Uppel 29

Manuell

USGS-OFR-87-408

USGS-OFR-87-408

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

EVALUATION OF THE SEISMICITY OF THE SOUTHERN GREAT BASIN AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE TECTONIC FRAMEWORK OF THE REGION

by

A. M. Rogers, S. C. Harmsen, and M. E. Meremonte

Open-File Report 87-408

Prepared in cooperation with the Nevada Operations Office U.S. Department of Energy (Interagency Agreement DE-AI08-78ET44802)

This report is preliminary and has not been reviewed for conformity with U.S. Geological Survey editorial standards and stratigraphic nomenclature. Company names are for descriptive purposes only and do not constitute endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

Denver, Colorado 1987

Copies of this Open-File Report may be purchased from

Open-File Services Section Branch of Distribution U.S. Geological Survey Box 25425, Federal Center Denver, Colorado 80225

PREPAYMENT IS REQUIRED

Price information will be published in the monthly listing "New Publications of the Geological Survey"

FOR ADDITIONAL ORDERING INFORMATION

CALL: Commercial: (303) 236-1603 FTS: 776-1603

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Denver, Colorado

EVALUATION OF THE SEISMICITY OF THE SOUTHERN GREAT BASIN AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO THE TECTONIC FRAMEWORK OF THE REGION

bу

A. M. Rogers, S. C. Harmsen, and M. E. Meremonte

CONTENTS

P	age
Abstract	1
Introduction	3
Acknowledgements	7
Hypocenter determination details	8
Magnitude estimation details	9
Focal mechanism details	14
The association of earthquakes and faults	18
Seismicity overview	18
Earthquakes in the vicinity of Jackass Flats	33
Earthquakes in the vicinity of Mercury Valley	33
Jackass Flats-Rock Valley-Mercury Valley areas	33
Funeral Mountains Seismicity	36
Earthquake activity near Lathrop Wells	37
Striped Hills-Rock Valley Earthquakes	37
Seismicity at Dome Mountain 1983	40
Earthquakes at Thirsty Canyon and vicinity	40
Seismicity at Sarcobatus Flat 1981 through 1983	43
Earthquakes near Scottys Junction	44
Seismicity in the vicinity of Slate Ridge and Mt. Dunfee	44
Earthquakes near Yucca Flat	48
Earthquakes in Indian Spring Valley	48
Earthquakes in the Pahranagat Region	52
Earthquakes in North Pahroc Range	52
Earthquakes and structure: summary	52
Depth of focus distribution 1982-1983	55
1982-1983 relocations using velocity gradient models	55
Geographic variation in the depth distributions	60
Focal mechanisms and the regional stress field	60
Earthquake density in the southern Great Basin	70
Discussion of seismicity and tectonics	77
SGB Microearthquakes and their relevance to the occurrence of larger	
earthquakes	80
Seismicity and local structure at Yucca Mountain	81
Regional structure, Great Basin tectonic models, and the characteristics	
of contemporary seismicity	82
Summary	90
References cited	93
Appendix A. System frequency response curves and calibrations	101
Appendix B. Station codes, locations, instrumentation and polarity reversals	107

Appendix C. Input parameters to HYPO71	113
Appendix D. Catalog of August 1978 through December 1983 hypocenters keyed to maps of SGB quadrangle names	
Appendix E. 1982-1983 focal mechanisms with table summarizing mechanisms computed 1979-1983	166
Appendix F. Stereographic plots of southern Great Basin earthquake hypocenters at selected locations	187
ILLUSTRATIONS	
	Page
Plate 1 Seismicity and focal mechanisms for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983 in the southern Great Basin	In pocket
Figure 1 Map of generalized seismic zones and tectonic features in the southern Great Basin	4
Figure 2 Southern Great Basin seismograph stations	5
Figure 3 Ratio of free surface displacement amplitude for the converted $SV - P$ ray to the SV ray for vertical and horizontal components versus ray angle of incidence	10
Figure 4.— Scattergram of the predicted M_D plotted as a function of observed M_L^{SGB}	13
Figure 5 (a), Scattergram of M_{ca} versus M_L for 250 digitally recorded SGB earthquakes (b), Comparison of M_{ca} station corrections with M_L^{SGB} station corrections	15
Figure 6.— The theoretical S to P amplitude ratios due to interface- and free surface effects for rays leaving the second and third layers of SGB velocity model	17
Figure 7 Regional seismicity, August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983. Boxes indicate the areas shown in figures 10 through 16	20
Figure 8.– Regional seismicity for the calendar years 1982 and 1983. Boxes indicate the areas shown in figures 10 through 16.	21
Figure 9 Historical southern Great Basin seismicity spanning the time period 1868 through August, 1978	22
Figure 10 Seismicity and focal mechanisms in the southern NTS region, for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983	23
Figure 11 Faults, seismicity and location of drillhole G1 at Yucca Mountain	24
Figure 12 Seismicity and focal mechanisms in the northern NTS region for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983	25
Figure 13 Seismicity and focal mechanisms west of NTS for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983	26
Figure 14.— Seismicity east of NTS for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983.	27

Figure 15.— Seismicity in the Pahranagat shear zone for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983	28
Figure 16 Seismicity and focal mechanism in the vicinity of the Pahroc Valley and North Pahroc Range for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983	29
Figure 17 Map of regional seismicity for the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983, with boxes outlining areas contained in following depth section figures.	30
Figure 18 (a), Depth sections for 1979-1983 seismicity of eastern Jackass Flats and Lookout Peak. (b), Depth sections for the earthquakes in the southern part of Jackass Flats	34
Figure 19 (a), Depth sections for 1979-1983 seismicity north of Mercury Valley. (b), Depth sections for 1979-1983 seismicity in Mercury Valley near the earthquake of 831211 with faults	35
Figure 20 Depth section of the 1980-1983 Funeral Mountains seismicity	38
Figure 21 Depth sections for 1979-1983 earthquake activity in the vicinity of the Lathrop Wells	39
Figure 22 Depth sections for 1979-1983 activity in the vicinity of the Striped Hills.	39
Figure 23 Epicenters and depth sections for earthquakes in the vicinity of Dome Mountain	41
Figure 24 Depth sections for 1979-1983 earthquakes in the vicinity of Thirsty Canyon	42
Figure 25.— Depth sections for activity during the period 1979-1983 in Sarcobatus Flat series c	45
Figure 26. Depth sections and a focal mechanism for activity in Sarcobatus Flat series b	46
Figure 27 (a), Depth section in Sarcobatus Flat series a. (b), Depth sections for Sarcobatus Flat series d	47
Figure 28 Depth sections for earthquakes in the Slate Ridge region, 25 km west of Scottys Junction.	49
Figure 29 Depth sections for earthquakes in the vicinity of Yucca Flat	50
Figure 30.— (a), Depth sections for earthquakes in the Indian Spring Valley and Spotted Range, just east of NTS. (b), Depth sections for earthquakes in the vicinity of the town of Indian Springs and Highway 95	51
Figure 31 (a) Pahranagat Shear Zone depth sections (b) Same data, different projection planes	53
Figure 32 Depth sections for the North Pahroc Range	54
Figure 33 Distribution of focal depths for well-located SGB earthquakes for the calendar years 1982 and 1983	56
Figure 34 P-wave velocity, up, versus depth for 3 SGB velocity models	57

Figure 35 Distribution of average standard depth-of-focus error versus estimated depth of focus, for the data plotted in Figure 33	58
Figure 36.— Probability of an earthquake occurring at a depth z using velocity model M0 and the same data as in Figure 33	59
Figure 37 Distribution of focal depths below mean station elevation obtained using velocity model M1 and HYPOELLIPSE	61
Figure 38 Distribution of focal depths below mean station elevation obtained using velocity model M2 and HYPOELLIPSE	62
Figure 39 Distribution of focal depths for (a) the eastern SGB (b) the central SGB, and (c) the western SGB with inset map showing the three regions	63
Figure 40.— (a), Intersection of pressure dihedra and tension dihedra for 29 southern Great Basin earthquake focal mechanisms. (b), Same as 40 (a) using only the 14 earthquakes having depth of focus, $z \le 6$ km. (c), Same as 40 (a) using only the 15 earthquakes having $z > 6$ km	65
Figure 41.– Inferred directions of principal stress components, σ_1 , σ_2 , and σ_3 , shown in Table 3	66
Figure 42 Thirty southern Great Basin earthquake focal mechanisms plotted in depth section over the meridional range of the SGB network	68
Figure 43 Four models showing how vertical and horizontal stresses may be distributed with depth	69
Figure 44 Distribution of number of earthquakes per unit area as a function of distance from Yucca Mountain.	71
Figure 45 Distribution of $\log_{10}(E)$, where E represents the cumulative earthquake energy release, as a function of epicentral distance from Yucca Mountain.	74
Figure 46 Contours of earthquake energy release in the southern Great Basin of Nevada and California for the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983	75
Figure 47 Countours of earthquake energy release in the southern Great Basin of Nevada and California for the period 1865 through July, 1978	76
Figure 48 Contours of earthquake energy release for the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983 in depth section for earthquakes projected onto an east-west plane (a) and a north-south (b) plane	78
Figure 49 (a) Depth-of-focus distribution of August, 1978 through December, 1983, earthquakes projected onto an east-west plane. (b) Depth-of-focus distribution of the same earthquakes projected onto a north-south plane	80
Figure 50 Depth distribution of earthquake energy for the period August, 1978 through December, 1983	84
Figure 51 Schematic diagrams showing: (a), dextral slip along north-trending faults in the southern Great Basin and sinistral slip along north-trending faults at the eastern margin of the Great Basin; (b), dextral slip on north-trending faults and normal slip on north-northeast trending faults: (c) how north-south	

shortening and east-west extension in the southern Great Basin is accommodated by wrench faulting on northwest- and northeast- trending conjugate faults; and (d), a block-rotation model resulting from differential rates of strain in the northern Great Basin and Mojave block	86
Appendix A Illustrations	
Figure A1. Frequency (amplitude) response of L4C into digital computer	105
Figure A2. Frequency (amplitude) response of S13Y into helicorder	106
Appendix D Illustrations	
Figure D1. Quadrangle names in northeast quarter of southern Great Basin	116
Figure D2. Quadrangle names in southeast quarter of southern Great Basin	117
Figure D3. Quadrangle names in northwest quarter of southern Great Basin	118
Figure D4. Quadrangle names in southwest quarter of southern Great Basin, with location of a Bare Mountain chemical explosion	119
Figure D5. Seismograms from three Yucca Mountain stations recording a Bare Mountain chemical explosion of 1982-08-24	119
Appendix E Illustrations	
Figure E1. Jackass Flats focal mechanism	168
Figure E2. Mercury Valley (Camp Desert Rock quadrangle) focal mechanism	169
Figure E3. Composite focal mechanism from several shallow Funeral Mountains (Big Dune quadrangle) earthquakes	170
Figure E4. Composite focal mechanism from several Funeral Mountains earthquakes	171
Figure E5. Composite focal mechanism from several Funeral Mountains earthquakes	172
Figure E6. Lathrop Wells focal mechanism	173
Figure E7. Striped Hills focal mechanism	174
Figure E8. Dome Mountain (south flank of Timber Mountain) focal mechanism	175
Figure E9. Focal mechanism for earthquake 830217 in the Thirsty Canyon area.	176
Figure E10. Focal mechanism for earthquake 830224 in the Thirsty Canyon area.	177
Figure E11. Sarcobatus Flat (Springdale quadrangle) composite focal mechanism	178
Figure E12. Sarcobatus Flat focal mechanism	179
Figure E13. Sarcobatus Flat focal mechanism	180
Figure E14. Sarcobatus Flat composite focal mechanism	181
Figure E15. Scottys Junction focal mechanism	182
Figure E16. Another Scottys Junction focal mechanism	183
Figure E17. Mt. Dunfee (Gold Point quadrangle) focal mechanism	184
Figure E18. Indian Spring Valley focal mechanism	185

Figure E19. North Pahroc Range (Hiko NE quadrangle) focal mechanism	186
Appendix F Illustrations	
Figure F1. (a) Stereo projections of hypocenters in the northern Jackass Flats and adjacent regions; this region is the same as in Figure 18a. (b) Stereo pair for southern Jackass Flats earthquakes, same region as in Figure 18b	
Figure F2. Stereo pair for the Mercury Valley earthquakes, same region as in Figure 19	189
Figure F3. Stereo pair for the Funeral Mountain earthquakes, same region as in Figure 20	189
Figure F4. Stereo pair for the Lathrop Wells earthquakes, same region as in Figure 21	190
Figure F5. Stereo pair for the Striped Hills earthquakes, same region as in Figure 22	190
Figure F6. Stereo pair for the Dome Mountain earthquakes, same region as in Figure 23	191
Figure F7. Stereo pair for the Thirsty Canyon-Black Mountain earthquakes, same region as in Figure 24	192
Figure F8. Stereo pair for the series b and c earthquakes at Sarcobatus Flat, same region as in Figures 25 and 26	192
Figure F9. Stereo pair for the series a and d earthquakes at Sarcobatus Flat, same region as in Figure 27	193
Figure F10. Stereo pair for the Slate Ridge earthquakes, same region as in Figure 28	193
Figure F11. Stereo pair for the Yucca Flat earthquakes, same region as in Figure 29	194
Figure F12. Stereo pair for the Indian Spring Valley earthquakes, same region as in Figure 30	194
Figure F13. Stereo pair for the Pahranagat Range earthquakes, same region as in Figure 31	195
Figure F14. Stereo pair for the North Pahroc Range earthquakes, same region as in Figure 32	195
Figure F15. (a) Stereo pair for the southern Nevada Test Site. (b) Depth sections for the western part of Figure F15a	196
Tables	
Table 1 HYPO71 earthquake location quality for 1982-1983 earthquakes	8
Table 2 Summary table relating seismicity and mapped faults	31
Table 3 Principal stress directions resulting from minimizing variation in $\frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}$ for SGB focal mechanisms	66

:

Table 4 Seismogenic areas in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and the NTS .	. 72
Table A1 Values of constants appropriate for SGB seismometers	102
Table C1 Southern Great Basin velocity model	118
Table C2 HYPO71 test variables as discussed in Lee and Lahr (1975)	118
Table E1 Table summarizing focal mechanisms computed for SGB earthquake 1979-1983	

Evaluation of the Seismicity of the Southern Great Basin and Its Relationship to the Tectonic Framework of the Region

by

A. M. Rogers, S. C. Harmsen, and M. E. Meremonte

ABSTRACT

Seismograph network recordings of local and regional earthquakes are being collected in the southern Great Basin to aid in the evaluation of the seismic hazard at a potential high-level radioactive waste repository site at Yucca Mountain in the southwestern Nevada Test Site. Data for 1522 earthquakes for the calendar years 1982 and 1983 are reported herein. In the period August, 1978 through December, 1983, 2800 earthquakes were located within and adjacent to the southern Great Basin seismograph network. Earthquake hypocenters, selected focal mechanisms, and other inferred seismicity characteristics are presented and discussed in relation to the local and regional geologic framework.

