514	7.66
70	4	5.5	14.95	7.38	477	7.79	11	2	1.5	1.44	17.54	SEA.	. 10
10	4	4.0	14.90	7,38	479	7.77	11	2	Z.0	12.86	10.14	814	7 45
10	4	6.5	14.10	7,34	484	7.79	11	2	2.0	8.34	12.47	544	8.10
							11	Z	2.5	12.15	10.19	515	7.%
							11	2	2.5	8.05	17.26	545	8.17
70		13	14.80	7.73	457	7.78	11	Z	3.0	12_25	10.16	314	7.65
10		1.0	14.80	7.48	456	7.75	11	2	3.0	2.05	12.24	844	8.99
10	8	1.5	14.70	7.34	457	7.74	11	2	3.5	17.14	10.14	510	7 .
70		Z. 0	14.70	7,27	457	7.74	51	2	3.5	1.08	17 60	407	1.7
10	8	2.5	16.70	7.21	457	7.75	11	2	Á.0	£ 10	12 00	410	• •
10	8	3.0	14.70	7.19	457	7.75		-	-10		HE + WV	•••	
10	8	3.5	14.70	7.17	457	7.76	A STER						
10		4.0	14.70	7.17	458	7.76	11		65		** **		• ••
10	-	4.5	14.70	7.18	45E	7.71	11	Ĩ	1.0	7.23	14.44	331	
10	8	5.0	14.70	7.20	458	7.77	11	Ĩ	1 8	9 77	11.70	334	7.81
10		5.5	14.70	7.18	459	7.77	11	Ā	2.0	7.44	44.85	334	7,81
10		4.0	14.40	7.22	440	7.77	11	Ĩ	7 8	7.17	11.JY	334	7.4K
10	8	6.5	14.40	7.25	440	7.79	• 11	-	1 A	7.13	11,	330	7,45
10	-	7.0	14.40	7,20	441	7.79	11		78	7.14	11.34	339	7.24
30		7.5	14.40	7.20	441	7.79	11	-	2.5	7.74	11,00	337 ·	7.84
10		8.0	14.60	7.25	442	7.72	11	-		7.11	11.04	337	7.23
10	8	8.5	16.60	7.20	443	7.70		7	4.3	7.13	7.8/	572	7.77
10	•	7.0	14.60	7.18	444	7.78			3.0	7.14	7. 71	561	7.71
10		7.5	16,60	7.18	444	7.79	11	Ĩ	4.0	1.0	T./V	6 67	7.74
10	4	10.0	14.40	4.96	445	7,80	••	•••			10.84	843	1.16
							SITE A						
site 13							11		0.5	• 71	44 47	197	-
10	13	8.5	18.90	7.76	440	7.41	11	i.	1.0	9.77	11+1/ 50.#	477	7.77
10	13	1.8	17.80	7.55	441	7.45	11	1	1.5	9.71	10.77	477	7 74
10	12	1.5	17.70	7.43	440	7.68	11	i	2.0	9.40	10.74	478	7.77
10	13	2.0	17.70	7.37	440	7.70	11		2.5	0.40	10.31	474	7 77
10	13	2.5	17.60	7.40	441	7.73	11	ī	3.0	• AA	10.57	17-	7 77
10	13	3.0	17.50	7.45	441	7.75	41		7.U 7 E	7.47 8 AA	10.34	474 /194	· · / /
10	13	3.5	17.30	7.39	440	7.75	44	-	1.0	T.8Y	10.33	4/8	1.16
							••	-	4 .W	T . T Y	10.34	4/Y	1.19

•

-

.

•

B-18

.

r

.

