

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

April 4, 2002

NOTE TO COMMISSIONER ASSISTANTS

OCM/RM OCM/GJD X Dennis Rathbun X Brad Jones X Mike Tschiltz Cynthia Jones Alan Levin X Tom Hiltz Jennifer Uhle Joe Olencz Keith McConnell Kerri Kavanagh ___ Christiana Lui Donna Smith ___ Bob McOsker Noble Green Marian Zobler Karen Henderson OCM/ND Clare Kasputys Barbara Gabriel X Maria Lopez-Otin Linda Herr Roger Davis _ Terry Agneu Rick Croteau Diane Flack Vicki Bolling

OCM/EM

- X_Jeffry Sharkey
- ___ Kathryn Winsberg
- James Beall
- Heather Astwood
- Scott Moore
- Linda Lewis
- Judy Ledbetter

OCM/JM

- X_ Lynne Stauss
- Margie Doane
- _ Brian McCabe
- John Thoma
- Lorna Kipfer
- Tojuana Fortune

FROM:

John W. Craig

Assistant for Operations, OEDO

SUBJECT: PREAPPLICATION REVIEW OF THE GAS TURBINE-MODULAR HELIUM **REACTOR (GT-MHR)**

In a letter dated February 18, 2002, General Atomic described their desired plan and schedule for preapplication activities. The staff plans to implement the preapplication review for GT-MHR which parallels the approach for the pebble bed modular reactor design. Many of the activities and the information contained in SECY-01-0070, "Plan for Preapplication Activities on the Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR)," and SECY-01-0207, "Legal and Financial Issues Related to Exelon's Pebble Bed Modular Reactor (PBMR)" also apply to the GT-MHR preapplication review. The Future Licensing and Inspection Readiness Assessment (FLIRA), October 2001, also discussed GT-MHR preapplication activities.

Similar to the PBMR preapplication review, the GT-MHR preapplication activities will be a joint RES/NRR/NMSS effort. Although RES will have the lead, this effort will involve close coordination with and support from NRR and NMSS. The staff will also interact with ACRS and other stakeholders. The staff estimates that it will take approximately 21 months to complete the preapplication review. A key element of this estimate is an assumption that the staff will receive timely submittals and responses from the applicant.

The results of GT-MHR preapplication review activities will be documented in SECY paper(s) which will discuss policy, technical and safety issues. The staff also plans to submit a SECY paper later this calendar year which discusses an advanced reactor research plan, including an overview of the research infrastructure necessary to support licensing of advanced reactor designs. Both the PBMR and GT-MHR preapplication reviews should provide important insight into the development of the research plan.

0-8

cc: W. Travers, EDO C. Paperiello, DEDMRS W. Kane, DEDR P. Norry, DEDM J. Craig, AO I. Schoenfeld, OEDO A. Thadani, RES	SECY OGC OCA OPA OIP CIO CFO EDO R/F	·	