Contents

List of Tables and Figures v
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms vii

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PART 1 – PLANT DESIGN INFORMATION
1. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor 1
2. AP1000 7
3. Gas Turbine – Modular Helium Reactor 14
4. International Reactor Innovative and Secure 19
5. Pebble Bed Modular Reactor 26
6. Bounding Plant Design 30

PART 2 – EVALUATION OF THE INEEL, PORTSMOUTH, AND SAVANNAH RIVER SITES
1. Site Descriptions 1
   1.1 INEEL Site 1
   1.2 Portsmouth Site 4
   1.3 Savannah River Site 8
2. Economic Criteria 12
   2.1 Electricity and Service Market Projections 12
   2.2 Transmission System 13
   2.3 Stakeholder Support 21
   2.4 Site Development Costs 25
3. Engineering Criteria 28
   3.1 Site Size 28
   3.2 Site Topography 32
   3.3 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 34
   3.4 Emergency Planning/Population Density 37
   3.5 Labor Supply 41
   3.6 Transportation Access 45
   3.7 Security 48
   3.8 Collocated or Nearby Hazardous Land Uses 49
   3.9 Ease of Decommissioning 51
   3.10 Water Rights and Air Permits 53
   3.11 Regulatory 59
   3.12 Schedule 65

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation

3.13 Geologic Hazards 65
3.14 Site-Specific Safe Shutdown Earthquake 68
3.15 Capable Faults 79
3.16 Liquefaction Potential 81
3.17 Bearing Material 82
3.18 Near-Surface Material 86
3.19 Groundwater 88
3.20 Flooding Potential 91
3.21 Ice Formation 94
3.22 Cooling Water Source 97
3.23 Temperature and Moisture Content 103
3.24 Winds 105
3.25 Rainfall 106
3.26 Snow 108
3.27 Atmospheric Dispersion 109

4. Environmental Criteria 111
4.1 Terrestrial Habitat 111
4.2 Terrestrial Vegetation 115
4.3 Aquatic Habitat/Organisms 119
4.4 Groundwater 121
4.5 Surface Water 124
4.6 Population 126

5. Sociological Criteria 130
5.1 Land Use 130
5.2 Demography 137
5.3 Socioeconomic Benefits 138
5.4 Agricultural/Industrial 142
5.5 Aesthetics 143
5.6 Historic and Archaeological Sites 145
5.7 Transportation Network 147
5.8 Environmental Justice 151

6. Ranking and Selection of Preferred DOE Site 154

7. ESP Estimate for the Preferred DOE Site 167

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation

PART 3 – EVALUATION OF THE SURRY AND NORTH ANNA SITES

1. Site Descriptions 1
1.1 Surry Site 1
1.2 North Anna Site 5

2. Economic Criteria 9
2.1 Electricity and Service Market Projections 9
2.2 Transmission System 9
2.3 Stakeholder Support 13
2.4 Site Development Costs 15

3. Engineering Criteria 18
3.1 Site Size 18
3.2 Site Topography 21
3.3 Environmentally Sensitive Areas 23
3.4 Emergency Planning/Population Density 25
3.5 Labor Supply 29
3.6 Transportation Access 33
3.7 Security 35
3.8 Collocated or Nearby Hazardous Land Uses 36
3.9 Ease of Decommissioning 38
3.10 Water Rights and Air Permits 39
3.11 Regulatory 43
3.12 Schedule 47
3.13 Geologic Hazards 48
3.14 Site-Specific Safe Shutdown Earthquake 49
3.15 Capable Faults 50
3.16 Liquefaction Potential 51
3.17 Bearing Material 54
3.18 Near-Surface Material 57
3.19 Groundwater 59
3.20 Flooding Potential 62
3.21 Ice Formation 64
3.22 Cooling Water Source 65
3.23 Temperature and Moisture Content 72
3.24 Winds 74
3.25 Rainfall 75
3.26 Snow 75
3.27 Atmospheric Dispersion 76

4. Environmental Criteria 79
4.1 Terrestrial Habitat 79
4.2 Terrestrial Vegetation 81
4.3 Aquatic Habitat/Organisms 84
4.4 Groundwater 87
4.5 Surface Water 89
4.6 Population 90

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation

5. Sociological Criteria 95
5.1 Land Use 95
5.2 Demography 101
5.3 Socioeconomic Benefits 103
5.4 Agricultural/Industrial 105
5.5 Aesthetics 106
5.6 Historic and Archaeological Sites 109
5.7 Transportation Network 110
5.8 Environmental Justice 113

6. Ranking and Selection of Preferred Dominion Site 115

7. ESP Estimate for the North Anna Site 123

PART 4 – LESSONS LEARNED
1. Recommended Changes to Industry Guidelines 1
2. Influence Factors 2

PART 5 – COMBINED LICENSE OUTLINE
1. COL Table of Contents 1
2. COL Resource Estimate Approach 19