The principal features of hypocenters in the SGB are as follows. (1) Earthquakes are distributed in an east-west-trending band between 36° to 38° N. (2) Earthquakes display primarily strike-slip and normal-slip deformation styles over a depth range from near-surface to 10-15 km with an apparent preference for dextral slip on north-trending faults; a notable uniformity in the regional stress orientation is inferred, with the least principal stress oriented west-northwest. Approximately equal intermediate and greatest principal stress magnitudes are inferred throughout the seismogenic crust, and horizontal stress orientations are rotated clockwise in relation to the stress orientation existing in the surrounding regions. (3) It is commonly difficult to associate earthquake clusters with specific faults, particularly range front faults, although epicenter alignments and earthquake nodal planes are frequently subparallel to nearby structural grain. Two other characteristics of the seismicity have been noted, although further testing will be required to provide additional assurance that these features are not artifacts of data processing. (4) In some areas hypocenters appear to align within steeply-plunging cylindrical volumes of rock that may span depths from near-surface to 10-15 km; other hypocentral groups exhibit tabular shapes that are oriented north to northeast. (5) A seismicity minimum is observed between the depths of 3.5 to 4.0 km.

Although in many cases we are unable to relate specific earthquake activity to specific faults, we do observe correlations between earthquake epicenter lineations, focal mechanism nodal planes, and mapped Quaternary and pre-Quaternary structural grain. From these observations we conclude that faults in the region that strike from approximately north to east-northeast should be considered favorably oriented for activity in the current stress regime. Three styles of faulting are observed for focal mechanisms depending on fault orientation. These styles are dextral, sinistral, and normal faulting on north-, east-northeast- and northeast-trending faults, respectively. Dextral faulting appears to be the predominant deformation mode. Oblique faulting is observed on intermediate fault orientations having appropriate dip angles. From the proximate co-existence of this range of focal mechanisms, we conclude that the regional stress field is consistently axially symmetric both geographically and with depth. That is, the intermediate and greatest principal stresses have about equal magnitude throughout the brittle crust. This conclusion is not in accord with stresses measured by hydrofrac experiments at Yucca Mountain. The regional stress field orientation, as inferred from new and previously published focal mechanisms, is characterized by a gently westnorthwest-plunging minimum compressive stress and a gently north-northeast-plunging maximum compressive stress. Although this stress field is conducive to slip on north to east-northeasttrending faults, no faults on Yucca Mountain having these orientations experienced detectable earthquakes during the 1982-1983 period. During the 1982-1983 time span, the nearest activity to the proposed repository was at Dome Mountain, about 15 km north of Yucca Mountain. However, from 1978, when regional monitoring began in this area, until 1983 one earthquake has occurred at Yucca Mountain.

Earthquake energy release per unit area is 3 orders of magnitude lower in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain compared to the regional levels. The Yucca Mountain zone of quiescence extends to the west and is connected with a zone of low-level energy release paralleling the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault zones. At least two interpretations of this observation are possible. First, Yucca Mountain and the zone to the west could be regions of low stress due either to some form of tectonic uncoupling or previous prehistoric seismic energy release. Second, this area could be analogous to a seismic gap, where stresses are high and faults are presently locked. The lack of seismicity in the Yucca Mountain block (i.e., the upper 4 km), the disparity between the inferred regional stresses and the hydrofrac measured stresses at Yucca Mountain, and the geologic data suggesting that Yucca Mountain is underlain by detachment faults are consistent with the conclusion that Yucca Mountain is uncoupled from the regional stress field; however, other interpretations are possible. This conclusion does not preclude the possibility of significant earthquake activity on faults underlying a detachment surface. Furthermore, earthquake activity is not precluded at some magnitude level on the proposed detachment or suggested listric faults that trend through Yucca Mountain and bottom in the detachment.

Research on the attenuation of ground motion in this region indicates that Q in the southern Great Basin is high relative to California, having values in the range of 700 to 900 over the frequency band 1 to 10 Hz. Peak amplitude attenuation functions derived from our data indicate that local magnitudes reported by California observatories for earthquakes in this region may be overestimated by as much as 0.8 magnitude units in some cases. Both of these factors affect the assessment of the earthquake hazard in this region.

Introduction

This report is the third in a series of addenda, updates, and revisions to earlier reports by Rogers and others (1981, 1983). Earlier reports presented earthquake data collected using the southern Great Basin (SGB) seismograph network, preliminary interpretations of the data and background information. Rogers and others (1983) also raised several issues regarding the seismicity and tectonics of the region. In this report, we add data collected during the calendar years 1982-83, reassess the data, and discuss some of the important consequential problems. The format of this report differs from the earlier ones in that it does not include the phase readings, durations, and first motions for each station (Rogers and others, 1983, Appendix D). Because these data are occasionally revised and because their publication requires considerable space, we believe they are best released in microfiche format at the conclusion of the study. This report does include an earthquake hypocenter list for the 1978-1983 reporting period, presenting the latest revised earthquake locations and magnitudes.

The principal intent of this report is to make data obtained by the network generally available, to indicate the progress of ongoing research, and to present preliminary interpretations of these data. Appendices A, B, C, D and E set forth the basic data related to earthquake parameters for the 1982 and 1983 calendar years. Earthquake origin times, epicenters, focal depths, magnitudes and information pertaining to the location quality for the period August, 1978 through December, 1983 are tabulated in Appendix D. A large body of data on teleseisms and regional earthquakes has also been archived by the network, but these data are not discussed herein. Locations in Appendix D and focal mechanisms in Appendix E are keyed to the geographical quadrangles (usually 7.5 by 7.5 minutes) shown in Figures D1-D4. The main body of this report presents and discusses these data, sometimes including past as well as more recent data in order to preserve continuity and perspective.


In 1979 a 47-station vertical-component seismic network was installed within a 160 km radius of Yucca Mountain to locate and study earthquakes. The network covers the tectonic features of greatest significance relative to seismic hazard assessment at NTS (Figure 1), including

- (1) Fish Lake Valley-Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault zones,
- (2) the apparent east-west belt of seismicity from 37° to 38° north latitude, and
- (3) the NTS "paleoseismic zone."

These and other features have been discussed in Rogers and others (1983); Carr (1984) reviewed the tectonics of the NTS region.

The locations of the current southern Great Basin network stations are shown in Figure 2. In May 1981, a six-station supplemental mini-net was deployed on Yucca Mountain to lower the detection threshold and to improve location accuracy for earthquakes at the proposed site. During the final half of 1984, horizontal component instruments were deployed at stations PRN, GMR, EPN, GMN, YMT4, LSM, and JON (the solid inverted triangles, Figure 2). These serve multiple purposes, including enhanced shear-wave arrival time detection, magnitude estimation for larger earthquakes, and earthquake-radiation-pattern determination.

The analog data from this seismograph network are continuously digitized ("sampled") by a PDP 11/34 computer, and the sampled data are then processed using time-domain digital processors designed to detect earthquakes and other seismic phenomena. When "events" are detected, the network digital data are stored on magnetic tape and later analyzed on a DEC PDP 11/70 computer. A discussion of the telemetry and electronics is given in Appendix A, where the frequency response curves for all systems in use are derived. The combined hardware-software package, including high-resolution graphics display terminals, results in accurate estimation of phase arrival







Figure 1.-Map of generalized seismic zones and tectonic features in the southern Great Basin.



SIZ - Zone of Potential Induced Seismicity; NTS - Nevada Test Site; LM - Lake Mead

0 20 40 80 80 100 KILOMETERS

Figure 2.— Southern Great Basin seismograph stations, with darkened symbols at sites where one or more horizontal component seismometers were installed in 1984. The shaded area extending around nuclear testing zones in the northern Nevada Test Site denotes a region where nuclear tests have influenced seismicity.

times, thus reducing one source of potential error in the hypocenter location process. The uniformly high station gains, combined with the processing tools now in use, give the network the capability of recording earthquakes having local magnitudes as low as $M_L = 0.0$, with region-wide sensitivity at $M_L = 1.0$. When the computer fails, due to computer malfunction such as tape write errors, Develocorder films serve as a backup by recording activity continuously. The 11/34 computer is occasionally taken off-line for system development work and for complete backups. The down-time during the 1982-1983 reporting period was about 5% to 6%, and the films were scanned for these time periods; thus, the catalog in this report should be essentially complete. Known mining blasts and nuclear tests have been removed from the catalog, but a few possible blasts near Bare Mountain have been retained and tagged in Appendix D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Field equipment maintenance and calibration was provided by Don Morgan of the Stanwick Corporation, under the direction of Dee Overturf and Tom Bice of the USGS, who were also responsible for equipment service and calibration at the recording facility in Golden, Colorado.

An automatic focal mechanism determination program that uses both first motion P polarities and S-to-P wavelet amplitude ratios was provided by Arthur Snoke of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Snoke and others, 1984). All of the mechanisms presented in this report were determined from potential solutions generated by this computer program.

We gratefully acknowledge the thorough reviews and discussions that have been provided to the authors by R. E. Anderson, K. F. Fox, W. J. Carr, D. M. Perkins, H. S. Swolfs, W. J. Spence, and G. C. P. King.

HYPOCENTER DETERMINATION DETAILS

The same crustal velocity model, program parameters, and hypocenter quality definitions that were reported in Rogers and others (1983) are used for the locations presented in this report (Appendix D). Earthquake hypocenters are computed using HYPO71 (Lee and Lahr, 1975). The coefficients for the local duration magnitude formula are different than in previous reports as discussed in the magnitude section below. The breakdown of 1982-1983 locations by quality is as follows:

Q	Number	Percent
A	25	1.6
В	442	29.0
C	792	52.0
D	263	17.4

Table 1. HYPO71 earthquake location quality for 1982-1983 earthquakes.

Shear wave (SV) arrivals were used to constrain locations for most of the events in this report. One potential problem in using S-phase arrivals is that they may be misidentified on vertical-component seismograms because of SV-to-P conversion at near-surface high-impedence contacts. This early arriving SV-to-P phase (SP) can in some cases be misidentified as the SVarrival (SS). Using the standard SGB velocity model, denoted here as MO, we examine the ratio of the free surface SP-to-SS displacement amplitudes on both vertical and horizontal components (Figure 3) (Young and Braile, 1976). This plot shows that the vertical component (solid curve) of the converted SP-phase has amplitude about 57% that of the SS or less, except near the critical angle of the reflected SP-phase, where the refracted SP has free surface amplitude about 75% that of the SS-phase. In practice the SS-phase is readily identified on the vertical component records in most cases. Identification of the SS-phase on horizontal records is even more favorable, as might be expected; in the worst case (i.e., all S-energy in SV and none in SH), the free surface SP-amplitude only becomes significant relative to SS for a narrow range of angles of incidence between 45° and 55° (Figure 3, dashed curve). Horizontal component seismographs in the network, installed during the last half of 1984, have rarely recorded SP- conversions this large, indicating that SH is also contributing substantial energy to the seismograms. The difference in arrival times of SP and SS due to a weathered layer having two km thickness, and a shear wave incident at 52° at its base, using model M0, is 0.82 seconds. An examination of many vertical and corresponding horizontal SGB seismograms reveals the presence of the SP-phase having about 50% to 60% the SS-amplitude, but we have never observed an SP-phase having more than about 60% the SSamplitude, where the SS-arrival was authenticated on horizontal seismograms. Since horizontal records have become available, vertical S-arrival times are now routinely checked against horizontal S-times at the same station or at a nearby station for consistency.

The assessment of the importance of misidentified S arrivals on hypocenter estimation is probably best conducted on an earthquake by earthquake basis. Generalizations are difficult because the influence of the S readings is dependent on station azimuth and distance, travel time residual, amount of data redundancy (most solutions are vastly overdetermined), adequacy of P and S velocity models, weights assigned by the analyst to the S arrivals, and other factors. A HYPO71 "A"-quality solution having 20 or more phase readings will be nearly unaffected if as many as 50% of the S arrivals are in fact SP converted phases given that the P phases are correctly scaled: arrival time residual weighing will automatically diminish the influence of those misidentified S arrivals to zero. A HYPO71 "C"-quality solution having 8 phase readings and 50% misidentified S phases may or may not show a non-trivial depth of focus bias, i.e., the likelihood is greater in this

case that the misidentified S readings will influence the final solution. We conducted numerical experiments by creating a phase arrival set that was in some ways typical of a very small earthquake on the edge of the SGB network: 6 P- and 2 S-arrivals were used, the P arrivals were assigned uniformly distributed random errors in the range ± 0.05 seconds, one or both of the S arrivals were assumed to be misidentified, and were thus 0.4 to 0.8 seconds early, the azimuthal gap was 180°, and the nearest station was slightly more than one focal depth from the epicenter. Using only the P data, the solution depth converged to within 2% of the true depth of focus (8.09 km). Adding two S-readings, one correct and the other 0.6 seconds early, did not significantly degrade the solution (4% error in estimated depth) when both were given equal weights (HYPO71 2) by the analyst; finally, by removing the S arrival that had the large negative (-0.57 second) residual, the analyst recovered the true solution (to within 1%). For shallow-focus earthquakes (1 to 3 km below sea-level), the presence of a mixture of SP and true S arrivals along with 6 accurate P arrivals was not deleterious to the depth estimates. The basic conclusion of these and many other experiments is that about 6 or more accurate P arrivals are usually sufficient to determine the true hypocentral parameters, even with mediocre azimuthal coverage (180°), at least when the velocity model closely corresponds to the local velocity structure, and the addition of a mix of welland mis-identified S readings tends at worst not to degrade the solution and often decreases the parameter error estimates, if down-weighting of phases having large residuals has been applied. From these considerations, we believe that adding horizontal-component seismometers at various locations throughout the SGB in mid 1984, and subsequently scaling S phases more accurately, has reduced the average standard error estimates associated with hypocenter parameter estimates, but has not had much effect on the parameter estimates themselves.

MAGNITUDE ESTIMATION DETAILS

The first step in the estimation of local magnitude in a given region is the determination of a region-dependent attenuation correction. In the past seismologists have generally assumed that the correction applied by Richter (1958) could be applied in any region in order to maintain consistency. This attenuation correction is called the "log A_0 " curve. Recent studies by Bakun and Joyner (1984) and Rogers and others (1987) have found that the log A_0 curve is regionally dependent and is related to the average crustal Q. Q values near 700-900 for 1 to 10 Hz S waves have been determined for the southern Great Basin (Rogers and others, 1987), and, in comparison, a Q determination for central California of Q= 135f, f in Hz, was found by Bakun and Joyner (1984) using a similar technique. (The ground motion frequency is specified by f). Operation of Wood-Anderson seismographs in the region is another requirement for the determination of local magnitude. Herrmann and Kijko (1983) and Rogers and others (1987) have demonstrated that a magnitude value closely approximating Richter magnitude can be calculated using the peak amplitudes from earthquakes recorded using the U.S. Geological Survey telemetered network. This magnitude value should be properly called M_{bLg} because calculation of the magnitude uses a formula that resembles the original M_{bLg} distance correction and because the peak amplitude used is the maximum value recorded in the shear-wave train on a vertical-component instrument. The computation of this magnitude is as follows (Rogers and others, 1987):

$$M_{bLg} = \log_{10}(PWA) - \log_{10}(A_0)$$
$$-\log_{10}(A_0) = 0.833\log_{10}(r) + 0.00164r + 0.88,$$

where r = hypocentral distance in km and PWA is a pseudo-Wood-Anderson peak amplitude multiplied by factors to correct the vertical component to an estimated peak horizontal motion




Figure 3.— Ratio of free surface displacement amplitude of the SVP to the SVSV ray for the vertical (solid) and horizontal (dashed) components plotted as a function of angle of incidence of a plane SV wave at the base of the weathered layer. The inset indicates the ray geometry. α is the P wave velocity (km/sec). Beyond 63°, the refracted P ceases to exist for the velocity model used here.

and a factor to correct a PWA amplitude for a residual instrument response effect (see Rogers and others, 1987 for details). The value of these factors are 1.75 and 1.41, respectively. When one or more peak amplitude readings are available for an earthquake, M_{bLg} is computed and reported in Appendix D.

