February 4, 2004

Mr. H. B. Barron Executive Vice President Nuclear Generation Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28202

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: MIXED OXIDE LEAD FUEL ASSEMBLIES (TAC NOS. MB7863 AND MB7864)

Dear Mr. Barron:

By letter dated February 27, 2003, Duke Energy Corporation submitted an application for amendments to the renewed facility operating licenses for Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. The proposed amendments would revise the Technical Specifications to allow the use of four mixed oxide fuel assemblies at the Catawba station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff has reviewed the information provided and has determined that additional information is required as identified in the Enclosure.

We have discussed these questions with your staff. Please provide a response at the earliest practical date to support our continued review of the application. Please contact me at (301) 415-1493, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate II Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. H. B. Barron Executive Vice President Nuclear Generation Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Charlotte, NC 28202

SUBJECT: CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2 - REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE: MIXED OXIDE LEAD FUEL ASSEMBLIES (TAC NOS. MB7863 AND MB7864)

Dear Mr. Barron:

By letter dated February 27, 2003, Duke Energy Corporation submitted an application for amendments to the renewed facility operating licenses for Catawba Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2. The proposed amendments would revise the Technical Specifications to allow the use of four mixed oxide fuel assemblies at the Catawba station. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission staff has reviewed the information provided and has determined that additional information is required as identified in the Enclosure.

We have discussed these questions with your staff. Please provide a response at the earliest practical date to support our continued review of the application. Please contact me at (301) 415-1493, if you have any other questions on these issues.

Sincerely, /**RA**/ Robert E. Martin, Senior Project Manager, Section 1 Project Directorate II Division of Licensing Project Management Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

NRR-088

Docket Nos. 50-413 and 50-414

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page		
DISTRIBUTION:		
PUBLIC	RidsOgcMailCenter	JWilson
PDII-1 R/F	RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter	SLaVie
RidsNrrDlpmLpdii (EHackett)	RidsRgn2MailCenter (RHaag)	SUttal
RidsNrrDlpmLpdii-1 (JNakoski)	RidsNrrLACHawes	
RidsNrrPMRMartin		

G:/PDII-1/McGuire/MOX Jan 04 RAI.wpd ADAMS Accession No.: ML040350005

OFFICE	PDII-1/PM	PDII-1/LA	SPSB/SC	PDII-1/SC
NAME	RMartin	CHawes	RDennig	JNakoski
DATE	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/02/04	02/03/04

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

ON APPLICATION FOR MIXED OXIDE LEAD TEST ASSEMBLIES

DUKE POWER COMPANY

CATAWBA NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1 AND 2

DOCKET NOS. 50-413 AND 50-414

Radiological Consequences

- 1. The licensee's submittal (Reference 1) established an increase of 9 percent in the iodine-131 (I-131) inventory of a mixed oxide (MOX) lead test assembly (LTA) over that in an "equivalent" low enrichment uranium (LEU) assembly. This factor is then applied to previously calculated results for the various design basis accidents to estimate what the dose could be with the MOX LTAs in place. This approach is accurate only if the I-131 concentration used in establishing the percentage increase is the same as that used to determine the previously calculated doses. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is concerned that the licensee's use of data for an equivalent LEU assembly in lieu of the current licensing basis data may have underestimated the impact on previously analyzed doses. The following examples are illustrative of the NRC staff's concerns. They are based upon information that the NRC staff has available. The licensee may be aware of other information, not provided to the NRC staff in the submittal or its supplements, that may be relevant to the NRC staff's concerns.
 - In Table Q3(f)-1, provided in the licensee's letter of November 3, 2003, the I-131 concentration of a 5 percent MOX assembly with fuel handling accident (FHA) peaking factors was identified as 8.81E+05 curies. In Attachment 6 of the licensee's letter dated December 20, 2001, (Reference 4), an I-131 concentration of 7.46E+05 curies for an LEU assembly, including the radial peaking factor, was identified. The NRC staff questions whether the values in Attachment 6 are part of the current licensing basis for a FHA since that amendment request was approved based in part on those results. The increase in the I-131 concentration associated with the MOX LTA is 18.1 percent, double the value apparently assumed in the licensee's present comparative analysis.
 - Table 15.12 of the Catawba Updated Final Safety Analysis Report provides a core inventory I-131 value of 8.9E+07 curies for a power level of 3636 megawatts thermal (MWt). This power level is 6.5 percent greater than the power level of 3411 MWt identified in Table 1 of the licensee's letter dated December 10, 2003. Since the core inventory is directly proportional to power level, the adjusted I-131 inventory would be 8.9E+07 / 1.065 = 8.36E+07 curies for the core or 8.36E+07 / 193 = 4.33E+05 curies for an average LEU assembly. Removing the peaking factor from the Table Q3(f)-1 I-131 value yields 8.81E+05 / 1.65 = 5.34E+05 curies. The resulting increase from a current LEU assembly to the MOX LTA (4.33E+5 to 5.34E+05) is 23 percent rather than 9 percent.

