

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

June 13, 1989

tribution:

o0 4495
Surmeier
VStello
JTaylor
HThompson

RBernero MBell AHenry NMSS r/f NMSS dir. r/f

HThompson JBlaha RBangart PLohaus JJones RFonner JGreeves CJenkins JJones

The Honorable Ronald D. Coleman United States House of Representatives Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Congressman Coleman:

I am responding to your May 17, 1989 letter asking for our comments on arranging a meeting between U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and El Paso County officials and their technical consultants.

As discussed during the meeting with you on May 17, it is not appropriate for NRC, as a Federal regulatory agency, to enter the site selection or regulatory process being carried out at the State level within Texas. NRC has relinquished to Texas, under Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act, the authority and regulatory responsibility to license and otherwise regulate any low-level waste disposal facility established in Texas. As a matter of law, Texas acts in this regard under its statutes and regulations, not those of the Federal government.

The regulations adopted by the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, for licensing disposal of low-level waste, are compatible with NRC's Part 61 regulations, and contain siting requirements equivalent to those contained in Part 61. The Texas Bureau of Radiation Control is the proper agency to provide technical guidance and direction to the siting process in Texas that is taking place under Texas law. The El Paso County representatives should meet with Texas Bureau of Radiation Control staff to discuss any questions they may have on siting and interpretation of the Texas regulations.

Under the Agreement State program, NRC may provide technical assistance to individual Agreement State radiation control programs if the licensing body requests it. Such technical assistance could include specific help dealing with an individual licensing case. In many cases, Agreement State regulatory agencies apply and use in their programs guidance developed by NRC, such as our Standard Format and Content Guide (SFCG) and our Standard Review Plan (SRP) for licensing low-level waste disposal facilities. I am enclosing copies of these documents. Agreement States may also revise and reissue such guidance to reflect specific State statutes. Thus, after any meeting between the El Paso County representatives and the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, if questions or issues remain, the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control could request NRC technical assistance to help address remaining issues.

Originated: NMSS:Lohaus

If NRC staff scheduled a meeting with El Paso County representatives, such a meeting would have a limited agenda. We could not discuss interpretation of Texas regulations, application of regulatory requirements within the State of Texas, any site specific issues, any other matters regarding the Texas site, or the adequacy of the Texas radiation control program since an application has not been submitted to the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, and no regulatory analyses have been performed. We could only discuss our Part 61 regulations and generic guidance provided to the State of Texas as contained in the enclosed SFCG and SRP.

In closing, we do not believe that the issues of concern with the El Paso representatives would likely be addressed to their satisfaction in the generic meeting. We believe the best course of action is for them to fully participate in the regulatory review process in Texas. After any meeting between El Paso County representatives and the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, we would be pleased to respond to requests for NRC technical assistance from the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control.

Sincerely.

John C. Bradburne, Director Congressional Affairs

Office of Governmental and Public Affairs

## Enclosures:

1) Standard Format & Content Guide

2) Standard Review Plan

The Honorable Ronald D. Coleman United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-4316

Dear Congressman Coleman:

I am responding to your May 17, 1989 letter asking for our comments on arranging a meeting between U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and El Paso County Officials and their technical consultants.

As discussed during the meeting with you on May 17, it is not appropriate for NRC, as a Federal regulatory agency, to enter the site selection or regulatory process being carried out at the State level within Texas. NRC has relinquished to Texas, under Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act, the authority and regulatory responsibility to license and otherwise regulate any low-level waste disposal facility established in Texas. As a matter of law, Texas acts in this regard under its statutes and regulations, not those of the Federal government.

The regulations adopted by the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, for licensing disposal of low-level waste, are compatible with NRC's Part 61 regulations, and contain siting requirements equivalent to those contained in Part 61. The Texas Bureau of Radiation Control is the proper agency to provide technical guidance and direction to the siting process in Texas that is taking place under Texas law. The El Paso county representatives should meet with Texas Bureau of Radiation Control staff to discuss any questions they may have on siting and interpretation of the Texas regulations.

Under the Agreement State program, NRC may provide technical assistance to individual Agreement State radiation control programs if the licensing body requests it. Such technical assistance could include specific help dealing with an individual licensing case. In many cases, Agreement State regulatory agencies apply and use in their programs, guidance developed by NRC, such as our Standard Format and Content Guide (SFCG) and our Standard Review Plan (SRP) for licensing low-level waste disposal facilities. I am enclosing copies of these documents. Agreement States may also revise and reissue such guidance to reflect specific State statutes. Thus, after any meeting between the El Paso County representatives and the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, if questions or issues remain, the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control could request NRC technical assistance to help address remaining issues.

