

VARIOUS CHECKLISTS

FOR THE CLINTON INITIAL EXAMINATION - JANUARY 2004

Facility: <u>Clinton</u>		Date of Examination: <u>January 05-12, 2004</u>
Examinations Developed by: <u>Facility</u> / NRC (circle one)		
Target Date*	Task Description / Reference	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a & b)	AP
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	AP
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security & other requirements (C.2.c)	AP
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	AP
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c)]	NA
-75	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due (C.1.e & f; C.3.d)	AP (only 7/14/03)
-70	7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	AP 7/10/03
-45	8. Proposed examinations, supporting documentation, and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g & h; C.3.d)	AP 11/10/03
-30	9. Preliminary license applications due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	BP 12/8/03
-14	10. Final license applications due and assignment sheet prepared (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202)	BP 12/15/03
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	BP 11/26/03
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f & h; C.3.g)	BP 12/5/03
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	BP 12/31/03
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; assignment sheet updated; waiver letters sent (C.2.g, ES-204)	BP 12/18/03
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee and authorization granted to give written exams (if applicable) (C.3.k)	BP 12/18/03
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	BP 12/24/03
<p>* Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.</p> <p>[] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.</p>		

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of JAN 2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of JAN 2004. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>TOM PICKNEY</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR / AUTHOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/12/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/16/04</u>	
2. <u>GREGORY P JENSEN</u>	<u>Exam Developer</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/12/03</u>	<u>Gregory Jensen</u>	<u>1/29/04</u>	1
3. <u>DALLAS R. CLINES</u>	<u>EXAM DEVELOPER</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/12/03</u>	<u>DR Clines</u>	<u>1/16/04</u>	
4. <u>STEVEN RUSSELL</u>	<u>MWRUG EDC</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/12/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/15/04</u>	
5. <u>PATRICK K RYAN</u>	<u>SHIFT MGR / FACILITY REP</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>6/13/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/4/04</u>	
6. <u>JAMES M. HONZEU</u>	<u>SIMULATOR SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>8/6/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/19/04</u>	
7. <u>MARIA K. CONRAD</u>	<u>SIMULATOR SOFTWARES ENG.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/16/03</u>	<u>Maria Conrad</u>	<u>1/19/04</u>	
8. <u>Chad Pond</u>	<u>CPS SITE IT</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>9/24/03</u>	<u>Chad Pond</u>	<u>1-16-04</u>	
9. <u>TERESA S. POTRAFKA</u>	<u>G.S.C.</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-10-03</u>	<u>Teresa Potrafka</u>	<u>1-20-04</u>	
10. <u>Melissa A. Bowers</u>	<u>ALARA / Alara Specialist</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-10-03</u>	<u>Melissa A. Bowers</u>	<u>1-16-04</u>	
11. <u>Ted Delaney</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-15-03</u>	<u>Ted Delaney</u>	<u>2-7-04</u>	
12. <u>JEREMY ANDERSON</u>	<u>SENIOR REACTOR OPERATOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/15/03</u>	<u>Jeremy Anderson</u>	<u>2/4/04</u>	
13. <u>Michael J Griffin</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/15/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/8/04</u>	
14. <u>Bruce Avery</u>	<u>Senior Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/15/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-4-04</u>	
15. <u>Joseph Crump</u>	<u>I&C COMPUTER TECH</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/16/03</u>	<u>Joseph Crump</u>	<u>2-4-04</u>	
16. <u>T. Bauer</u>	<u>I&C COMPUTER TECH</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-16-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>		

NOTES:

