

**TRISO-Coated Particle Fuel Phenomenon Identification and Ranking Tables
(PIRTs) for Fission Product Transport Due to Manufacturing, Operations,
and Accidents**

by

R. N. Morris, D. A. Petti, D. A. Powers

and

B. E. Boyack

October 30, 2003

ABSTRACT

TRISO-coated particle fuel is to be used in the next generation of gas-cooled reactors. In anticipation of future licensing applications for gas-cooled reactors, the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) seeks to fully understand the significant features of TRISO-coated particle fuel design, manufacture, and operation, as well as behavior during accidents. The objectives of the TRISO Phenomena Identification and Ranking Table (PIRT) program are to (1) identify key attributes of gas-cooled reactor fuel manufacture which may require regulatory oversight, (2) provide a valuable reference for the review of vendor fuel qualification plans, (3) provide insights for developing plans for fuel safety margin testing, (4) assist in defining test data needs for the development of fuel performance and fission product transport models, (5) inform decisions regarding the development of NRC's independent reactor fuel performance code and fission product transport models, (6) support the development of NRC's independent models for source term calculations, and (7) provide insights for the review of vendor fuel safety analyses. To support these objectives, the NRC commissioned a PIRT panel to identify and rank the factors, characteristics, and phenomena associated with TRISO-coated particle fuel. PIRTs were developed for (1) Manufacturing, (2) Operations, (3) a Depressurized Heatup Accident, (4) a Reactivity Accident, (5) a Depressurization Accident with Water Ingress, and (6) a Depressurization Accident with Air Ingress.

CONTENTS

	Page No.
Abstract.....	iii
Executive Summary.....	xii
Acknowledgments.....	xiv
Acronyms.....
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1. Need for Identification and Ranking.....	1-2
1.2. The PIRT Process.....	1-3
1.3. PIRT Process Application for the TRISO-Coated Particle Fuel PIRT.....	1-6
1.4. Report Organization.....	1-12
1.5. PIRT Panel Membership.....	1-14
1.6. References.....	1-14
2. TRISO-COATED FUEL PARTICLE PERFORMANCE.....	2-1
2.1. General Description of Coated Particle Fuel and Fuel Element.....	2-1
2.1.1. Operational Requirements.....	2-1
2.1.2. Basic Fuel Elements.....	2-1
2.2. Design Function of Each Component.....	2-4
2.2.1. General.....	2-4
2.2.2. Kernel.....	2-4
2.2.3. Buffer Layer.....	2-13
2.2.4. Inner Pyrocarbon Layer.....	2-15
2.2.5. SiC Layer.....	2-18
2.2.6. Outer Pyrocarbon Layer.....	2-20
2.2.7. Fuel Element.....	2-23
2.3. Manufacturing.....	2-24
2.3.1. General.....	2-24
2.3.2. Kernel.....	2-26
2.3.3. Coating Particles.....	2-29
2.3.4. Fuel Element Manufacture.....	2-35
2.4. Normal Operation.....	2-41
2.4.1. General.....	2-41
2.4.2. Kernel.....	2-43
2.4.3. Buffer.....	2-44
2.4.4. IPyC Layer.....	2-46
2.4.5. SiC Layer.....	2-46
2.4.6. OPyC Layer.....	2-48
2.4.7. Fuel Element.....	2-49
2.5. Accident Conditions.....	2-51
2.5.1. Heatup Accident.....	2-52
2.5.2. Air and/or Water Intrusion Accident.....	2-61
2.5.3. Water Ingress Accident Phenomena.....	2-64
2.5.4. Air Ingress Accident Phenomena.....	2-74
2.5.5. Reactivity Accident.....	2-84

