



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

11/25/03

68FR66130

(17)

Category

3

Meeting

Date: 12/18/2003

Meeting

Title: Public Meeting to Discuss the Environmental Issues Pertaining to the Early Site Permit (ESP) for the Clinton ESP site

In order to better serve the public, we need to hear from the meeting participants. Please take a few minutes to fill out this feedback form and return it to NRC.

1. How did you hear about this meeting?

☐ NRC Web Page☐ NRC Mailing List☐ Newspaper☐ Radio/TV☒ Other

It was not in my newspaper until ONE day before the meeting.

2. Were you able to find supporting information prior to the meeting?

Yes

☐

No

(Please explain below)

☐

Somewhat

(Please explain below)

☒

3. Did the meeting achieve its stated purpose?

☐☒☒

4. Has this meeting helped you with your understanding of the topic?

☐☒☒

5. Were the meeting starting time, duration, and location reasonably convenient?

☐☒☐

6. Were you given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express your views?

☐☒☐

7. Are you satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting?

☐☒☐

COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS:

Thank you for answering these questions.

RECEIVED

Rules and Directives

21 AM 9:11

Branch

USNRC

Mr. Cameron moderated the meeting so that those for the Clinton Reactor 2 were given distinct advantage. He initially invited even local political person to speak, starting with the mayor. Were they even registered as speakers? He put prime speakers at the front of the room way down the list (last in the evening). He chose for the closing speaker one slick person who in summary nullified all preceding CON arguments because they didn't have the nuclear expertise he did. Most disturbing of all, major pro-nuclear was given to Clinton Reactor officials & Govt officials who are for nuclear plants. There was NOT ANY presentation by experts on the CON side.

Totally unfair. There were experts in the room that should have been part of the PRESENTATIONS. NRC is already decided this plant will go through. Continue Comments on the reverse.

OPTIONAL For real discussion, experts need to present the grave dangers with equal time. Or with more time, since the history of accidents is so recent. It is at risk from nuclear plants.

Name

Organization

Telephone No.

E-Mail

☐ Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

OMB NO. 3150-0197

Expires: 06/30/2004

Public Protection Notification: If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Template - ADM-013

E-12 IDS = ADM-03
Red - T. Kenyon (TSK2)