

WM DOCKET CONTROL  
CENTER


'86 SEP -5 P3:40

WM Record File 105.3.1 WM Project \_\_\_\_\_  
Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_  
PDR \_\_\_\_\_  
LPDR \_\_\_\_\_

Distribution:

Fehring Linchan  
Boyle  
(Return to WM, 623-SS) \_\_\_\_\_ et

WARREN A. BISHOP  
Chair



WM DOCKET CONTROL CENTER  
STATE OF WASHINGTON

DOCKETED  
USNRC

NUCLEAR WASTE BOARD

'86 AUG 20 P3:12

Mail Stop BV-43 P3:38 Olympia, Washington 98504 • (206) 459-6670

August 15, 1986

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission  
Attention: Docketing and Services Branch  
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Proposed Rule--Disposal of High-Level  
Radioactive Waste in Geologic Repositories;  
Conforming Amendments

Dear Sir:

This is written to provide comments in response to your  
Notice in 51 Federal Register No. 118, beginning at page  
22283, relating to the above-entitled subject.

1. Incorporation of Environmental Protection Agency  
Repository Release Standards Into Nuclear  
Regulatory Commission, 10 CFR Part 60

It is understood that Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
(NRC) proposes to incorporate directly into Part 60 the  
"high-level waste" standards adopted by the United States  
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on September 19, 1986,  
with minor changes to "maintain the overall structure of  
Part 60." In this regard, the NRC, by the subject rule,  
states that its intent with regard to the changes is that  
"no substantive changes are intended in the requirements  
of the EPA standards or in the environmental protection  
they afford."

Against this backdrop, we support the "direct incor-  
poration" approach taken by your agency. There is some  
question, however, whether such an approach is timely at  
this time. Presently, the validity of the standards,  
proposed for direct incorporation, is being questioned in  
Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. United States  
Environmental Protection Agency, No. 85-1915, 1st Circuit  
Court of Appeals. Oral argument in that case has been set  
for September 10, 1986. In this light, early adoption of  
the proposed rules appears premature. The better course  
may, indeed, be for the NRC to withhold further action on  
the proposed rule pending resolution of that case.

8609030189 860815  
PDR PR  
60 51FR22288 PDR

DS10  
Add: Daniel G. Schirmer, 62355  
Clark Pritchard, 113055

110

AUG 21 1986  
Acknowledged by card.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
August 15, 1986  
Page 2

2. EPA Assurance Requirements

The resolution of the jurisdictional dispute pertaining to the power to mandate assurance requirements designed to ensure the long-term satisfaction of containment requirements appears to be satisfactorily resolved.

We have not had a chance to examine the EPA's comments to you with regard to the subject proposal. After we have had a chance to review that document, which will likely be of considerable value, we may supplement our comments to you in a timely fashion. Similarly, we may submit further comments after the litigation, noted earlier, has been completed.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your proposed rules.

Very truly yours,

  
Warren A. Bishop  
Chairman

WAB:gb