If NRC staff scheduled a meeting with El Paso County representatives, such a meeting would have a limited agenda. We could not discuss interpretation of Texas regulations, application of regulatory requirements within the State of Texas, any site specific issues, any other matters regarding the

Texas site, or the adequacy of the Texas rádiation control program since an application has not been submitted to the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, and no regulatory analyses have been performed. We could only discuss our Part 61 regulations and generic guidance provided to the State of Texas as contained in the enclosed SFCG and SRP.

In closing, we do not believe that the issues of concern with the El Paso representatives would likely be addressed to their satisfaction in the generic meeting. We believe the best course of action is to fully participate in the regulatory review process in Texas. After any meeting between El Paso County representatives and the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, we would be pleased to respond to requests for NRC technical assistance from the Texas Bureau of Radiation/Control.

Sincerely.

John C. Bradburne, Director Congressional Affairs

Enclosures:

1) Standard Format & Content guide

2) Standard Review Plan

Distribution: (EDNMSS dir.Off.r/f KMCarr, OCM JBradburne,GPA/OCA JSurmeier, LLTB PDR YES /X7	DMorris, E HThompson,	EDO(4495) RF , DEDS VS LLWM JG LOB CJ .OB tf JJ	onner, OGC tello, EDO reeves, LLWM enkins, NMSS ones, LLOB ri	MBell AHenr NMSS	nero, NMSS I, LLRB ry, LLWM rf	
ACNW YES /X/ N	NO	_				
SUBJECT ABSTRACT:	TEXAS LLW	DISPOSAL FAC	ILITY			6
	* See	Previous Co	ncurrence			Σ - T - Z - Z - Z - Z - Z - Z - Z - Z - Z
OFC :LLOB* :1	TEdit*	:GPA/SLITP*	:OGC*	:LLWM*	:LLWM*	. Rai
NAME:PLohaus/jj :E	EKraus	:CKammerer	:RFonner	:JGreeves	:RBangart	inton thbut issic
DATE:05/26/89 :0	05/30/89	:05/26/89	:05/31/89	:05/31/89	:05/31/89	rece on n on on oner
		1 XII				ived 1 6/9 6/12 Carr
OFC :NMSS :D	DEDS	:E09 ] [	:OCM	:GPA/OCA	/	from:
NAME:RBernero :H	HThompson	: <b>V</b> Stello	:KMCarr	:JCBragour	ne	n: 6/13/89
DATE: 6 102 /89 :	V/V/89	: 0///89	: / /89	:6/8/89		3/89
	, 0	FFICIAL RECO	RD COPY	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-

The Honorable Ronald D. Coleman United States House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515-4316

Dear Congressman Coleman:

I am responding to your May 17, 1989 letter asking for our comments on arranging a meeting between U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff and El Paso County Officials and their technical consultants.

As discussed during the meeting with you on May 17, it is not appropriate for NRC, as a Federal regulatory agency, to enter the site selection or regulatory process being carried out at the State level within Texas. NRC has relinquished to Texas, under Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act, the authority and regulatory responsibility to license and otherwise regulate any low-level waste disposal facility established in Texas. As a matter of law, Texas acts in this regard under its statutes and regulations, not those of the Federal government.

Thus, we believe the El Paso county/representatives should first plan to meet with Texas Bureau of Radiation Control staff to discuss any questions they may have on siting and interpretation/of the Texas regulations. The regulations adopted by the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, for licensing disposal of low-level waste, are compatible with NRC's Part 61 regulations, and contain siting requirements equivalent to those contained in Part 61. The Texas Bureau of Radiation Control is the proper agency to provide technical guidance and direction to the siting process in Texas that is taking place under Texas law.

Under the Agreement State program, NRC may provide technical assistance to individual Agreement State radiation control programs if the licensing body requests it. Such technical assistance could include specific help dealing with an individual licensing case. In many cases, Agreement State regulatory agencies apply and use in their programs, guidance developed by NRC, such as our Standard Format and Content Guide (SFCG) and our Standard Review Plan (SRP) for licensing low-level waste disposal facilities. I am enclosing copies of these documents. Agreement States may also revise and reissue such guidance to reflect specific State statutes. Thus, after any meeting between the El Paso County representatives and the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, if questions or issues remain, the Texas Bureau of Radiation control could request NRC technical assistance to help address remaining issues.

