NRC FORM 464 Part I U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	RESPONSE NUMBER
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	2003-0398	3
RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF		
INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY		
ACT (PA) REQUEST	TYPE	
REQUESTER	DATE	
Esther Suarez	JAN 2 2 2004	l
PART I INFORMATION RELEASE	D	
No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.		
Requested records are available through another public distribution program.	See Comments section.	
Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Ro	listed appendices are already	v available for
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	listed appendices are being r om.	nade available for
Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for o Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC.	copying records located at the	NRC Public
$\square \qquad \begin{array}{ c c } \hline & & \\ \hline \\ \hline$		
Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of inter- referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination a	est to another Federal agency and direct response to you.	/ have been
We are continuing to process your request.		
See Comments.		
PART I.A FEES		
AMOUNT * You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.	None. Minimum fee thresho	ld not met.
See comments for details	Fees waived.	
PART I.B INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHELI	FROM DISCLOSURE	
No agency records subject to the request have been located.		
Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure p the reasons stated in Part II.	pursuant to the exemptions de	escribed in and for
This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter the	Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulate at it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."	bry Commission,
PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continu	ation page if required)	
SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER		
Carol Ann Reed alloy Mann Alla		

	NRC FORM 464 Part II U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION FOIA/PA DATE					
(6-1998) R	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION					
	ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY ACT (PA) REQUEST					
	PART II.A APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS Records subject to the request that are described in the enclosed Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption No.(s) of the PA and/or the FOIA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552a and/or 5 U.S.C. 552(b)).					
	Exemption 1: The with	eld information is properly classified pursuant to I	Executive Order 12958.			
$\mathbf{\overline{N}}$	Exemption 2: The with	held information relates solely to the internal perso	onnel rules and procedures of	NRC.		
	Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated.					
	Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).				С.	
	السيا	Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosur	Ū	•	•	
	41 U.S.C., Section 253(b), subsection (m)(1), prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and control of an executive agency to any person under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal.					en the
	Exemption 4: The with indicated	held information is a trade secret or commercial o	r financial information that is b	eing withheld for the re-	ason(s)	
]		considered to be confidential business (proprieta	••	•		
	The information is accounting progra	considered to be proprietary because it concerns Im for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFI	s a licensee's or applicant's ph R 2.790(d)(1).	iysical protection or mat	erial contr	ol and
	The information w	as submitted by a foreign source and received in	confidence pursuant to 10 CF	R 2.790(d)(2).		
\mathbf{V}	Exemption 5: The with Applicat	held information consists of interagency or intraac ole privileges:	ency records that are not avai	ilable through discovery	during liti	gation.
	Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.					
	Attorney work-pro	duct privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorr	ney in contemplation of litigatio	n)		
		vilege. (Confidential communications between ar	• •			
	Exemption 6: The with invasion	held information is exempted from public disclosu of personal privacy.	re because its disclosure woul	ld result in a clearly unv	varranted	
	indicated			-		
	focus of enforce	d reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforment efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipion investigators).	prcement proceeding (e.g., it we entry to take action to shield po	vould reveal the scope, otential wrongdoing or a	direction, a violation of	and of NRC
	(C) Disclosure would	d constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal	privacy.			
	 (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. 					eveal
	reasonably be e	Id reveal techniques and procedures for law enfor expected to risk circumvention of the law.			hat could	
	·	d reasonably be expected to endanger the life or p	physical safety of an Individual	l.		
	OTHER (Specify)					
		PART II.B DENYING	OFFICIALS		· · · · · ·	
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).						
DENYING OFFICIAL		TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS	ADD	LLATE OF	FICIAL
Hubert J. Miller		Regional Administrator, Region 1	F/1	1		
James E. Dyer Director, 1		Director, Nuclear Reactor Regulation	G/1 - G/4	1		
Willia	William M. Beecher Director, Office of Public Affairs F/2 - F/5 & G/5 - G/6					
Sandr	Sandra M. Joosten Executive Assistant, Office of the Secretary F/6 - F/8, G/7 - G/9 🗸					
U.Ś. I	Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should clearly state on the envelope and letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."				icer, Id	

. ۲ ۲

FOIA-2003-0398

APPENDIX E RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN ENTIRETY

`

بر سر

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION/PAGE COUNT
1.	02/03/03	Memo from John Craig to the Commissioner Assistants, subject: Staff Comments on the Draft Report Issued by James Lee Witt Associated Entitled, "Review of Emergency Preparedness at Indian Point and Millstone-Draft," issued January 10, 2003. 6 pages
2.	02/14/03	Memo from David Silk to Peter Eselgroth, subject: Feeder to Indian Point Unit 2 & 3 Integrated Inspection Report Nos. 50-247/03-003 & 50-286/03-002. 7 pages
3.	05/02/03	Comment of Commissioner Merrifield at Senior Management Meeting. 1 page
4.	05/27/03	Memo from David Silk to Peter Eselgroth, subject: Feeder to Indian Point Unit 2 & 3 Integrated Inspection Report Nos. 50-247/03-007 & 50-286/03-006. 6 pages

Re: FOIA-2003-0398

APPENDIX F RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
1.	No date	Handwritten notes on Viewgraphs - Emergency Planning - Indian Point Energy Center, Brian Holian & Patricia Milligan. 14 pages EX. 5
2.	No date	Draft Press Release - NRC Finds Indian Point Meets Reasonable Assurance Criteria for Emergency Preparedness, with attached e-mail from W. Beecher to Chairman Nils Diaz. 3 pages total, 2 pages released. EX. 5
3.	07/23/03	E-mail from Nils Diaz to W. Beecher regarding Final - Indian Point Documents. 2 pages total, 1 page released EX. 5
4.	07/23/03	E-mail from W. Beecher to Nils Diaz regarding Matt Wald/NY Times/FEMA Decision. 2 pages EX. 5
5.	07/25/03	E-mail from W. Beecher to Nils Diaz regarding FEMA/Indian Point. 1 page EX. 5
6.	5/2/03	Notes from Senior Management Meeting. 1 page EX. 5
7.	6/9/03	Handwritten notes from staff meeting. 1 page EX. 5
8.	06/26/03	Handwritten notes from Senior Management Meeting. 3 pages EX. 2 and EX. 5

7.7

•

APPENDIX G RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
1.	Undated	Summary of 4-county and NY State meeting held with FEMA and Entergy on April 10, 2003. 2 pages EX. 5
2.	Undated	Draft background paper on Indian Point Emergency Plan to support brief to NRC Chairman. 4 pages EX. 5
3.	04/22/03	E-mail from Patricia Milligan, NRR, to Daniel Barss, NRR, regarding "IP stuff." 1 page EX. 5
4.	5/05/03	Draft of EP Review Action Steps. 5 pages EX. 5
5.	05/02/03	E-mail from W. Beecher to Commissioner Jeffrey Merrifield, subject: Brief Background on Status of FEMA Indian Point 2 EP Review. 2 pages EX. 5
6.	07/23/03	E-mail from W. Beecher to Chairman Diaz regarding Indian Point Documents. 1 page EX. 5
7.	Undated	Handwritten notes dated 7/1/03 on draft press release indicating copies to M. Doane, K. Cyr, J. Gray, and EDO, and indicating comment from Commissioner McGaffigan. 1 page EX. 5
8.	Undated	Documents regarding issue for FEMA, with handwritten note. 2 pages EX. 5
9.	06/03/03	E-mail from M. Lopez-Otin to W. Kane regarding handwritten notes dated 6/3/03 by M. Lopez-Otin. 5 pages EX. 5

•