₹100

200

From:

Mindy Landau

To: Alan Madison; Anthony DiPalo; Barry Mendelsohn; Brian Smith; Dennis Lam; Frank Jacobs; Jack Donohew; James Kennedy; Lakshminaras Raghavan; Mabel Lee; Marsha Gamberoni;

Paul Goldberg; Stephen Dembek; William Reckley; Zan-Shing (Ray) Hsu

Date:

Fri, Oct 12, 2001 4:34 PM

Subject: Web Review this Weekend

Your names were given to me as those assigned to the web review this weekend. Please note that our review this weekend is limited to the web only, not ADAMS. We will resume our ADAMS review next week. I have attached the latest criteria we are using to recommend removal of material. It has changed slightly since this morning, so please use this version. Please e-mail me, by 3:00 Sunday, a consolidated list (separately for each office) of all the material you are recommending for removal. This should include a brief description of the offensive material; and the web site address. I will then consolidate the material and results will be provided to the ET on Monday. The web is now available to the NRC staff - you should have received a Network Announcement a few minutes ago. Thank you all for pulling together as a team on such short notice!!! Any questions, call me (301)415-8703, cell 301-266-2527.

CC:

Brian Sheron; John Zwolinski; Martin Virgilio; Patricia Norry; Roy Zimmerman

LOWERED THRESHOLD OF CRITERIA FOR WEB/ADAMS REVIEW 10/12/01

Existing criteria for high-priority removal:

Documents that represent a "consolidation" of plant-specific information that might be used to exploit site-specific features including equipment and facility locations.

Additional criteria 10/12/01:

- Locations (even general locations) of the facility site.
- Physical vulnerabilities, potential weaknesses
- Construction details, including wall thicknesses
- Diagrams, schematics, cutaways all but very general layouts of plant designs
- Anything which describes how secure our plants are
- Information which could be used to defeat or breach barriers
- Information is a judgment call