The estimation of local magnitude, M_L or M_{bLg} , from coda duration and source-station distance has been found to be a practical alternative to magnitude estimation based on wavelet amplitude (Lee and others, 1972). This method first requires development of an empirical relationship between M_L and coda duration. The task is to find the best coefficients a, b, c, and possibly d in the expression

$$M_d = a \log_{10}(\tau) + b \tau + c + d h + ST A_k$$

= M_L + residual

where

 M_d = duration magnitude estimate,

 $M_L =$ a local magnitude estimate, preferably a true Wood-Anderson magnitude

or in this case a network M_{bLa} ,

 $\tau = \text{total coda duration in seconds}$,

r =source-station distance in km (epicentral or hypocentral),

h = earthquake depth of focus in km,

 $STA_k = k^{th}$ station magnitude correction,

and the ranges of independent variables over which these coefficients may be used. It has been recognized (Aki and Chouet, 1975) that regional variations in tectonics and attenuation affect the rate of decay of coda, and that total measured coda duration is a function of the passband of the instruments in use (e.g., Bakun and Lindh, 1977); therefore, we expect that any M_d formula should be unique to each local network, indeed, to each instrument type within a network. In the southern Great Basin, all instruments have similar responses, and differences are absorbed into station corrections.

In the following we assume that an accurate estimate of M_L for each event has been obtained by independent means. For the SGB network, M_L is an M_{bLg} value. We estimate coda r on a Tektronix graphics display screen or on Develocorder films. Epicentral or hypocentral distance, r, is routinely obtained from a standard local earthquake location program. The statistical parameters a, b, c, and STA_k , $k = 1, \ldots, nsta$, are estimated from regression on the model above, using the constraint that $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{nsta} STA_k\right) = 0.0$. In this study, we set d = 0.0 and use hypocentral distances rather than epicentral distances. The linear nature of the regression curve above requires that the duration magnitude - local magnitude relationship be linear in the range in which the magnitude data are used. A non-linear relationship is observed between coda duration and M_L for events with less than ten second durations; thus, events having average coda length less than ten seconds are excluded from the regression analysis. Also, low magnitude $(M_L < 0.5)$ events are excluded from the regression analyses because M_L should always be available for these events (i.e., even the nearest stations to these earthquakes should not saturate so peak amplitudes may be scaled). In the regression which follows, M_{bLg} may be thought of as the observed response variable, and M_d as the predicted response. The regressions performed here minimized the quantity

$$\sum_{i,j} (\overline{M_{bLg}(i)} - M_d(i,j))^2,$$

where
$$\overline{M_{bLg}(i)} = \text{ average } M_{bLg}$$

scaled at five or more stations, for the i^{th} earthquake, and where j indicates the j^{th} station having a coda duration reading for that earthquake.

The results of this regression are

$$M_d = 1.67(\pm 0.028) \log_{10} \tau + 0.00227(\pm .00011)r - 1.28 + STA_k(\pm ERRSTA_k)$$

where r = hypocentral distance (km). The regression is based on 133 earthquakes, 1903 duration readings, and 56 stations used. The resulting model standard deviation estimate = 0.2094, and the parameter standard error estimates are given in parentheses. The constant c = -1.28 has no error estimate because c was obtained by a posteriori application of the station constraint to the results of a regression analysis in which station terms were unconstrained and in which c was not explicitly included. The plot of M_d (predicted) vs. M_L (observed) (Figure 4), shows a linear fit for $0.5 \le M_L \le 2.5$. This duration magnitude formula was used for the duration magnitudes we report.

The plot of M_d vs. M_L suggests that the duration magnitude tends to underestimate M_L for $M_L > 2.5$ suggesting a non-linear relationship between M_L and $\log(\tau)$ for M_L values above 2.5. This relationship is difficult to evaluate because the entire seismograph network frequently records clipped peak amplitudes for events having $M_L > 2.7$. In networks that monitor seismicity having a larger range of magnitudes, with some lower gain stations available for scaling peak amplitudes, a pronounced non-linearity in the $\log(\tau)$ vs M_L relationship has been observed and is equivalent to non-linearity between M_L and M_d over large ranges of M_L (for example, Bakun and Lindh, 1977). The nonlinearity may be modelled by using a $(\log(\tau))^2$ dependence instead of a $\log(\tau)$ dependence in the regression, or alternatively, by fitting the M_d vs M_L relationship by two or more line segments. Although we have examined the applicability of both of these methods to our data set, the limited number of data points in the appropriate magnitude range prevent us from using them with confidence. Thus, at present we will use the expression above. (In 1986, amplifier gains at LSM horizontal component seismometers were lowered to 38 db, and gains at YMT4 horizontal component seismometers were lowered to 60 db, thereby increasing the network's effective dynamic range. LSM now records amplitudes on-scale for a 100 km distant $M_L = 4.0$ earthquake. Preliminary evidence from a few larger SGB earthquakes scaled at LSM indicates that the M_d formula above may underestimate M_L by about 0.5 units for a $M_L = 3.5$ earthquake. These details will be discussed in a future report.)

Finally, a third method of estimating magnitude has been discussed by Johnson (1979). This method is based on a measurement of the coda amplitude and the time after the P-wave arrival time that this amplitude occurs. This technique permits magnitude estimates even if the peak amplitudes on the record are offscale and/or the entire coda length has not been "saved" by the digital system. In order to apply this method we first compute an unnormalized magnitude value at station j using Johnson's equations and constants:

$$\overline{M_{cj}} = \overline{R(r)} - A_0(j) + q \log_{10}(r),$$

where

 $\tau = \text{time after the P-wave onset,}$

 $R(r) = \log_{10}$ of the mean coda amplitude in a 5-second time window centered around τ ,

 $A_0(j) =$ a constant dependent on the gain at station j, and on site effects,

and q = 1.8 = a constant defining the shape of the coda.




Figure 4.- Scattergram of the predicted M_D plotted as a function of observed M_L^{SGB} resulting from the regression of duration magnitude coefficients on M_L^{SGB} for 133 digitally recorded SGB earthquakes.

In principle q should be determined from the data for each region; however, in this case we determined that a reasonably stable magnitude value could be determined using the value of q determined by Johnson (1979). Generally, each station coda permits several M_{cj} estimates, one in each non clipped time window, which are then averaged. We compute $A_0(j)$ as the average station residual for a large catalog of event M_{cj} estimates. The initial M_{cj} value is calibrated against the local magnitude, M_{bLg} , by regression of $M'_{cj} = \overline{M_{cj} + A_0(j)}$ against M_{bLg} for a large number of earthquakes. Double averaging is here intended to indicate that several raw M_{cj} estimates at each station are obtained (one per unclipped 5 second time window), and then several stations are averaged to obtain the uncalibrated magnitude, M'_{cj} . For our data set, the coda-amplitude magnitude, M_{ca} , that closely approximates M_{bLg} is calculated from

$$M_{co} = 0.85 M'_{cj} - 1.77.$$

Figure 5 shows the correlation between resulting coda-amplitude magnitude, M_{ca} , and M_{bL_g} , designated in the figure as M_L^{SGB} . The errors, discussed above, in linearly extrapolating the M_d formula beyond the observed M_{bL_g} range are also present for the M_{ca} magnitude formula when it is used to estimate magnitudes higher than about M = 2.5. Thus, the M_{ca} formula will also require revision in the future.

For reference, we also show, in Figure 5, the relation between the A_0 station corrections used to compute M_{cj} and the M_L^{SGB} station corrections. The strong correlation between the two station terms for a given instrument type and gain indicates the importance of site effects on station estimates of both magnitude types, M_{ca} and M_L^{SGB} .

A more detailed discussion of magnitudes and how our new scale relates to other network magnitude estimates is presented by Rogers and others (1987). In terms of earthquake hazard estimation, a significant result of this study is a reduction in magnitude values by as much as 0.5 to 1.0 magnitude units for a given earthquake when compared to previous estimates based on magnitude scales developed for California earthquakes. As a result of this study, magnitudes for all earthquakes recorded by this network for the period from August 1978 through December 1983 have been recomputed (Appendix D). Rogers and others (1987) also noted that magnitudes for historical earthquakes in this region reported by California observatories may be overestimated by as much as 0.8 magnitude units. The overestimation is the result of applying an inappropriate log A_0 curve, and is thus dependent on epicentral distance but independent of earthquake magnitude.

FOCAL MECHANISM DETERMINATION DETAILS

Nineteen individual and composite event focal mechanisms were computed from the 1982-1983 earthquakes of this report. Hypocenters and moment tensor data are summarized in Appendix E, Table E1. The polarity readings and other details for each mechanism are shown in Appendix E, Figures E1 through E19. Focal mechanisms in this report are referenced by the earthquake date (for example, 830528); composite mechanisms are referenced by the date of the largest earthquake in the composite; the origin time (UTC) in both cases is included when necessary to avoid confusion.

Some of these mechanisms include observed and theoretical $(SV/P)_x$ amplitude data (Kisslinger and others, 1981) as well as first-motion P-polarities. Six of the mechanisms presented in this report are relatively well-constrained by first-motion polarities alone; however, the $(SV/P)_x$ amplitude ratios are used in conjunction with polarities to further constrain 13 solutions, that is, to help select the mechanism having the closest observed-to-theoretical amplitude ratios from all the possible solutions having a maximum allowed number of polarity inconsistencies (usually zero or one). In some instances, due to the small size of the earthquakes being analysed and due to the relative sparseness of station coverage, the $(SV/P)_x$ ratios play a large role in constraining the solutions.




Figure 5.— (a), Scattergram of M_{ca} plotted as a function of M_L for 250 digitally recorded SGB earthquakes having at least five amplitude and five duration readings per event. Predetermined station corrections were applied. The product correlation coefficient, $\rho(M_{ca}, M_L^{SGB})$, equals 0.97, for this data set. (b), Comparison of the station corrections $A_0(j), j = 1, \ldots, nsta$ with the M_L^{SGB} station corrections. \times , ∇ , and \square represent L4C, S13O, and S13Y instruments, respectively. The \square stations on or above the \times station trend line are low gain stations. The ∇ and \square stations below the \times trend line are high gain stations.

Several assumptions, discussed in Kisslinger and others (1981), must be satisfied for the method to be valid. One assumption that was checked for the theoretical southern Great Basin velocity model is that the transmitted P-wave amplitude decays at a rate comparable to that of the transmitted SV-wave as the waves pass through crustal interfaces. The effect on the S- to P-ratio of one or two internal boundaries combined with the free surface is observed in Figure 6, in which the ratio of transmitted S-to-P body-wave amplitudes is plotted as a function of the rays' take-off angle, or angle of incidence, at the source. The compressional and torsional rays are assumed to follow identical paths. For earthquakes originating in the depth range one to three km below sea-level, the solid curve shows that the ratio is reasonably close to 1.0 for angles of incidence from 70° to 90°. For angles less than 55°, a nearly linear dependence of the ratio on angle of incidence is evident, and must be removed. This situation arises when the station's epicentral distance is on the order of 1 source depth or less. Also, for angles of incidence in the 55° to 70° range, no ratio data are usable, due to the instability resulting from free surface effects. For earthquakes originating at depths greater than three km below sea-level, the range of angles of incidence for which the SV-to-P ratio is near 1.0 is from about 77° to 90°. For angles less than 60°, the SV-to-P vertical component surface correction must be added to the observed ratio data. Because most stations are more than 3 to 4 source depths distant from the earthquakes being analysed, the majority of direct arrivals are in the range 75° to 90°, so the free surface effect is usually negligible for the data presented in this report. The relative constancy of the SV-to-P free surface particle-motion amplitude ratio over this fairly wide range of angles of incidence is a useful feature of the method, because the ray's angle of incidence is usually not very well resolved for most stations more than 2 to 3 source depths distant. Conversely, where the station is less than 1 to 2 source depths from the epicenter, the earthquake depth of focus is usually well-resolved, and the ray angle is less sensitive to errors in the velocity model; therefore, the correction for free-surface angle of incidence can be accurately determined.

Differences in anelastic attenuation for P- and S-waves could possibly affect the measured $(SV/P)_x$ ratios. Anelastic attenuation for compressional waves is not as great as for torsional waves, but this effect should be negligible for close-in (distance < 50 km) stations, since (a) the measured frequencies for the P-wavelet are frequently higher than for S, offsetting the effects of their higher velocity and Q ($Q_P \approx 2Q_S$ is often assumed) and (b) a recent investigation into the attenuation of shear waves in the SGB (Rogers and others, 1987) shows that the SGB is a high-crustal-Q region, in which neither S nor P will undergo much anelastic attenuation for stations within 50 km of the hypocenter. Quantitatively, we may assume $Q_S = 1000$ and $Q_P = 2000$, values appropriate to body wave propagation (geometric spreading coefficient, n = 1; Rogers and others, 1987, their Table 2). For P- and S-wavelets each having period 0.10 seconds (frequency 10 hz), $\alpha = 6$ km/sec, $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{1.7}$, a plausible path correction for anelastic attenuation is

$$-\log_{10}(\exp[-10\pi r(\frac{1}{1000\beta}-\frac{1}{2000\alpha})])=0.0027r,$$

where r is the source-station distance (km). For the focal mechanism data of this report, we did not consider the anelastic attenuation path correction to be large enough, given the various uncertainties involved, to be applied.

The sparsity of seismometers in many parts of the southern Great Basin requires that we often rely on amplitude ratio data to limit the range of focal mechanisms that may be associated with a given earthquake. An example of the benefits and limitations of using amplitude ratio data to aid in the determination of the earthquake focal mechanism is shown in Appendix E, Figure E16. The P-wave first-motion polarities for that earthquake (831110 13:17) are inadequate to constrain nodal plane strike, dip, or rake angle: normal, strike-slip, and even oblique-thrust slip




Figure 6.- The theoretical $S\dot{V}S\dot{V}/\dot{P}\dot{P}$ ratio of vertical component amplitudes at the free surface is plotted as a function of angle of incidence of the $S\dot{V}-$ or $\dot{P}-$ ray at the first layer boundary (solid curve), where the SV- and P-waves have the same amplitudes in the second layer. The theoretical $S\dot{V}S\dot{V}/\dot{P}\dot{P}\dot{P}$ ratio of vertical component amplitudes at the free surface is plotted as a function of angle of incidence of the $S\dot{V}-$ or $\dot{P}-$ ray at the second layer boundary (dashed curve), where the SV- and P-waves have the same amplitudes in the third layer. The inset shows the ray geometry involved, for earthquakes originating at depths corresponding to the second or third model layer, for velocity model M0. Anelastic attenuation effects have not been included in the calculations. The ratio of P to S velocity equals 1.71 in all layers in velocity model M0.

mechanisms are possible with no polarity inconsistencies. However, by adding 9 amplitude ratio readings, only two classes of mechanisms having 3 or fewer gross amplitude ratio errors remain, shown by the solid and dashed nodal plane solutions, respectively. For the solid-line solution, 7 of the 9 amplitude ratios are within tolerance of their theoretical values, whereas only 6 of the 9 are within tolerance for the other solution. Therefore, a weak preference may be assigned to the solid line solution. Although the solutions of Appendix E, Figure E16 imply that different geological structures are active, they have very similar T axes, and associated with other focal mechanisms, they may both be fit by the same stress field (discussed below). In summary, augmenting polarity data with SV/P_s amplitude data may unambiguously constrain the most plausible solutions to extensional types, and may provide a quantitative method (minimum rms ratio error) to narrow the range to the one or two preferred solutions shown in Appendix E. In that the solution having minimum rms error is chosen from a class of solutions for which the rms error varies by about 10%, the preferred solutions should be thought of as approximations that are at least equally plausible as those for which strike, dip, or rake angles differ by about 10° .

