

January 23, 2004

MEMORANDUM TO: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety  
and Safeguards

THRU: Brian W. Smith, Chief /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards, NMSS

FROM: Wilkins R. Smith, Quality Assurance Scientist /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards, NMSS

SUBJECT: JANUARY 12, 2004, MEETING SUMMARY: OPEN MEETING WITH  
DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER TO DISCUSS THE QUALITY  
ASSURANCE PROGRAM FOR THE MIXED OXIDE FUEL  
FABRICATION FACILITY

On January 12, 2004, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with Duke Cogema Stone & Webster (DCS), the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility (MFFF) applicant, to discuss the Mixed Oxide Project Quality Assurance Plan (MPQAP), Revision 4, submitted to NRC on November 12, 2003, procurement, construction, quality assurance oversight planning, and project management for the MFFF. The meeting agenda, summary, attendance list, and DCS presentation handouts are attached (Attachments 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively).

Docket: 70-3098

Attachments: 1. Meeting Agenda  
2. Meeting Summary  
3. Attendance List  
4. DCS Presentation

cc: P. Hastings, DCS L. Zeller, BREDL  
J. Johnson, DOE G. Carroll, GANE  
H. Porter, SCDHEC D. Silverman, DCS  
J. Conway, DNFSB D. Curran, GANE

MEMORANDUM TO: Joseph G. Giitter, Chief  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety  
and Safeguards

THRU: Brian W. Smith, Chief /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety  
and Safeguards

FROM: Wilkins R. Smith, Quality Assurance Scientist /RA/  
Special Projects Section  
Special Projects and Inspection Branch  
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety  
and Safeguards  
Office of Nuclear Material Safety  
and Safeguards

SUBJECT: JANUARY 12, 2004, MEETING SUMMARY: OPEN MEETING WITH  
DUKE COGEMA STONE & WEBSTER TO DISCUSS THE QUALITY  
ASSURANCE PROGRAM FOR THE MIXED OXIDE FUEL  
FABRICATION FACILITY

On January 12, 2004, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff met with Duke Cogema Stone & Webster (DCS), the mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility (MFFF) applicant, to discuss the Mixed Oxide (MOX) Project Quality Assurance Plan (MPQAP), Revision 4, submitted to NRC on November 12, 2003, procurement, construction, quality assurance oversight planning, and project management for the MFFF. The meeting agenda, summary, attendance list, and DCS presentation handouts are attached (Attachments 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively)

Docket: 70-3098

Attachments: 1. Meeting Agenda  
2. Meeting Summary  
3. Attendance List  
4. DCS Presentation

cc: P. Hastings, DCS L. Zeller, BREDL  
J. Johnson, DOE G. Carroll, GANE  
H. Porter, SCDHEC D. Silverman, DCS  
J. Conway, DNFSB D. Curran, GANE

DISTRIBUTION: SPIB r/f FCSS r/f Hearing File RPierson JHolonich DPersinko  
WGloersen,RII DMclntyre, OPA JHull, OGC DAYers, RII CNoelke, RII RVirgilio, OSP  
ML040280093 (Package) ML040280100 (Memo) ML040330022 (DCS Presentation)

<b>OFC</b>	SPIB		SPIB		SPIB			
<b>NAME</b>	WSmith:os		LGross		BSmith			
<b>DATE</b>	1/23 /04		1/23/04		1/23/04			

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

**MEETING AGENDA  
MOX FUEL FABRICATION FACILITY**

January 12, 2004

- |         |  |
|---------|--|
| 1:00 PM | Discussion of changes in Revision 4 of the MOX Project QA Plan (MPQAP) submitted November 12, 2003                 |
| 3:00 PM | Discussion of Procurement and Construction Planning, including DCS management, QA, and QC oversight and interfaces |
| 4:00 PM | Discussion of Project Status and Management Issues   |
| 5:00 PM | Adjourn  |

NOTE: Other than start time, above times are approximate.

**MEETING SUMMARY**  
**MOX FUEL FABRICATION FACILITY**  
**January 12, 2004**

Purpose

The purpose of this meeting between the NRC and Duke Cogema Stone & Webster (DCS) was to discuss the Mixed Oxide (MOX) Project Quality Assurance Plan (MPQAP) Revision 4, submitted November 12, 2003, for NRC review and approval. The meeting agenda also included discussion of related quality assurance (QA) and management issues for the MOX Fuel Fabrication Facility (MFFF) including procurement, construction, and QA oversight planning, and the overall project status and management issues.

Discussion

The meeting began with introduction of the meeting participants, including the new DCS President, Ron Barnes. NRC presented background information on DCS' submittal and NRC review of prior MPQAP revisions and the NRC evaluations of the MFFF QA program implementation during in-office reviews at DCS offices in the United States and France beginning in August 2000.

During the meeting, DCS made a presentation (Attachment 3) on the changes made in Revision 4 of the MPQAP. DCS stated that the scope of Revision 4 addresses the requirements for MFFF start-up and operation activities, as well as those for design and construction activities, which were addressed in Revision 3. DCS noted that Revision 4 is not intended to reduce the DCS QA program commitments or requirements. The general changes presented included updating of the organization titles, revising the organization descriptions based on a functional structure rather than specific organization titles, and identification of the program requirements separate from background or reference information. DCS stated that Revision 4 has been approved by its management, but it has not been implemented pending NRC review and approval. NRC staff noted that Revision 3 had included narrative and commitments that addressed specific issues raised during the NRC staff technical review. DCS stated that they had reviewed the documentation of prior reviews and believed that all prior commitments and clarifications were retained in Revision 4.

