DISTRIBUTION: WM: s/f JGreeves NMSS: r/f JOBunting PCA: r/f MKnapp

LHigginbotham T. Verma

HMiller

MJBe11

R. Cook

REBrowning

Originator Russ

P. Prestholt

SL: r/f

MKearnev

DMattson JSurmeier

PAltomare

LTR TO 1ST ROUND S/T

- 1 -

JUN 13 1985

Mr. Steve Frishman, Director Nuclear Waste Programs Office Office of the Governor P.O. Box 12428 Austin, Texas 78711

Dear Mr. Frishman:

I would like to call to your attention Section 114(e) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (Enclosure 1) which requires the Secretary of Energy to prepare and update, in cooperation with affected Federal agencies, a Project Decision Schedule (PDS) for attaining operation of a nuclear waste repository within the time periods specified in the Act. The PDS must provide a sequence of deadlines for Federal agency actions, including an identification of the activities for which a delay in their start or completion will cause a delay in the start-up of repository operation. The NWPA also specifies that failure or expected failure on the part of any Federal agency to comply with any of the deadlines established in the PDS must be explained in a written report to the Secretary of Energy and to the Congress.

NRC received a preliminary draft of the PDS from DOE in January 1985 requesting comments from the participating Federal agencies. We submitted our initial comments to DOE (Enclosure 2) and are now expecting to receive the draft PDS for final NRC comment in early July 1985. In addition to the provisions of the NWPA for State/Tribal interactions with the involved Federal agencies, the Commission's policy guidance (Enclosure 3) requires us "to maintain close communications with DOE, the States and affected Indian Tribes so that required activities and lead times are identified early in the planning process."

We would like to meet with the first round States/Tribes to work out the appropriate lead time for NRC interactions with States/Tribes on the major milestones identified for the PDS (Enclosure 4). We do not intend, nor do we expect, to lock in inviolate commitments from either the States and Tribes or NRC, but rather some general schedule allowances for interactions that we agree are appropriate.

We would like to meet individually with each State and Indian Tribe to get your views on where you would like interactions with NRC so we can consider your views in our planning and comments on the PDS. Please review the enclosed

	-851	571	201	15 5	- B-	•.	Enclosure_1_	
OFC	WMPC	: WMP		: WMRP	: SP	: WM	:	:
NAME	:DMattson:cp	: J0B	unting	:HMiller	:WKerr	:REBrowning	:	:
DATE	:85/06/13	4	13	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			:	

LTR TO 1ST ROUND S/T	JUN 1 3 1985 - 2 -	DISTRIBUTION: WM: s/f NMSS: r/f PCA: r/f SL: r/f PAltomare MKearney DMattson JSurmeier LHigginbotham	JGreeves JOBunting MKnapp HMiller REBrowning MJBell Originator	
----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

material on the PDS, and either Donna Mattson or Catherine Russell of my staff will contact you to arrange a meeting. We need to meet with you by July 19th so that we can submit our comments on time to DOE. If you plan to be in the Washington D.C. area over the next few weeks, we will arrange to meet here. Otherwise, we will call you to arrange a meeting at a mutually convenient place.

We look forward to meeting with you and, in the interim, would be happy to answer any questions you have about this process.

Sincerely,

Joseph O. Bunting, Chief Policy and Program Control Branch Division of Waste Management

Enclosures:

- 1. NWPA of 1982
- 2. NRC comments to DOE on PDS
- 3. Commission's policy guidance 4. Milestones identified in PDS

See previous concurrence.

OFC :WMPC : - :WMPC	¢ : ₩MRP	:SP	₹wm		
NAME : DMattson: cp : JOBunting	:HMiller	:WKerr	:REBrowning		
DATE : 85/06/10 1 : 04/14		:		•	

The following people have all received the enclosed letter.