1. PER TELECOM

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of Jan 2004 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/5-1/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1. <u>MATTHEW EVANS</u>	<u>OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10/20/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2/4/04</u>	
2. <u>Teff Naden</u>	<u>OPERATION SUPERVISOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-20-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-4-04</u>	
3. <u>T.A. COE</u>	<u>INSTRUCTOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>10-21-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-16-04</u>	
4. <u>Dale A Shelton</u>	<u>Operations Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11/4/03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/28/04</u>	
5. <u>Robert L. Patti</u>	<u>Operations Supervisor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-5-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-19-04</u>	
6. <u>Vincent A. Patton</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>11-6-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>2-4-04</u>	
7. <u>Brian Cimmerman</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-1-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-19-04</u>	
8. <u>William E. Mayes</u>	<u>Senior Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-1-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-28-04</u>	
9. <u>Matthew Probst</u>	<u>Reactor Operator</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>12-1-03</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/19/04</u>	
10. <u>Samantha Chaney</u>	<u>Generating Clerk</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>02-6-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-22-04</u>	
11. <u>K.A. McCALL</u>	<u>OPS TRNGR / OBSERV</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-7-04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1-16-04</u>	
12. <u>Tom Satterlee</u>	<u>OPS Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/8/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/9/04</u>	1
13. <u>Mark Doherty</u>	<u>Reg Assurance</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>01/09/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>02/04/04</u>	2
14. <u>Fred LeBarrel</u>	<u>TRAINING</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/9/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>4/6/04</u>	
15. <u>Jim Satterlee</u>	<u>OPS Instructor</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/13/04</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>1/16/04</u>	

NOTES: #1 FOR THE JPM'S ON 1/9/04 ONLY.
 #2 FOR THE JPM'S ON 1/9/04 ONLY

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of ~~1/12/04~~^{1/12/04} ~~1/13/04~~^{1/13/04} as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 1/5-1/12. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE	NOTE
1.	Michael R. Helton	ILT Group Lead	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/13/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/13/04	
2.	ZACHARSKI	CHIEF OPERATIONS SUPERVISOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/13/04	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/13/04	
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

NOTES:

Facility:		Date of Examination:		Operating Test Number:		
1. GENERAL CRITERIA				Initials		
				a	b*	c#
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).			Y	R	BP
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.			Y	R	BP
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s)(see Section D.1.a).			Y	R	BP
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test categories is within acceptable limits.			Y	R	BP
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.			Y	R	BP
2. WALK-THROUGH (CATEGORY A & B) CRITERIA				-	-	-
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> initial conditions <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> initiating cues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> references and tools, including associated procedures <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time critical by the facility licensee <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> specific performance criteria that include: - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable			Y	R	BP
b.	The prescribed questions in Category A are predominantly open reference and meet the criteria in Attachment 1 of ES-301.					
c-b.	Repetition from operating tests used during the previous licensing examination is within acceptable limits (30% for the walk-through) and do not compromise test integrity.			Y	R	BP
d-c.	At least 20 percent of the JPMs on each test are new or significantly modified.			Y	R	BP
3. SIMULATOR (CATEGORY C) CRITERIA				-	-	-
a.	The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.			Y	R	BP
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	Tom Pickney		<i>[Signature]</i>	12/16/03		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	PK Ryan		<i>[Signature]</i>	12/16/03		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	B Paluzzi		<i>[Signature]</i>	12/30/03		
d. NRC Supervisor	RD Lanksbury		<i>[Signature]</i>	12/31/03		
NOTE: • The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c," chief examiner concurrence required.						

Facility: CLINTON		Date of Exam: 1/5/04		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 /		Operating Test No.: 1			
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES							Initials		
							a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation maybe out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	YP	R						
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	YP	R			BP			
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated · the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event · the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew · the expected operator actions (by shift position) · the event termination point (if applicable) 	YP	R			BP			
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	YP	R			BP			
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	YP	R			BP			
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	YP	R			BP			
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	YP	R			BP			
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	YP	R			BP			
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	YP	R			BP			
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	YP	R			BP			
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	YP	R			BP			
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	YP	R			BP			
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	YP	R			BP			
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-			
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	9	1 6 1	YP	R	BP			
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	3	1 1 1	YP	R	BP			
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	5	1 4 1	YP	R	BP			
4.	Major transients (1-2)	1	1 1 1	YP	R	BP			
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	2	1 1 1	YP	R	BP			
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1	1 0 1	YP	R	BP			
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	2	1 2 1	YP	R	BP			

OPERATING TEST NO.:1

Applicant Type	Evolution Type	Minimum Number	Scenario Number							
			1		2		3		4	
			RO	BOP	RO	BOP	RO	BOP	RO	BOP
RO	Reactivity	1*	2	-	1	-	1	-	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1*	-	1	-	2	-	-	N/A	N/A
	Instrument / Component	4*	3, 5, 8, 9	4, 6, 10, 11	4, 6	3, 5, 8	2, 6	3, 4, 5	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	N/A	N/A

