RAS 7582

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER

)

)

)

In the Matter of:

FMRI, Inc.

(Muskogee, Oklahoma Facility)

DOCKETED USNRC

April 2, 2004

April 8, 2004 (10:22AM)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket No. 40-7580-MLA-3

ASLBP No. 04-816-01-MLA

OPPOSITION OF FMRI, INC. TO REQUEST OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA TO FILE ITS REBUTTAL PRESENTATION OUT OF TIME

In a November 3, 2003 Memorandum issued in this proceeding, the Presiding Officer indicated his intent to "move this proceeding forward as expeditiously as possible."¹ In this vein, the Presiding Officer stated:

Moreover, once the schedule is established, applications for extensions of time will be disfavored and granted only upon a showing that, notwithstanding the exercise of diligence, an intervening and totally unforeseeable development precluded meeting the deadline in question.

Id., slip op. at 2. Flouting this directive, on April 1, 2004, the State of Oklahoma ("State") filed its Rebuttal Presentation out of time – one day after the filing deadline of March 31, 2004.² As an excuse for its untimely filing, the State explained that, while the Rebuttal Presentation was complete on March 26, 2004, counsel of record for the State left her position on that date and filing instructions to the secretary "were mislaid." The State's lack of vigilance and failure to heed the clear, straightforward directives in this proceeding regarding schedule is completely

1

Fansteel Inc. (Muskogee, Oklahoma Facility), Memorandum, slip op. Nov. 3, 2003, at 1.

² The State's filing followed an e-mail inquiry from the Presiding Officer, as to whether a rebuttal presentation would be filed.

unacceptable, and the Presiding Officer should decline to accept its late-filed Rebuttal Presentation.³

This is not the first time the State has, through its own negligence, failed to meet NRC procedural requirements. In the ongoing *Sequoyah Fuels* proceeding, in denying the State's request for hearing as untimely, the Presiding Officer stated:

The simple fact is that . . . the explanations for the tardiness advanced by counsel well versed in NRC adjudicatory proceedings *falls so far short of the mark that their acceptance would make a mockery of the deadline that they failed to meet.*"

Sequoyah Fuels Corp. (Gore, Oklahoma Site), LBP-03-24, 58 NRC 383, 390 (2003) (emphasis added).

Counsel for the State concedes that the Rebuttal Presentation was ready for filing on March 26, five days before the set deadline. The State offers no explanation as to why the Presentation was not filed at that time. Such dereliction should not be countenanced by the Presiding Officer. The fact that the Presentation was filed only a day late is not material. As stated by the Presiding Officer in *Sequoyah Fuels*, "If such a consideration [the brevity of the tardiness] were deemed of itself to make the tardiness excusable, the deadline would be stripped of real meaning." *Id.* at 390 n.9.

3

In the absence of any cited authority for its Request by the State, FMRI is treating the Request as a motion pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.1237.

Because the State has failed to demonstrate "an intervening and totally unforeseeable development" that precluded meeting the deadline in question, its request to file the Rebuttal Presentation out of time should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

James K. Curtiss, Esq. Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. Brooke D. Poole, Esq. WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1400 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005–3502

ATTORNEYS FOR FMRI, INC.

Dated in Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of April 2004

UNITED STATES OF AMÉRICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER

In the Matter of:

FMRI, Inc.

(Muskogee, Oklahoma Facility)

Docket No. 40-7580-MLA-3

ASLBP No. 04-816-01-MLA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the "OPPOSITION OF FMRI, INC. TO REQUEST OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA TO FILE ITS REBUTTAL PRESENTATION OUT OF TIME" have been served as shown below by electronci mail, this 2nd day of April 2004. Conforming hard copies have been served by deposit in the U.S. mail, first class, this same date.

Alan S. Rosenthal, Presiding Officer Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop T-3F23 Washington, DC 20555-0001 e-mail: rsnthl@comcast.net

Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff (original + two copies) e-mail: HEARINGDOCKET@nrc.gov

Lisa B. Clark, Esq. John T. Hull, Esq. Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-15D21 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001 e-mail: lbc@nrc.gov jth@nrc.gov OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov Richard F. Cole, Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop T-3F23 Washington, DC 20555-0001 e-mail: rfc1@nrc.gov

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-16C1 Washington, DC 20555-0001

Kelly Hunter Burch, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 4545 North Lincoln Boulevard, Suite 260 Oklahoma City, OK 73105 e-mail: kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us Jeffrey S. Sabin, Esq. Schulte Roth & Zabel LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 e-mail: Jeffrey.Sabin@srz.com

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. Counsel for FMRI, Inc.