CENTER FOR NUCLEAR WASTE REGULATORY ANALYSES QUALITY ASSURANCE SURVEILLANCE REPORT			
PROJECT NO.: 20-3704-032	REPORT NO .:	91-15 91-05 gr/s 11/15/91	PAGE OF2
SURVEILLANCE SCOPE:			· ·
Dynamic Shear Test of Jointed Rock	Specimens, Seism	ic Rock Mechanics TASM	ζ 2
REFERENCE DOCUMENTS: QAP-001		······································	
STARTING DATE: 11/13/91		ENDING DATE: 11/13	3/91
QA REPRESENTATIVE: R.D. Brient	Cox		
PERSONS CONDUCTING TEST / EXAM /	ACTIVITY:		
M. Ahola, P.I., A. Pickens (Division	04)		
SATISFACTORY FINDINGS:	0		
see page	2		
	······		
UNSATISFACTORY FINDINGS:			
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
NONCONFORMANCE REPORT NO .: No	ne		
ATTACHMENTS:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
RECOMMENDATIONS / ACTIONS			
·			
			·····
	<i>k</i> i	DISTRIBUTION: ORIGINAL - CENTER	QA DIRECTOR
APPROVED:	and and		TOR ALENGINEER ALA

סן/י

CNWRA FORM QAP-8

•

×.

Surveillance Report 91-15

page 2 of 2

د/

Satisfactory Findings:

1. The tests of jointed rock specimen 15.5.7/15.4.6(2) were observed. The tests included normal loading, combined normal loading and pseudostatic shear, and stepped velocity. The tests were documented in Scientific Notebook control number 016, starting on page 59. This was the second specimen tested since resumption of Task 2 activities in September 1991.

2. The calibrations performed prior to the testing of the first specimen of this series (in October 1991) applied to these tests. These and earlier calibration records have been submitted and processed into the QA records storage.

3. The Scientific Notebook entries for this specimen were reviewed, and included grouting of the blocks, profiling the rock surfaces, mounting instrumentation, and data acquisition channel assignments. File names for profile data and loading test were identified. Input parameters for the load controller were provided by the P.I. and were recorded.

4. The P.I. was recommended to periodically review notebook entries, and to document his review in the notebook. This also provides evidence of his participation during the tests.

5. No deviation from procedure requirements were identified.