THE ASSOCIATION OF EARTHQUAKES AND MAPPED FAULTING

A question in regard to estimating seismic hazard at the proposed repository site is whether earthquakes in the region can be associated with specific known or suspected faults. This problem is considered in the paragraphs that follow as part of a discussion concerning the relationship between seismicity and the mapped geology of specific areas. Where possible we have compared seismicity with known Quaternary faults. The regional Quaternary record, however, is still under study and is incomplete. In many cases, then, we can only compare earthquake patterns with mapped pre-Quaternary structural grain, a comparison that is less desirable. Reactivation of old structures is not unusual, however, lending some credibility to these comparisons. In some cases observed relationships result in an improved understanding of the active deformational processes in the region. In light of certain limitations of the data that have been discussed above, however, the interpretations suggested must be considered tentative. Certainly, greater numbers of earthquakes should be located than currently available, and improved velocity models and earthquake location procedures should be attempted before accepting these interpretations in any definitive tectonic analysis. On the other hand, preliminary attempts to conduct joint velocity-hypocentral inversions for selected regions (Chang, written comm., 1987) seem not to materially affect our conclusions. These results will be presented in a future report. The main points in the following discussions are summarized in Table 2.

Seismicity Overview

All earthquake epicenters (Appendix D) located by the SGB network through 1983 are plotted by magnitude range in Plate 1. Figure 7 shows the same epicenters plotted in Plate 1, with outlines of areas showing the locations of the detailed maps in Figures 9 through 14. Figure 8 shows the epicenters for 1982 and 1983 alone. Comparison of the 1982-83 (Figure 8) monitoring period with the period 1978-81 (Rogers and others, 1983) shows that many of the earlier active zones continue to produce clusters of earthquakes during this monitoring period. Comparison of the 1978-1983 monitoring period with the historic record (1868-1978; Figure 9) also leads to the conclusion that many of the earlier active regions continue to be active to 1983. In many cases, however, these zones are much more diffuse in the historic record because the accuracy of the locations is relatively low compared to the present data set. Both the historic and current seismicity maps show a band of seismicity crossing the SGB between roughly 36°N and 38°N that maybe somewhat discontinuous. That is, the east-west band may actually be the result of activity in a number of subzones across the SGB. The existence of earthquakes across this region before nuclear testing began suggests that this zone is not solely due to nuclear testing (Meremonte and Rogers, 1987). Although not

apparent in any of the figures in this report, the east-west seismic zone also exhibits a northerly extension into central Nevada at about 116°N.

Through 1983 Yucca Mountain has been within a zone of very low seismicity that extends to the west at least as far as 117°W. The historic and 1978-1983 records also show an apparent northeast-trending belt of seismicity that crosses Jackass Flats and Rock Valley about 20 km east of Yucca Mountain. This belt appears to be much more active in the 1978-1983 record, but this appearance is likely due to increased earthquake detection levels. The proposed site area at Yucca Mountain was seismically inactive during 1982-1983 (Figure 11).

Several new or previously unrecognized zones either became active or had significantly increased activity rates during 1982-83 compared to the 1978-81 monitoring period. Locations of the new activity are: earthquakes northwest of Alamo in the Pahranagat Valley; events on the southwest side of Indian Springs Valley (Figure 14) and events in the valley to the east of the Pintwater Range; events between Mt. Dunfee and Gold Mountain and earthquakes to the east of Mt. Dunfee (Figure 13); and a cluster in Death Valley near Stovepipe Wells (between stations FMT and MCA, Figures 2 and 7). Several zones experienced increased seismicity in the 1981-82 period that may have been active only before 1978, for example: a zone of concentrated seismicity in a region of exposed bedrock between the northern end of the Hiko Range and the southern end of the North Pahroc Range (Figure 16); southwest of Alamo in the Tickaboo Valley (Figure 14); near the California-Nevada border (Figures 10 and 7).

Examination of Plate 1 shows that microseismicity in this region is largely uncorrelated with range front faults in spite of the likelihood that some of these faults, particularly in the Walker Lane belt, may be late Quaternary or younger in age (M. Reheis, personal comm., 1987). This lack of correlation suggests that these earthquakes reflect effects of deformation processes other than those directly related to the basin and range topography. Small earthquakes occurring in central Utah are also uncorrelated with the fault boundary between the Colorado Plateau and the Great Basin (Arabasz and Julander, 1986), although abundant Holocene fault scarps occur along that zone. Thus, this lack of correlation should not be taken as evidence that range front faults are unlikely to be associated with large earthquakes in the SGB.

The tectonics and seismicity for the period 1978-83 of selected regions are shown in Figures 10 through 16. Figure 17 shows the areas discussed below for which detailed maps and cross sections are presented. Appendix F contains stereo pairs for each of the active zones shown in Figure 17. A detailed discussion of focal mechanisms and active earthquake zones follows.




Figure 7.- Regional seismicity, August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983. Boxes indicate the areas shown in figures 10 through 16.




Figure 8.- Regional seismicity for the calendar years 1982 and 1983. Boxes indicate the areas shown in figures 10 through 16.




Figure 9.— Historical southern Great Basin seismicity spanning the time period 1868 through August, 1978. Because the locations in the historical record are often estimated to 0.1 degree, a single point on this plot often represents several dozen earthquakes.




Figure 10.- Seismicity and focal mechanisms in the southern NTS region, for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. Faults from W. J. Carr (written comm., 1983).




Figure 11.— Faults at Yucca Mountain (modified from USGS, 1984, their Figure 30). Solid lines indicate observed faults, whereas dashed and dotted lines indicate inferred faults. One earthquake (810413) was observed in this region during the time period August, 1978 through December, 1983. G1 - location of drillhole G1.




Figure 12.- Seismicity and focal mechanisms in the northern NTS region for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The geologic data are modified from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 13.- Seismicity and focal mechanisms west of NTS for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The geologic data are modified from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 14.—Seismicity east of NTS for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983.

The geologic data are modified from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 15.— Seismicity in the Pahranagat shear zone area for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The geologic data are modified from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 16.- Seismicity and focal mechanism in the vicinity of Pahroc Valley and North Pahroc Range for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The geologic data are modified from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 17.- Small rectangles enclose regions for which detailed epicenter and depth section plots are presented in the following figures. Numbers within or immediately adjacent to these rectangles correspond to figure numbers. The map shows the regional seismicity for the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983.

Region	Activity	Trend, Dip, Slip	Data
Jackass Flats	1980 seismicity	E-W; -; -	plan view seismicity
:		E-W; N; sinistral	focal mech. 800510
		N-S; vertical; dextral	focal mech. 800510
	'80-'83 seismicity	NE-SW; -; -	depth section plot
		NE-SW; steep; oblique sinistral	focal mech. 830906
	pre-Quaternary faults	NE-SW; -; -	geologic maps
Mercury Valley	'79-'83 seismicity	E-NE; steep; -	depth section plots
Mercury vancy	19-00 Bellineley	E-NE; vertical; sinistral	focal mech. 831211
Rock Valley &	Quaternary faults	E-NE; -; -	geologic map
_	Quaternary lautes	D-1415, -; -	geologic map
Cane Springs	270 100 C-11-1	N; W; -	St
Frenchman Flat &	'79-'83 Seismicity		Stereo plots
Massachusetts	'71&'73	N; steep; dextral	focal mechs. 710805
Mountain	earthquakes		730219
Funeral	1983 seismicity	NW-SE; steep-NE?; -	depth section plot
Mountains		N-S; vertical; oblique dextral	focal mechs. 830102
		NE-SW; steep; oblique sinistral	focal mech. 830103
	pre-Quaternary &	N-N45°; -; -	Geologic maps
Lathrop Wells	'79-'83 seismicity	N-NE; -; -	Stereo plots
	'82 earthquake	N; vertical; oblique dextral	focal mech. 820409
Striped Hills-	'79-'83 seismicity	E-NE; -; -	Stereo plots
Rock Valley	'83 earthquake	E-NE; steep; sinistral	focal mech. 830530
	Quaternary faults	E-NE; -; sinistral	Geologic map
	pre-Quaternary faults	N20°E-N60°E; -; -	geologic map
Dome Mtn.	1983 seismicity	NW; -; -	Depth sections
	'83 earthquake	N; E; dextral	focal mech. 830528
	Quaternary? faults	N; E; -	Geologic maps
Timber Mtn.	regional setting	NW; -; -	Walker Lane
Thirsty Canyon	1979 seismicity	N; -; -	depth section plot &
Innsey Canyon	1919 Sciamicity	N; vertical; dextral	focal mech. 790817
}	1002 asiamisitu	N-NE; W; normal	depth section plot &
	1983 seismicity	N-NE; W; ROTHER	_
'	0	N. 117	focal mechs. 830217,830224
l	pre-Quaternary fault	N; W; normal	geologic map
Sarcobatus Flat	earthquake series a	N; W; -	stereo plots
	1979 earthquake	N; steep; dextral	focal mech. 791225
Sarcobatus Flat	earthquake series b	N; W; -	depth section plot
	1982 earthquake	N; vertical; dextral	focal mech. 820119
	Quaternary faults	NNW; W; -	unpublished mapping
Sarcobatus Flat	earthquake series c	N; steep-W?; -	depth section plot
	1983 earthquakes	N to N35°E; steep;	focal mechs.
,		oblique dextral	830831 20:06 & 20:56
	Quaternary faults	NNW; W; -	unpublished mapping
Sarcobatus Flat	earthquake series d	N; steep-E; -	depth section plot
	1983 earthquake	N; steep-E;	focal mech.
	ļ	oblique dextral	831110 13:17
	1983 earthquake	NE; SE; oblique normal	focal mech. 831110 10:37
•	pre-Quaternary faults	N; E; -	geologic maps

Table 2. Summary of relationships of seismicity in the southern Great Basin to mapped faults (continued on next page). See the text for a complete discussion and references.

Region	Activity	Trend, Dip, Slip	Data
Slate Ridge	Feb.; '83 seismicity	N70°E; > 80°; -	depth section plot
	Feb.; '83 seismicity	N70°E; 55°; -	depth section plot
	pre-Quaternary faults	N10°E& E-W; -; -	Geologic maps
	Oct.; '83 seismicity	NE; -; -	depth section plot
	1983 earthquakes	NE; E-SE; oblique normal	focal mech. 831001
	Quaternary faults	NE; -; -	geologic maps
Yucca Flat	Yucca fault-Quaternary	N; steep-E; normal	Geologic maps
	'79-'83 seismicity	Varied (figure 26)	depth section & stereo plots
Indian Springs	'79-'83 seismicity	N; E; -	depth section fig. 30a
Valley &		N; -; -(rt. stepping en echelon)	stereo plots
Spotted Range	'81 & '83 earthquakes	≈N; vert. to steep E; dextral	focal mechs.
			811226 & 831128
	pre-Quaternary faults	NNE; -; -	geologic maps
near town of	'79-'83 seismicity	NE; N-NW; -	depth section fig. 30b
Indian Springs			
Spotted Range	pre-Quaternary faults	N-NE; -; -	state geology map
	Las Vegas Valley	NW; -; -	Quaternary geology maps
	Shear Zone		
Pahranagat	'79-'83 seismicity	NE; -; -; left-stepping	stereo plots
Shear Zone		N; -; -	stereo plots
	1979 earthquake	N; steep; dextral	focal mech. 790813
	1981 earthqua ke	E-NE; steep; oblique sinistral	focal mech. 811228
	pre-Quaternary faults	NE; -; -	geologic maps
	pre-Quaternary faults	N; W; -	geologic maps
	Quaternary faults	N; -; dextral	prelim. reconn.
North Pahroc Range	, -	N; W; -(diffuse)	stereo plots
	1982 earthquake	N; steep-W; dextral	focal mech. 820706
	pre-Quaternary faults	N; -; - & E; -; -	geology map

Table 2 (continued).

Earthquakes in the Vicinity of Jackass Flats

The seismicity in the time period 1979-1983 in eastern Jackass Flats (see Figure 10) is plotted in depth sections in Figures 18a and b. A weak east-west lineation defined by events that occurred in 1980 (Figure 18a) includes the 800510 earthquake ($M_d=1.2$) for which a mechanism was previously prepared (Rogers and others, 1983, p. 29). That focal mechanism has an east-west striking nodal plane dipping 70° to the north and a vertical north-south nodal plane. Although the map view of this cluster appears to have a rough east-west lineation (Figure 18a), examination of the stereo-pair for this cluster (Appendix F, Figure F1a), suggests that this event could be interpreted as being near the southern end of the easternmost of two subparallel northerly-trending epicenter lineations.

About four km south of this 1980 activity a spatially diffuse set of earthquakes occurred from 1980 to 1983. A focal mechanism is also available for this group (event 830906; Figure 18b; Appendix E, Figure E1). The hypocenter depth sections shown in Figure 18b do not help to resolve the fault plane for this focal mechanism. The dip of the northwest-trending plane is not well-constrained by first motions, and the amplitude ratio data used to constrain the dip may have poorly modeled take-off angles; HYPO71 treats the rays as refractions from a velocity discontinuity at three km, whereas the radiation pattern model being fit assumes the rays are direct. Earthquake 830906 lies about 3 km west of Skull Mountain, where the majority of mapped pre-Quaternary faults have a northeast orientation (McKay and Williams, 1964). The mapped Pliocene faults at Skull Mountain (Ekren and Sargent, 1965) also have a northeast orientation. A weak northeast epicenter lineation (four or five events including event 830906) can be seen here, but a northwest lineation is also possible. If a choice of preferred nodal plane is made primarily on the basis of the geological structural grain, the northeast-trending nodal plane is preferred. The indicated slip on this plane is oblique sinistral motion. Additional discussion of these earthquakes follows in the next section.

Earthquakes in the Vicinity of Mercury Valley

The Mercury Valley - Red Mountain - Ranger Mountain region produced few earthquakes $(M_L \leq 2.0)$ during the 1979-1983 monitoring period (Figure 9, Figures 19 a and b), but a focal mechanism for one earthquake (event 831211) was nevertheless computed. That shallow-focus earthquake $(M_L = 1.6)$, appearing in the center of AA' and BB' (Figure 19b), has a predominantly strike-slip focal mechanism (Appendix E, Figure E2). The earthquake occurred near the intersection of northeast-striking Quaternary faults and the northwest-striking Las Vegas Valley shear zone (Hinrichs, 1968). The left-lateral Rock Valley fault system, which trends east-northeast, is about 6 to 7 km north of the epicenter and is currently seismically active (Figure 19a). This earthquake is one of a weakly defined five-epicenter alinement that trends northeast (most easily seen in Figure 19 or Appendix F, figure F2), parallel to the Quaternary faults mapped by Hinrichs (1968). This lineation is subparallel to the group of earthquakes alining with the Rock Valley fault system to the north. Hence the northeast-striking nodal plane of earthquake 831211 is preferred. If these events, in fact, do lie on an east-northeast-trending fault, section BB' (Figure 19a) suggests that the fault dips steeply.

