

From: Rebecca Nease, *RTV*
To: Mizuno, Geary; Smith, Karla
Date: 10/26/01 10:55AM
Subject: Fwd: Re: ANO Fire Protection Issue - licensing aspects

Rel.

At least we know where he stands.


Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 5
CIA- 2003-358

BB/a

From: Rebecca Nease ^R
To: Gramm, Robert ^{NRR}
Date: 10/26/01 9:14AM
Subject: Re: ANO Fire Protection Issue - licensing aspects

Read SRP 9.5.1, BTP CMEB 9.5-1, C.5.b. Since we can't speak directly to the reviewer, we can at least use the guidance reviewers were given at that time.

^{NRR} >>> Robert Gramm 10/26/01 07:41AM >>>
Rebecca - I agree with your point that the term alternate appears throughout, however:




>>> Rebecca Nease 10/25/01 03:25PM >>>

I'm not convinced this is "germane" to the backfit panel discussion. The purpose of the panel is to determine whether or not we are imposing a regulatory staff position interpreting Appendix R, Section III.G.2 that was either new or different from a previously applicable staff position (i.e., BACKFIT).

I have responded to your specific comments in bold below.

>>> Robert Gramm 10/25/01 09:44AM >>> ^{NRR}






N/A
12/5

The title of the meeting summary was, "SUMMARY OF MEETING WITH ARKANSAS POWER AND LIGHT (AP&L) ON AUGUST 31, 1982 CONCERNING THE ALTERNATE SAFE SHUTDOWN CAPABILITY IN THE EVENT OF A FIRE AT ARKANSAS NUCLEAR ONE, UNITS 1 & 2 (ANO-1 & 2)

5



N/A
12/5

N/A
12/5

5


N/A
12/5

5

EX 5

EX 5

EX 5



CC: Qualls, Phil; Salley, Mark