(



CLINTON POLER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL NONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1990

NONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TEMP	90	COND	71
••		4.5	9.45	10.50	482	7.79
	-	5.0	9.48	10.30	482	7.79
••	-	5.5	9.48	10.47	484	7.80
		4.ft	9.66	10.47	486	7.81
44		4.5	4.46	10.44	487	7.81
	-	7.0	9.64	10.40	487	7.80
11		7.5	9.67	10.40	490	7.81
11		8.0	9.46	10.40	490	7.81
11		8.5	9.66	10.41	492	7.30
11		9.0	9.66	10.40	445	7,81
11		9.5	9.66	10.40	443	7.82
		10.0	9.45	10.41	494	7.82
11		10.5	9.64	10.42	502	7.85
41		11.0	9.64	10.37	503	7.84
•		••••				
SITE	13				•	
1	1 13	0.5	9.07	11.86	499	7.99
1	1 13	1.0	9.10	11.45	499	7.90
1	1 13	i 1.5	9.10	11.58	499	7.7/
1	1 13	2.0	9.09	11.51	499	1.7/
1	1 13	1 2.5	9.04	11.46	301	7.90
1	1 12	5 3.0	9.04	31.44	201	7.96
1	1 12	3.5	9.53	11.44	Rut	7.99
1	1 12	4.0	V. 01	11.40	804	7.96
1	1 12	3 4.3	7.05	11,	504	7.99
1	1 1	5 5.0	6.77	11	507	8.00
1	11 T	3 5.5	.8.70	11.30	509	7.96
	11 T	3 6.0	8.77	11.20	510	7.96
		3 8.3	8.73	11.14	511	7.90
1		3 1.0	•.,-			
\$11	E 14					
	11 1	4 0.5	12.38	10.96	697	7.99
	11 1	6 0.5	7.35	12.90	722	7,96
	11 1	1.0	12.2 9	10.90	697	1.04
	11 '	14 1.0	7.32	12.85	719	7.97
	11	16 1.5	12.25	10.92	696	8.04
•	11	16 1.5	7.31	12.86	772	7.95
	11	16 2,0	12.19	10.90	700	
	11	14 2,0	7.29	12.84	772	1.76 2.84
	11	16 2.5	12.15	10.52	100	7.68
	11	14 2.5	7.2	12.12	የፊጭ ንክሌ	8.01
	11	16 3.0	12.14	, <u>10.7</u> 9	700 994	7.99
	15	16 3.0	7.77	12,83 49 4 9	774	7.99
	11	16 3.5	7.2	3 74.11	760	

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1991

•

.

•

÷

.

_. . . .