Jackass Flats-Rock Valley-Mercury Valley areas

The southern quadrant of NTS includes Jackass Flats, Rock Valley (RV), and Mercury Valley (Figure 10). We have plotted, in Figure F15, a stereo-pair showing the seismicity for this area. This view shows the complexity of seismicity in the southern NTS, and also suggests several trends that are not readily apparent in the other detailed views. In spite of the fact that some of the complexity may be related to location errors, we believe that several significant features are present




Figure 18.— (a) The 1979-1983 seismicity of eastern Jackass Flats is plotted with epicenter symbols keyed to magnitude. For this and all subsequent depth section plots, the magnitude symbols are small diamonds for $M_L < 1.0$, small squares for $1.0 \le M_L < 1.8$, small circles for $1.8 \le M_L < 2.6$, and larger circles for $M_L \ge 2.6$. Generally, hypocenters having focal mechanisms are plotted as stars. (b) The 1979-1983 earthquakes in the southern part of Jackass Flats and northern Little Skull Mountain (LSM). The vertical bar centered on each symbol in these and subsequent depth section plots represents $\pm 1\sigma$ standard error in the depth estimate (HYPO71). Depths-of-focus are plotted in cross section if at least five phase readings are included in the hypocenter determination; otherwise, depth-of-focus errors are not estimable. Also, in this and the following figures the cross sections and maps are plotted at the same scale. Thus, the cross section axes can be used to scale map distances. Faults from Michael J. Carr (written commun., 1987).




Figure 19.— (a) Maps and depth sections for 1979-1983 seismicity to the north of Mercury Valley.

(b) 1979-1983 seismicity in the neighborhood of earthquake 831211 (Appendix E, Figure E2).

LVSZ - northwest end of Las Vegas Shear Zone. Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987).

in this map. In the southeastern part of this plot we recognize as many as 5 northeast-to east-northeast-trending zones of seismicity, which are at least partially confirmed on basis of mapped geology and focal mechanisms. These zones are sub-parallel to the Rock Valley and Cane Springs fault zones (Figure 10). The southwest terminus of these zones occurs in proximity to the inferred trace of the Las Vegas Valley shear zone or possibly the northern terminus of the Spring Mountain Range, giving the appearance of a diffuse northwest epicentral trend.

In the northeast quadrant of this stereo-pair map the earthquakes beneath Frenchman Flat form two sub-planar clusters that strike north along the east side of the flat. The easternmost cluster appears to be vertical or perhaps steeply dipping to the east. The westernmost cluster dips steeply to the west. These clusters appear to be discordant, however, with the structural trends that might be inferred to underlie Frenchman Flat, based on the general structural grain south of the Yucca-Frenchman flexure. Two earthquakes $(M_L \approx 4)$ in this zone yield focal mechanisms that suggest strike slip on faults trending north-south or east-west (Frenchman Lake, Feb. 19,1973) to northeast-southwest (Massachusetts Mountain, August 5, 1971; Carr, 1974). There is no surface geologic evidence for north-south or east-west fault trends at Frenchman Flat, where the structural grain in the surrounding rock and alluvium trends about northeast-southwest. The Massachusetts Mountain aftershock locations, which were at depths greater than 6 km and occurred mostly south of the Cane Spring fault and the Yucca-Frenchman flexure, suggest a roughly north-northwesttrending fault plane. This orientation is also not corroborated by the geologic mapping. These data and our earthquake clustering suggest support for Carr's (1974) hypothesis that a buried north-northwest trending dextral slip fault zone could extend across the inferred trace of the Cane Spring fault. From the data of this study we further suggest that a series of deep-seated subparallel north-trending faults may extend south of the Yucca-Frenchman flexure beneath Frenchman Flat.

The activity in the western part of this stereo plot is even more complex than elsewhere in the area. The possibility that the seismicity in that region occurs on listric, shallow dipping, or detachment fault zones should be evaluated because such faults have been identified recently or suggested for some areas of the NTS (Scott, 1986; B. Meyers, personal commun., 1986). It appears, however, that no single gently dipping fault plane in this area can account for the observed distribution of seismicity which, instead, may represent slip within a shattered zone containing numerous faults. The distribution of earthquakes in the northern half of section BB' (Figure F15b) gives the appearance, possibly fortuitous, that the hypocenters are depth limited along a curved plane that dips to the southwest. As many as four faults may be indicated in the northern three-fourths of section BB', each having dip to the southwest. Focal mechanism 830906, which occurs at shallow depth (1.7 km) at the northern end of section BB' (Figure F15b), has a nodal plane that fits the strike and dip direction of this hypocentral trend. This result however, is at odds with the structural grain in the surrounding rocks, which mostly trends northeast to eastnortheast. Furthermore, a focal mechanism about 12 km to the south (event 830530; Figure 10, 6.8 km depth) has nodal planes that strike north-northwest or east-northeast. The latter nodal plane is more nearly aligned with the structural grain. These two focal mechanisms are not necessarily inconsistent, but they do demonstrate the complexity of activity in this zone.

Funeral Mountains Seismicity

Three groups of earthquakes were located during the period from January 1 through February 2, 1983 (figs. 10, 17, 20) about 2 km west of the California-Nevada border. The depth of focus for these earthquakes ranges from one km above sea level to twelve km below sea level (Appendix F, Figure F3), giving them the greatest depth range of any earthquake concentration in the study area. The southernmost cluster of earthquakes occurred contemporaneously with the northern groups. When plotted in depth sections (Figure 20), the southern group is seen to have deeper

average depth of focus, lying in a column suggesting steep southeasterly plunge (if these events lie on a common fault plane, the plane would dip steeply to the northeast). The two northern groups suggest a pair of en echelon northwest-trending alignments parallel to the Nevada-California border. Three composite focal mechanisms were computed from the two northern groups. For mechanism 830102 7:57 (Figure 20), first motion directions for the three most shallow-focus earthquakes in the two northern groups were combined (Appendix E, Figure E3). These events have depths of focus ranging from one km above to three km below sea level. Both nodal planes exhibit strike-slip motion on north-south- or east-west-trending nodal planes.

The composite mechanism 830102 16:32 (Figure 20; Appendix E, Figure E4) uses deeper focus earthquakes than mechanism 830102 7:57. Because pre-inspection of the first motion patterns from both the northern and southern groups revealed that many events in this zone had consistent focal mechanisms, first motion readings from two deeper earthquakes in both the southern and northern patches were combined. The resulting north-south or east-west nodal planes do not fit the northwest trend of the northern or southern earthquake groups.

Some earthquakes in this region, however, did demonstrate differing patterns. Mechanism 830103 17:39 (Figure 20; Appendix E, Figure E5) was constructed from four earthquakes whose epicenters lie within the easternmost of the northern earthquake clusters. The northwest-striking nodal plane approximately fits the epicentral trend, but the southwest dip of this plane does not coincide with the suggested steep northeast dip of the hypocentral cluster.

Interpretation of the stereo pairs (Appendix F, Figure F3) and focal mechanisms for this zone suggests that selection of the northerly-trending nodal planes would require activity on several parallel faults. These earthquakes occur in a region of the Funeral Mountains where that block exhibits numerous pre-Quaternary faults trending from $N20^{\circ}-45^{\circ}$ E (Carr, 1984, fig. 19) and one northerly-trending inferred fault of unknown age (Jennings and others, 1973). On this basis, then, we tentatively argue that the northerly trending nodal planes are preferred over those of eastwest or northwest trend. The three mechanisms, taken together, indicate the likelihood that the seismogenic structures in this area are steeply dipping en echelon north- to north- 30° east-trending faults in spite of the vague northwest epicentral trends.

Earthquake Activity near Lathrop Wells

Few earthquakes occurred near Lathrop Wells during the 1979-1983 monitoring period (Figures 10 and 21), however, a focal mechanism solution for a small ($M_L = 1.4$) earthquake in this region has been obtained (event 820409, Appendix E, Figure E5). Bedrock in this area is overlain by Quaternary alluvial deposits, and geologic maps (Swadley, 1983) provide few clues regarding fault orientations. The activity in this area (Figure 10; Appendix E, Figure E4) includes an event with a focal mechanism (820409) that is near the southern terminus of a group of 5 earthquakes forming a north-south trend, leading us to prefer the north-trending nodal plane. A more regional view of these events (Figure 9) suggests that this earthquake is within the northernmost lineation of two right-stepping epicentral alignments trending north-northeast. These alignments are parallel to the inferred fault that bounds the west side of Little Skull Mountain, although they are offset to the west of the inferred fault 2-3 km. The gravity data also support the interpretation of a north-trending fault to the east of Lathrop Wells (Healey and others, 1980).

Striped Hills - Rock Valley Earthquakes

A group of earthquakes occurred in the Rock Valley area between the Striped Hills and Little Skull Mountain (fig 10 and 22; Appendix F, Figure F5). The east-northeast trending trace of the Rock Valley fault is just south of this group of events. These may be occurring on assumed northern splays or sub-parallel faults of the Rock Valley fault zone (Sargent and others, 1970). Most of the mapped faults exposed in the nearby Striped Hills, Specter Range, and on Little Skull Mountain,




Figure 20.— The 1980-1983 Funeral Mountains seismicity in the vicinity of the earthquakes used to compute the three focal mechanisms shown. The events referred to as the "southern group" in the text are circled. FM - Funeral Mountains. AD - Amargosa Desert.




Figure 21.- 1979-1983 earthquake activity in the vicinity of the Lathrop Wells earthquake of 820409 (Appendix E, Figure E6). Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987). A. D. - Amargosa Desert.




Figure 22.— Depth sections for 1979-1983 activity in the vicinity of the Striped Hills earthquake of 830530 (Appendix E, Figure E7). Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987).

strike from about N 20° E to N 60° E. Association of these earthquakes with an east-northeast fault plane is supported by a focal mechanism (event 830530) from this group that exhibits an east-northeast trending nodal plane having sinistral strike slip (Figure 22 and Appendix E, Figure E7).

Seismicity near Dome Mountain in 1983

From May 28 to May 30, 1983, a series of eight earthquakes occurred near Dome Mountain, at depths ranging from 7 to 10 km below sea level (Figures 10 and 12; Appendix F, Figure F6; several events shown in the plots occur outside this time window). These hypocenters, 15 km north of drill hole G1 on Yucca Mountain, are plotted in depth sections (Figure 23). The first and largest earthquake of the 1983 series, (event 830528 $M_L = 1.9$), was one of the shallowest (7.8 km below sea level) and the easternmost of the series. Its focal mechanism (Appendix E, Figure E8) has northsouth and east-west striking nodal planes, having predominantly strike-slip motion. The epicenter of this event is within 1 km of a mapped east-dipping north-northwest striking fault (Byers and others, 1976), and the structural grain to the north and south of the earthquake activity is northnorthwest trending. This event could be considered distinct from the other events in this sub-area, which appear to form a cylindrical group of events plunging to the northwest. Christiansen and others (1977, p. 955) suggest that "a fundamental, probably deep-seated structural zone to which both the Walker Lane and Las Vegas Valley shear zone are related extends through the region beneath the [Timber Mountain] volcanic field." Such a zone would have northwest strike. Both the epicenter alinement and the occurrence of deeper earthquakes is consistent with the presence of a deep seated structure. An alternative interpretation, however, would combine event 830528 and the mapped surficial grain to conclude that all these events are occurring on a series of deep seated north-trending en echelon faults. With regard to the repository site, it is noteworthy that this activity could lie on an en echelon extension of the Paintbrush Canyon fault.

Earthquakes at Thirsty Canyon and Vicinity

The Thirsty Canyon region of Pahute Mesa experienced a swarm of small earthquakes in 1979 and another in February 1983 (Figure 12 and 24; Appendix F, Figure F7). The 1979 series appears to have a north-northeast-striking epicenter lineation, in agreement with a composite focal mechanism (event 790817; Rogers and others, 1983) for that swarm that has a north-trending dextral strike-slip nodal plane. Two composite mechanisms were constructed for the 1983 earthquakes (Figure 24 and Appendix E, Figures E9 and E10), both indicating normal faulting on either a west-dipping north-striking fault, or a southeast dipping northeast-striking fault. The two composite mechanisms are very similar and the separation into two mechanisms was based on slightly different amplitude ratio data. Depths of focus clustered in the range 4.2 to 6.6 km below sea level for the 5 earthquakes used in the composite mechanisms. The epicenters lie within one hundred meters of a mapped north-striking fault having a mapped length of about 9 km (O'Conner and others, 1966). The mapped dip on the segment of the fault nearest to the epicenters indicates that the west block is down. The geology, then, leads to a preference for the west dipping nodal plane. A slight westerly dip is also suggested in cross section AA' (Figure 24).

A variety of rupture styles in this region may be possible without requiring rotations of the principal stresses (Harmsen and Rogers, 1986). The same pattern of strike-slip and dip-slip mechanisms was observed for aftershocks of the Benham nuclear explosion along a fault striking north and bending to north-northeast, about 4 km east of these Thirsty Canyon earthquakes (Hamilton and Healy, 1969; McKeown, 1975). From the proximity of these 3 Thirsty Canyon mechanisms, we conclude that both dip slip and strike slip may occur on north- to northeast-trending faults, under the same regional stress conditions, depending upon the fault dip and strike.




Figure 23.- 1979-1983 earthquakes in the vicinity of the Dome Mountain 830528 earthquake (Appendix E, Figure E8). Faults from Vergil Frizzell and Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987). NTS - Nevada Test Site west boundary.

Figure 24.—1979-1983 earthquakes in the vicinity of Thirsty Canyon. The eastern concentration occurred in 1979, and the smaller western concentration occurred in February, 1983. Faults from O'Conner and others (1966).

Seismicity at Sarcobatus Flat, 1981 through 1983

Four earthquake series (b,c, Figures 25, 26, a, d, Figure 27; Figure 13) have occurred in the Sarcobatus Flat region. Seismicity first noted by Rogers and others (1983) continued in the two southern clusters (b and c) in 1982 and 1983. The southernmost of these two series (c) that began October 15, 1981 and continued through November, 1981 began again with some intensity on August 31, 1982 and then decreased in 1983. The activity in this zone also tended to become shallower with time. The epicenter and hypocenter plots for cluster c activity in Figure 25 suggest that earthquakes there are occurring on short faults or short fault segments striking roughly north with nearly vertical dip (possibly west-dipping). These structures appear to maintain a steep dip to depths of about 11 km below sea level. Section AA' viewed along an assumed north strike shows that this zone has a width of about 2 km and, thus, may represent activity on more than one fault. Although other interpretations are possible, we suggest that these earthquakes are occurring on (Appendix F, Figure F8) a pair of right-stepping westerly dipping faults with the northern most segment striking north-northwest. Four focal mechanisms have been obtained for cluster c (Rogers and others, 1983; this report Appendix E, Figures E11, E12 and E13). The preferred nodal plane in these mechanisms trends from approximately north to N35E and indicates predominately rightlateral strike-slip motion. The mechanism in Appendix E (Figure E13), indicating a reversal in dip and oblique slip for the preferred nodal plane, suggests deformational complexity in this zone.