If NRC staff scheduled a meeting with E1 Paso County representatives, such a meeting would have a limited agenda. We could not discuss interpretation of Texas regulations, application of regulatory requirements within the State of Texas, any site specific issues, any other matters regarding the

Texas site, or the adequacy of the Texas radiation control program since an application has not been submitted to the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, and no regulatory analyses have been performed. We could only discuss our Part 61 regulations and generic guidance provided the State of Texas as contained in the enclosed SFCG and SRP.

In closing, we do not believe it is appropriate to hold/such a generic meeting with the El Paso representatives. Rather, we believe the best course of action, for all concerned, is to support the regulatory review process in

Texas. Sincerely, John C/ Bradburne, Director Congréssional Affairs Enclosures: 1) Standard Format & Content guide 2) Standard Review Plan Distribution: (EDO 4495) Central File # 409 NMSS dir.Off.r/f DMorris, EDO(4495) RFonner, OGC SECY VStello, EDO KMCarr, OCM HThompson, DEDS RBernero, NMSS JBradburne, GPA/OCA RBangart, LLWM, JGreevès, LLWM MBell, LLRB JSurmeier, LLTB PLohaus, LLOB/ CJenkins, NMSS AHenry, LLWM JJones, LLOB/tf JJones, LLOB rf NMSS rf PDR YES /X-7 / Category: Proprietary / 7 or CF Only ACNW YES /X-7 NO / TEXAS LLW DISPOSAL FACILITY SUBJECT ABSTRACT: \* See Previous Concurrence :GPA/SLITP\* :OGC\* OFC :LLOB\* /:TEdit\* :LLWM\* \*MWJJ: :RFonner NAME:PLohaus/jj :EKraus :CKammerer :JGreeves :RBangart :05/26/89 DATE:05/26/89 :05/30/89 :05/31/89 :05/31/89 :05/31/89 OFC : NMSS :DEDS :ED0 :OCM :GPA70CA :HThompson :VStello :KMCarr :JCBradburne NAME: RBernero DATE: 1 /2/89 : / /89 : / /89 : / /89 : / /89

Texas site, or the adequacy of the Texas radiation control program since an application has not been submitted to the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control, and no regulatory analyses have been performed. We could only discuss our Part 61 regulations and generic guidance provided the State of Texas as contained in the enclosed SFCG and SRP.

In closing, we do not believe it is appropriate to hold such a generic meeting with the El Paso representatives. Rather, we believe the best course of action, for all concerned, is to support the regulatory review process in Texas.

Sincerely,

John C. Bradburne, Director Congressional Affairs, GPA

Distribution: (EDO 4495) Central File # 409 DMorris, EQO(4495) NMSS dir.Off.r/f RFonner, OGC SECY HThompson, REDS KMCarr, OCM VStello, EDO RBernero, NMSS JBradburne, GPA/OCA MBell, LLRB RBangart, LLNM JGreeves, LLWM JSurmeier, LLTB PLohaus, LLOB' CJenkins, NMSS AHenry, LLWM JJones, LLOB th JJones, LLOB rf NMSS rf PDR YES /X / / Category: Proprietary / or CF Only / ACNW YES /X 7 NO / 7 TEXAS LLW DISPOSAL FACINTY SUBJECT ABSTRACT: \* See Previous Concurrence :GPA/SLITP\* :OGC NAME:PLohaus/jj :EKraus :CKammerer : 5/3(/89 DATE:05/26/89 :05/30/89 :05/26/89 *131*/89 :*5*7*31*/89 OFC : NMSS :DEDS :ED0 :0CM :GPA70CA :JCBradburne NAME: RBernero :HThompson :VStello :KMCarr DATE: / /89 : / /89 : / /89 : / /89 **:** / /89 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

application of regulatory requirements within the State of Texas, any site specific issues, any other matters regarding the Texas site or the adequacy of the Texas radiation control program since an application has not been submitted to the Texas Bureau of Radiation Control and no regulatory analyses have been performed at this point in time.

In closing, I believe the best course of action at this point for all concerned is to support the regulatory review process in Texas.