As RO	Reactivity	1*	2	-	1	-	1	-	N/A	N/A
	Normal	0	-	1	-	2	-	-	N/A	N/A
	Instrument / Component	2*	3, 5, 8, 9	4, 6, 10, 11	4, 6	3, 5, 8	2, 6	3, 4, 5	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	N/A	N/A
SRO-I										
As SRO	Reactivity	0	2	-	1	-	1	-	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1*	-	1	-	2	-	-	N/A	N/A
	Instrument / Component	2*	3, 8, 9	4, 6, 10, 11	4, 6	3, 5, 8	2, 6	3, 4, 5	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	N/A	N/A

SRO-U	Reactivity	0	2	-	1	-	1	-	N/A	N/A
	Normal	1*	-	-	-	2	-	-	N/A	N/A
	Instrument / Component	2*	3, 8, 9	4, 6, 10, 11	4, 6	3, 5, 8	2, 6	3, 4, 5	N/A	N/A
	Major	1	7	7	7	7	7	7	N/A	N/A

- Instructions:
- (1) Enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each evolution type.
 - (2) Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.45.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. * Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a one-for-one basis.
 - (3) Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirement.

Author:

Y. P. Puri

NRC Reviewer:

Bruce Calogji

Competencies	SRO				RO				BOP			
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				SCENARIO			
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret / Diagnose Events and Conditions	3-11	3-8			3, 5, 7, 8, 9	4, 6, 7			4, 6, 7, 10, 11	3, 5, 8		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	1-4, 6, 7, 10	1-8			2, 3, 5, 7, 9	1, 4, 6, 7			1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11	2, 3, 5, 8		
Operate Control Boards (2)	N/A	N/A			2, 3, 5, 7, 9	1, 4, 6, 7			1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11	2, 3, 5, 8		
Communicate and Interact	1-11	1-8			2, 3, 7, 8, 9	1, 4, 6, 7			1, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11	2, 3, 5, 8		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1-11	1-8			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A		
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	3, 4	3,4			N/A	N/A			N/A	N/A		

Notes:

- (1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO.
- (2) Optional for an SRO-U.
- (3) Only applicable to SROs.

Instructions:

Circle the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Author:

Y. J. Pini

NRC Reviewer:

Bonne Palayj

Facility: CLINTON		Date of Exam: 1/5/04		Exam Level: RO/SRO			
Item Description				Initial			
				a	b*	c#	
1.	Questions and answers technically accurate and applicable to facility			Y	R	BP	
2.	a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available			Y	R	BP	
3.	SRO questions are appropriate per Section D.2.d of ES-401			Y	R	BP	
4.	Question section and duplication from the last two NRC licensing exams appears consistent with a systematic sampling process.					BP	
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or <input type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently; or <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			Y	R	BP	
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual RO/ SRO-only question distribution at right	Bank	Modified	Y	R	BP	
		18 / 6	1 / 1				New
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension / analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right	Memory		Y	R	* BP	
		C/A					
		36 / 7	39 / 18				
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers			Y	R	BP	
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified			Y	R	BP	
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet ES, Appendix B, guidelines			Y	R	BP	
11.	The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet			Y	R	BP	
		Printed Name / Signature				Date	
a. Author	TOM PICKLE / <i>[Signature]</i>				12/16/03		
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	PK Ryan / <i>[Signature]</i>				12/16/03		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	B Palagi / <i>[Signature]</i>				12/31/03		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	RD Lanksbury / <i>[Signature]</i>				12/31/03		
Note:		* The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c;" chief examiner concurrence required.					

* My count is Memory = 34/7 C/A = 41/18

Facility:		Date of Exam:		Exam Level: (RO) (SRO)		
Item Description				Initials		
				a	b	c
1.	Clean answer sheets copied before grading			RW	NA	BP
2.	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented			RW		BP
3.	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)			RW		BP
4.	Grading for all borderline cases (80% +/- 2%) reviewed in detail			RW		BP
5.	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified			NA		NA
6.	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants			RW	↓	BP

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Grader	<u>Raymond Keith Walton</u>	<u>1/30/2004</u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>NA</u>	<u></u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>Bruce Paluzzi</u>	<u>1-30-04</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>R. J. [Signature]</u>	<u>2/3/04</u>

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.