The Sarcobatus Flat earthquake cluster b occurs about 10 km north of cluster c (Figure 13, Figure 26). The activity in cluster b began in March, 1981, and intensified in January, 1982. Cluster b was mostly dormant in 1983. The cross sections (Figure 26) for this group of events suggest a steep (possibly west-dipping fault) plane in the depth range from near-surface to about 11 km. Although the composite focal mechanism for this group shows a vertical north-trending fault and is very similar to a previously determined mechanism (810310) for an earthquake in cluster b (Rogers and others, 1983), the dip of the north-trending nodal plane is not well constrained and may be west dipping (Appendix E, Figure E14).

The strike, dip, spatial position and focal mechanisms of clusters b and c could be interpreted as the occurrence of earthquakes on a common fault or fault system having a length of about 15-20 km. The occurrence of earthquakes near the end points of such a fault may have several differing implications. First, it is possible that this activity represents strain release due to stress concentrations occurring after slip on the central portion of the fault (Chinnery, 1963). This slip could have been the result of a main-shock earthquake or aseismic slip. Based on the distance between the two active zones, this interpretation suggests the possible occurrence of a pre-historic earthquake ($M \approx 6$) with aftershocks continuing into the historic record. Second, Kellerher and Savino (1975) show that seismicity frequently occurs near the edges of the main rupture zone prior to the main shock suggesting the possible occurrence of such an event in the future. Both of these interpretations should be considered speculative. It is also possible that the occurrence of earthquakes in a steeply plunging cylindrical volume of rock, such as noted for these clusters and others in the region, could represent stress concentration that occurs at the intersection of two faults. This conclusion is likely to be correct in some active zones of the region, such as the activity that occurred on the eastern side of Lake Mead where cylindrical volumes of seismicity occurred near the intersection of the Indian Canyon and Fortification faults and the Mead Slope fault (Rogers and Lee, 1976). It is possible to speculate, for instance, that the activity in cluster c represents earthquakes occurring at the intersection of structures within the Walker Lane and younger more northerly-trending structures that may trend from the north into the Walker Lane (see Shawe, 1965, for instance).

Finally, it should be noted that recent but incomplete geologic studies in Sarcobatus Flat suggest that a north-northwesterly-trending Quaternary fault system may transect the eastern

side of the Flat (M. Reheis and J. Noller, personal commun., 1987). This system is composed of multiple strands of westerly dipping faults. Such a fault system would be consistent with most of the general patterns of seismicity that have been observed in Sarcobatus Flat (series b and c).

Earthquakes near Scottys Junction

Earthquake series a (Figures 13 and 27a; Appendix F, Figure F9) in the northern area of Sarcobatus Flat, unlike b and c, occurred before 1981. A distinct northeast epicentral trend in this series is apparent in Figure 27a, but the focal mechanism of the mainshock of this series (event 791225; Rogers and others, 1983) exhibits north- and east-trending strike-slip nodal planes. In recognition of the focal mechanism result, the stereo pairs (Appendix F, Figure F9) permit the interpretation of two north-trending fault planes that dip to the west. The lateral extent of epicenters also supports an interpretation of multiple parallel faults or, perhaps, a right-stepping en echelon fault system.

An earthquake series (Sarcobatus Flat series d) about 15 km north-northwest of Scottys Junction occurred in November, 1983 (Figure 13, Figure 27b). The events occurred in an area of short pre-Quaternary mapped faults (Stewart and Carlson, 1978) having northerly trends and east dip (Figure 13). Focal mechanisms for two earthquakes (831110 10:37 and 831110 13:17) in this series have been computed; the first event is predominantly normal slip and the second is predominantly strike slip (Appendix E, Figures E15 and E16). The stereo pairs for this cluster (Appendix F, Figure F9) also suggest two parallel north-trending faults, where the most easterly events dip to the east. Thus, the 831110 10:37 focal mechanism seems to be at variance with the mapped geology and hypocenter patterns because its northerly-trending nodal plane dips to the west, whereas its easterly dipping nodal plane has a northwest strike. The northerly-trending nodal plane of focal mechanism 831110 13:17 dips east, in closer correspondence to mapped geology and the stereo pair hypocentral distribution. This localized mixture of normal and wrench faulting was also noted above at Thirsty Canyon and at Pahute Mesa (e.g., Hamilton and Healy, 1969).

Seismicity in the vicinity of Slate Ridge

A series of 40 earthquakes was recorded from Feb 2, 1983 through Feb 5, 1983 about 25 km west of Scottys Junction and 10 km north of Gold Mountain (Figure 13). Figure 13 shows a northeast-trending epicenter lineation that crosses both the more easterly and the northerly-trending pre-Quaternary structural grain in the area. A small group of epicenters is located north of the east end of the main northeast-trending lineation. This entire group of earthquakes is plotted in depth sections along and perpendicular to the main northeast trend (fig 28; Appendix F, Figure F10). The depth distributions show that the small cluster of earthquake hypocenters is truly isolated from those of the main trend. The main trend of earthquakes may be described as a curved cylinder of events plunging N 70° E. The AA' depth section shows that the cylindrical volume has an elbow with a steeply plunging (> 80°) upper part from surface focus to about 5 km below sea level, and a lower part continuing to about 10 km below sea level, plunging $\approx 55^{\circ}$. Unfortunately, this series of earthquakes has not yielded a reliable focal mechanism.

It is possible that this cylinder of hypocenters represents failure near the intersection of two fault planes, where the rock is likely to be weaker. There are 2 mapped pre-Quaternary structural-grain orientations in the vicinity of the epicenters, one with strike of N 10° E and the other with strike approximately east-west. The intersection of 2 steeply-dipping planes ($\geq 80^{\circ}$) could account for the steeply-plunging hypocentral cylinder with the observed strike, but not account for the more shallow plunging events. If we are unconstrained by the surficial geological structures, an infinite number of intersecting fault planes could possibly produce the upper section of events, from faults with northwesterly strike and northeast dip to faults with easterly strike and north dip. The elbow in the cylinder might also result from the intersection of a curved or listric north-dipping




Figure 25.- Depth sections for activity during the monitoring period 1979-1983 in Sarcobatus Flats series c.




Figure 26. Depth sections and a focal mechanism for activity during the monitoring period 1979-1983 in Sarcobatus Flats series b.




Figure 27.- (a) 1979-1983 earthquakes in Sarcobatus Flats series a, (b) and Sarcobatus Flats series d. Faults from Stewart and Carlson (1978).

east-striking fault with a vertical to southerly dipping northeast-striking fault. Recent preliminary mapping in this region (M. Reheis and J. Noller, personal comm., 1987) indicates the presence of northeast-trending Quaternary faults, lending credence to this last possibility. There are a number of other possibilities as well, but until a focal mechanism is obtained for earthquakes along this trend, full understanding of this cluster of events is not possible.

Seismicity along the main trend was dormant until October 1, 1983, at which time the group of 12 earthquakes commenced to the north of its northeast extent. These events were confined to depths greater than 4 km. As noted above, the depth sections show that the October series was spatially separate from the main trend. A composite mechanism (831001) of the first 4 of the events in this series (Appendix E, Figure E17) indicates oblique dip slip on both nodal planes. These events exhibit a weak northeast-epicenter lineation, having no distinct dip. Thus, we have a marginal preference for the nodal plane with northeast strike.

Earthquakes near Yucca Flat

Earthquakes in the Yucca Flat region are shown in Figures 10, 12, and 29. Although roughly 7-10 earthquakes could be associated directly with the Yucca Flat fault trace, many other events occur in a diffuse pattern, mostly to the east of the fault trace. The stereo pairs for this area (Appendix F, Figure F11) indicate that these events occur downdip of the Yucca Flat fault trace. Continuation of activity to the southeast from the southern tip of the mapped section of the Yucca Flat fault suggests that the fault may continue in that direction and merge with or intersect the Yucca-Frenchman shear zone. A short epicenter lineation in the middle of Yucca Flat is probably associated with the Carpetbag Fault. A north-trending lineation of epicenters also occurs along the western margin of Yucca Flat suggesting that the bounding fault on that side of the valley is active. Earthquakes in the Eleana Range west of Yucca Flat are very diffuse in character and do not display patterns that can be associated with mapped structure.

Yucca Flat is a nuclear testing area, and it is reasonable to assume that many of the earth-quakes shown are the result of the testing program. It is notable, however, that a high percentage of these events occur at depths greater than about 3 km (Figure 29, section AA'), which is considerably deeper than nuclear test depths. This behavior has also been noted in the Pahute Mesa testing area by Hamilton and others (1971) and Rogers and others (1977) and suggests that the nuclear tests act to relieve tectonic stress at depth by wave propagation effects. That is, elastic waves leaving the source produce enough additional shear stress or pore pressure on tectonically stressed faults that are near failure that this additional propagating wave-induced stress triggers fault rupture (Kisslinger, 1976).

Earthquakes in Indian Spring Valley

Figures 30a and b show maps and cross sections for earthquakes in the Indian Springs Valley area (Figures 10 and 14). The two focal mechanisms of Figure 30a are predominantly strike-slip motion; we marginally prefer the the north-south nodal planes as the slip planes given the nearby pre-Quaternary north-northeast structural grain (Stewart and Carlson, 1978) in the rocks of the Spotted Range bounding the west side of Indian Spring Valley (Rogers and others, 1983; Appendix E, Figure E18), and because the stereo pairs (Appendix F, Figure F12) weakly suggest a pair of subparallel north-trending faults. Figure 30a (section AA') shows that the north-trending east-dipping nodal plane is in agreement with an east-dipping hypocentral trend. A right-step may occur at the northern extreme of the easternmost lineation. The group of events to the southeast (Figure 30b), near the town of Indian Springs, appears to occur on a northeast-striking fault that dips to the north-northwest. This fault appears to transect the concealed trace of the Las Vegas Valley shear zone (Figure 14) at nearly right angles.




Figure 28.- 1979-1983 seismicity in the Slate Ridge region 25 km west of Scottys Junction. The western lineation occurred during February, 1983, and the northeast activity from which the mechanism of Appendix E, Figure E17 was computed, occurred during October, 1983. Faults (incomplete outside box AA'BB') from Albers and Stewart (1965).




Figure 29.- Earthquakes in the vicinity of Yucca Flat, August, 1978 through December, 1983. Yucca fault (YF) from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure 30.— (a) Depth sections and two focal mechanisms (Rogers and others, 1983; Appendix E, Figure E18) for earthquakes in the Indian Spring Valley and Spotted Range; (b) earthquakes in the vicinity of Indian Springs and Highway 95.

Earthquakes in the Pahranagat Region

Two different views of the seismicity in the Pahranagat Shear Zone (Figure 15) are shown in Figures 31a and b. One of the views (Figure 31b, BB') is along the main northeast-striking structural trend and the other (Figure 31a, AA') is along the secondary north-striking structural trend; the secondary trend is also parallel to the nodal plane strikes for the 2 focal mechanisms. Because of the diffuse nature of the seismicity no clear relationship can be observed between the faulting and epicentral patterns in map view. If the north-trending faults are active, then the cross sections indicate that the zone is complex and must consist of many subparallel faults that are so closely spaced as to be indiscernible given the accuracy of the hypocenter locations in this region. View AA' (Figure 31b) suggests that the activity in this zone plunges steeply to the southwest. This behavior, however, may be the result of fortuitous juxtaposition of several active zones. The stereo pairs (Appendix F, Figure F13) are somewhat more helpful in the interpretation of these events. This plot suggests evidence for the presence of 3 or 4 northeast-trending lineaments that offset a north-trending lineation in a left-stepping pattern. A cursory field reconnaissance in this region disclosed several Pliocene to Quaternary strike-slip faults having potential north trends (R. E. Anderson, U. S. Geological Survey, personal comm., 1986).

Earthquakes in North Pahroc Range

An earthquake series during July 1982 in the North Pahroc Range (Figure 16) is plotted in depth sections (Figure 32). Most of the earthquakes occur at depths less than 5 km below sea level. As this region is at the northeast edge of the network, location quality is not optimal. The mainshock ($M_d=3.1$) of this series (820706 02:10) occurred about 21 km northeast of Hiko, Nevada, where it was felt. A focal mechanism indicating predominant strike slip was obtained for this earthquake (Appendix E, Figure E19). The pre-Quaternary bedrock structures near the epicenter (Ekren and others, 1977) exhibit predominantly east-trending fault orientations; five to ten kilometers to the east of the epicenter, however, the longest and most abundant exposed faults trend from north-northwest to north-northeast. Some of these north-trending faults appear to bound Quaternary alluvial valleys. The stereo pairs (Appendix F, Figure F14) suggest 1-3 north-trending faults that may dip steeply to the west. The epicenters also have a more elongate north-south than east-west extent. Although the epicenter of the focal mechanism event (830607) is about 2 km due east of a prominent east-west striking fault, we have a slight preference for the north-trending dextral-slip nodal plane. This interpretation would require the presence of unmapped north-trending faults in this region.

Earthquakes and Structure: Summary

The foregoing discussion demonstrates the difficulty of showing an unequivocal relationship between seismicity and known faults in this region. Because of the errors in the locations of the events and the unknown geometry of some faults at the depth of earthquake hypocenters, it is often difficult to directly associate given earthquakes and faults with any degree of confidence. The common association, however, of earthquake nodal planes with epicenter lineations and/or mapped structural grain in the surrounding rocks imparts some level of confidence that the faults that define the structural grain at the surface are likely to be active, and do, in fact, reflect the general structural pattern that exists at seismogenic depths. It is on the basis of these correlations that we suggest that faults in the region with azimuths ranging from about north to east-northeast should be considered favorably oriented for activation in the current stress regime. Exceptions to this conclusion are noted in the discussion section.




Figure 31.— (a) Pahranagat Shear Zone earthquakes plotted in depth sections for the period August 1978 through December, 1983. (b) Same data, different projection planes.




Figure 32.— Depth sections of 1979-1983 seismicity in the North Pahroc Range, including the series of July, 1982, from which the mechanism of Appendix E, Figure 19, was computed.

DEPTH OF FOCUS DISTRIBUTION, 1982-1983

For the period January 1, 1982 through December 31, 1983, all earthquakes having HYPO71 "A" or "B" quality solutions, having estimated depth error < 4.0 km, and located within the region 114.8 ° W < longitude < 117.8° W and 35.8° N < latitude < 38.2° N, are plotted in a depth histogram (Figure 33). There are 427 earthquakes meeting these criteria. The velocity model used to compute these locations (model M0) is plotted in Figure 34. The median depth is 4.9 km below sea level, and the median standard error is 0.9 km. The mean error in the depth of focus is plotted as a function of depth in Figure 35. The depth distribution appears to be bimodal, with peaks at about 1.0 km and 7.5 km below sea level, and with a pronounced minimum at 3.5 km below sea level. This pattern is similar to that for the period 1978 to 1981 reported by Rogers and others (1983). There is a moderate discontinuity in the velocity model at 3 km below sea level (P-velocity=5.9 km/sec above that depth, 6.15 km/sec below), which could be a factor in producing the observed aseismicity just below that boundary. Others have noted (e.g., Caccamo and Neri, 1984) that the Geiger method, which is used to adjust hypocentral parameter estimates in the program HYPO71, is unstable for depths of focus that lie just above a velocity discontinuity.

