TELEPHONE CONVERSATION RECORD		
Licensee Name: Lockheed Martin	Date: Feb 25, 2004	Time: 2:00 pm
License No.: 37-02006-09	Docket No.: 030-xxxxx	Control No.: NA
Licensee Contact: Clare LumKong	Telephone No: 215-497-1307	
NRC Contact: Betsy Ullrich	Telephone No: 610-337-5040	

Subject: release of Newtown building

I had a telephone call with Clare LumKong, Charlene McIntyre, and Syd Porter regarding the surveys needed to be done for the release of the Newtown building. We began by discussing the reasons they need to decommission the facility: it is a separate building, formerly had uses under the license, but no longer has use of licensed material, no future use planned, therefore 40.42(d) requires the separate building to be decommissioned.

Syd is working on developing the decommissioning plan (DP), and wanted to confirm some information iaw NUREG-1757. In using the flow chart, because Lockheed believes that they can meet the screening criteria, and because a DP is not required by LC, they appear to be a Group 2 decommissioning. A DP is not required by license condition; they do not believe that any remediation will be required due to the limited scope and type of activities performed at the location (two rooms, small aount of use of MgTh alloy, turnings collected by ultrafiltration vacuum) therefore no changes needed in the license for activities to be performed. In essence, although they will do a "decommissioning plan", the only thing they believe they need to submit is the Final Status Survey Plan (FSSP). They have a lot of surveys from the past, but not at the sensitivity required by the screening criteria. They may be able to use the previous surveys as the basis for the FSSP, or may need to supplement with additional surveys of higher sensitivity.

Syd asked about the screening criteria and demonstrating compliance. He is aware that the screening criteria is 6 dpm for Thorium, and this is difficult to see in static surveys and very difficult in scanning surveys. I said that, in other cases, where sufficient information is provided that the 10% removable assumption is reasonable, we may accept wipes only...the wipes have to be contacted to meet 10% of the 6 dpm (the MDA has to be less than that).

They asked if a notice is published when a DP is received. I told them that is not a requirement for Group 2 even if the licensee chooses to do a DP. An EA is required prior to release of the facility and a notice is issued then, prior to amending/terminating the license for release.

Action Required/Taken: Copy to LAT for file, copy to S. Lodhi and J. Kinneman		
Signature:	Date : March 15, 2004	
Betsy Ullrich		