(

1

NONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	\$ 0	CONO	P1	HONTH	SITE	REPTR	TEM	90	COND	28
SITE	z						L	**	• •	17			
4	2	0.5	27.48	1.77	\$78	7.17			4 8	17.00	7.50	530	7.85
. 4	z	1.0	22.76	8.48	529	7.15			1.3	17.00	7.//	530	7.89
	2	1.5	72.71	1.49	\$79	7.19		+1	4.0	17.00	• •	529	7.91
4	2	2.0	22.70	8.43	579	7.90			4.0	17.01	7.65	220	7.92
4	2	2.5	22.45	1.59	\$70	7.92	-		3.0	17.00	7.43	529	7.92
4	2	3.0	22.4	8.51	570	7.93			3.3	14.73	7.52	530	7.93
4	· 2	3.5	19.07	8.73	563	8.01	-			10.63	7.47	528	8. 02
4	2	4.0	18.26	9.13	548	7.96	-		•.3	14 . 49	1.51	529	8.02
									3.0	14.00	V.33	527	7.99
SITE	4						Ĩ		4.0	16.00	7.40	529	7.99
4	4	0.5	14.26	11.39	511	7.13			4.5	13.73	7.62	527	8.00
4	4	1.0	14.24	11.20	512	7.19	Ĩ.	TT	7.8	12.11	7.73	527	7.99
4	4	1.5	14.23	11.18	512	7.90	-	4		13.87	7.64	523	7.94
4	4	2.0	14.21	11.14	512	7.91	SITE 14				•		
4	4	2.5	14.22	11.95	513	7.91	4	14	8.5	15 84	11 11		
4	4	3.0	14.18	11.04	514	7.91	4	ū	1.0	15.81	11,00	367	8.13
4	4	3.5	14.18	11.05	514	7.92	4	14	1.5	14 71	11.10	610	7.94
4	4	4.0	14.17	11.00	514	7.92	4	14	7.0	17.21	41 32	635	8.00
- 4	4	4.5	14.16	10.99	516	7.93	4	14	2.5	12.15	44 48	4/0	8.00
4	4	5.0	14.14	10.97	517	7.%		ŭ	3.6	17.17	11.10	4/3	1.00
4	4	5.5	14.12	10.96	517	7.92			•••			***	1 . 92
4	. 4	4.0	14.07	10.99	519	7.91	SITE 2					•	
- 4	4	4.5	14.04	10.97	\$22	7.93	5	2	8.5	30.11	4.78	10	-
4	4	7.0	13.28	1.43	\$82	7.76	. 5	- 2	1.0	29.95	4 78	450	7.00
							3	2	1.5	29.39	4.73	150	7.45
SITE 4	B -	• ••			,	•	5	2	2.8	29.17	4.73	- 450	7.40
4	8	0.5	14.29	10.76	515	7.87	5	2	2.5	28.17	6.24	451	7.40
4		1.0	\$4.25	10.61	514	7.19	5	2	3.0	2.4	5.17	434	7.45
4		1.5	14.85	10.41	514	7.91	5	2	3.5	20.93	5.45	421	7.25
4		2.0	14.87	10.56	514	7.91	5	2	4,0	17.25	5.57	414	7.14
4		2.5	14.17	10.40	\$15	7.92							••••
4		3.0	14,85	10.52	\$15	. 7.55	SITE 4						
4		3.5	14.87	10.37	S15	7.12	5	4	8.5	23.45	11.36	480	8.10
4		4.0	14.86	10.34	514	7.12	5	4	1.0	23.63	11.73	480	8.10
4		4.5	14.86	10.34	316	7.12	5	4	1.5	2.52	11.44	481	1.10
. .		5.0	14.86	10.34	316	7.92	5	4	2.0	23.43	11.14	481	8.05
- 4		5.5	14.45	10.25	317	7.92	5-	- 4	2.5	23.36	10.70	412	8.06
4		4.0	14.45	10.22	516	7.12	5	4	3.8	23.27	10.15	483	8.03
•		4.5	14.14	10.17	317	7.99	5	4	3.5	23.81	8.93	487	7.94
•		7.0	14.78	9.99	518	7.89	. 5	4	4,0	22.14	8.56	480	7.76
•		7.3	14.74	7.81	318	7.8	5	٠ ک	-4.5	21.39	7.81	472	7.72
,		8.0	W.73	9.47	318	7.47	5	4	2.0	21.44	7.46	473	7.67
••		8.3	14.70	7.56	\$20	7.86	5	4	5,5	20.91	7.22	448	7.55
•		V.U	14.41	1.27	322	7.46	5	4	6.0	19.17	7.21	447	7.43
•		V .5	14.33	1.73	322	7.41	- 3	4	6.5	18.44	6.70	504	7.26
•		10.0	14.45	06.50	323	7.74	5	4	7.0	18,42	6-41	507	7.14
•		H-3	74,44 1/ //	4.17 A.A.	3/25	1.13							
•	•	11.0	14.44	8.1Q	220	1.13	3 3 1 1	-	• •				•
#17x #1							,		1.5	23.44	7.40	495	7.58
E 13			17 -			7 14	2		1.0	23.45	7.33	496	7.58
-	5	¥.J	•••••	7.71	267	(+60)	2	•	13	Z3.40	7.25	495	7.58