Additional specific changes in the MPQAP, Revision 4, discussed during the meeting included:

- The introduction section of the MPQAP was updated to separate commitments from background information. DCS stated that this section provides a greater linkage between QA and management measures because management measures (e.g., configuration management, QA audits, management assessments) apply across the facility.
- The definitions of graded quality levels (QL) for items relied on for safety (IROFS) have been revised based on DCS' experience during the MFFF design, licensing, and engineering activities. DCS stated that the revision is basically a simplification of the categorizations and brings additional clarity to the process. Under the Revision 4

definitions, those structures, systems, and components (SSCs) needed to meet the performance requirements of 10 CFR Part 70.61 will be categorized as QL-1a IROFS. Those SSCs designated as IROFS for defense-in-depth purposes will be categorized as QL-1b IROFS.

- In response to an NRC staff question, DCS stated that the practical effect or actual changes to current design work of the QL definition changes would probably be limited to some items currently designated as QL-1b being changed to QL-1a.
- DCS noted that Revision 4 also includes changes in the use of audits and assessments of the project and QA activities after they are baselined, and is intended to permit flexibility in audit or assessment approach and the application of resources where most appropriate and needed.
- DCS commented that the decision by the Department of Energy (DOE) to reduce the controlled area boundary (CAB) of the MFFF did not affect any revision changes to the MPQAP.

DCS and NRC discussed the plans for MFFF procurement and construction, including QA oversight of these activities. DCS stated that the plans and implementation methods for procurement and construction are still under discussion with the DOE, and should be described only as the current planning basis. DCS' current planning basis is for MFFF ground-breaking by the summer of 2004 and for safety-related construction to begin January 2005. Currently, DCS has not issued any procurements of equipment, although some advance vendor equipment/system design activities have been initiated. As part of the procurement process, DCS has begun, and will continue to perform, technical evaluations and audits of vendor's QA programs to verify adequate compliance with the MFFF QA program requirements. DCS described their validation planning process which is DCS' strategy to procure, assemble, test, and accept process units. The validation planning process is an approach for looking ahead and identifying potential difficulties during design, procurement, and construction, determining the inspections or actions that would prevent or mitigate the issues, and estimating the appropriate actions, inspections, and time frame from a benefit-cost analysis. The validation planning process is expected to be applied at staging facilities, or integration platforms, which may be established near the MFFF site in South Carolina and in France. The integration platforms would be used to assemble, test, and inspect a number of SSCs or process units off-site. DCS noted that each process unit will have its own test and inspection plan to aid in the validation planning.

NRC staff noted that, should NRC authorize MFFF construction, Region II will take the lead in performing inspections at the various DCS offices and facilities, including the MFFF Savannah River Site, as well as inspections of DCS vendor sites. NRC staff requested that Region II and FCSS be kept informed of DCS' plans for QA audits and procurement oversight, as well as procurement and construction planning in general.

NRC and DCS discussed the current project status and project management issues. DCS stated that they were encouraged with the progress made in the last few months on the eleven open items remaining on the MFFF Construction Authorization Request (CAR). DCS and NRC expected to continue communication in an attempt to resolve the open items. DCS is currently evaluating the effects of the CAB to the CAR and expects to submit a revised CAR to NRC once their evaluation is complete. NRC noted that receipt of a revised CAR and resolution and definition of the specific MOX license application submittal and MFFF construction plans and dates is needed as soon as possible, so that NRC can adequately budget resources taking into

consideration other fuel cycle facility license applications. DCS noted that it will need small amounts of source material in the MFFF processes during cold start-up, and that it may be required that DCS attain a license to handle this material prior to the approval of a license to possess and use special nuclear material. DCS also discussed the QA oversight of the lead test assemblies (LTAs) planned to be fabricated by Cogema in France. Fuel design, licensing, and QA oversight of the LTAs is the responsibility of DCS team member Framatome ANP under their QA program. The Framatome QA program and specific QA plans are subject to the DCS MPQAP. Duke Power will perform a detailed audit of the MPQAP to ensure that product quality is adequate since DCS will be a supplier to Duke Power. In the event that process events or product defects occur at the French plants (i.e., La Hague, Melox) that could be of impact on the MFFF processes, product, or activities, DCS will be informed through the Cogema design/operations departments. Cogema will remain engaged throughout the progress of the MFFF.

#### NRC Action Items

No specific NRC actions resulted from this meeting. NRC will continue its technical review of Revision 4 of the MPQAP.

## Attendance List

<b><u>Name</u></b>	<b><u>Affiliation</u></b>
Wilkins Smith	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
William Gloersen	NRC/RII/DFFI
Jerome Blake	NRC/RII/DRS
Scott Gordon	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Daniel Horner	McGraw-Hill
Herbert Feinroth	Gamma Engineering
David Brown	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Brian Smith	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Bill Troskoski	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Joel Kramer	NRC/RES/DSARE
Andrew Persinko	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Ron Barnes	DCS
Tommy Touchstone	DCS
Peter Hastings	DCS
Ken Ashe	DCS
James R. Cassidy	DCS
Dave Alberstein	DOE/NNSA NA-26
Joesph Giitter	NRC/NMSS/FCSS
Robert Pierson	NRC/NMSS/FCSS