We can combine the distribution of the standard error in depth and the distribution of depths to evaluate the probability density function of depth of focus (Figure 36). Here, depths are assumed to be random variables having normal distributions with means given by the estimated depths, and variances equal to the square of the depth error estimates. Comparing Figures 33 and 36 it can be seen that some of the irregularity in the depth distributions disappears when the depth is considered a random variable, however, the bimodality of the depth distribution is preserved. This result implies that the depth distribution of the hypocentral errors is not a factor in producing the observed bimodal behavior.

1982-1983 Relocations Using Velocity Gradient Models

All of the earthquakes for 1982-1983 period were relocated using the program HYPOELLIPSE (Lahr, 1979) and using velocity models containing a linear velocity gradient over a fixed halfspace velocity (Figure 34; models M1, M2) to determine if a gradient model would also produce a hypocentral depth distribution with a bimodal shape. The first velocity gradient model (M1) is specified by

$$v = \begin{cases} v_0 - kz, & \text{if } 0 \le z_{sta} \text{ km (above sea level);} \\ v_0 + kz, & \text{if } 0 \le z < 3 \text{ km (below sea level);} \\ v_h, & \text{if } z \ge 3 \text{ km (below sea level).} \end{cases}$$

Here $v_0 = 3.2$ km/sec, $v_h = 6.15$ km/sec, k = 0.783 /sec, and $z_{sta} =$ station elevation (km). Station residuals were obtained and used in the final locations. The depth histogram for A and B quality relocations having erz (standard error in depth) < 4 km, 35.8 < latitude < 38.2° N, 114.8 < longitude < 117.8° W (Figure 37) also shows the bimodal shape with a minimum 3.5 km below sea level. This result indicates that the depth distribution is not an artifact of the particular algorithm used to locate the earthquakes, nor is the result dependent on the presence of velocity discontinuities. The second velocity gradient model (M2) is specified by

$$v = \begin{cases} v_{shallow}, & \text{if } 0 \le z_{sta} \text{ km (above sea level);} \\ v_0 + kz, & \text{if } 0 \le z < 5 \text{ km (below sea level);} \\ v_h, & \text{if } z \ge 5 \text{ km (below sea level).} \end{cases}$$

Here, $v_{shallow} = 3.2$ km/sec, $v_0 = 4.4$ km/sec, $v_h = 6.15$ km/sec, and k = 0.35 /sec. The program HYPOELLIPSE was used to relocate the 1982-1983 earthquake data. The resulting depth distribution (Figure 38) shows a less pronounced seismicity minimum that has now shifted to depths




Figure 33.- Distribution of focal depths (< 0 above sea level, > 0 below) for well-located SGB earthquakes for the calendar years 1982 and 1983. The depth data are grouped into 0.5 km wide intervals.




Figure 34.— P—wave velocity, vp, versus depth for three velocity models that fit known average properties of SGB crustal rock. Model M0 is used routinely to locate SGB earthquakes, and models M1 and M2 are variants that were used with the computer program HYPOELLIPSE to investigate the sensitivity of depth of focus estimates to relatively small changes in the velocity model. For all models, vs, the S—wave velocity, is assumed to equal vp/1.71 at a given depth.




Figure 35.- Distribution of average standard error in depth-of-focus, as a function of estimated depth-of-focus, for the interval data plotted in figure 33.




Figure 36.— Probability of an earthquake occurring at a depth z using velocity model M0, and the same data as in Figure 33. The calculations assume that each depth-of-focus estimate given by HYPO71 is a random variable having an independent gaussian distribution with mean and standard deviation equal to the depth estimate and depth error estimate, respectively. Probabilities within 0.5 km wide intervals were accumulated for depths from 1.5 km above sea level to 16 km below sea level. The total probability was then normalized to 1.0.

of 5-6 km, where the halfspace velocity begins. Thus, in all of the models, a relatively aseismic zone appears just below the last shallow refracting horizon. Earthquakes with hypocenters below this horizon are recorded by the local seismograph network as direct upgoing arrivals. These tests suggest two possible interpretations. First, perhaps, the velocity models suffer from some inherent inaccuracy relative to the true mean regional earth structure. For instance, if there were actually one or more refracting horizons at shallow depths below the 3 km level, then it is possible that the location scheme, using a velocity model without these layers, might force earthquake depths to higher or lower levels. Second, perhaps a true minimum in seismic activity exists at some depth in the upper 5 km of the crust, but that the location of the minimum shifts as a function of the velocity model used to locate the earthquakes. The first interpretation was partially tested by adding a number of artificial layers below 3 km and relocating the earthquakes. The result of this experiment was to modify the bimodal distribution somewhat, but a relative minimum remains at about 4 km. Thus, at present, the second interpretation is preferred.

Geographic Variation In The Depth Distributions

For the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983, the depth-of-focus distributions were plotted for three sub-regions of the southern Great Basin; the locations were obtained using velocity model M0 and the location program HYPO71. The depth distributions in these three regions (eastern, central, and western) are shown in Figure 39a, b, c. Although the general bimodal depth distribution and the full range of observed depths are found in each geographic region, the proportion of shallow-to-deep events is greater for the eastern region compared to the other two zones. The fact that the bimodal depth distribution occurs in all three regions leads to the conclusion that the shallow peak in the distribution can not be attributed solely to nuclear testing. The two most probable causes of the geographic variation in depth distribution are: (1) the effects of regional variations in velocity structure relative to the velocity model used to locate the earthquakes, and (2) the lack of sufficient observation time to determine the "true" geographic distribution of depths. The two western regions have similar depth distributions and are roughly contained within the broad Walker Lane Belt (Carr, 1984). In contrast, the eastern region, which has a different depth distribution, is contained largely within the basin and range subsection. In spite of the tentative conclusions above, this observation suggests the additional possibility that contrasting structural style or tectonic processes are related to the observed differences.

FOCAL MECHANISMS AND THE REGIONAL STRESS FIELD

A method proposed by Angelier (1979) to extract stress direction information from sets of focal mechanisms has been applied to our focal mechanisms including those reported earlier (Rogers and others,1983). The technique is to overlap the tension and pressure dihedra, independently, for all of the mechanisms on the focal sphere. If we assume that a regional stress field having constant principal stress directions activated all of the ruptures from which these mechanisms were derived, then the resulting focal area within the overlap of the tension dihedra must contain the direction of the minimum compressive stress, $\hat{\sigma}_3$, and the focal area within the overlap of the pressure dihedra must contain the direction of the maximum compressive stress, $\hat{\sigma}_1$ (McKenzie, 1969).

The result of superposing the SGB mechanism pressure and tension dihedra for all mechanisms of Rogers and others (1983) and this report is plotted in Figure 40a. There is a finite region of overlap of all 29 tension dihedral areas, and 28 pressure dihedra shared a finite common region of overlap. The regional stress tensor may therefore be partially described as having maximum compressive stress orientation in the range N 20° E to N 35° E and minimum compressive stress orientation in the range N 50° W to N 70° W. The remarkable aspect of the dihedral intersection result is that it yielded zones of zero or one inconsistency given 29 focal mechanisms ranging from




Figure 37.- Distribution of focal depths below mean station elevation (≈ 1.2 km. above sea level) obtained using velocity model M1 and HYPOELLIPSE.




Figure 38.- Distribution of focal depths below mean station elevation obtained using velocity model M2 and HYPOELLIPSE.




Figure 39.— Distribution of focal depths using velocity model M0 (< 0 above sea level,> 0 below) for three SGB regions for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The inset map outlines the three regions. (a) The eastern region is a one square degree area centered at 37°30′ N, 115°0′ W. (b) The central region is a one square degree area centered at 37°0′ N, 116°0′ W. (c) The western region is a one square degree area centered at 37°0′ N, 117°0′ W.

strike slip to normal slip. This degree of consistency for $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ directions is good evidence that these stress directions are fairly constant throughout the seismogenic portion of the crust. It is also notable that the full data set is most consistent with an orientation for these two stress directions that is oblique to the horizontal and vertical planes. This result suggests that the stress field may be modified by crustal geometry such as variable crustal thickness.

To explore the possibility that our focal mechanisms exhibit a systematic change with depth, we segregated them into those having depth of focus less than six km below sea level, and those having depth of focus greater than six km. Repeating the intersection of dihedra exercise described above on the 14 shallow-focus mechanisms resulted in the focal areas containing $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ shown in Figure 40b. For the 15 mechanisms from earthquakes at greater depths, the resulting focal areas containing $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ are shown in Figure 40c. These figures indicate orientations for $\hat{\sigma}_3$ that are similar for shallow and deep events. Both shallow and deep data sets show $\hat{\sigma}_1$ with a range of orientations between vertical and horizontal. Thus, these data provide no evidence that stress orientations giving rise to shallow earthquakes are different than the stress orientations giving rise to deep earthquakes.

In order to further evaluate the regional stress field from focal mechanism data we attempted to find a set of principal stress directions consistent with the slip directions \vec{X} or \vec{Y} of the focal mechanisms. Gephart (1985) showed that, in general, for a focal mechanism, at most one of the two slip vectors \vec{X} or \vec{Y} is consistent with a given set of principal stress directions. The method assumes that the direction of maximum shear on a given focal plane coincides with the slip direction and that the nodal plane orientations are known exactly. The method also assumes that microearthquakes are occurring on preexisting planes of weakness rather than breaking homogeneous, isotropic rock. From these assumptions, an important parameter $R = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}$ is computed for each slip direction \vec{X} and \vec{Y} by the coordinate transformation method of Gephart and Forsyth (1984). In this context, σ_1, σ_2 , and σ_3 represent the magnitude of the maximum, intermediate, and minimum principal compressive stresses, respectively. The nodal planes whose slip vectors produce R values such that $0 \le R \le 1$ are selected as the preferred focal planes.

This analysis, which uses the directions

$$\hat{\sigma}_{i}, i = 1, 2, 3$$

and the focal mechanism directions,

$$\vec{X}_{i}, \vec{B}_{i}, \text{ and } \vec{Y}_{i}, i = 1, 2, ..., 29$$

as input data, was performed for the mechanisms reported here and in Rogers and others (1983). We varied the directions $\hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_3$ through the range of acceptable values indicated in Figure 40a and, for this analysis, ranked the quality of the assumed stress fields by the degree of similarity of the computed R values for the 29 mechanisms. Equivalently, for all allowable orientations of the principal stress ellipsoid implied by Figure 40a, we searched for that orientation for which the shape of the principal stess ellipsoid varied the least over the 29 mechanisms. Although this analysis is different from a formal inversion of mechanism data to obtain the stress tensor (as in Gephart and Forsyth, 1984), it provides a method to determine which nodal plane is the best choice for a given assumed stress field and, at the same time, gives an average value of R for all of the mechanisms, assuming constancy of principal stress directions.

The orientations of the principal stress components that minimize variance in R for the 1979-1983 southern Great Basin focal mechanisms are given in Table 3 below. For this stress field, whose principal axes are shown in Figure 41, $R = 0.34 \pm 0.21$. This stress field gave R values in the physically acceptable range $0 \le R \le 1$ for 28 of the 29 mechanisms, and had marginally




Figure 40– (a), Equal-area, lower hemisphere projection of the intersection of pressure dihedra and tension dihedra for 29 southern Great Basin earthquake focal mechanisms. Locations where all, all but one, and all but two, tension quadrants overlapped are designated by the "regions of inconsistency" in the legend. Locations where all but one and all but two pressure quadrants overlapped are designated by the σ_1 "regions of inconsistency" in the legend. There was no common region of intersection of pressure dihedra for all of the mechanisms. The significance of these regions of intersection is discussed in the text. (b), Same as 40 (a) except that we intersect mechanism regions only for the 14 earthquakes having depth-of-focus, $z \le 6$ km. (c), Same as 40 (a) except that we intersect mechanism regions for the 15 earthquakes having z > 6 km.




Figure 41.— Equal-area, lower hemisphere projection of the directions of the principal stress components, σ_1, σ_2 , and σ_3 , obtained by the method discussed in the text, and shown in Table 3.

less variance in R than any other stress field that could be fit to this many mechanisms. Thus, it is possible to find principal stress component orientations that satisfy the original assumption of constant R-value reasonably well. This result does not constitute proof that R is nearly constant, or that principal stress directions are regionally unvarying, but suggests that such assumptions are plausible.

	Azimuth	Plunge
σ_1	32.0°	18.2°
02	178.1° 68.0°	
03	298.0°	12.0°

Table 3. Principal stress directions resulting from the minimization of the variance of $R = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}$ for 28 southern Great Basin focal mechanisms.

Harmsen and Rogers (1986) have shown that if a fixed stress field is controlling seismic slip, then the most likely conditions for the proximate coexistence of strike-slip and normal fault earthquakes is that the stress field be approximately axially symmetric. That is,

$$0.8 \le \sigma_2/\sigma_1 \le 1.0$$

 $0.0 \le R \le 0.3$

This conclusion is supported by the observation that both strike-slip and normal fault events are observed throughout the seismogenic portion of the crust (Figure 42) and is based on the assumption that slip will preferentially occur on pre-existing fault planes with orientations that are optimum for satisfying the Mohr-Coulomb criterion. An alternative interpretation suggested in the past—that the rate of increase in the vertical principal stress with depth is greater than the rate of increase of the greatest horizontal principal stress (Zoback and Zoback, 1980a, and Vetter and Ryall, 1983)—does not fit our observations. To satisfy this alternative, the focal mechanism types should display a depth dependence, such that strike-slip events would be restricted to shallow

depths and normal fault events would occur at greater depth. Hydrofrac data collected at Yucca Mountain (Stock and others, 1985) imply that

$$\sigma_H/\sigma_v \sim 0.65$$
 $\sigma_h/\sigma_v \sim 0.30$
 $R > 0.5$

where σ_v is the effective vertical stress, σ_H is the maximum effective horizontal stress, and σ_h is the minimum effective horizontal stress. Harmsen and Rogers (1986) have shown that, under application of the Mohr-Coulomb criterion to these stress directions, assuming the relative stress magnitudes given by the hydrofrac data, strike-slip is not possible on north-south or east-west oriented fault planes. We infer, then, that the stress conditions measured by hydrofrac techniques do not reflect the general critical stress conditions throughout the region and/or the stress conditions at seismogenic depths. Most state of stress measurements at the Nevada Test Site indicate that $\sigma_H \leq \sigma_v$, the only exception being one measurement at the Spent Fuel Test-Climax site, where the maximum principal stress was determined to strike and plunge at N. 56° E. and 29°, respectively, and to have about 1.66 the amplitude of the intermediate principal stress (Ellis and Magner, 1982). In the vicinity of the Climax stock, no earthquakes catalogued through 1983 have been large enough to provide reliable focal mechanisms to compare stress associated with earthquakes with that from surface measurements. It is possible, however, that the peculiar stress conditions obtained by Ellis and Magner are local.

