

From: Susan Frant *NMSS*  
 To: Charlotte Abrams; Donald Hassell; Mindy Landau; Robert Weisman; William Reckley  
 Date: Wed, Dec 19, 2001 2:40 PM  
 Subject: Re: Possible Questions for Mtg with CEQ

*NMSS* [ another issue is whether the CEQ guidance is going to change as a result of 9/11. It would be good to have consistency across the government related to NEPA. susan

*EDD* [ >>> Mindy Landau 12/19/2001 2:38:09 PM >>> *EDD*  
 Bill, we'll certainly review these issues and raise them if appropriate, and if time allows.

*NRR release* [ >>> William Reckley 12/19/01 02:34PM >>> *NRR*  
 If you believe your meeting with CEQ is an appropriate forum to raise some questions, the following are a few issues that NRR has identified during its reviews. If I think of any more, I'll send another email.  
 Thanks.....

-----  
 To what degree should we factor in the potential consequences of an event into the control of information? We had this discussion earlier when we met with SFPO on the PFS FES. It can make a big difference in what information is withheld as sensitive when you factor in the consequences. If we can, for example, use our existing regulatory guidance such as 10 CFR Part 100 as a threshold, it would better define what information should be withheld.

How should we factor in the general availability of information in the public realm. We have considered that in our decisions and sometimes let information go since it is widely available from other sources.

See if this is an opportunity to get some relief from controlling latitude/longitude. The general feeling is that the location of power reactor sites is known (or easily obtainable) and with mapping software of GPS technology so would be the site coordinates. This becomes an issue because in some documents, this criteria is the only one that comes up and causes us considerable headache for little or no benefit.

Should we have different criteria for different information systems. For example, should the controls on the web site be different than the controls on the PDR/FOIAs. The concept would be that unfettered access to some info (web) and public but need to ask for other info. If this is done, where would electronic records (ADAMS) fit in.

CC: Chester Poslusny; Margaret Federline; Margie Kotzalas; Martin Virgilio; Patricia Rathbun

*DP-23*