

Mr. Bill Eaton, BWRVIP Chairman January 30, 2004
Entergy Operations, Inc.
Echelon One
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, MS 39213-8202

SUBJECT: IMPLEMENTATION OF A REVISED REVIEW PROCESS FOR TOPICAL
 REPORTS

Dear Mr. Eaton:

This letter is to inform you that, as part of our ongoing efforts to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the topical report program, effective for all topical reports submitted after October 1, 2003, we have implemented revisions to our topical report review process. This letter supersedes our earlier letter dated July 1, 2003, regarding a proposed prioritization and scheduling process for topical report reviews and considers comments received in response to that letter. Details of the revised process are given below:

1. The revised process requires that submittal letters for all topical reports include a requested completion date with a detailed explanation of the basis for the date.
2. Based on the requested completion date and its basis, report complexity, available staff resources, potential generic benefits, and relevance to NRC's performance goals, the staff will establish proposed optimum milestones for issuing the request for additional information (RAI), receiving responses to the RAI, and the safety evaluation (SE) issuance for that topical report.
3. During the acceptance review of the topical report, a telephone conference will be held among the project manager, technical branch supervision, and the submitter of the topical report to discuss and obtain a mutual agreement on the review schedule milestones. Once agreed upon, the report-specific review schedule will be considered a "commitment" by the staff and the submitter of the report.
4. The revised process for BWRVIP topical reports will require that within 10 days of your receipt of the RAI, a schedule for the response to the RAI will be agreed upon via telecon and documented in a separate correspondence letter. At that time, if the proposed milestones need to be revised for the issuance of the SE, then the revised schedule will be included in the correspondence letter. However, when possible, the staff would prefer that the responses to the RAI be submitted within 60 calendar days of receipt. Proposed RAIs will be discussed before issuance, as is the current practice.

5. The agreed-upon schedule will be documented in the acceptance-for-review letter for the topical report, along with estimated review cost, which will include staff review hours, time required to coordinate the review and develop and issue the SE, i.e., project management time, and the estimated contractor cost, if applicable and available. Typically, the acceptance for review letter will be issued within 45 calendar days of receipt of the topical report.
6. In order for this process to be successful, the established schedules must be adhered to by both the submitter of the topical report and the staff. In the event of a lack of support from the submitter, such as an incomplete or late RAI response, the staff can extend the review schedule until the complete RAI response is submitted, or suspend its review of the topical report. In such situations, after receiving all requested information, the staff would establish a revised review schedule, based upon available staff resources, and other considerations. The revised review schedule would be documented in a letter to the submitter of the topical report.
7. Effective immediately, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790, a draft SE will be issued on the approval of the topical report and will not be placed in the public document room for a period of ten working days from the date of the SE transmittal letter to provide the submitter an opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects of the SE. After ten working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional ten working days will be provided to the submitter to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes, and will also be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of submitter's comments will be discussed in the final SE.

If you have any questions, or need clarification, please call Meena Khanna, Project Manager, at 301-415-2150, Steve Dembek at 301-415-1455, or me at 301-415-1395.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Herbert N. Berkow, Director
Project Directorate IV
Division of Licensing Project Management
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

5. The agreed-upon schedule will be documented in the acceptance-for-review letter for the topical report, along with estimated review cost, which will include staff review hours, time required to coordinate the review and develop and issue the SE, i.e., project management time, and the estimated contractor cost, if applicable and available. Typically, the acceptance for review letter will be issued within 45 calendar days of receipt of the topical report

6. In order for this process to be successful, the established schedules must be adhered to by both the submitter of the topical report and the staff. In the event of a lack of support from the submitter, such as an incomplete or late RAI response, the staff can extend the review schedule until the complete RAI response is submitted, or suspend its review of the topical report. In such situations, after receiving all requested information, the staff would establish a revised review schedule, based upon available staff resources, and other considerations. The revised review schedule would be documented in a letter to the submitter of the topical report.