2.6.	Summary of Fuel Failure Mechanisms	2-91
2.6.1.	Pressure Vessel Failure	2-91
2.6.2.	Irradiation-induced IPyC Cracking and Debonding	2-92
2.6.3.	Kernel Migration	2-92
2.6.4.	Fission Product/Coating Layer Chemical Interactions	2-93
2.6.5.	Matrix/OPyC Interaction	2-93
2.6.6.	As-Manufactured Defects	2-94
2.6.7.	SiC Thermal Decomposition	2-94
2.6.8.	Enhanced SiC Permeability and/or SiC Degradation	2-94
2.6.9.	Chemical Attack (Ingress Accidents)	2-95
2.6.10.	Reactivity Insertion (Accident)	2-95
2.7.	References	2-95
3.	FISSION PRODUCT TRANSPORT IN TRISO-COATED FUEL PARTICLES	3-1
3.1.	Introduction	3-1
3.2.	The Fuel Kernel	3-1
3.2.1.	Recoil	3-1
3.2.2.	Chemical Reaction at the Fuel Kernel Boundary	3-2
3.2.3.	Booth Diffusion	3-2
3.2.4.	Vaporization: Fission Product Chemical Form	3-6
3.3.	The Buffer Layer	3-7
3.3.1.	Thermal Behavior of the Buffer	3-8
3.3.2.	Fission Product Transport in a Porous Medium	3-11
3.3.3.	Thermal Diffusion	3-17
3.4.	The Inner and Outer Pyrocarbon Layers	3-20
3.4.1.	Gas Phase Fission Product Transport	3-21
3.4.2.	Metallic Fission Product Transport and Trapping	3-22
3.4.3.	Influence of PyC Structure on Transport in the Layer	3-25
3.5.	The SiC Layer	3-26
3.5.1.	Transport Mechanisms	3-26
3.5.2.	Grain Boundary Diffusion	3-28
3.5.3.	Influence of SiC Microstructure on Fission Product Transport	3-31
3.6.	A Simplified Integral Fission Product Transport Model	3-32
3.7.	Fission Product Transport in Failed Fuel Particles	3-37
3.7.1.	Short-lived Fission Gases	3-38
3.7.2.	Long-lived Fission Gases and Fission Metals	3-39
3.7.3.	Water and Air Ingress	3-40
3.8.	Fission Product Transport Factors	3-40
3.9.	Summary	3-41
3.10.	References	3-42
4.	TRISO-COATED PARTICLE FUEL PHENOMENA IDENTIFICATION AND RANKING TABLES (PIRTS)	4-1
5.	TRISO-COATED PARTICLE FUEL PIRT ANALYSIS AND SUMMARY	5-1
5.1.	General TRISO-Coated Particle Fuel PIRT Findings	5-1
5.2.	Manufacturing PIRT Analysis	5-7

5.3.	Operations PIRT Analysis	5-8
5.4.	Depressurization Heatup Accident PIRT Analysis.....	5-10
5.5	Reactivity Accident PIRT Analysis.....	5-12
5.6.	Depressurization Accident with Water Ingress PIRT Analysis.....	5-13
5.7.	Depressurization Accident with Air Ingress PIRT Analysis	5-15
5.8	Lesson Learned.....	5-18
	5.8.1. Development of PIRT Objectives.....	5-18
	5.8.2. PIRT Panel Size.....	5-18
	5.8.3. Documentation of Rationales.....	5-19

APPENDICES

A.	Panel Member Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for the Manufacturing Phase	A-1
B.	Panel Member Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for the Operation Phase	B-1
C.	Panel Member Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for a Depressurized Heatup accident.....	C-1
D.	Panel Member Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for a Reactivity Accident.....	D-1
E.	Panel Member Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for a Depressurization Accident With Water intrusion.....	E-1
F.	Candidate Tests for the TRAC-M Common LB LOCA Validation Test Matrix.....	F-1
G.	MELCOR Calculations for a Depressurization Accident with Air Intrusion.....	G-1
H.	Panel Member Initial Importance and Knowledge Rankings and Rationales for the Fuel Design Phase.....	H-1
I.	Members of the TRISO-Coated Particle Fuel PIRT Panel	I-1