For the accidents identified in Tables Q3(b)-1 through Q3(b)-4, please provide the following information:

- (1) The quantity of I-131, in curies, that was utilized in the current analysis of record that provided the tabulated LEU dose.
- (2) The quantity of I-131, in curies, in the MOX LTA used for comparison.
- (3) The rated power plus uncertainty that the LEU and MOX LTA radionuclide inventories were based upon.
- (4) The percent increase in the I-131 concentrations identified in (1) and (2), adjusted for differences identified in (3).

If the resulting percent increases differ from those used in the licensee's analyses reported in Tables Q3(b)-1 through Q3(b)-4, please revise the submittal, or provide a justification of why the licensee's approach should be found acceptable.

2. In a recent teleconference, the licensee explained the basis for the Table Q3(a)-2 release fraction value of 1.96E-4. The NRC staff has determined that it will be relying on this information in preparing its Safety Evaluation. As such, this information is requested to be submitted by letter. Please explain the derivation of the release fraction value in the forthcoming submittal, as discussed in the teleconference.

The NRC staff reviewed Section 3.3.4.8 of the NUREG/CR-6410, "Nuclear Fuel Cycle Facility Accident Analysis Handbook," method cited by the licensee in a teleconference. This section discusses the crushing of small right cylinders of brittle materials by forces applied over the entire upper surface of the specimen by a component with an area greater in size than that of the impacted surface. It appears that the experimental data were obtained for individual pellets. Please provide a justification of the applicability of these data to fuel pellets contained within fuel pins that are part of a larger fuel assembly. For example, how is the momentum of the fuel assembly structural components reflected in the energy density calculated from the pellet density? Does friction between the pellet surface and the cladding reduce the compressive force represented by the energy density?

References:

- 1. Letter, H. B. Barron, Duke Power, to NRC, "Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding the Use of Mixed Oxide Lead Fuel Assemblies," dated November 3, 2003, (Proprietary).
- 2. Letter, H. B. Barron, Duke Power, to NRC, "Response to Request for Additional Information Regarding the Use of Mixed Oxide Lead Fuel Assemblies," dated November 4, 2003, (Non-Proprietary).
- Letter, K. S. Canady, Duke Power, to NRC, "Response to Request for Additionl Information dated November 21, 2003 Regarding Mixed Oxide Fuel Lead Assemblies," dated December 10, 2003.

4. Letter, G. R. Peterson, Duke Power, to NRC, transmitting proposed license amendment, dated December 20, 2001.

Catawba Nuclear Station

cc without enclosure:

Lee Keller Regulatory Compliance Manager Duke Energy Corporation 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Ms. Lisa F. Vaughn Duke Energy Corporation Mail Code - PB05E 422 South Church Street P.O. Box 1244 Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1244

Anne Cottingham, Esquire Winston and Strawn 1400 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1 1427 Meadowwood Boulevard P. O. Box 29513 Raleigh, North Carolina 27626

County Manager of York County York County Courthouse York, South Carolina 29745

Piedmont Municipal Power Agency 121 Village Drive Greer, South Carolina 29651

Ms. Karen E. Long Assistant Attorney General North Carolina Department of Justice P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

NCEM REP Program Manager 4713 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-4713 North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation P. O. Box 27306 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611

Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 4830 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Henry Porter, Assistant Director Division of Waste Management Bureau of Land and Waste Management Department of Health and Environmental Control 2600 Bull Street Columbia, South Carolina 29201-1708

Mr. R. L. Gill, Jr. Manager - Nuclear Regulatory Issues and Industry Affairs Duke Energy Corporation 526 South Church Street Mail Stop EC05P Charlotte, North Carolina 28202

Saluda River Electric P. O. Box 929 Laurens, South Carolina 29360

Mr. Peter R. Harden, IV VP-Customer Relations and Sales Westinghouse Electric Company 6000 Fairview Road 12th Floor Charlotte, North Carolina 28210

Mary Olson Director of the Southeast Office Nuclear Information and Resource Service 729 Haywood Road, 1-A P. O. Box 7586 Asheville, N. C. 28802 Catawba Nuclear Station

cc:

Mr. T. Richard Puryear Owners Group (NCEMC) Duke Energy Corporation 4800 Concord Road York, South Carolina 29745

Richard M. Fry, Director Division of Radiation Protection North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources 3825 Barrett Drive Raleigh, North Carolina 27609-7721