Mr. Don Provost State of Washington Dept of Ecology Máil Stop PV-11 Olympia, WA 98504

٠ī

Mr. Robert R. Loux, Director Nevada Waste Project Office Capitol Building, 2nd Floor Carson City, NV 89710

Mr. Steve Frishman Nuclear Waste Programs Office Office of the Governor P.O. Box 12428 Austin, TX 78711

Mr. Elwood H. Patawa Umatilla Indian Tribe P.O. Box 638 Pendleton, OR 97801

Mr. Ron Half-Moon Nuclear Waste Project Director Nez Perce Indian Nation P.O. Box 305 Lapwai, ID 83540

Mr. James Friloux, Program Manager Nuclear Waste Repository Program Louisiana Geological Survey 2133 Silverside Drive, Suite L Baton Rouge LA 70808

Mr. Jack Whittman High Level Nuclear Waste Program Associate Director Office of the Governor 101 State Capitol Salt Lake City, UT 84114

James I. Palmer State Liaison Officer State of Mississippi P.O. Box 139 Jackson, MS 39205

Mr. Russell Jim Nuclear Waste Project Manager Confederated Tribes and Bands P.O. Box 151 Toppenish, WA 98948 Dean Tousley Harmon, Weiss, and Jordon 2001 S. Street, NW. Suite 430 Washington, DC 20009

Mr. James B. Hovis Hovis, Cockrill, Weaver, and Bjur 316 N. 3rd Street P.O. Box 487 Yakima, WA 98907

John W. Green Mississippi Department of Energy and Transportation 300 Watkins Building 510 George Street Jackson, MS 39202

Mr. Ronald J. Forsythe Nuclear Waste Program Manager 300 Watkins Building 510 George Street Jackson, MS 39202

Mr. Pat Spurgin High Level Nuclear Waste Program Director Office of the Governor 101 State Capitol Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Dr. Hall Bohlinger Assistant Administrator Nuclear Energy Division P.O. Box 14690 Baton Rouge, LA 70898

96 STAT. 2214

PUBLIC LAW 97-425-JAN. 7, 1983

the waste form proposal for such site seem to be sufficient for inclusion in any application to be submitted by the Secretary for licensing of such site as a repository;

(P) the views and comments of the Governor and legislature of any State, or the governing body of any affected Indian tribe, as determined by the Secretary, together with the response of the Secretary to such views;

(G) such other information as the Secretary considers appropriate; and

(II) any impact report submitted under section 116(cX2XB) by the State in which such site is located, or under section 11R(bX3XB) by the affected Indian tribe where such site is located, as the case may be.

(2XA) Not later than March 31, 1967, the President shall submit to the Congress a recommendation of one site from the three sites initially characterized that the President considers qualified for application for a construction authorization for a repository. Not later than March 31, 1990, the President shall submit to the Congress a recommendation of a second site from any sites already characterized that the President considers qualified for a construction suthorization for a second repository. The President shall submit with such recommendation a copy of the report for such site prepared by the Secretary under paragraph (1). After submission of the second such recommendation, the President may submit to the Congress recommendations for other sites, in accorance with the provisions of this subtitle.

(B) The President may extend the deadlines described in subparagraph (A) by not more than 12 months if, before March 31, 1986, for the first site, and March 31, 1989, for the second site, (i) the President determines that such extension is necessary; and (ii) transmits to the Congress a report setting forth the reasons for such extension.

(3) If approval of any such site recommendation does not take effect as a result of a disapproval by the Governor or legislature of a State under section 116 or the governing body of an affected Indian tribe under section 118, the President shall submit to the Congress, not later than 1 year after the disapproval of such recommendation, a recommendation of another site for the first or subsequent repository.

(4%A) The President may not recommend the approval of any site under this subsection unless the Secretary has recommended to the President under paragraph (1) approval of such site and has submitted to the President a report for such site as required under such paragraph.

(B) No recommendation of a site by the President under this subsection shall require the preparation of an environmental impact statement under section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C)), or to require any environmental review under subparagraph (E) or (F) of section 102(2) of such Act.

