



## Working Group Charter Approval

### *IMPEP Non-Common Performance Indicator Working Groups*

---

Issue Date: January 26, 2004

---

<i>/RA/</i>	1/9/2004
<i>Paul Lohaus, Director Office of State and Tribal Programs</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/RA By Margaret V. Federline for/</i>	1/20/2004
<i>Martin Virgilio, Director Office of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards</i>	<i>Date</i>
<i>/RA/</i>	1/26/2004
<i>Stanley Fitch, Chair Organization of Agreement States</i>	<i>Date</i>

#### ***NOTE***

*The contents of this charter should not be revised or altered without prior approval from the parties listed above.*

**Joint NRC/Agreement State Working Groups  
to Address Certain IMPEP Non-Common Performance Indicators**

**PURPOSE**

Three working groups consisting of representatives of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the Agreement States will revise the criteria in Management Directive (MD) 5.6, as necessary, and develop procedures for reviewing the Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) Non-Common Performance Indicators: Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program, Low Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program, and Uranium Recovery Program.

**BACKGROUND**

After the first complete cycle of IMPEP reviews in 1999, a working group was established to strengthen the program by finding lessons learned in the first four years of the program. In the working group's final report, dated April 1, 2002, the working group recommended that the non-common performance indicator criteria in MD 5.6 be revised to be more consistent and performance-based. The working group also recommended that procedures for reviewing all of the non-common performance indicators be developed so that reviews can be conducted in a consistent, performance-based manner. Review procedures have been established for the five common performance indicators and one non-common performance indicator, Legislation and Program Elements Required for Compatibility.

**MEMBERSHIP**

The following participants will serve on the working groups:

**Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program**

Joe Klinger, Illinois, Chair  
John Jankovich, NRC NMSS  
James Myers, NRC STP

**Low Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program**

Boby Abu-Eid, NRC NMSS, Chair  
Cardelia Maupin, NRC STP  
Dane Finerfrock, Utah

**Uranium Recovery Program**

Elaine Brummett, NRC NMSS, Chair  
Terry Brock, NRC STP  
Andrew Mauer, NRC STP, back-up  
Ken Weaver, Colorado

In addition, these individuals will serve as coordinators:

Lance Rakovan, NRC STP  
Aaron McCraw, NRC STP

**OBJECTIVES**

## **Sealed Source and Device Evaluation Program**

Develop review procedures for the non-common performance indicator in the style of STP State Agreements (SA) Procedures.

## **Low Level Radioactive Waste Disposal Program**

1. Develop review procedures for the non-common performance indicator in the style of STP SA Procedures.
2. Review the criteria in MD 5.6 for the non-common performance indicator to determine if the criteria need to be updated and/or revised to be more consistent and performance-based. Create set of revised criteria, as appropriate.

## **Uranium Recovery Program**

1. Develop review procedures for the non-common performance indicator in the style of STP SA Procedures.
2. Review the criteria in MD 5.6 for the non-common performance indicator to determine if the criteria need to be updated and/or revised to be more consistent and performance-based. Create set of revised criteria, as appropriate.

Procedures for reviewing the common performance indicators can be found in STP Procedures SA-101 to SA-105. As the subject matter and process for reviewing the non-common performance indicators is similar to the common performance indicators, the groups should base the procedures they create on existing procedures, as appropriate.

Each working group will collectively be responsible for developing the final charter, establishing a work plan, monitoring progress, and preparing drafts of minutes and other products.

## **SCHEDULE**

Each working group should provide a draft procedure, as well as any proposed changes to the criteria in MD 5.6, to the Director, Office of State and Tribal Programs, by the following schedule: Sealed Source and Device - April 30, 2004 (review and comment period: March 2004); Low Level Radioactive Waste - May 31, 2004 (review and comment period: April 2004); and Uranium Recovery - June 30, 2004 (review and comment period: May 2004). These dates have been staggered to ensure that review and comment periods for working group products are not concurrent.

## **LEVEL OF EFFORT OF EXPECTED PARTICIPANTS**

Approximately three staff weeks of effort is expected for working group members. Participants on each group will be expected to: attend a minimum of two several-day meetings; participate in teleconferences, as necessary; complete additional work between meetings and phone calls; review background information and draft products; drafting and revising the working group products; and addressing comments received on draft products.