FIGURES

1-1	An example of a TRISO-coated particle fuel particle	1-1
1-2	PIRT Process.....	1-5
1-3	TRISO-coated particle fuel temperature transient for depressurized heatup scenario	1-8
2-1	Schematic of the four-layer particle design	2-2
	Fuel element components	2-3
2-3	Three of many possible designs for a fuel element. The compact design can also be used as part of a pin in block design	2-4
2-4	Increase in particle pressure as a function of burnup for a UO ₂ kernel (representative of order of magnitude calculation).....	2-6
2-5	Pressure vessel failure in HRB-8, Specimen 5 (UO ₂).....	2-7
2-6	Illustration of the Amoeba Effect. Carbon is transported from the hot side to the cool side	2-8
2-7	Oxide kernel fuel exhibiting the amoeba effect.....	2-9
2-8	High burnup kernel showing the loss of crystal structure and the development of large voids.....	2-10
2-9	Oxygen distribution in a UCO kernel	2-11
2-10	An example of a distorted Buffer Layer (HRB-21) (DOE-HTGR-100229).....	2-14
2-11	Example of pyrocarbon layer failure (BISO fuel)	2-16
2-12	CO oxidation of SiC (WAR UO ₂ kernel at ~1500°C in HRB-10)	2-17
2-13	Qualitative stresses in coated particle layers for a moderate particle	2-19
2-14	Particles broken during irradiation due to matrix-particle interaction. Left - BISO particle / Right TRISO particle.....	2-21
2-15	Diagram of a coater (from ORNL-4324)	2-25
2-16	Flow diagram of external gelation kernel fabrication process.....	2-28
2-17	Flow diagram of the continuous coating process.....	2-30
2-18	SiC structure as a function of coater temperature	2-31
2-19	Performance of non-spherical particles	2-32
2-20	Illustration of the Admix fuel element forming process.....	2-36
2-21	Illustration of the injection method.....	2-37
2-22	Green fuel element bakeout process	2-39
2-23	Illustration of selected particle failure mechanisms during normal operations	2-42
2-24	Model calculation-pebble fractional release over its lifetime (IAEA TECHDOC-978) ..	2-43
2-25	Kernel performance issues. Left-UO ₂ kernel that is moving up the temperature gradient. Right-UC ₂ kernel showing accumulation of rare earth fission products on cold side of the particle. Hot side is at the top of the photo.....	2-44
2-26	Failure in a BISO particle due to a missing buffer layer	2-45
2-27	Pd migration:70% burnup Pu kernel. FTE-13, PuO _{1.68} , 1150°C, Polarized light	2-48
2-28	Time versus temperature heatup accident curve considered by the PIRT panel	2-52
2-29	⁸⁵ Kr releases as a function of burnup and test temperature (IAEA TECHDOC-978)	2-53

2-30	Effective SiC tghinning due to corrosion and decomposition. Comparison of frequency factors for failure (data from Goodin, 1898)	2-57
2-31	Oxidation diagram for carbides of interest	2-57
2-32	Fission product release from a fuel sphere at 1600°C (IAEA TECHDOC-978)	2-59
2-33	⁸⁵ Kr release as a function of heating temperature (IAEA TECDOC-978).....	2-59
2-34	An illustration of the chemical attack of a fuel element and fuel particles.....	2-63
2-35	⁸⁵ Kr release from a fuel sphere exposed to water vapor (AVR 92/7, type GLE-3, 9.2% FIMA) at 800C (IAEA TECDOC-978)	2-65
2-36	Sphere particle failure fractions and 10 unbonded particles (1500°C line) heated in air (IAEA TECDOC-978)	2-65
2-37	se to water vapor causes the kernel to restructure and release much of its stored inventory. (from Meyers, DOE-HTGR-88486)	2-66
2-38	Stored fission gas release versus water partial pressure for exposed kernels at 770°C for experiments HFR-B1 and HRB-17 (IAEA TECDOC-978)	2-67
2-39	Oxidation of two similar fuel spheres in air. Top is from AVR 92/8, 9% FIMA, bottom is from AVR 92/22, 8.8% FIMA (IAEA TECDOC-978).....	2-83
2-40	Particle failure rate versus pulse energy deposition (IAEA TECDOC-978).....	2-85
3-1	Comparison of measured diffusivities of fission gases and some fission metals in UO ₂ kernels of coated particle fuel.....	3-3
3-2	Calculated fission product release from 500 micron UO ₂ kernel at 900 °C	3-5
3-3	Calculated fission product release from 500 micron UO ₂ kernel at 1200 °C	3-5
3-4	Influence of cyclic temperature in a pebble bed reactor on fission product release from a 500 micron UO ₂ kernel.....	3-6
3-5	Calculated effect of particle power on temperature gradient and temperature drop across the buffer layer of a standard 500-micron UO ₂ German particle.....	3-9
3-6	Different states of the buffer in coated particles following irradiation in the U.S.....	3-10
3-7	Calculated effective diffusivities for Knudsen and viscous diffusion	3-16
3-8	Calculated effect of thermal diffusion and irradiation on the distribution and transport of fission products in the coated particle	3-19
3-9	Measured fission product diffusivities in low temperature isotopic (LTI) PyC	3-21
3-10	Comparison of measured fission product diffusivities in PyC to permeability data (checkerboard box) Knudsen data, (black and white hatched box) and viscous (gray box) diffusion estimates	3-22
3-11	Calculated effects of trapping on apparent Cs diffusivity in PyC	3-24
3-12	Measured diffusion coefficients of Xe, Cs, Sr and Ag in SiC	3-27
3-13	Comparison of data for C and Si self-diffusion coefficient (hatched box) and Fe and Cr grain boundary diffusivities (gray box) with fission product diffusivities inferred from integral release measurements on coated particles.....	3-28
3-14	Schematic of grains and grain boundary.....	3-29
3-15	Influence of SiC microstructure on fission product transport.....	3-31
3-16	Calculated fractional release from a coated fuel particle during 1600 °C heating following a three-year irradiation at 1200 °C.....	3-33