CLINTON POURS STATION

LACE WATER BUALITY PROFILE BATA POR 1991

HONTH	SITE	DEPTH	TEM	90	500 ⁰	PH	NONTE -11	n	HUTI (P	100	(C)				5 . .
			71.37	7_20	494	7.58	4	2	3.3	2.1			in sy		A
		eri ک	23.20	7.06	493	7.56	4	ž	4.0	3.4 ⁵⁹	376 B			1	
) 		5-13 11.11	23.14	6.86	491	7.54	-	-			学历期	2400		12	
-		4 4 J.U	72.04	4.47	494	7.53	SITE 4				I.R.C.		\$-1. · · ·		• • • • • •
3		£.6	72.75	4.40	305	7.57	4	4	0.5	25.84	7.1	Run			
2			21.17	4.15	505	7.58	•	4	1.0	25.16	7.17	• 输入的			
5		. 9.3 E A	21 44	5.94	309	7.54	- -	Ĩ.	1.5	25.17	7.00				
5	-	2.U 2.U	21.48	5.21	\$09	7.52	-	4	2_0	25.36	7.01	uî 🖮	The second		
3			21.20	3.44	511	7.50	-	Å	2.5	25.79	4.34	40	37346	的问题。	
3		 	20_97	5.05	310	7.44	4	4	3.0	23	4.56	417	111		
) (7.0	20.58	4,30	507	7.41	4	-4	3.5	25.21	4.00	- 447	7.41	出於和中華	
3		7.5	20.15	4.01	505	7.34	4	- 4	4.9	25.20	3.71	445	7.36	THE REAL	
د ۲		8.0	. 19.78	3.45	507	7.33	6	4	4.5	24.97	3.13	502	7.28		
		8.5	17.03	- 2,45	505	7.27	6	4	5.0	24.70	2.4	509	7.24		
		9.0	18.39	1,86	\$10	7.14		4	5.5	24.54	2.40	500	7.23	. B	
5		9.5	17.50	1.14	511	7.14	6	4	6.0	24.02	2.11	489	7.21	•	150
		10.0	14.47	0.40	510	- 7.18	4	4	4.5	I. 19	1.82	495	7.17		1.5
	, i	10.5	16.50	0.20	515	7.12									
					•	•	SITE B						_		
SITE	13						6	8	8.5	28.49	9.96	480	1.02		
5	5	1 0.5	ద .ట	6.85	445	7,50	6		1.0	28.47	9.96	478	7.99		
5	1	1.0	24.29	6.74		7,45	6	.4	1.5	28.49	· · · · · ·	479	1.00		
5	1	1 1.5	24.43	6.70	442	7,44	6	1	2.0	28.49	¥.77	478	6.01 		
1 5	1	Y 2.0	24.76	6.67	461	7,43	6	1	2.5	28.50	4.75	478	#.UZ	•	
5	1	3 2.5	24.75	6.65	459	7,43	6		3.0	28.30	7.78	477	s	•	
5	1 'r	3.0	24.17	4.40	439	(AL 7) 17	4		22	28.50	7,85 44	4/Y 270	4.4Z		
