

From: Mindy Landau *EDO*
 To: *NSIR* Glenn Tracy; Richard Rosano; Vonna Ordaz; Wayne Burnside; William Reckley
 Date: Tue, Mar 19, 2002 9:12 AM
 Subject: Fwd: Re: Withholding Sensitive Homeland Security Information

thanks, I spoke to Sam Collins at the staff mtg this morning and he seemed to have the same reaction.

>>> William Reckley 03/19/02 09:03AM >>> *NRR*

The third item reads:

Construction details of specific facilities, such as wall thicknesses or specific barrier dimensions, detailed diagrams, schematics, or cutaways of specific plant designs **where such information would be of clear and significant benefit to a terrorist in a potential attack...**

We specifically added that bolded part to distinguish between reactor facilities where most dimensions would not be SHSI from the materials licensees where the container is the only barrier and hence NMSS wanted to protect the dimensions. My response to Jim Dyer would be that given the bolded part of the sentence and given that the reactor vessel dimensions would not be critical to a terrorist, that both the memo and the bulletin are OK. This was the logic we used to say it was OK to release the information notice and bulletin on the Web.

>>> Mindy Landau 03/19/02 08:06AM >>> *EDO*

Please see Jim Dyer's note, attached... I need a read on this soon, please. Do you agree? If so, what actions should be taken?

NRR release

PP-25

B-174