3-17	Calculated release from a coated fuel particle during 1600 °C heating following a three-year ten-cycle PBR irradiation between 600 and 1200 °C	3-34
3-18	Calculated fuel thermal temperature during a conduction cooldown	3-35
3-19	Calculated fractional diffusional release from TRISO coated particle during a conduction cooldown following a three-year ten-cycle PBR irradiation.....	3-35
3-20	Effect of segregation coefficient on fractional release during heating	3-37
3-21	Comparison of failed particle model (R/B) results	3-39

TABLES

1-1	Importance Ranks and Definitions.....	1-11
1-2	Knowledge Levels and Definitions.....	1-12
2-1	Oxygen Excess per Fission.....	2-6
2-2	Migration Factors.....	2-8
2-3	Order of Magnitude Estimates for CO Pressure.....	2-12
2-4	Typical German Kernel Specifications.....	2-13
2-5	Kernel Design Factors Identified By the PIRT Panel.....	2-13
2-6	Typical German Buffer Layer Specification.....	2-14
2-7	Buffer Layer Design Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-15
2-8	Typical German IPyC Layer Specifications.....	2-17
2-9	IPyC Layer Design Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-18
2-10	Typical German SiC Layer Specifications.....	2-20
2-11	SiC Layer Design Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-20
2-12	Typical German OPyC Layer Specifications.....	2-22
2-13	OPyC Design Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-22
2-14	Historic Reference German Fuel Element Specifications.....	2-24
2-15	Fuel Element Design Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-24
2-16	Manufacturing Process Phenomena Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-27
2-17	Kernel Properties and QC Methods.....	2-28
2-18	Kernel Manufacturing Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-29
2-19	Coating Layer Product Factors and Typical QC Methods.....	2-33
2-20	Manufacturing Layer Product Factors Identified by the PIRT Panel.....	2-34
2-21	Typical Fuel Element QC Methods.....	2-40
2-22	Fuel Element Manufacturing Factors Identified by the PIRT Panel.....	2-40
2-23	Kernel Operating Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-45
2-24	Buffer Operating Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-46
2-25	IPyC Operating Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-47
2-26	SiC Operating Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-48
2-27	OPyC Operating Factors Identified by The PIRT Panel.....	2-49
2-28	Fuel Element Operating Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-50
2-29	Kernel Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-54
2-30	Buffer Layer Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-55
2-31	IPyC Layer Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-56
2-32	SiC Layer Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-58
2-33	Identified OPyC Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-60
2-34	Fuel Element Heatup Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-61
2-35	Kernel Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-68
2-36	Buffer Layer Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-69
2-37	IPyC Layer Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-70
2-38	SiC Layer Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-72

