

**From:** <Veronica\_Cornell@notes.ymp.gov>  
**To:** <jrf2@nrc.gov>, <jwa@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 6/4/01 10:16PM  
**Subject:** Meeting Request - TSPA Planning

On behalf of Tim Gunter, I would like to set up a meeting between yourselves, Sandy Wastler, April Gil, Tim Gunter and myself to discuss the June TSPA meeting format and the agenda. Since 2 hours have been allotted for tomorrow's SZ and TSPA general comments, I am proposing to piggy-back this meeting onto to SZ/TSPA meeting starting at 11:15 am.

Also, DOE is proposing that the NRC/DOE meeting to discuss the draft letter that the NRC is writing to clarify what they believe the intent of USFIC KTI Agreement 4.1 contains is combined with the Thursday, June 7th UZ FEP & Model Abstraction NRC Comments for the June TSPA TE. The issue is based on an April e-mail from Hans Arlt which identifies a number of test plans and other items NRC believes is within the scope of 4.1.

Lastly, for your consideration - In reviewing the FEPs Meeting Summary and attachments, there are several pathforward items listed in Attachment 2 that are not cross-referenced in Attachment 1, the Preliminary Agreement table. These are:

Item Nos. 3, 4, 11, 12, 34, 35, 42, 48, 54, 55, 56, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70. These items are tied to existing KTI agreements and appear to fit under FEPs agreement 2.2 - Provide technical basis for screening argument.

Item Nos. 29, 43, 44, 49, 51, 78, 79. These path forward items are listed under FEPs agreement 2.1 - Clarify screening argument, but are also tied to existing KTI agreements items. These items could be relocated to agreement 2.2.

Also, Item 61 seems to be a better fit under agreement 2.3 in lieu of agreement 2.2

*#61 ->  
better under 2.2.  
improve technical basis*

The above binning of path forward items is based on the following understanding of the scope of the first three agreement items.

2.1 - clarifications - no additional technical work required; however the screening argument should be clarified to ensure that specific areas are addressed

2.2 - technical basis - additional technical work is required (may include existing agreement item); and screening argument will be updated, as necessary to reflect the results of the additional work activities

2.3 - add FEPs - new FEP added to FEP AMR, including screening argument

Thanks

**CC:** <Timothy\_Gunter@notes.ymp.gov>, <Darrell\_Gardner@notes.ymp.gov>

*James Firth*