(b) Summation or AppleCation.—If the President recommends to the Congress a site for a repository under subsection (a) and the site designation is permitted to take effect under section 116, the Secretary shall submit to the Commission an application for a construction suthorization for a repository at such site not later than 90 days after the date on which the recommendation of the site dosignation after the date on which the recommendation of the site dosignation

PUBLIC LAW 97-425-JAN. 7, 1983

96 STAT. 2215

logislature of the State in which such site is located, or the governing body of the affected Indian tribe where such site is located, as the case may be, a copy of such application.

(c) Status Report on Application.—Not later than 1 year after the date on which an application for a construction authorization is submitted under subsection (b), and annually thereafter until the date on which such authorization is granted, the Commission shall submit a report to the Congress describing the proceedings undertaken through the date of such report with regard to such application, including a description of—

(1) any major unresolved safety issues, and the explanation of the Secretary with respect to design and operation plans for resolving such issues;

(2) any matters of contention regarding such application; and (3) any Commission actions regarding the granting or dealal of such authorization.

(d) Commission ACTION.—The Commission shall consider an application for a construction authorization for all or part of a repository in accordance with the laws applicable to such applications, except that the Commission shall issue a final decision approving or disapproving the issuence of a construction authorization not later than—

Construction authorization application.

(1) January 1, 1989, for the first such application, and January 1, 1992, for the second such application; or

(2) the expiration of 3 years after the date of the submission of such application, except that the Commission may extend such deadline by not more than 12 months if, not less than 30 days before such deadline, the Commission complies with the reporting requirements established in subsection (e)(2);

whichever occurs later. The Commission decision approving the first such application shall prohibit the emplacement in the first repository of a quantity of spent fuel containing in excess of 70,000 metric tons of heavy metal or a quantity of solidified high-level radioactive waste resulting from the reprocessing of such a quantity of spent fuel until such time as a second repository is in operation. In the event that a monitored retrievable storage facility, approved pursuant to subtitle C of this Act, shall be located, or is planned to be located, within 60 miles of the first repository, then the Commission decision approving the first such application shall prohibit the emplacement of a quantity of spent fuel containing in excess of 70,000 metric tons of heavy metal or a quantity of solidified highlevel radioactive waste resulting from the reprocessing of spent fuel in both the repository and monitored retrievable storage facility until such time as a second repository is in operation.

(e) PROFECT DECISION SCHEDULE.—(1) The Secretary shall prepare and update, as appropriate, in cooperation with all affected Federal agencies, a project decision schedule that portrays the optimum way to attain the operation of the repository involved, within the time periods specified in this subtitle. Such schedule shall include a description of objectives and a sequence of deadlines for all Federat agencies required to take action, including an identification of the activities in which a delay in the start, or completion, of such activities will cause a delay in beginning repository operation.

(2) Any Federal agency that determines that it cannot comply with any deadline in the project decision achedule, or fails to so comply, shall submit to the Secretary and to the Congress a written report explaining the reason for its failure or expected failure to

Report submitted to Berrotary and Congreen.

Deadlines

Submittel (a

Congreen

Submittel to Congress

Enclosure

96 STAT. 2216

Report roy filing with Constrain

42 1190: 4323 et

PUBLIC LAW 97-425-JAN. 7, 1983

meet such deadline, the reason why such agency could not reach an agreement with the Secretary, the estimated time for completion of the activity or activities involved, the associated effect on its other deadlines in the project decision schedule, and any recommendations it may have or actions it intends to take regarding any improvements in its operation or organition, or changes to its statutory directives or authority, so that it will be able to mitigate the delay involved. The Secretary, within 30 days after receiving any such report, shall file with the Congress his response to such report, including the reasons why the Secretary could not amend the project decision achedule to accommodate the Federal agency involved.

