

Uthn: Bib Jasinski
415-2234

RJJ

G:\annualfees4.wpd

OPA

D R A F T

(Source: Proposed Rule and SECY-03-0194)

NRC PROPOSES TO AMEND LICENSING, INSPECTION AND ANNUAL FEES RULE

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is proposing to amend its regulations for the licensing, inspection and annual fees it charges applicants and licensees for fiscal year (FY) 2004.

The agency is required to collect nearly all of its annual appropriated budget through two types of fees. One is for specific NRC services, such as licensing and inspection activities, that apply to a specific license. The other is an annual fee paid by all licensees, which recovers generic regulatory expenses and other costs not recovered through fees for specific services. These fees are contained in Commission regulations 10 CFR Part 170 (fees for licensing and inspection services) and 10 CFR Part 171 (annual fees).

By law, the NRC must recover \$545.6 million or 92 percent of its budget for FY 2004 (October 1, 2003 - September 30, 2004) less the \$33.1 million appropriated from the Nuclear Waste Fund for high-level waste activities. The amount to be recovered in FY 2004 includes \$51.1 million appropriated for NRC's activities related to homeland security. The total amount to be recovered is about \$19.3 million more than last year.

The proposed hourly rates are \$157 for reactor program activities and \$156 for nuclear material program activities. In FY 2003, the reactor and materials program rates were \$156 and \$158, respectively.

The proposed annual fees have been determined under the "re-baselining" method. The Commission decided to re-baseline annual fees this year based on the changes in the magnitude of the budget to be recovered through fees. *in the total budget*
The magnitude of the budget allocated to certain classes of
licensees
 Re-baselined annual fees would result in increased annual fees compared to FY 2003 fees for three classes of licensees (operating power reactors, rare earth mills and transportation) and decreased annual fees for three classes (spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning, non-power reactors, and fuel facilities).

Finally, in two classes, material users and uranium recovery, most classes) of licensees would have decreased annual fees while others

The proposed licensees:

Bob,
Since we single out categories for increases/decreases, we should list these below

Class/Category of License

Operating Power Reactors
 (Including spent fuel storage decommissioning annual fee)

High-enriched Uranium Fuel Facility

Low-enriched Uranium Fuel Facility

Uranium Recovery (Conventional Mills)

Radiographers

\$5,392,000

\$1,808,000

\$15,700

\$10,400

both 1/5
transportation - approvals 92,
(Users: Fabricators)
Spent fuel storage / reactor decom. 208,000
non-power reactors 62,900

~~radiographers~~

Broad Scope Medical	\$22,100
Distribution of Radiopharmaceuticals	\$3,900
Gauge Users	\$1,600

Written comments on the proposed amendments to 10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 of the Commission's regulations should be received within 30 days after publication in the Federal Register, which is expected shortly. They should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications staff.

Comments also may be faxed to 301-415-1101, or submitted via the NRC's electronic rulemaking Web

site, at <http://ruleforum.nrc.gov> or <http://www.nrc.gov>. Select "Current Rulemakings" from the tool bar and then "Proposed Rules."

This site provides the ability to upload comments as files (any format), if your browser supports that function.