2-39	OPyC Layer Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-73
2-40	Fuel Element Water Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-74
2-41	Kernel Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-75
2-42	Buffer Layer Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-77
2-43	IPyC Layer Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-78
2-44	SiC Layer Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel	2-79
2-45	OPyC Layer Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel	2-80
2-46	Fuel Element Air Ingress Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-82
2-47	Kernel Reactivity Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-86
2-48	Reactivity Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-87
2-49	IPyC Layer Reactivity Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel	2-88
2-50	SiC Layer Reactivity Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-89
2-51	OPyC Layer Reactivity Accident Factors Identified By The PIRT Panel.....	2-90
2-52	Fuel Element Reactivity Insertion Factors Identified by the PIRT Panel.....	2-90
3-1	Calculated Temperature Drops Across Layers of a Coated Particle.....	3-9
3-2	Effects of Particle Power on Buffer in Coated Particle Fuel	3-11
3-3	Calculated Effect of Increased Temperature and Increased SiC Diffusivity on Fractional Diffusional Releases from TRISO Coated Particles.....	3-36
3-4	Fission Product Transport Phenomenon Identified by the PIRT Panel	3-41
4-1	TRISO-coated particle fuel Manufacturing Summary PIRT	4-3
4-2	TRISO-coated particle fuel Operations Summary PIRT	4-6
4-3	TRISO-coated particle fuel Depressurized Heatup Accident Summary PIRT	4-11
4-4	TRISO-coated particle fuel Reactivity Accident Summary PIRT	4-16
4-5	TRISO-coated particle fuel Depressurization Accident with Water Ingress Summary PIRT	4--21
4-6	TRISO-coated particle fuel Depressurization Accident with Air Ingress Summary PIRT	4-29
5-1	TRISO-coated particle fuel Factors, Characteristics, and Phenomena Ranked High	5-3
5-2	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for Manufacturing.....	5-7
5-3	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for Operations.....	5-8
5-4	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for the Depressurization Heatup Accident.....	5-10
5-5	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for the Reactivity Accident.....	5-12
5-6	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for the Depressurization Heatup Accident With Water Ingress	5-14
5-7	Significant Importance and Knowledge Level Statistics for the Depressurization Accident With Air Ingress	5-16

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Most nuclear power reactors presently operating throughout the world are water-cooled. The core of these reactors consists of arrays of fuel bundles, each bundle containing a number of fuel pins. Each fuel pin contains a stack of cylindrical, ceramic UO_2 fuel pellets contained within a sheath of metallic cladding.

The fuel forms for gas-cooled reactors are very different. The TRISO-coated fuel particle is a spherical layered composite about 1 mm in diameter. It consists of a kernel of uranium dioxide surrounded by a porous graphite buffer layer. Surrounding the buffer layer are a layer of dense pyrolytic carbon, a SiC layer, and a dense outer pyrolytic carbon layer. These three isotropic layers are termed the TRISO coating. Thousands of these particles are combined with a matrix material and pressed into either spherical forms for pebble bed fuels or cylindrical or annular compacts for prismatic fuels.

In anticipation of future licensing applications for gas-cooled reactors, the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) seeks to fully understand the significant features of TRISO-coated particle fuel design, manufacture, and operation, as well as behavior during accidents. To address this objective, the NRC has commissioned the formation of a panel of experts to identify and rank the factors, characteristics, and phenomena associated with the life-cycle phases of TRISO-coated particle fuel. The products of the panel are Phenomena Identification and Ranking Tables (PIRTs) and the associated documentation.

The objectives of the PIRT program on TRISO-coated particle fuel are to (1) identify key attributes of gas-cooled reactor fuel manufacture which may require regulatory oversight, (2) provide a valuable reference for the review of vendor gas-cooled reactor fuel qualification plans, (3) provide insights for developing plans for fuel safety margin testing, (4) assist in defining test data needs for the development of fuel performance and fission product transport models, (5) inform decisions regarding the development of NRC's independent gas-cooled reactor fuel performance code and fission product transport models, (6) support the development of NRC's independent models for source term calculations, and (7) provide insights for the review of vendor gas-cooled fuel safety analyses.

