OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Apr 01, 2004 10:26

PAPER NUMBER:

LTR-04-0188

LOGGING DATE: 03/31/2004

ACTION OFFICE:

EDO

AUTHOR:

Margaret Bartenhagen VT

AFFILIATION: ADDRESSEE:

Nils Diaz

SUBJECT:

Vermont Yankee Uprate - request for Independent Safety Assessment

Note: No return address.

ACTION:

Appropriate

DISTRIBUTION:

Cy RF.

LETTER DATE:

02/28/2004

ACKNOWLEDGED

No

SPECIAL HANDLING:

ADAMS for Immediate Release via SECY/EDO/DPC

NOTES:

FILE LOCATION:

ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

February 28, 2004

CHAIRMAN REC'D 04 HAR 30 AN 10: 35

Mr. Nils Diaz, Chairman
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20005-0001

RE: VERMONT YANKEE UPRATE

Dear Mr. Diaz:

I am taking the time to write this letter, rather than send you a quick email, to make a point as to the seriousness of this issue and my request regarding it.

I am writing to urge you as Chair of the NRC to require a comprehensive, independent safety assessment of this plant — similar to the one conducted several years ago at Maine Yankee — before any decision is made on Entergy's request for an increase in power output for Vermont Yankee. Doing an independent safety assessment would be a prudent, conservative and appropriate decision in order to reassure the people of the region that safety margins will at least remain at present levels under the proposed extended power uprate at Vermont Yankee.

As you may know, there are grave concerns about the safety of Vermont Yankee, particularly as it relates to the uprate request by Entergy Nuclear. Among these are the fact that of all the "Yankee family" of nuclear reactors, only Vermont Yankee survives. It has been in commercial operation longer than any plant in New England. Vermont Yankee cannot meet current design and safety criteria, but has been "grandfathered in" by the NRC. Its obsolete design could not be built or licensed today; Entergy Corporation proposes the extraordinary measure of boosting reactor power at Vermont Yankee to 120% of its original 1960's design (the maximum so far permitted or ever attempted for Boiling Water Reactors).

Personally, I do not wish my family to become part of a dangerous experiment based on incomplete and uncertain data whose main goal (apparently) is an increase in revenue for out-of-state owner Entergy Nuclear.

I would fully expect, given the factors outlined above regarding this plant, that you would find it prudent and appropriate to require an independent safety assessment of VY before any uprate request is considered.

是一个自己的心理性的,是是一点,这些时间的一种的人,也是这样的大概,可是有些多数的。

and a reflection of the contract of the contra

Sincerely,

Margaret P. Bartenhagen ~ Brattleboro, Vermont

the first of the second of the second