

WM DOCKET CONTROL  
MINUTES

MINUTES OF NUCLEAR WASTE ADVISORY COUNCIL INFORMAL MEETING

'86 NOV 13 10:42

October 16, 1986

6:30 p.m.  
Westwater Inn  
2300 Evergreen Park Drive  
Olympia, Washington

Council Members Present:

- Warren A. Bishop, Chair
- Harry A. Batson
- Phyllis Clausen
- Nancy Hovis
- Valoria Loveland
- Terry Novak
- Sam Reed
- Robert Rose
- Commissioner W. H. Sebero
- Betty Shreve

The meeting was called to order by Warren A. Bishop, Chair.

Introductory Remarks

Warren Bishop, Chair, stated that Marta Wilder would be responsible for the evening's discussion topics. Ms. Wilder listed the discussion items as follows: 1) site characterization slide show; 2) graphic identity; 3) survey on public involvement items; and 4) 1987 public involvement action plan. She stated that the URS Corporation and Triangle Associates representatives were present to discuss the four items. Ms. Wilder proceeded to introduce Paul Korsmo of the URS Corporation.

Slide Shows

The revised narrated site characterization slide show was presented to the Advisory Council. There was an extensive discussion on the script and justification for the factual information which was included in the show. Alice Shorett and Mr. Korsmo jotted down notes on the Council members comments relating to the general slide presentation, narrative and the slides used. Mr. Korsmo stated that once the site characterization slide show was finalized, it would be video-taped for use in homes or schools and additional equipment would be needed. He continued, stating that the defense waste and overview slide shows were in the same stage in the process of revision as the site characterization show, however they have yet to be synchronized on cassette tapes. The two other shows were not available to be shown.

WM Record File  
10.3

WM Project 10  
Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
PDR   
LPDR

B612020070 B61016  
PDR WASTE  
WM-10 PDR

Distribution:  
RFB MJB AHale Linchan  
JOB RDM H. Idenbrand  
(Return to WM, 623-SS) DKunihiro, RV Sa  
cc: KMcConnell  
HLeferre

2/55

### Graphic Identity

Mr. Korsmo reported that following September's informal meeting where the proposed graphic identities were revealed and comments were received and considered, the graphic artist developed a completely new set of concepts for the Council's review. Copies of the three new proposed identities were distributed to the Council members. He reviewed the reasoning and basis behind the development of the new concepts. The Council members then discussed and expressed their opinions on the newly proposed identities. Comments and suggestions were also accepted.

### Survey on Public Involvement Items

Mr. Korsmo stated that as a result of last month's informal meeting, the Council members were surveyed on the priority for public involvement items. He distributed copies of the survey results which were completed and returned by 11 Council members and Office staff. The results were compiled from the raw data of the survey. The Council members reviewed the results and no comments were given.

### 1987 Public Involvement Action Plan

Mr. Korsmo distributed copies of the draft 1987 Public Involvement Action Plan to the Council members, and requested comments and suggestions. He emphasized that the draft plan was written prior to the receipt of the survey on of public involvement activity priorities.

### C&C "Retreat"

Terry Husseman stated the the Consultation and Cooperation "Retreat" is scheduled for December 17 and 18, one-half day and a full day, respectively. He continued, stating that following the retreat there would be a special joint Board/Council meeting on December 19. The retreat place has not, as yet, been decided upon.

Ms. Wilder stated that as a result of last month's "brainstorming session", there needed to be a clarification of a few projects, such as the network system. Mr. Husseman said that by the Council members' reactions, no single major activity or idea had been omitted in the draft public involvement plan. He stated that the plan is flexible within the program, but also it must be considered that USDOE and the program is subject to daily fluctuations. Mr. Husseman concluded saying that the ideas and activities for fiscal year 1987 are not bound by the public involvement plan. Mr. Korsmo interjected that for many of the proposed projects, the groundwork for implementation of the activity must begin.

