RAS, 7674

DOCKET NUMBER 50-413/414-01 A
PROD. & UTIL. FAC.

From:

Diane Curran curran curran@harmoncurran.com/

To:

Office of Secretary <hearingdocket@nrc.gov>, "Susan L. Uttal" <slu@nrc.gov>, "David

A. Repka" <drepka@winston.com>, Mary Olson <nirs@main.nc.us>, Janet and Lou Zeller

<BREDL@skybest.com>, Ann Marshall Young <AMY@nrc.gov>, "Lisa F. Vaughn"

<IfVaughn@duke-energy.com>, Antonio Fernandez <AXF2@nrc.gov>, NRC Office of Appellate
Adjudication <hrb@nrc.gov>, "Anthony J. Baratta" <AJB5@nrc.gov>, "Thomas S. Elleman"

<elleman@eos.ncsu.edu>, "Anne W. Cottingham" <acotting@winston.com>

Date:

Fri, Apr 23, 2004 9:20 AM

Subject:

Corrections to 4/22/04 filings in Catawba-LTA proceeding

DOCKETED USNRC

Dear ASLB and parties,

This is to let you know that I have discovered two errors in the dating of yesterday's filings by BREDL. The date in the top right hand corner of Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League's First Supplemental Response to NRC Staff's First Set of Interrogatories should be April 22, 2004, not April 20. The date at the bottom of page 2 of Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League's Supplemental Information Regarding Proposed Hearing Schedule should be April 22, 2004, not April 19.

I apologize for an inconvenience caused by these errors.

Diane Curran

April 27, 2004 (3:13PM)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED USNRC

April 27, 2004 (3:13PM)

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

In the Matter of:)
DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION) Docket Nos. 50-413-OLA 50-414-OLA
(Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2)))

BLUE RIDGE ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE LEAGUE'S SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REGARDING PROPOSED HEARING SCHEDULE

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with an oral order issued by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("ASLB") in an April 20, 2004, telephone conference, Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League ("BREDL") hereby provides additional information regarding the hearing schedule it proposed in Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League's Proposed Hearing Schedule and Request for Reconsideration of ASLB's Internal Deadline of August 2004 For Issuing a Decision in This Proceeding (April 19, 2004).

BREDL continues to advocate the schedule that it proposed in its April 19 motion at pages 8-9, with the exception that BREDL accepts the proposed changes to the deadlines for filing initial and rebuttal testimony on Contentions I, II, and III, that the parties discussed in the telephone conference.

In the telephone conference, the ASLB asked BREDL to include in its schedule provision for regular conferences between the ASLB and parties. BREDL recommends that during the

discovery period on security issues, the ASLB schedule a one-to-two hour conference call every other week, in case issues come up that cannot be resolved in writing. BREDL notes that there should not be as great a need to address objections and motions to compel in oral sessions, because BREDL's proposed schedule contemplates that the ASLB will use standard time periods for the filing of discovery answers, objections to discovery questions and responses thereto, and motions to compel and responses thereto. Nevertheless, given the novelty of the litigation and the complexities involved in exchanging safeguards or classified documents, it is reasonable to anticipate that some difficult issues will arise in the course of discovery. Therefore, setting up a time slot for a regular conference call during discovery seems advisable.

Respectfully submitted,

Diane Curran

Harmon, Curran, Spielberg, & Eisenberg, L.L.P.

1726 M Street N.W., Suite 600

Washington, D.C. 20036

202/328-3500

e-mail: dcurran@harmoncurran.com

April 19, 2004