

## KEY TECHNICAL ISSUE (KTI)

### REPOSITORY DESIGN AND THERMAL MECHANICAL EFFECTS (RDTME)

#### RDTME TEAM:



R. Chen <sup>2</sup>	rchen@swri.edu
A. Chowdhury <sup>2</sup>	achowdhury@swri.edu
B. DasGupta <sup>2</sup>	bdasgupta@swri.edu
A. Ghosh <sup>2</sup>	aghosh@swri.edu
D. Gute <sup>2</sup>	dgute@swri.edu
S. Hsiung <sup>2</sup>	shsiung@swri.edu
B. Jagannath <sup>1</sup>	bnj@nrc.gov
M. Nataraja <sup>1,3</sup>	msn1@nrc.gov
G. Ofoegbu <sup>2</sup>	gfoegbu@swri.edu

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)

<sup>2</sup> Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses (CNWRA)

<sup>3</sup> Presenter, NRC Technical Lead

NRC/DOE TECHNICAL EXCHANGE

PRE-LICENSING ISSUE RESOLUTION STATUS

APRIL 25-26, LAS VEGAS, NV

## **RDTME KTI SUBISSUES AND RESOLUTION STATUS**

- Subissue 1:** Implementation of an effective design control process within the overall quality assurance program: **CLOSED**
- Subissue 2:** Design of the geologic repository operations area for the effects of seismic events and direct fault disruption: **CLOSED PENDING CONFIRMATORY INFORMATION**
- Subissue 3:** Thermal-mechanical effects on underground facility design and performance: **OPEN**
- Subissue 4:** Design and long-term contribution of seals to performance: **CLOSED PENDING CONFIRMATORY INFORMATION**

## **PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 1)**

**Component 1: Design Control Process for the ESF: (CLOSED)**

**Component 2: Design control process for the GROA: (CLOSED)**

### **Need for Continued Evaluation**

- **NRC staff to evaluate DOE implementation of design control process through audit observations**
- **DOE to inform NRC of any changes to its Design Control Process**

### **Progress in Implementation:**

- **Document Hierarchy Simplified and In Place**
- **Design Control Process Appears to be Transparent and Traceable**
- **Effectiveness of Implementation Monitored Through Periodic Audit Observations and design reviews**

## PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 2)

Component 1: Seismic Hazard Assessment Methodology: (CLOSED -- SEE SDS  
IRSR)

Component 2: Seismic Design Methodology: (CLOSED -- SEE RDTME IRSR)

Component 3: Seismic and Fault Displacement Inputs for Design and Performance Assessment:  
(CLOSED PCI i.e., TR-3 OR OTHER ALTERNATIVE)

- DOE Repository Safety Strategy (RSS) Principal Factors:
  - Seepage Into Drifts
  - Performance of Drip Shield
  - Performance of Waste Package
  
- NRC Abstractions:
  - Mechanical Disruption of Engineered Barriers
  - Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Flow
  - Quantity and Chemistry of Water Contacting Waste Packages and Waste Forms
  - Degradation of Engineered Barriers

### Need for Additional Data/Rationale

- Seismic and Fault Displacement input data that are consistent with the seismic design methodology and Performance Assessment Methodology along with technical bases.

*TR-3  
should also  
discuss inputs  
for PA*

## PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 3)

Thermal-mechanical (TM) effects on underground facility design and performance

- **DOE RSS Principal Factors:**
  - Seepage Into Drifts
  - Performance of Drip Shield
  - Performance of Waste Package
  - Coupled Processes-Effects on Seepage
  - Environments on the Drip Shield and on/within Waste Package
  
- **NRC Abstractions:**
  - Mechanical Disruption of Engineered Barriers
  - Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Flow
  - Quantity and Chemistry of Water Contacting Waste Packages and Waste Forms
  - Degradation of Engineered Barriers
  - Radionuclide Release Rates and Solubility Limits

## PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 3)

### Component 1: Consideration of TM effects on Underground Facility Design/Performance (OPEN)

#### Need for Additional Data

- Intact-rock thermal and mechanical properties for TSw2 lithophysal unit
- Rock-mass properties for TSw2 lithophysal unit

#### Need for Additional Analysis

- Applicability of available empirical equations → *may not be applicable to YM site*
- Degradation of rock properties under repository environment *friction angle*
- Design analysis of emplacement drift stability needs to:
  - Consider appropriate combination of models
  - Consider site-specific fracture patterns in discontinuum analysis
  - Use site-specific frequency content and duration of ground motion data
  - Consider effects of lithophysae on rock bolt performance/effectiveness

## PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 3)

Component 2: Consideration of TM effects and Resulting Rock-fall on the Design and Performance of Engineered Barriers (OPEN)

Need for Additional Analysis (SEE CLST KTI PRESENTATION)

*Don't know details of design*

- Rock-fall impact analysis needs to consider the following:
  - Appropriate mechanical properties for the EB component materials (consistent with emplacement drift conditions, e.g., temperature effects)
  - Effects of flaws and cracks that are created during the fabrication process when assessing the capability of the EB component to withstand rock block impact(s)
  - Integrity of waste package pedestal support
  - Thermal load and ground motion on predicting rock-fall
  - Design and fabrication details for the Individual EB components
  - Appropriate failure criteria for the different EB components
  - Effects of seismic ground motion on the relative velocity between the EB component and rock block during impact
  - Effects of residual stresses and potential loss of material ductility in the region of the closure welds
  - Effect of multiple rock blocks falling in unison
  - Potential creep rupture of the Titanium (Grade 7) drip shield due to the sustained load of supporting a seismically dislodged rock block after impact

## PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 3)

**Component 3: Consideration of TM effects in Estimating Quantities of Seepage and Dripping Characteristics into Emplacement Drifts (OPEN)**

### **Need for Additional Analysis**

- **Evaluation of long-term TM effects should consider:**
  - **Changes in geometry of emplacement drifts**
  - **Changes in permeability around emplacement drifts**



## **PATH TO RESOLUTION (SUBISSUE 4)**

**Design and contribution of seals to long-term performance (CLOSED PCI)**

- **No specific design/performance requirements for Borehole/Shaft/Ramp Seals in Part 63**
- **DOE to establish criteria for Seal Design to meet long-term performance needs**
- **DOE to establish material/construction specifications to meet its design goals**
- **DOE to evaluate contribution of Seals to overall repository performance**
- **NRC to review Seal Design in the context of repository long-term performance**

## SUMMARY

### SUBISSUE 1

DESIGN CONTROL PROCESS FOR THE GROA TO BE MONITORED BY NRC THROUGH PERIODIC OBSERVATIONS OF DOE AUDITS AND DESIGN REVIEWS

### SUBISSUE 2

DOE SUBMITS TR-3, NRC REVIEWS TR-3 AND CONSIDERS TR-1, TR-2 AND TR-3 IN PREPARING SER, TR's WILL BECOME AN ACCEPTED REFERENCE TO DOE'S LA.

### SUBISSUE 3

DOE TO RESPOND TO NRC QUESTIONS ON DATA AND ANALYSES, NRC TO REVIEW AND CONSIDER NEW INFORMATION IN SUBSEQUENT REVISIONS TO RDTME KTI IRSR.

### SUBISSUE 4

RISK-INFORMED PERFORMANCE-BASED PART 63 APPROACH RESULTS IN RETHINKING OF SEALS SUBISSUE. DOE TO PROVIDE AN EVALUATION OF SEAL DESIGN AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE. NRC TO REVIEW SEAL DESIGN IN THE CONTEXT OF LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE

*DBES*