A three-member panel of experts developed the phenomena identification and ranking tables (PIRTs) presented in this document. The charter of this small PIRT panel was to develop TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRTs, i.e., structured PIRT tables and accompanying rationales. This report will be provided to international experts and other knowledgeable stakeholders for review and comment. The NRC will collect and compile the comments provided by the reviewers. The compiled peer review comments will be collected as a separate source of expert opinions on TRISO-coated particle fuel.

Six phenomena identification and ranking tables (PIRTs) were developed by the panel and are presented in this document. They are: (1) Manufacturing, (2) Operations, (3) Depressurized Heatup Accident, (4) Reactivity Accident, (5) Depressurization Accident with Water Ingress, and (6) Depressurization Accident with Air Ingress.

This report contains significant additional content.

The general PIRT process is described in Chapter 1 as well as a detailed discussion of the application of the general process for the TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRT program.

Chapter 2 presents an extensive discussion of the design function of each component of TRISO-coated particle fuel, i.e., the kernel, buffer layer, inner PyC layer, SiC layer, outer PyC layer, and the fuel element. Manufacturing practices, fuel particle performance throughout the operational life of the fuel and also under accident conditions, and fuel failure mechanisms are also discussed.

Chapter 3 presents a detailed discussion of fission product transport in TRISO-coated fuel particles in each component of TRISO-coated particle fuel. The physical processes comprising fission product transport are described, as are data and the potential analytical approaches to modeling fission product transport.

Summary PIRT tables for manufacturing, operations, depressurized heatup accident, reactivity accident, depressurization accident with water ingress, and depressurization accident with air ingress are provided in Chapter 4.

Chapter 5 presents an analysis and summary of the TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRTs. General technical findings from the TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRTs are presented. Analyses and summaries for each of the six PIRTs are also presented. A total of 327 factors, characteristics and phenomena were identified in the six PIRT tables. The importance of each factor, characteristic, process or phenomenon was assessed relative to the magnitude of its influence on fission product release or in a more accident consequence-related term, the source term. One hundred-ten (110) factors, characteristics and phenomena were assigned an importance rank of “High” by each of the three panel members. The panel concluded that these 110 factors, characteristics and phenomena had the most significant impact on fission product release. Each panel member prepared a written rationale supporting the importance rank assigned to each highly ranked factor, characteristic or phenomenon. The rationales are presented in Appendices A through F.

In addition to ranking importance, the panel members assessed the level of scientific knowledge and understanding of the factor, characteristic or phenomenon. Each panel member also prepared a written rationale supporting the knowledge level assigned to each highly ranked factor, characteristic, or phenomenon. The rationales for the knowledge level assessed by each panel member are also presented in Appendices A through F.

There were some factors, characteristics, or phenomena for which a consensus was not reached regarding importance or knowledge level. There were, for example, instances where two panel members assessed the importance as “High” and the remaining panel member ranked importance as “Medium” or “Low.” There were also instances where importance was assessed as “High” by one panel member, “Medium” by the second panel member, and “Low” by the third panel member. Similar differences also arose in the assessment of knowledge level. The TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRT provides a comprehensive and current view of the significant phenomena that affect TRISO-coated particle fuel performance and fission. It is anticipated that the international peer review of the TRISO fuel PIRT report will provide additional insights and perspectives on the identified phenomena, as well as on the importance and the level of knowledge of these phenomena.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Several organizations and individuals were most supportive of the PIRT panel efforts. Although the PIRT panel maintained an independent and separate perspective, the panel acknowledges the contributions received from:

Frank Odar of the NRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, the project manager for the majority of the panel's efforts. We acknowledge his support and assistance.

Eugene Trager, Jr. of the NRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, the project manager for the completion of the panel's efforts.

Stuart Rubin of the NRC's Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research, the technical project manager for the TRISO-coated particle fuel PIRT.

Robert Morris, the author of Chapter two of this report. Figures and photographs appearing in Chapter 2 were provided by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). David Petti provided input for Section 2.6.

David Petti and Dana Powers, the authors of Chapter 3 of this report. Figures and photographs appearing in Chapter 3 were provided by Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL).

Richard Moore and Brad Merrill (INEEL), the MELCOR air ingress calculations and text in Appendix G.

We acknowledge the permission received from the International Atomic Energy Association to include a number of their figures in this report.

