

33

From: A. Lynn Silvious  
 To: Henry Bailey; Mindy Landau; Tim McGinty  
 Date: 4/1/02 4:15PM  
 Subject: Re: Wording for OHS meeting

Mindy  
 Rather than "current significance," or just "significance," I think they need to focus on the relevance of the information....it may be quite old, hence not current, but still relevant.....somehow significance ( which speaks more to importance) doesn't seem to capture the quality of being germane or pertinent which is what they are really getting at, I think. als

>>> Mindy Landau 4/1/2002 >>>  
 Henry and Tim,

Below is some wording I believe we can use to comment on the white paper/OMB memo.

NRC's comment on the March 28 memo from [ ] enclosing the White Paper on [ ] from OMB, is as follows:

There is an inconsistency with respect to the statement on p. 4, Sec. 1.2., (b) (OMB memo) and the Andrew Card memo to all agencies, dated March 19. The OMB memo states, "Reviewing officials must ensure that the information associated with a [ ]

However, the Andrew Card memo states that government information, "regardless of its age ... should not be disclosed inappropriately." We believe there needs to be some clarification reconciling these differing statements to emphasize that the significance of the information to be disclosed is more important than its age.

Mindy

CC: Debra Engel; Ramin Assa

NSIR Release

NSIR

EDD

Ex. 6  
2+5

Ex.  
2+5

Information in this record was deleted  
 in accordance with the Freedom of Information  
 Act, exemptions 2, 5, 6  
 FOIA/PA-2002-0256

QQ-12