(1) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT .- Any recommendation made by the Secretary under this acction shall be considered a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment for purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et sea.). A final environmental impact statement prepared by the Secretary under such Act shall accompany any recommendation to the President to approve a site for a repository. With respect to the requirements imposed by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et sea.). compliance with the procedures and requirements of this Act shall be deemed adequate consideration of the need for a repository, the time of the initial availability of a repository, and all alternatives to the isolation of high-level radioactive waste and spent nuclear fuel in a repository. For purposes of complying with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 1321 et seq) and this section, the Secretary shall consider as alternate sites for the first repository to be developed under this subtitle 3 candidate sites with respect to which (1) site characterization has been completed under section 113; and (2) the Secretary has made a preliminary determination, that such sites are suitable for development as responsionies consistent with the guidelines promulgated under section 112(a). The Secretary shall consider as alternative sites for subsequent repositories at least three of the remaining sites recommended by the Secretary by January 1, 1985, and by July 1, 1989, pursuant to section 112(b) and approved by the President for site characterization pursuant to section 112(c) for which (1) site characterization has been completed under section 113; and (2) the Secretary has made a preliminary determination that such sites are suitable for development as responitories consistent with the guidelines promulgated under section 112(a). Any environmental impact statement prepared in connection with a repository proposed to be constructed by the Secretary under this subtitle shall, to the extent practicable, be adopted by the Commission in connection with the insuance by the Commission of a construction authorization and license for such repository. To the extent such statement is adopted by the Commission, such adoption shall be deemed to also satisfy the responsibilities of the Commission under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and no further consideration shall be required, except that nothing in this subsection shall affect any independent responsibilities of the Commission to protect the public health and safety under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.). Nothing in this Act shall be construed to amend or otherwise detract from the licensing requirements of the Nucler Regulatory Commission as established in title II

PUBLIC LAW 97-425-JAN. 7, 1983

42 UBC 10135.

any such statement prepared with respect to the first repealery to be constructed under this subtitle, the need for a repealery or nongeologic alternatives to the site of such repealery shall not be considered.

REVIEW OF REPORTORY AITS BELICTION

SEC. 115. (a) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "resolution of repository siting approval" means a joint resolution of the Congress, the matter after the resolving clause of which is an follow: "That there hereby is approved the site at for a repository, with respect to which a notice of disapproval was submitted by on". The first blank space in such resolution shall be filled with the name of the geographic location of the proposed site of the repository to which such resolution pertains; the second blank space in such resolution shall be filled with the designation of the State Governor and legislature or Indian tribe governing body submitting the notice of disapproval to which such resolution pertains; and the last blank space in such resolution shall be filled with the date of such submission.

(b) STATE ON INDEAN THESE PERTITIONS.—The designation of a site as suitable for application for a construction suthorization for a repository shall be effective at the end of the 60-day period beginning on the date that the President recommends such site to the Congress under section 114, unless the Governor and legislature of the State in which such site is located, or the governing body of an Indian tribe on whose reservation such site is located, as the case may be, has submitted to the Congress a notice of disapproval under section 116 or 118. If any such notice of disapproval has been submitted, the designation of such site shall not be effective except as provided under subsection (c).

Notice of disapproval, anhmittal to Congress.

(c) CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF PERTIDING.—If any notice of disapproval of a repository site designation has been submitted to the Congress under section 116 or 118 after a recommendation for approval of such site is made by the President under section 114, such site shall be disapproved unless, during the first period of 90 calendar days of continuous session of the Congress after the date of the receipt by the Congress of such notice of disapproval, the Congress passes a resolution of repository siting approval in accordance with this subsection approving such site, and such resolution thereafter becomes law.

(d) PROCEDURES APPLICABLE TO THE SEMATE.--(1) The provisions of this subsection are enacted by the Congress--

•:

(A) as an exercise of the rulesmaking power of the Senate, and so such they are deemed a part of the rules of the Senate, but applicable only with respect to the procedure to be followed in the Senate in the case of resolutions of repository siting approval, and such provisions supersede other rules of the Senate only to the exist that they are inconsistent with such other rules, and

(B) with full recognition of the constitutional right of the Senate to change the rules too far as relating to the procedure of the Senate) at any time, in the same manner and to the same extent as in the case of any other rule of the Senate.

(21A) Not later than the first day of session following the day on which any notice of disapproval of a repository site selection is submitted to the Congress under section 116 or 118, a resolution of

Introduction of resolution.