5	5 <u></u> 1	3. 3.5	22.44	6.01	4475	(141 7 18	4		4.0	/E.30	7.86	4/7 278	1 00		
	1 ⁻ 1	3 4.0	22.65	6.05	5 0	7.13	6		4.3 # ^	40.47 94 (P	7.84 8 44	=+= ∠10	£.01		
1	5 L	5 4,5	21.52	5.56	202	7.97	<u>•</u>		3.9 F F	45,47 90 in	7,80 4 44	447 474	1.M	•	
:	5 1	3 5.0	71.10	4,74	467	اند : 12 ج	4	-	3-3	40.47 90 94	7,400 8 AA		7.91		
1	5 1	3 5.5	20.97	4,86	465 • 467	تىلىر ر 94. 19	6		8.0 4 P	7تتغ جه هم	7,80 2 47		7.97		
:	5 1	3 6.0	20. 77	4.12	470	نیور ۲۰	6		6-5 W -	48.17 49	4.7% A AM		7.74		
1	51	3 4.5	21.22	3,48	473	**** 7 45	•		1.Q + -	لکرتہ <i>ک</i> ے معہ چپ	6 67	 	7.11		
ł	5 I	J 7.0	17.48	ملارج	476	7.00	•		1.3	94 - CO 14 - CO 14 - CO) ليدن 1 <u>8 م</u>	141	7.75		
2	5 1	u 12	17.06	1. 75	20	4	•	-	4.0 ± *	40 AA	9-28 8.18		7,14		
							•	•	#~3 # #	17.000	E.20	\$07	7.14		
SITE	. 14	2		£ 91	231	7,25	•	-	7-0 10_1	12.0	8_12	509	7.11		
	3 \ 5 ~	دستې معر مە ∧ر	40 47	4.9K	443	7.17	- · ·		10.0	12.04	1.12	510	7.57		
	e i	να 345 3 <u>6</u> €.€		کلیت کال ک	54	7.14	4		10.5	17.92	8.19	315	7.05		
	5		19.71	4.5	104	7.12	4	Ē	11.8	17.72	6,16	521	7.92		
•.	5	 16	18.71	4.09	122	7.12	-	-	•	-					
	-	14 X.A	18.28	5.44	454	7.05	SITE 13	1							
	s ·	M 3.9	18_14	4.05	430	6.96	6	13	4.5	27.52	6.97	502	7.81		
	-						6	13	1.0	27.50	4.91	502	7.80	1	
2774	1 2						6	- 13	1.5	27.50	6.87	502	7.79	1	
•	6	2 0.5	31.48	6.39	501	7.79	6	13	2.0	27.42	4.42	501	7.77	•	
	6	2 1.6	1 31.43	6.32	501	7.30	6	13	2.5	27,41	4.50	502	7.74	1	
	6	2 1.5	31.32	6.30	502	7.22	6	13	3.0	27.18	6.27	502	7.Ta	5.	
	6	2 2.4	1 30. 8 5	6.42	\$05	7.85	•	13	3.5	27.05	5.96	503	7.4	5	
	6	2 2.5	3 29.73	4.76	518	7.86	6	13	4.0	26.96	5.84	502	7.4)	
	4	2 34	9 29.19	6.53	519	6.53	6	13	4.5	26.22	5.30	503	7.5	f	

