

From: Brian Smith
To: Donald Cool; E. William Brach; John Greeves; Michael Weber; Susan Frant
Date: Fri, Oct 12, 2001 1:12 PM
Subject: ACTION: Review of Web and ADAMS Content

I attended a meeting with Mindy Landau this morning where direction was given to NMSS and NRR to review the content of the NRC external web page and the documents in ADAMS and to provide recommendations as to which specific documents from the web site and what types of documents should be removed (made not publicly available) from ADAMS. General criteria was given and is contained in the attached document. This exercise was performed recently, but the threshold has been lowered. This exercise is for all types of licensees and certificate holders and any types of documents. Examples that were discussed during the meeting include: most any publicly available safeguards related documents (such as inspection report cover letters); documents describing the design of spent fuel storage casks; transportation routes for spent fuel shipments; sealed source and device registry; licenses and license applications; and NUREG-1350, Vol. 13, NRC Information Digest.

Access to the external web site for searching can be granted to individuals. Walt Oliu of OCIO can provide this access. Lynn Scattalini of OCIO has offered to inform us of their search capabilities of ADAMS and to perform some searches.

Please perform a review of both the web site and ADAMS for those licensees/certificate holders under your purview and provide a recommendation to Susan Frant by 9:00 Monday. The recommendation should include specific documents to be pulled from the web site and the types of documents that should be removed from ADAMS. The Office is to respond back to Mindy by Monday morning. The ET will then be briefed on the consolidated recommendations. In addition, please provide a point of contact on this task to me by 3:00 today.

CC: Eric Leeds; John Hickey; Martin Virgilio; Melvyn Leach; Patricia Rathbun; Robert Pierson

GG-10

LOWERED THRESHOLD OF CRITERIA FOR WEB/ADAMS REVIEW 10/12/01**Criteria for high-priority removal:**

Documents that represent a "consolidation" of plant-specific information that might be used to exploit **site-specific** features including equipment and facility locations. Except for unique exceptions, it is doubtful whether NUREG reports containing consolidated information on a particular plant (like site-specific EISs, for example) are sufficiently comprehensive regarding both site and performance to be of unique value.

Additional criteria 10/12/01

- Locations (even general locations) of the facility site
- Physical vulnerabilities, potential weaknesses
- Construction details, including wall thicknesses
- Diagrams, schematics, cutaways all but very general layouts of plant designs
- Anything which describes how secure our plants are
- Information which could be used to defeat or breach barriers
- Information is a judgment call