



**UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION IV
611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400
ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-4005**

March 26, 2004

Gregory M. Rueger, Senior Vice
President, Generation and
Chief Nuclear Officer
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
Diablo Canyon Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, CA 93424

**SUBJECT: DIABLO CANYON POWER PLANT - CORRECTION TO NRC INTEGRATED
INSPECTION REPORT 05000275/2003008 AND 05000323/2003008**

Dear Mr. Rueger:

Recently, your staff contacted us regarding some information in the subject report that was believed to be inaccurate. Your staff provided us with some additional information that warrants a minor change to the report. The new information did not change the conclusions reached during the inspection

Please replace page 5, within Section 1R05 Fire Protection (71111.05) of the issued report, with the attached page. We regret any inconvenience caused by the inaccuracy.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter, its enclosure, and your response will be made available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html> (the Public Electronic Reading Room).

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be pleased to discuss them with you.

Sincerely,

/RA/

William B. Jones, Chief
Project Branch E
Division of Reactor Projects

Dockets: 50-275

50-323

Licenses: DPR-80

DPR-82

Enclosure:

Page 5, Section 1R05 Fire Protection (71111.05)

cc w/enclosure:

David H. Oatley, Vice President

and General Manager

Diablo Canyon Power Plant

P.O. Box 56

Avila Beach, CA 93424

Lawrence F. Womack, Vice President

Nuclear Services

Diablo Canyon Power Plant

P.O. Box 56

Avila Beach, CA 93424

James R. Becker, Vice President

Diablo Canyon Operations and

Station Director, Pacific Gas and

Electric Company

Diablo Canyon Power Plant

P.O. Box 3

Avila Beach, CA 93424

Sierra Club San Lucia Chapter

c/o Henriette Groot

1000 Montecito Road

Cayucos, CA 93430

Nancy Culver

San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace

P.O. Box 164

Pismo Beach, CA 93448

Chairman

San Luis Obispo County Board of

Supervisors

Room 370

County Government Center

San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

Truman Burns\Robert Kinosian
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Ave., Rm. 4102
San Francisco, CA 94102-3298

Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee
Robert R. Wellington, Esq.
Legal Counsel
857 Cass Street, Suite D
Monterey, CA 93940

Ed Bailey, Radiation Control Program Director
Radiologic Health Branch
State Department of Health Services
P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178)
Sacramento, CA 94234-7320

Richard F. Locke, Esq.
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
P.O. Box 7442
San Francisco, CA 94120

City Editor
The Tribune
3825 South Higuera Street
P.O. Box 112
San Luis Obispo, CA 93406-0112

James D. Boyd, Commissioner
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street (MS 34)
Sacramento, CA 95814

Electronic distribution by RIV:
 Regional Administrator (**BSM1**)
 DRP Director (**ATH**)
 DRS Director (**DDC**)
 Senior Resident Inspector (**DLP**)
 Branch Chief, DRP/E (**WBJ**)
 Senior Project Engineer, DRP/E (**VGG**)
 Staff Chief, DRP/TSS (**PHH**)
 RITS Coordinator (**KEG**)
 Rebecca Tadesse, OEDO RIV Coordinator (**RXT**)
 DC Site Secretary (**AWC1**)
 Dale Thatcher (**DFT**)
 W.A. Maier, RSLO (**WAM**)

ADAMS: Yes No Initials: __WBJ__
 Publicly Available Non-Publicly Available Sensitive Non-Sensitive

R:_DC\2003\DC2003-08RP Correction.wpd

RIV:RI:DRP/E	SRI:DRP/E	C:DRP/E		
TWJackson	DLProulx	WBJones		
T - WBJones	T - WBJones	/RA/		
3/26/04	3/26/04	3/26/04		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

T=Telephone

E=E-mail

F=Fax

nonlicensed, Level 8 nuclear operators could be used as operations responders. A 2-hour training session was provided to nuclear operators on the duties of an operations responder, prior to August 29, 2003. The training session was outlined in Lesson Number R032C12, "Operations Responder Responsibilities." Procedure CP M-6, "Fire," Revision 2, included a checklist for the operations responder duties in Attachment 4.2, "Operations Responder Checklist." However, the procedure and checklist were not issued until September 12, 2 weeks after licensed control operators and nuclear operators could serve as operations responders.

The inspectors interviewed various control operators and nuclear operators and identified the following deficiencies and issues concerning the operations responder position:

- One licensed control operator did not receive training on the operations responder position.
- A majority of the operators served on the fire brigade before the professional fire brigade took over, and they expressed a higher degree of confidence in performing the duties of the operations responder, as compared to those who did not have prior fire brigade experience.
- More than one of the operators did not know the phone number for accessing the fire conference bridge, and others had learned about the protocol for the fire conference bridge the day the interview was performed.
- The operators expressed a desire for more thorough training on the operations responder position.
- The operators were not aware that Attachment 4.2 of Procedure CP M-6, titled "Operations Responder Checklist," existed.
- The operations responder position does not have a qualification card, nor is it part of requalification training for operators.
- As of August 29, 2003, all Level 8 nuclear operators assigned to a crew had received the operations responder training, but, on at least one occasion, an operator could not recall that he had received the training.
- The control operators and nuclear operators had not participated in drills with the fire brigades and identified a lack of interaction with the brigades.

In addition to interviews, the inspectors compared Attachment 4.2 of Procedure CP M-6 to Lesson R032C12, "Operations Responder Responsibilities." The inspectors noted the following items were missing from the lesson plan when compared to Attachment 4.2. The lesson plan did not discuss: