

RECEIVED

2004 JAN 21 AM 9:10

Rules and Directives
Branch
USNRC

11/25/03

68FC 66130

(13)

From: <Sirprescot@aol.com>
To: <clintoneis@nrc.gov>
Date: Fri, Jan 9, 2004 5:15 PM
Subject: public comment on Clinton reactor #2

To the NRC,

Hello, I am writing to state that I am in total opposition to the construction of a second nuclear reactor facility in Clinton, IL. Although I oppose this reactor for many reasons, I understand that this public comment period is focused on the environmental impact of the proposed plant. There are numerous reasons that the plant should not be built, for merely environmental reasons, if not for the cost to taxpayers, the necessary subsidizing of government funds, and the heavy burden of the decommissioning process. It seems that the nuclear industry is not held to clean up any facilities after they are built. And of course, safety is another key reason why the proposed plant should not be constructed. Any nuclear facility has the ability to leak out contaminants into the air and water, even through openings as small as 1/16 of an inch. And as it happens, the first Clinton reactor did not have a clean safety record-and now to build another??

No matter the state of the surrounding environment of the proposed site, the fact remains that the designs, flaws, and wear and tear of the reactors should be reason enough not to build any more, and instead put money, time, and investigation into constructing clean energy sources that can create a safe environment, permanent safe jobs, revenue for communities, and save government and tax payer money.

Brooke Barber
Citizen of Normal, IL
301 S. Walnut St #1
Normal, IL 61761

ERFDS = ADM-03

Call = T. Kenyon (TSK2)

Template = ADM-013