7. Effective immediately, pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790, a draft SE will be issued on the approval of the topical report and will not be placed in the public document room for a period of ten working days from the date of the SE transmittal letter to provide the submitter an opportunity to comment on the proprietary aspects of the SE. After ten working days, the draft SE will be made publicly available, and an additional ten working days will be provided to the submitter to comment on any factual errors or clarity concerns contained in the SE. The final SE will be issued after making any necessary changes, and will also be made publicly available. The staff's disposition of submitter's comments will be discussed in the final SE.

If you have any questions, or need clarification, please call Meena Khanna, Project Manager, at 301-415-2150, Steve Dembek at 301-415-1455, or me at 301-415-1395.

Sincerely,
 /RA/
 Herbert N. Berkow, Director
 Project Directorate IV
 Division of Licensing Project Management
 Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

cc: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PUBLIC	SCoffin, NRR	JHoncharik, NRR
PDIV-2 Reading	ALee, NRR	GCherukenki, NRR
RidsNrrlpmLpdiv (HBerkow)	CGrimes, NRR	SSheng, NRR
RidsNrrPMGShukla	BBateman, NRR	BELLIOT, NRR
RidsNrrLAEPeyton	RidsNrrPMMKhanna	

ADAMS Accession No. ML040300299

OFFICE	PDIV-2/PM	PDIV-2/LA	PDIV-2/SC	PDIV:D
NAME	MKhanna*	EPeyton*	SDembek*	HBerkow
DATE	01/21/2004	01/21/2004	01/22/2004	1/22/04

*See previous concurrence

BWR Vessel and Internals Program

cc:

Jim Meister, BWRVIP Vice-Chairman
Exelon Corp.
Cornerstone II at Cantera
4300 Winfield Rd.
Warrenville, IL 60555-4012

William C. Holston, Executive Chairman
BWRVIP Integration Committee
Constellation Generation Group
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station
P.O. Box 63
Lycoming, NY 13093

Tom Mulford, EPRI BWRVIP
Integration Manager
Raj Pathania, EPRI BWRVIP
Mitigation Manager
Ken Wolfe, EPRI BWRVIP
Repair Manager
Larry Steinert, EPRI BWRVIP
Electric Power Research Institute
P.O. Box 10412
3412 Hillview Ave.
Palo Alto, CA 94303

Al Wrape, Executive Chairman
BWRVIP Assessment Committee
PPL Susquehanna, LLC
2 N. 9th St.
Allentown, PA 18101-1139

H. Lewis Sumner, Executive Chairman
BWRVIP Mitigation Committee
Vice President, Hatch Project
Southern Nuclear Operating Co.
M/S BIN B051, P.O. BOX 1295
40 Inverness Center Parkway
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Robert Carter, EPRI BWRVIP
Assessment Manager
Greg Selby, EPRI BWRVIP
Inspection Manager
EPRI NDE Center
P.O. Box 217097
1300 W. T. Harris Blvd.
Charlotte, NC 28221

Denver Atwood, Technical Chairman
BWRVIP Repair Focus Group
Southern Nuclear Operating Co.
Post Office Box 1295
40 Inverness Center Parkway (M/S B031)
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Robin Dyle, Technical Chairman
BWRVIP Integration Committee
Southern Nuclear Operating Co.
42 Inverness Center Parkway (M/S B234)
Birmingham, AL 35242-4809

Jeff Goldstein, Technical Chairman
BWRVIP Mitigation Committee
Entergy Nuclear NE
440 Hamilton Ave. (M/S K-WPO-11c)
White Plains, NY 10601

Dale Atkinson, BWRVIP Liason to EPRI Nuclear Power
Council
Energy Northwest
Columbia Generating Station (M/S PEO8)
Snake River Complex
North Power Plant Loop
Richland, WA 99352-0968

Richard Ciemiewicz, Technical Vice Chairman
BWRVIP Assessment Committee
Exelon Corp.
Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station
M/S SMB3-6
1848 Lay Road
Delta, PA 17314-9032

Gary Park, Chairman
BWRVIP Inspection Focus Group
Nuclear Management Co.
Monticello Nuclear Plant
2807 W. Country Road 75
Monticello, MN 55362-9635

George Inch, Technical Chairman
BWRVIP Assessment Committee
Constellation Nuclear
Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (M/S ESB-1)
348 Lake Road
Lycoming, NY 13093