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL RENITORING PROCEAN LAKE WATER GUALITY PROFILE DATA FOR 1991

{

•

1

.

.

•

-

.

٠.

. . .

:

Ithou	\$1TE	DEPTH	₩.	90	000	PH	RONTE	SITE	BEPTX	TD#	2 0	6010	PH
6	13	5.0	25.15	3.47	494	7.46	7		7 8	TT 6/	4 -		-
4	12	5.5	22.01	0.84	487	7.25	. 7	-	1.0	4/ • P	8-00 4 74	440	7.45
6	5	6.0	21.81	0.36	494	7.29	7	•	2 1	41.64	6. /0	440	7.62
6	13	4.3	20.47	0.12	300	7.12			4.J 8.1	41.31	0.UE 7.45	447	7.40
6	11	7.0	20.59	8.1E -	\$01	7,10			7.3	4/ 2/2 77 / fT	3.12	477	7.30
						•	•	•	3.4	*****	8.41	471	7.14
	•						SITE T	5					
	10	6.3	77.49	1.2	553	7.79	7	12,	6.5	28.49	5.52	465	7.84
-	44	1.0	27.44	1.15	554	7.79	7	11	1.3	28.44	5.49	470	7.79
	- 14	7.0	77 48	1.2	223	7,30	7	13	1.5	28.78	5.44	471	7.76
Ĩ.	54	7 5	97.18	4.17	323	7,20	7	8	5.3	28.72	5.55	471	7.74
	14	3.0	97 40	• •	334	7,30	7	13	2.5	25.77	5.46	471	7.72
-	~	3.0	41 487	4.13	323	1.12	7	13	3.3	26.80	5.44	472	7.45
SITE Z							7	T	17	21.78	5.52	472	7.45
7	2	0.5	76.34	4 17	115		7	12	4.0	28.78	6.54	471	7.58
7	2	1.0	34.48	4 M		7.67	7	13	4.3	28.71	4.DL	449	7.36
7	ž	1.5	34.71	4.64		7 17	7	12	5.2	22.42	5.92	448	7.47
7	Z	2.0	37.67	1.4	471	7 (7	7	8	22	21.4	5.03	_ 470	7.47
7	2	2.5	.31_44	4.57	111	7 79	7		4.0	25.20	4.22	475	7.41
7	2	3.0	31.47	4.39	<u> </u>	7.14	4	13	÷.3	28.04	8.39	492	7.01
7	2	3.5	31.07	4.34	444	7.34	81TF 14						
•						•	7	14		78 80	4 60	187	
SITE &							7	14	1.5	28.45	1.47 1.47	433	8. iA 17. mil
7.	4	6,5	27.01	4.17	440	7.77	7	14	- 1.5	21.51	4.00	243	/
7	4	1.0	27.07	6.17	441	7.77	. 7	14	2.0	28.32	4.40	445	7.17
7	4	1.5	27 . 01	4.15	441	7.78	7	14	2.3	28.28	5.64	- iii	f = (/
7	4	2.0	27.37	4.13	441	7.78	. 7	- 14	3.0	28.24	5 39	449	7 77
7	- 4	2.5	27.01	6.13	442	7.78	7	14	3.5	21.74	5.40	470	7 76
7	4	3.0	27.09	4.0E	444	7.37							4 +14
7	4	3.5	27.06	5.54	445	7.75	site 2						
7	4	4,0	27.06	5.92	441	7.75	8	2	8.3	33.42	4.30	127	7.75
- T	4	4.5	27.07	5.94	463	7.75	8	2	1.8	33.08	4.25	428	7.7
	4	5.0	27.63	6.82	444	7.75	8	2	1.5	22.03	4.17	428	7.77
	4	5.5	27.03	5.96	445	7.75	8	2	2.0	32.90	3.45	427	7.70
1	4	6.0	27.07	3.N	447	7.75	8	2	2.3	21.43	1.39	435	7.48
·							Ł	Ż	3.0	2.2.	2.81	428	7.73
****	_			_				2	1.5	26.98	3.23	427	7.77
7	-	•	27.44	7.25	642	7.84							
,	-	1.0	2/.90	7.36	443	7.34	STTE 4						
7	-	1	47.35	7.25	442	7.31		. 4	کنا.	2.4	7.52	417	7.83
7	-	7.6	41.75	7.15	443	7.31	1	4	1.0	25.40	7.04	417	7.43
ż	-	2) 7. 11	4/ • P	7.10	44.3	7.80	4	4	1.5	2.34	6.92	417	7.82
7		 T. K	41.75 77 N	7,74	46.)	/	8	4	Z.9	2.34	6.71	418	7.80
7		4-3 4 R	57 ft	7.13	444	(4	2.5	2.2	6.55	417	7.79
, ,		4.5	64 +33 97.94	7.14	•03 1/27	1./0 7.7/	1	4	3.3	231	6.LE	417	7.79
,			44 + PA 77 - PA	1.007 7.07	443	1.14	-	4	3.5	23.47	6.58	417	7.80
7		3.0 K K	41.17C 77.42	1 avi 7 a/	***3	1.44	8	4	4.0	25.47	6.60	418	7.80
7	ī	4.0	44 +33 77 97	7.00	****	1.00C	8	4	4.5	8.9	4.42	418	7.81
, ,		 	16-1 +76 77 184	4.00		7.455 7.47		4	5.9	25.47	4.43	418	7.81
,		7.6	41 47 97 89	4.75 4.67		1.001 1.11	1	4	5.5	2.4	6.69	418	7.81
•	-	1.4	41 •¥f	8.77	444	1.87	4	4	4.0	X.37	6.39	422	7.79 .

B-22

.

•

.

CLINTON POWER STATION ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM LAKE WATER BUALITY PROFILE BATA FOR 1991

•

.

.

· •·

.