Sincerely,

Victor Stello, Jr. Executive Director for Operations

Distribution: 🥆(EDO 44	95) Central Fi	le # 409	
NMSS dir.Off.r/f 🔪 ED		RFonner, OGC	SECY
DMorris, EDO (4495) HT	hompson, NMSS	VStello, EDO	JBradburne,GPA/OCA
RBangart, LLWM	reeves, LLWM	MBell, LLRB	JSurmeier, LLTB
PLohaus, LLOB CJ	èηkins, NMSS	AHenry, LLWM	JJones, LLOB tf
		JJones, LLOB rf	NMSS rf
PDR YES /X7			
PDR NO /7 Categor	y: Proprietary /	7 or CF Only /	7
	_ \ _		
ACNW YES 1X7 NO 1	_/ \		
SUBJECT ABSTRACT: TE	VAC IIII DICDOCAL E	ACTI TTV	
SUBJECT ABSTRACT: TE	YAS LLW DISPOSAD L	ACILITY	
000	`		
OFC :LLOBOTH :TEATE	:GPA/OCA :GP	A/SLITP :OGC :	LLWM : LLWM
NAME:PLohaus/jj :EKrau		ammorer DFonner	.1Graquae +DRangart
DATE & RG/89 : /	/89 : / /89 :5	0.989 : / /89 :	/ /89 : / /89
		m	
OFC :NMSS :NMSS	:OCM	:DEDS XEDO	
	.0011	.0103	
NAME:CPaperiello:RBern	ero :Comm.Car	r :HThompson :VSt	ello
DATE: / /89 : /	/89 : / /89	: / /89 : /	/89

In closing, I b	elieve the best course he regulatory review p	e of action, at this	, Roint <sub>o</sub> for all	concerned
is to support t		incerely,	J	
1.	3	inderety,		
	△ 0! ∧	ictor Stello, Jr. Experations	ecutive Direc	tor for
Distribution:	(EDO 4495) Centra	l File # 409		NMSS Dir.Off.r
r/f DMorris, EDO (4 RBangart, LLWM PLohaus, LLOB	495) HThompson, NMSS JGreeves, LLWM CJenkins, NMSS	AHenry, LLWM JJones, LLOB rf	JSurmeier JJones, L NMSS rf P	LOB tf DR YES /_/
PDR NO	Category: Proprietary	// or CF Unity		-
ACNW YES	NO			
SUBJECT ABSTRAC	т:			
OFC :LLOB	:TEdit :GPA/OCA	:LLWM :LLWM	:NMSS	:NMSS
NAME:PLohaus/jj	:EKraus :JBradburne	::JGreeves :RBangart	:CPaperiello	:RBernero
DATE: / /89	:05/3989 : / /89	: / /89 : / /89	: / /89	: / /89
OFC :OGC	:OCM :DEDS	:EDO	·	
NAME:RFonner	:Comm.Carr :HThompso	on :VStello		
DATE: / /89	: / /89 : / /89	: / /89		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555



EDO Principal Correspondence Control

СООМ	

DUE: 06/02/89

EDO CONTROL: 0004495

DOC DT: 05/17/89

FINAL REPLY:

Rep. Ronald D. Coleman

TO:

John Bradburne, OCA

FOR SIGNATURE OF:

\*\* GRN \*\*

CRC NO: 89-0484

Executive Director OCA (at their request)

DESC:

ROUTING:

ENCLOSES LETTER FROM GEORGE H. BECKWITH REQUESTING MEETING BETWEEN NRC AND EL PASO COUNTY TO DISCUSS THE INTERPRETATION OF SITING REQUIREMENTS AS DUTLINED IN 10 CFR PART 61.50 & PART 45.50 OF THE TEXAS REGULATIONS FOR CONTROL OF RADIATION

Beckjord, RES Denton, GPA

DATE: 05/19/89

ASSIGNED TO:

CONTACT:

NMSS

Bernero

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:

AMPSINI PSINI

## OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

PAPER NUMBER:

CRC-89-0484

LOGGING DATE: May 19 89

ACTION OFFICE:

**EDO** 

AUTHOR:

R.D. Coleman

AFFILIATION:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

LETTER DATE:

May 17 89

FILE CODE:

SUBJECT:

Request mtg between reps of the NRC and El Paso County to discuss the interpretation of siting requirements of the Tx regs for control of

radiation

ACTION:

Signature of EDO

DISTRIBUTION:

RF, OCA to Ack

SPECIAL HANDLING: None

NOTES:

Response should be coord with OCA

DATE DUE:

Jun 1 89

SIGNATURE:

**AFFILIATION:** 

DATE SIGNED:

Date 5-19-89

Time 11:40

ED0---004495