APPENDIX A – SUMMARY OF SITE EVALUATION PROCESS 1
A.1 Overview 1
A.2 Siting Criteria 2
A.3 Process Description 4

APPENDIX B – POWER MARKET ASSESSMENT FOR NEW NUCLEAR GENERATION

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation

List of Tables and Figures

Number	Title
Executive Summary	
Table 1	Walkdown Dates
Table 2	Site Merit Scores
Table 3	Order of Magnitude ESP Cost Estimate
Part 1	
Table 1-1	ABWR Size Requirements
Table 1-2	Summary of ABWR Standard Plant Site Design Parameters
Figure 1-1	ABWR Plant Layout
Table 2-1	AP1000 Size Requirements
Table 2-2	Summary of AP1000 Standard Plant Site Design Parameters
Figure 2-1	AP1000 Plant Layout
Table 3-1	GT-MHR Size Requirements
Table 3-2	Summary of GT-MHR Standard Plant Site Design Parameters
Figure 3-1	GT-MHR Plant Layout
Table 4-1	IRIS Size Requirements
Table 4-2	Summary of IRIS Standard Plant Site Design Parameters
Figure 4-1	IRIS Plant Layout
Table 5-1	PBMR Size Requirements
Table 5-2	Summary of PBMR Standard Plant Site Design Parameters
Figure 5-1	PBMR Plant Layout
Table 6-1	Summary of Bounding Plant Design Information
Part 2	
Figure 1-1	Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory Site Vicinity Map
Figure 1-2	Potential New Nuclear Station Site at INEEL
Part 2 *(continued)*	
Figure 1-3	Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Site Vicinity Map
Figure 1-4	Potential New Nuclear Station Site at Portsmouth
Figure 1-5	Savannah River Site Vicinity Map
Figure 1-6	Potential New Nuclear Station Site at SRS
Table 2-1	Site Development Costs
Table 3-1	Plant Size Requirements
Table 3-2	Labor Supply
Table 3-3	Wage Survey
Table 3-4	Schedule Estimate
Table 3-5	PGA Values for the INEEL Site Location
Figure 3-1	Spectral Velocity Comparison for INEEL Site
Figure 3-2	Spectral Acceleration Comparison for INEEL Site
Table 3-6	PGA Values for the Portsmouth Site Location
Figure 3-3	Spectral Velocity Comparison for Portsmouth Site
Figure 3-4	Spectral Acceleration Comparison for Portsmouth Site
Table 3-7	PGA Values for the Savannah River Site Location
Figure 3-5	Spectral Velocity Comparison for Savannah River – Vogtle Sites
Figure 3-6	Spectral Acceleration Comparison for Savannah River – Vogtle Sites
Table 3-8	Foundation Depths and Bearing Pressures
Table 3-9	Estimated Plant Heat Load and Cooling Water Requirements
Table 6-1	Site Merit Scores
Table 6-2	Order of Site Ranking by Criterion
Table 6-3	INEEL Site Merit Calculation

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation

List of Tables and Figures *(cont.)*

Number	Title
Part 2 *(continued)*	
Table 6-4	Portsmouth Site Merit Calculation
Table 6-5	Savannah River Site Merit Calculation
Table 7-1	Savannah River ESP Resource Estimate
Table 7-2	Savannah River ESP Resources by Quarter
Table 7-3	Order of Magnitude Cost Estimate
Figure 7-1	Early Site Permit Process
Figure 7-2	Schedule for Savannah River Early Site Permit
Part 3	
Figure 1-1	Surry Vicinity Map
Figure 1-2	Surry Power Station Site
Figure 1-3	North Anna Vicinity Map
Figure 1-4	North Anna Power Station Site
Table 2-1	Site Development Costs
Table 3-1	Plant Size Requirements
Table 3-2	Labor Supply
Table 3-3	Wage Survey
Table 3-4	Schedule Estimate
Table 3-5	Foundation Depths and Bearing Pressures
Table 3-6	Estimated Plant Heat Load
Part 3 *(continued)*	
Table 3-7	Maximum Plant Sizes for Lake Anna Cooling
Table 6-1	Site Merit Scores
Table 6-2	Criteria Rankings
Table 6-3	Surry Site Merit Calculation
Table 6-4	North Anna Site Merit Calculation
Table 7-1	North Anna ESP Resource Estimate
Table 7-2	North Anna ESP Resources by Quarter
Table 7-3	Order of Magnitude Cost Estimate
Figure 7-1	Early Site Permit Process
Figure 7-2	Schedule for North Anna Early Site Permit
Part 4	
Table 2-1	Original Criteria Groups
Table 2-2	Revised Criteria Groups
Part 5	
Table 1-1	Preliminary Combined License Application Table of Contents
Table 2-1	Sample Estimate Worksheet for Combined License Application
Appendix A	
Figure A-1	Overview of Site Evaluation Process
Figure A-2	Bechtel Site Evaluation Process

© 2002 Dominion Energy, Inc. and Bechtel Power Corporation