Mr. Husseman suggested that a graphic timeline should be developed by the URS Corporation to layout the monthly projects for 1987. Mr. Bishop concurred with Mr. Husseman's recommendation. Mr. Husseman continued, reporting that as he interpreted the proposed regional meetings would be conducted every three months, for five consecutive days is not logistically feasible. Instead, Mr. Husseman proposed that each month, two regional meetings be held which would be coordinated and organized by Office staff and contractors, with regional Council members support.

A cycle would begin and be repeated each month, thus branching out into smaller communities and developing continuity. He said this task would fall under Hall & Associates contract description which includes arrangements for rooms, publicity, etc.

Sam Reed stated that he was impressed with the amount of public contact activities included in the plan, however, an objective person may be needed to analyze the structure, dynamics, and success of the meetings. Susan Hall of Hall & Associates suggested establishing a formal "debriefing process" following each meeting. Mr. Husseman said that the meetings' agenda would consist of general information, a question and answer session which would be the first cycle, the results of that meeting would be instrumental in developing the next cycle.

Valoria Loveland expressed concern about the marginal attendance of the defense waste public meetings and questions if it would be transferred to the proposed regional meetings. She suggested establishing a network system, such as the League of Women Voters previous to entering into the regional meetings cycle to assure a success rate. Ms. Clausen agreed with Ms. Loveland, but stated that other extension services besides the League of Women Voters be utilized and developed. Mr. Husseman replied that if sufficient lead time is given to set up the meetings, perhaps local newspapers and radio stations could be better utilized. He stated that the regional meetings are tentatively scheduled to begin in January. Mr. Husseman inquired as to the arrangements for the informal meetings. No comments were received.

#### Other Business

Mr. Bishop referred to the information meetings on Referendum 40. He stated that Jerry Parker, Office staff, and Max Power of the Institute of Public Policy would be alternate in presenting the general information in regard to the site selection process. Mr. Bishop said that he would be meeting with the moderators for the four final informational meetings to discuss the meeting format. He also reported that the general presentations would be conducted at the Council's regular meeting on October 17.

Ms. Wilder referred to the program coordinator letter which was first given to the Council members approximately four months ago. She then distributed additional copies to the members and requested comments or if changes were needed to be incorporated into the original letter. No comments were received.

Betty Shreve requested that a table of contents be written for corresponding Council documents. Mr. Bishop inquired if there were too many informational materials being distributed to the Council members, and if so, how this problem could be solved. Mr. Rose replied saying that as Council members, they can select the information they feel is most important and glance through the remainder of the materials. Mr. Bishop said that with the new dimension of participation of some Council members on Board committees, they can expect additional materials to be forthcoming.

Mr. Husseman surveyed the Council members as if they wanted a significant issues report written each month which would describe approximately 8-10 key issues and events. He stated that if Council members needed additional information on one specific topic, they could make that request.

Bill Sebero concurred with Mr. Rose that all the informational materials should be continued to be sent to the Council members to disseminate. He continued, that a negative aspect to a written significant events report would be that citizens who attend the Council meetings may not receive this information otherwise. Mr. Husseman replied that the written report could be used as a supplement to the verbal update at each Council meeting.

Ms. Shreve referred to the minutes of the meetings. She stated that there needs to be a means of highlighting the more important sections. Ms. Shreve also questioned if the minutes of the meetings need to be as detailed as they currently are. Mr. Husseman said that the minutes of the meetings are the history of the program, used as records of the meeting and yes, they do need to be very detailed. Mr. Bishop stated that a great deal of staff time is spent compiling and transcribing the minutes for each meeting. Ms. Loveland interjected that a complete, detailed account of the meetings, in the form of minutes is good to have because one can refer to them for informational purposes. She suggested that the minutes of the meetings be kept as is.

Mr. Reed stated that the Council members receive many mailings each month and many of the materials are not crucial. He suggested that the materials be accumulated and sent once or twice each month. Mr. Husseman inquired as if the number of mailings is inconvenient. He stated that he would instruct Office staff to accumulate non-rush informational materials.

Mr. Bishop emphasized that Council members, if possible, should attend the Board meeting on October 17. He said that special guests, Steve Frishman and Bob Loux, program directors of the Texas and Nevada, respectively, would be presenting their states' perspectives on the site selection process.