

<b>MathCad Worksheet</b>		TAC:
S.F. LaVie, NRR/DSSA/SPSB	Catawba LOCA / LRA / REA Scaling Confirmation	

**INITIALIZATIONS**    Ci := 3.7·10<sup>10</sup>·sec<sup>-1</sup>    rem := 100· $\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{gm}}$     Bq := 1.0·sec<sup>-1</sup>    MWt := 1.0·10<sup>6</sup>·watt  
 ORIGIN := 1    uCi := 1.0·10<sup>-6</sup>·Ci    j := 1..17    mrem := 0.001·rem    Sv := 100·rem

ΔST := 1.09    Increase due to increased source term MOX over LEU

ΔGF := 1.5    Increase due to increased gap fraction MOX over LEU

### LOCA -- All assemblies (193) are assumed damaged in a LOCA--189 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose    D<sub>clb</sub> := 89·rem

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 90.171 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.316 \cdot \%$$

due to 4 MOX
due to LEU
final dose
increase

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose    D<sub>clb</sub> := 25·rem

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 25.329 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.316 \cdot \%$$

For the CR thyroid dose , the CLB dose    D<sub>clb</sub> := 5.3·rem

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 5.37 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.316 \cdot \%$$

### LRA -- 21 assemblies are assumed damaged in a LRA--17 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose    D<sub>clb</sub> := 3.7·rem

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{21} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{17}{21} \quad D_f = 4.148 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 112.095 \cdot \%$$

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose    D<sub>clb</sub> := 1.2·rem

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{21} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{17}{21} \quad D_f = 1.345 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 112.095 \cdot \%$$

## REA – 97 assemblies are assumed damaged in a REA, 93 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 1.0 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{97} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{93}{97} \quad D_f = 1.026 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 102.619 \cdot \%$$

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 0.1 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{97} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{93}{97} \quad D_f = 0.103 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 102.619 \cdot \%$$

The results above were calculated with the 9% increase projected by Duke based on an equivalent assembly. The staff believes that the CLB LEU inventory should have been used instead. The increase would have been 15.8%. The doses are recalculated using the 1.158 multiplier.

$\Delta ST := 1.158$  Increase due to increased source term MOX over LEU

$\Delta GF := 1.5$  Increase due to increased gap fraction MOX over LEU

## LOCA -- All assemblies (193) are assumed damaged in a LOCA--189 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 89 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 90.359 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.527 \cdot \%$$

due to 4 MOX
due to LEU
final dose
increase

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 25 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 25.382 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.527 \cdot \%$$

For the CR thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 5.3 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{193} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{189}{193} \quad D_f = 5.381 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 101.527 \cdot \%$$

## LRA – 21 assemblies are assumed damaged in a LRA--17 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 3.7 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{21} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{17}{21} \quad D_f = 4.219 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 114.038 \cdot \%$$

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{clb} := 1.2 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{clb}}{21} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta ST \cdot \Delta GF \right] + D_{clb} \cdot \frac{17}{21} \quad D_f = 1.368 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{clb}} = 114.038 \cdot \%$$

## REA – 97 assemblies are assumed damaged in a REA--93 LEU, 4 MOX

For the EAB thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{\text{clb}} := 1.0 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{\text{clb}}}{97} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta \text{ST} \cdot \Delta \text{GF} \right] + D_{\text{clb}} \cdot \frac{93}{97} \quad D_f = 1.03 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{\text{clb}}} = 103.039 \cdot \%$$

For the LPZ thyroid dose , the CLB dose  $D_{\text{clb}} := 0.1 \cdot \text{rem}$

$$D_f := \left[ \left( \frac{D_{\text{clb}}}{97} \right) \cdot 4 \cdot \Delta \text{ST} \cdot \Delta \text{GF} \right] + D_{\text{clb}} \cdot \frac{93}{97} \quad D_f = 0.103 \cdot \text{rem} \quad \frac{D_f}{D_{\text{clb}}} = 103.039 \cdot \%$$

Although the staff's results using the CLB LEU source term rather than the equivalent LEU assembly used by Duke are slightly higher, they confirm Duke's conclusion that the increased doses due to 4 MOX LTA remain acceptable.