RONT	N	SITE	DEPTH	TDP	D C	000	PK	NONTX	SITE	BEPTH	TDP	2 0	COND	PE
•									_					
	9	. 12	5.0	26.92	3.55	407	7.48	11		8.5	6.06	10.44	412	8.04
	9	1	5.5	26.73	1.60	409	7.40	11		7.0	6.06	10.41	412 .	8.04
	,	1	4.0	26.43	1.05	412	7,30	11		7.5	6.06	10.38	412	8.54
	7	13	6.5	24.41	0.96	412	1.27	11		10.0	6.05	10.41	41Z	8.04
*175		1						-	•					
4116	•	. 14	6.5	28.10	A.85	397	1.21	11	- 13	0.5	8.01	10.58	418	. 14
	•	- 14	1.0	27.96	4.59	396	8.17	11	1	1.0	8.02	10.41	417	8.17
	•	14	1.5	27.89	4.37	397	8.14	11	13	1.5	8.05	10.43	418	3.17
	•	14	2.0	27.74	5.44	399	8.12	11	13	2.0	8.04	10.43	417	8.17
	9	14	2.5	26.79	4.75	377	8.11	11	13	2.5	8.03	10.47	417	8,12
								11	13	3.0	7.85	10.80	417	8.12
SITE	: :	2						11	13	3.5	7.49	10.75	417	8.11
1	1	2	0.5	17.79	9.19	429	8.21	11	13	4.0	7.17	10.93	416	8.07
1	1	2	1.0	17.78	8.99	429	8.18	11	U	4.5	6.98	10.45	416	8.05
1	1	2	1.5	17.85	9.00	429	8.18	11	13	5.0	4.89	10.93	415	8:05
1	1	2	2.0	17.70	8.96	429	8.18	11	13	5.5	6.84	10.94	416	8.05
1	11	2	2.5	17.85	8.95	425	8.12	11	13	4.0	6.82	10.96	416	8.05
-1	1	2	3.0	17.47	8.96	429	8.19	11	13	4.5	6.82	10.33	416	8.05
1	1	2	3.5	17.14	8.36	428	8.18							
								SITE 1	6					•
\$178	4	5						• 11	- 14	8.5	10.86	11.00	431	1,25
1	1	1	4.5	6.22	10.55	418	7.96	- 11	14	1.0	10.02	11.42	436	8.26
1	1	- 4	1.0	6.22	10.54	419	7.95	11	16	1.5	7.25	11.18	437	1.25
1	1	4	1.5	6.21	10.44	420	7.%	11	16	2.0	7.96	11.47	439	8.27
1	1	- 4	2.0	6.21	10.44	419	7.95	11	16	2.5	4.39	10.91	128	1.27
1	1	- 4	2.5	6.17	10.46	419	7.55	11	56	2.0	6.13	11.49	445	1.27
1	1	. 4	3.0	6.19	10.47	419	7.96	11	14	3.5	5.23	11.78	444	8.27
1	11	· •	3.5	6.16	10.42	417	7.96	11	- 16	4.0	4.62	11.72	445	8.27
1	1	4	4.0	4-15	10.43	420	7.55							
1	1	4	4.5	6.15	10.43	420	7.57							
	1	4	5.0	6.16	10.37	420	7.7							
1			5.5	6.12	10.32	420	7.75							
1	n	•	4.5	0.12	10	1	1.70							
\$171														
			1.5	6.05	11.17	412	8,05							
-	11		1_0	6.09	10.78	412	1.0	•			•			
•	[1	- 1	1.5	6.07	10.73	412	8.65				-			
•	12		2.0	6.06	10.42	412	8.65							
	11		2.5	4.06	10.58	412	8.03							
•	11		3.0	6.05	10.58	412	1.63			. •				
	11		3.5	6.07	10.55	412	8.63							
•	11		4.0	6.06	10.58	412	8.65	-						
•	11	8	4.5	4.07	10.52	412	1.15							
4	11	- 1	5.9	6.06	10.49	412	8.45							
•	11	8	5.5	4.04	10.49	412	8.03							
	11	1	6.0	4.06	10,47	412	1.12							
	11		6.5	6.06	10.48	412	8.03							
•	11		7.0	6.06	10.44	412	. 8.63							
4	11	. • 8	7.5	6.06	10,46	412	8.03							
	11		8.0	6.05	10.41	412	8.03							

FOR MORE INFORMATION, CALL OR WRITE Illinois Power Company Water Pollution Control Section (A-17) 500 South 27th Street Decatur, Illinois 62525 (217) 424-7322

