DOCKET, NO. 50-39

Appl.only

CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION RESEARCH DIVISION

QUEHANNA, PENNSYLVANIA

AMHERST 3-4711

May 22, 1958

Mr. H. L. Price, Director Division of Civilian Application U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Price:

When I spoke to you on the telephone several weeks ago concerning your interpretation of the temporary regulation requiring a reactor licensee to maintain a minimum of \$250 thousand in insurance you stated that it was your opinion that by thus conforming to the requirement we were automatically under the protection of the Price-Anderson Bill. You further stated that you would be willing to answer specific questions, in writing, concerning the consequences of the temporary regulation. On May 1, 1958 I wrote you a letter requesting your answer to such questions and have not, as yet, heard from you. If you are in a position to answer my letter I would very much appreciate your consideration.

On May 19, in New York, I asked similar questions of Mr. Edward Diamond who stated that it was the intention of the temporary regulation to provide the licensee with Price-Anderson indemnification though this was not stated in the regulation in so many words. He further stated that in light of the regulation the act of entering an indemnity agreement was a mere formality. Thus, evidently, your Departments are in agreement as to the administrative interpretation of the regulation.

Thank you for your courtesy in this matter.

Very truly yours,

CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION RESEARCH DIVISION

This the stand do

Milton Becket Division Counsel

ひむ

seh

2,01

and 3/23/