<b>MathCad Worksheet</b>		TAC:
S.F. LaVie, <i>NRR/DSSA/SPSB</i>	Evaluate impact of noble gas increases	

**INITIALIZATIONS**    Ci := 3.7·10<sup>10</sup>·sec<sup>-1</sup>    rem := 100· $\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{gm}}$     Bq := 1.0·sec<sup>-1</sup>    MWt := 1.0·10<sup>6</sup>·watt  
 ORIGIN := 1    uCi := 1.0·10<sup>-6</sup>·Ci    j := 1..17    mrem := 0.001·rem    Sv := 100·rem

Read in nuclide database NUCLIDE.DAT.>>>>> M := READPRN(nuclide)  
 Re-assign arrays and assign units

$$n := M^{<1>} \quad F_g := M^{<8>} \quad \text{DCF}_{\text{dde}} := M^{<5>} \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{Ci} \cdot \text{sec}}$$

Source term of MOX and LEU assembly

$$C_{\text{mox}} := \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 \cdot 10^6 \\ 3.34 \cdot 10^4 \\ 4.12 \cdot 10^5 \\ 2.38 \cdot 10^5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \text{Ci} \quad C_{\text{leu}} := \begin{bmatrix} 9.33 \cdot 10^5 \\ 3.01 \cdot 10^4 \\ 1.42 \cdot 10^5 \\ 2.18 \cdot 10^5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \text{Ci}$$

Assign Deep dose DCF from nuclide file

$$\text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} := \begin{bmatrix} \text{DCF}_{\text{dde}_8} \\ \text{DCF}_{\text{dde}_7} \\ \text{DCF}_{\text{dde}_{10}} \\ \text{DCF}_{\text{dde}_9} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \text{"Xe-133} \\ \text{Xe-133m} \\ \text{Xe135} \\ \text{Xe135m} \end{matrix}$$

Set number of damaged assemblies

$$K_{\text{loca}} := 193 \quad K_{\text{lra}} := 21 \quad K_{\text{rea}} := 97$$

$$\text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.772 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 5.069 \cdot 10^{-3} \\ 0.044 \\ 0.075 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{Ci} \cdot \text{sec}}$$

For each accident, calculate the pseudo dose due to release of noble gases from a core with 4 MOX LTAs; and release of noble gases from a LEU core; compare differences in pseudo dose. Note that these are not "real" doses as parameters (such as X/Q, decay, flow rates) that are the same for MOX or LEU are not included.

### LOCA

$$D_{\text{mox}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 4) + (C_{\text{leu}} \cdot (193 - 4)) \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5 \quad D_{\text{mox}} = 6.69 \cdot 10^6 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$D_{\text{leu}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 0) + (C_{\text{leu}} \cdot 193) \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5 \quad D_{\text{leu}} = 6.574 \cdot 10^6 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$\frac{D_{\text{mox}} - D_{\text{leu}}}{D_{\text{leu}}} = 1.765 \cdot \%$$

## LRA

$$D_{\text{mox}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 4) + [C_{\text{leu}} \cdot (21 - 4)] \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5$$

$$D_{\text{mox}} = 8.313 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$D_{\text{leu}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 0) + (C_{\text{leu}} \cdot 21) \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5$$

$$D_{\text{leu}} = 7.153 \cdot 10^5 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$\frac{D_{\text{mox}} - D_{\text{leu}}}{D_{\text{leu}}} = 16.222 \cdot \%$$

## REA

$$D_{\text{mox}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 4) + [C_{\text{leu}} \cdot (97 - 4)] \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5$$

$$D_{\text{mox}} = 3.42 \cdot 10^6 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$D_{\text{leu}} := \left[ (C_{\text{mox}} \cdot 0) + (C_{\text{leu}} \cdot 97) \right] \cdot \text{DCF}_{\text{XE}} \cdot 1.5$$

$$D_{\text{leu}} = 3.304 \cdot 10^6 \cdot \frac{\text{rem} \cdot \text{m}^3}{\text{sec}}$$

$$\frac{D_{\text{mox}} - D_{\text{leu}}}{D_{\text{leu}}} = 3.512 \cdot \%$$

These results confirm the adequacy of Duke's conclusion that the thyroid dose due to I-131 was an appropriate benchmark for their scaling of the previous UFSAR doses in establishing that the impact of the four MOX LTA on the LOCA, REA, and LRA is not significant.