

From: Chester Poslusny *NMSS*
 To: William Reckley *NRR*
 Date: Tue, Nov 20, 2001 4:11 PM
 Subject: Re: Withholding of sensitive info

Thanks.

NRR *release* { >>> William Reckley 11/20/01 04:03PM >>> *NRR*
 The conversation was pretty short but was still useful. His suggestion was a correlary to the safeguards approach which was (1) would it be useful to an advesary (e.g., detailed site maps, identification of critical combinations of equipment; (2) is the info available to the general public (i.e., outside the fence, for exampe - don't classify features that can be seen from a local highway); and (3) does the info give critical info on command/control/communications (including operations now whereas in past this might have been applied to only the security force). He also mentioned that a more liberal (or conservative depending on your point of view) of 10 CFR 73.21 might be possible where things like the site drawings would now be classified as safeguards information. We can give this all some more thought and talk with him again next week. Have a happy holiday.

NMSS { >>> Chester Poslusny 11/20/01 03:32PM >>> *NMSS*
 Got stuck with OGC....fill me in.

release { >>> William Reckley 11/20/01 01:17PM >>> *NRR*
 I'm talking with Dick Rosano at 2:00 in OWFN 6D12 in order to get some insights into the task force, this thoughts on the control of info, etc. Please feel free to come down if you have the time.

TT-13

B-54