March 30, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief

Special Projects Branch
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety

and Safeguards

THRU: Brian W. Smith, Chief /RA/

Gas Centrifuge Facility Licensing Section

Special Projects Branch, FCSS

FROM: Timothy C. Johnson /RA/

Senior Mechanical Systems Engineer Gas Centrifuge Facility Licensing Section

Special Projects Branch, FCSS

SUBJECT: MARCH 25, 2004, MEETING SUMMARY: LOUISIANA ENERGY

SERVICES QUARTERLY MANAGEMENT MEETING

On March 25, 2004, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with management staff from Louisiana Energy Services (LES) to discuss management issues applicable to the LES gas centrifuge uranium enrichment plant project proposed to be located in Eunice, New Mexico. I am attaching the meeting summary for your use. This summary contains no proprietary or classified information.

Docket: 70-3103

Attachment: Louisiana Energy Services

Meeting Summary

cc: William Szymanski/DOE

Rod Krich/Exelon James Curtiss/W&S Peter Miner/USEC James Ferland/LES

Dennis Holmberg/Lea County

James Brown/Eunice Michael Marriotte/NIRS

CO'Claire/Ohio

Derrith Watchman-Moore/NM

Claydean Claiborne/Jal Monty Newman/Hobbs Troy Harris/Lovington Betty Richman/Tatum Glen Hackler/Andrews William Floyd/New Mexico Richard Ratliff/Texas

Jerry Clift/Hartsville Lee Cheney/CNIC March 30, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief

Special Projects Branch
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety

and Safeguards

THRU: Brian W. Smith, Chief /RA/

Gas Centrifuge Facility Licensing Section

Special Projects Branch, FCSS

FROM: Timothy C. Johnson /RA/

Senior Mechanical Systems Engineer
Gas Centrifuge Facility Licensing Section

Special Projects Branch, FCSS

SUBJECT: MARCH 25, 2004, MEETING SUMMARY: LOUISIANA ENERGY

SERVICES QUARTERLY MANAGEMENT MEETING

Claydean Claiborne/Jal

On March 25, 2004, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with management staff from Louisiana Energy Services (LES) to discuss management issues applicable to the LES gas centrifuge uranium enrichment plant project proposed to be located in Eunice, New Mexico. I am attaching the meeting summary for your use. This summary contains no proprietary or classified information.

Docket: 70-3103

Attachment: Louisiana Energy Services

Meeting Summary

cc: William Szymanski/DOE

Rod Krich/Exelon

James Curtiss/W&S

Peter Miner/USEC

James Ferland/LES

Dennis Holmberg/Lea County

James Brown/Eunice

Monty Newman/Hobbs

Troy Harris/Lovington

Betty Richman/Tatum

Glen Hackler/Andrews

William Floyd/New Mexico

Richard Ratliff/Texas

Jerry Clift/Hartsville

CO'Claire/Ohio Lee Cheney/CNIC

Derrith Watchman-Moore/NM

DISTRIBUTION: Docket: 70-3103

NMSS r/f FCSS r/f SPB r/f **RPierson** JHolonich MFederline **MVirgilio JGiitter** YFaraz LClark/OGC MDelligatti/DWM **MGalloway** SGagner/OPA KEverly/NSIR MWong/DWM SFlanders/DWM DMcIntyre/OPA RVirgilio/OSTP TCombs/OCA DAyres/Reg II DSeymour/RegII JHenson/RegII RHannah/RegII KClark/RegII KO'Brien/Reg III VMitlyng/RegIII DHartland/Reg II WMaier/RegIV

Hearing file RTrojanowski/RegII

ML040900424

G:\SPB\TCJ\LESGCMTGSUM3-25.WPD

OFC	SPB		SPB	2E	SPB	
NAME	TCJohnson:dw		LGross		BSmith	
DATE	3/ 29 /04		3/ 29 /04		3 / 30 /04	

Summary of Louisiana Energy Services Quarterly Management Meeting

Dates: March 25, 2004

<u>Place:</u> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) offices

Rockville, MD

Attendees: See Attachment 1

Purpose:

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss project management issues applicable to the Louisiana Energy Services (LES) gas centrifuge uranium enrichment plant project. The meeting agenda is in Attachment 2.

Discussion:

After introductions, Ms. M. Federline opened the discussion by indicating that the staff is undertaking an aggressive 18-month review schedule for the LES project. Under this schedule, LES will need to provide timely and complete responses to requests for additional information (RAIs). Mr. B. Smith indicated that NRC will attempt to accelerate the review schedule and will not issue a Draft Safety Evaluation Report for public comment to save time in the schedule. In response to a question, Mr. Smith indicated that it is more typical of fuel cycle licensing projects to not issue draft safety evaluation reports for public comment. Technical RAIs are scheduled to be issued by the end of April with a 30-day response time. Environmental RAIs are scheduled to be issued on May 7, 2004, with a 15-working-day response time. The Final Safety Evaluation Report and Final Environmental Impact Statement are scheduled to be issued on June 15, 2005.

Mr. Smith stated that the review of the application is ongoing and that NRC staff meetings with LES have been productive. These include an on-site meeting on the unclassified integrated safety analysis (ISA) and a site tour of the Almelo facility with a discussion of the classified ISA. These meetings and other interactions to discuss potential RAIs have been very useful to the staff. B. Smith indicated that NRC staff intends to give LES notice of the content of RAIs before formal transmittal so that LES will be better prepared to provide complete and timely responses.

Mr. Smith indicated that staff is discussing several issues internally. The issues include the relationship of the final design, which has not yet been completed, to the current NRC information needs and criticality safety.

Mr. Smith discussed the results of the environmental impact statement (EIS) scoping comment period. The EIS scoping comment period ended on March 18, 2004, and over 120 comments have been received. The principal issues raised are the disposition of depleted uranium, water use, contamination of groundwater supplies, transportation, and airborne effluents.

Mr. Smith stated that the deadline for receiving petitions to intervene in the project hearing is April 6, 2004. To date, NRC has received only one petition from the New Mexico Environment Department. Contentions in the petition related to disposition of depleted uranium, viability of the company, and adequacy of the radiation protection program.

Mr. Smith indicated that staff resources are available to complete the review to meet the intended schedule. To complete the review, staff from several offices are being used.

Mr. Smith then proposed that the next quarterly management meeting be held at the end of June 2004. Mr. J. Ferland, President and Chief Executive Officer of LES, agreed that quarterly management meetings would be useful and agreed to hold the next one in June 2004 or sooner if urgent issues are identified.

Mr. Ferland then discussed the information provided in Attachment 3. This information included the LES organization and the names of individuals currently selected for positions.

Mr. Ferland indicated that LES is soon going to select an architect-engineer (AE) for the project to develop the final design. It is his intent that the AE use as many skilled people local to Lea County as possible. Mr. Ferland also stated that LES staff has been working with local high schools and the junior college to develop training programs for project staff. LES will also integrate the design and operating experiences from Urenco with LES and contracts are being prepared to formalize these interactions.

Mr. Ferland also committed to responding to RAIs on the schedule NRC has set out. He intends that the responses are complete and they meet NRC's needs.

Mr. Ferland discussed project financing by saying that the current expectation is that about half the funds needed for the facility will come from the two equity partners, Urenco and Westinghouse. The remaining funds would be financed from sources outside the company. He also indicated that the Lea County Industrial Revenue Bonds are not a financing vehicle, but a mechanism for gross receipts tax and property tax abatement.

Action Items

None.

Attachments

- 1. Attendee list
- 2. Meeting agenda
- 3. LES presentation handouts