It is worth considering the implication of these results regarding the behavior of stress with depth. For instance, we can compare our results with several hypothetical models of stress-depth dependence. Figure 43 shows examples that have been discussed in the past. Jaeger and Cook (1969), among others, have suggested that the tectonic-gravitational model is a suitable model to explain tectonic behavior in an extensional regime. That is, normal dip-slip on faults trending perpendicular to the direction of least principal stress is driven by the gravitational (vertical) stress, which is assumed to be the maximum principal stress. The direction of least principal stress may vary over the region. This type of model would not generally permit strike-slip faulting unless coefficients of friction are very low on the wrench faults (Harmsen and Rogers, 1986; fig. 6). This model is similar to that suggested by the hydrofrac data collected at Yucca Mountain (Stock and others, 1985), in that horizontal stresses increase less rapidly with depth than the vertical stress, σ_z , which is assumed to equal the lithostatic load. The tectonic-gravitational model is based on the assumption of zero lateral displacement at the boundaries of the rock volume; thus, the tectonic stress release must occur slowly in order to avoid violating the principal assumption of the model. (If the boundary conditions are relaxed to allow material displacement through the boundary, the minimum compressive stress should decrease as the displacement occurs, so that model predicts increasing seismic slip with time.) Furthermore, the model predicts a large difference between the maximum and minimum principal stresses in dry rock at relatively shallow depths (when Poisson's ratio equals 0.25); this stress difference is more than adequate to initiate normal slip on steeply dipping surfaces whose stability is governed by the Mohr-Coulomb criterion. Because it is possible that fluid pore pressure is also high in an extensional tectonic regime, the tectonic-gravitational model suggests a degree of crustal instability that may not be plausible.

The Vetter and Ryall (1983) model requires a moderate amount of horizontal compressional tectonic stress in the direction parallel to the intermediate principal stress, such that $\sigma_v \leq \sigma_H$ at depths less than about 10-15 km. Although this model permits both normal and strike-slip faulting, each mode is confined to certain sections of the crust as noted above.





Figure 42.- Thirty southern Great Basin earthquake focal mechanisms plotted in depth section over the longitudinal range of the SGB network. Although this plot is in cross section, the focal mechanisms are lower hemisphere projections shown in map view.

Models Of Stress Distribution With Depth


$$R = \frac{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_3}$$



R highly variable $0 \le R \le 1$

$$\sigma_{y} = \varrho gz$$
, $\sigma_{H} = \sigma_{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \sigma_{z}$, $\sigma_{h} = \gamma \sigma_{\tau} + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \sigma_{z}$


Tectonic - Gravitational Model (Jaeger and Cook, 1969)



R highly variable

0 ≤ R ≤ 1


Vetter and Ryall Model (1984)



At some shallow depth R becomes approximately constant

R > 0.5

Yucca Mountain Hydrofrac Data (Stock and others, 1985)



At some shallow depth R becomes approximately constant $R \approx 0.0 - 0.3$

Hypothetical model accounting for the existence of both strike - slip and normal fault events throughout the seismogenic crust.

Figure 43.- Four models showing how vertical and horizontal crustal stresses may be distributed with depth. Some consequences of such hypothetical stress distributions are discussed in the text. Symbols: $\rho = \text{rock}$ density, g = acceleration of gravity, z = depth, $\gamma = \text{Poisson's}$ constant, $\sigma_v = \text{magnitude}$ of vertical stress, $\sigma_H = \text{magnitude}$ of maximum horizontal stress, $\sigma_h = \text{magnitude}$ of minimum horizontal stress, and $\sigma_r = \text{magnitude}$ of regional horizontal tectonic stress, excluding its gravitational component.

Our focal mechanism data through 1983 are consistent with the interpretation that $\sigma_{\bullet} \simeq \sigma_H$ throughout the upper 10-15 km of the crust, such that either minor stress perturbations or the presence of optimally oriented fault planes would permit both normal and strike-slip faulting. Furthermore, Harmsen and Rogers (1986) have demonstrated that, given axially symmetric stress conditions, dextral, sinistral, and normal slip are equally likely on north-, east-northeast-, and northeast-trending faults, respectively. A noteworthy implication of this model is that the horizontal component of tectonic stress increases with depth at a rate that consistently maintains the relationship between the vertical and horizontal principal stresses. This result is consistent with a basal shear acting horizontally along the base of the brittle crust or, perhaps, the lithosphere, as suggested by Hanks (1977).

Earthquake Density in the Southern Great Basin

All of the earthquakes located in the region from August 1978 through December 31, 1983 within 150 km of the point 36°51' N, 116°27.5' W (Yucca Mountain proposed site) were combined into a histogram showing earthquake frequency per unit area as a function of distance to Yucca Mountain (Figure 44). This point, also referred to as the Site, is approximately one minute (1.8 km) south of drill hole G1 (see Figure 11). Figure 44 emphasizes the relatively low level of seismicity within several kilometers of Yucca Mountain, and reflects the relatively high earthquake density that occurs in the Jackass Flats-Rock Valley region, 10 to 20 kilometers east of the Site. The plot also shows the relatively higher rates of seismicity for much of the Nevada Test Site compared with the rest of the region. Some fraction of this earthquake activity is triggered by nuclear testing. Although at present there is no unequivocal method for establishing which earthquakes within the region are tectonic and which are triggered by testing, research is underway to try to establish such a method. Table 4 lists those active areas contributing the largest number of events to the computed densities. Table 4 was prepared by computing the number of earthquakes in each annulus of 5 km width centered at the distance given in the table, and then dividing that number by the area of the annulus to obtain the earthquake density. The earthquake energy densities within the same annuli have been computed and are shown in Figure 45. This figure indicates that Yucca Mountain is in a region of energy release that is about 2 to 3 orders of magnitude less than the regional level and 4 orders of magnitude less than the nuclear testing zones. This decrease occurs within about 30 km of the Site. The plot also shows that the maximum energy release occurs in the annulus that includes the nuclear testing areas.

An energy release contour map using the data from this study is shown in Figure 46. The principal features of this map are: (1) the east-west-trending pattern of energy release crossing the region at about latitude 37°; (2) a region paralleling the Nevada-California border that includes portions of the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault system and Yucca Mountain where energy release




Figure 44.— Distribution of number of earthquakes per unit area as a function of distance from Yucca Mountain, from 0 to 150 km, for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983 (Table 3). N is the earthquake frequency per unit area at epicentral distance r from Yucca Mountain.

distance	no.	normalized eq. density	Active areas at that
(km)	eq.	(no./unit area)	distance range
0.0	0	0.00	
5.0	1	1.00	Yucca Mtn. earthquake on 810413 at 20 21 (near YMT5)
10.0	8	4.00	Yucca Mountain, Crater Flat
15.0	43	14.33	Dome Mountain, Jackass Flats, Bare Mountain
20.0	110	27.50	Jackass Flats, Little Skull Mountain, Skull Mountain
25.0	91	18.20	Lookout Peak, Shoshone Mountain, Striped Hills
30.0	99	16.50	Rock Valley, Shoshone Mountain, Tippipah Spring
35.0	81	11.57	Rock Valley, Specter Range, Tippipah Spring
40.0	177	22.13	Thirsty Canyon, Funeral Mountains, Amargosa Desert
45.0	139	15.44	Frenchman Flat, Mercury Valley, Massachusetts Mountain
50.0	158	15.80	Sarcobatus Flat C, Pahute Mesa, Yucca Flat
55.0	80	7.27	Sarcobatus Flat B, Ranger Mountains
60.0	51	4.25	· -
65.0	65	5.00	Mesquite Flat, Stovepipe Wells
70.0	93	6.64	Indian Spring Valley
75.0	57	3.80	Sarcobatus Flat A (Scotty's Junction)
80.0	38	2.38	
85.0	92	5.41	Sarcobatus Flat D, Gold Mountain, Ubehebe Crater
90.0	147	8.17	Slate Ridge, Gold Mountain
95.0	91	4.79	
100.0	55	2.75	
105.0	45	2.14	
110.0	66	3.00	
115.0	52	2.26	
120.0	78	3.25	
125.0	85	3.40	
130.0	68	2.54	
135.0	185	6.85	Pahranagat Shear Zone
140.0	68	2.43	-
145.0	44	1.52	
150.0	20	0.67	

Table 4. Seismogenic areas in the vicinity of Yucca Mountain and NTS for the period August, 1978 through 1983.

values are generally 2-3 orders of magnitude lower than the high energy release zones; this zone appears to be connected with low energy release in the eastern Mojave Desert; (3) a broad zone of high energy release roughly centered on northern NTS that is comparable in level to other high regions throughout the area; (4) significant zones of quiescence in the northern portion of the map area; and (5) a quiescent zone in the southeast corner of the map area that includes, among other features, the northwest-trending Spring Mountains and the Desert Game Range where that range displays a north-northwest structural trend. As noted earlier by Rogers and others (1983) faults with northwest trend are not favorably oriented for slip given the stress field orientation that has been inferred from earthquake focal mechanisms for the SGB. This interpretation does not seem appropriate, however, for the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault zone or other areas to the west of Death Valley due to the presence of abundant Holocene fault scarps in that region. There is geological evidence indicating not only vertical displacements, but significant horizontal displacements as well (Carr, 1984). The geologic data suggest that the Death Valley region is subject to a more easterly to east-southeasterly least principal stress and a greatest principal stress that is vertical or perhaps roughly equal to the intermediate stress (Zoback and Zoback, 1980b). This stress orientation is similar to that generated at the North American-Pacific plate boundary. Thus, significantly lower energy release in the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault zone may be the result of either low stress levels due to previous prehistoric seismic energy release or a kind of intraplate seismic gap where stresses are high and the fault zone is locked. Carr (1984) has suggested that the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault zone relieves shear stress generated by relative motions along the continental plate boundary and acts as a tectonic buffer suppressing the accumulation of stresses generated by plate motions in regions to the east and northeast of this fault system. Comparison of the Holocene slip record on this fault system with the focal mechanism inferred stress orientations to the east of the system suggests that a clockwise stress rotation occurs at or just to the east of the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault system. A stress rotation could be taken as evidence that a high-stress locked-fault scenario for this fault system is not as likely as a relieved stress state. Presumably, a locked fault state would carry significant amounts of slip to the east of the Furnace Creek-Death Valley fault system that would have an orientation and style more like that at the continental plate boundary.

Comparison of energy release in the current record (Figure 46) and in the historic record (Figure 47) reveals a pattern that is similar in its gross features, but differs in detail. For instance, the east-west zone of energy release is present, but has a considerably broader north-south extent than indicated in the current record. Some areas of early high-energy release (Figure 47) remain relatively high in the current monitoring period; for example, at the NTS testing regions, an area just to the west of the Death Valley fault zone, and the Lake Mead area. Other areas that are active in the early record are no longer active; for example, the areas north-northwest of Caliente in the northern section of the North Pahroc Range and the Kane Springs region to the south-southeast of Alamo were active in the historic record but are relatively inactive today. This change in activity has the appearance of gap-filling in some zones such as the North Pahroc Range-Paranaghat-Kane Springs region and, to a lesser extent, in the western border of the map between latitudes 37.5°N and 38.5° N. Another notable feature of Figures 46 and 47 is that averaged over decades the active zones tend to produce about the same mean annual energy release rate, including the zones of induced seismicity at Pahute Mesa and Lake Mead. This result implies a long-term constant strain release rate across the region. Several large regions of low energy release exist for both time periods: (1) the Death Valley-Spring Mountains-Desert Game Range region, and to the east between Kane Springs and Lake Mead; (2) the region between Gold Flat and the northwest corner of the map area; and (3) the northeast corner of the map area.

In this report we make no attempt to resolve differences in observed energy release rates




Figure 45.— Distribution of $\log_{10}(E)$, where E represents the cumulative energy release (Joules/km²) as a function of epicentral distance from Yucca Mountain, for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983. The bars have width 5 km and are centered at the distances shown.




Figure 46.— The distribution of earthquake energy release in the southern Great Basin of Nevada and California is plotted as contours of energy release per unit area $\log_{10}(Joules/80km^2)$ for the seismicity during the period August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983. The cumulative energy in each $0.1^{\circ}EW \times .08^{\circ}NS$ grid was tallied without regard to individual event depth-of-focus, and the gridded data were smoothed and contoured. All known nuclear tests were removed, but aftershocks of nuclear tests were not removed. This accounts for the remaining high rates of energy release in the Pahute Mesa-Yucca Flat-Rainier Mesa areas of NTS. Local magnitudes were converted to energy by the formula $E = 10^{[1.90M_L + 2.20]}$, E in Joules.




Figure 47.— The distribution of earthquake energy release in the southern Great Basin of Nevada and California based on a catalog of historical seismicity for the period 1865 through July, 1978 (Meremonte and Rogers, 1987). The contours are of the logarithm of the energy release per unit area ($\log_{10}(Joules/80km^2)$). All magnitudes in the historical record were converted to equivalent M_S , and energy was computed by the formula $E = 10^{[1.44M_S + 5.24]}$, E in Joules.

between the monitoring period and the historic period, which shows considerably higher levels of energy release per unit area per year. We defer until a future report a through discussion of earthquake recurrence rates. These topics require careful evaluation in order to understand and account for the intermix of tectonic and nuclear test related seismicity and to account for possible biases in the magnitudes of historic events compared to current events.

Figures 48a and b show a contour of earthquake energy release for the region projected onto a vertical east-west and north-south section, respectively. These figures show that seismic energy is released mostly between depths of 1 and 12 km, is patchy between 12 and 25 km, and is sparse below 25 km. There is a suggestion that energy-release boundaries increase slightly in depth to the southwest. If such a depth increase were taken as evidence of a thickening brittle crust to the southwest, it would be at variance with interpretations of refraction data that indicate that the crust thickens to the north (Prodahl, 1970; Johnson, 1965). Because the energy release patterns are greatly influenced by small numbers of the largest magnitude events (as can be seen by comparing the energy release cross sections with the hypocenter cross sections shown in Figures 49a and b) whose locations can be influenced by geographic variations in crustal properties, it is unlikely that the energy release patterns reflect contrasts in brittle crust thickness. Note that, because the minimum in earthquake frequency that occurs at about 4 km depth (Figure 33) has a small vertical extent, it is nearly obscured by the smoothing process that is used to produce these energy-release plots.

DISCUSSION OF SEISMICITY AND STRUCTURE

In a review of the structural setting of the NTS region, Carr (1984) emphasized three structural subdivisions (called subsections), each having a different type of principal structure, structural fabric, and Neogene structural history. Structural complexity abounds in each subdivision. Principal Neogene structures include faults that bound cauldron complexes, range-bounding normal-slip and oblique-slip faults, dextral and sinistral strike-slip fault zones, and low-angle detachment faults. Though all types of principal structures may not exist in each subdivision, structural interactions along and across the structural zones that bound the subdivisions compound the structural complexity of the region as a whole. Crustal properties such as regional gravity gradients, heat flow, thickness, and Q are also variable in the region, and this variability adds an element of complexity to the structural framework. As a possible simplifying factor, not all types of structures in a subdivision are necessarily seismogenic. Nevertheless, it is within the context of an extraordinarily complex Neogene structural framework that the major aspects of seismicity must be understood. The most notable features of earthquakes in this region are: (1) An apparent eastwest-trending zone of earthquakes, termed the East-West Seismic Belt or the Southern Nevada Seismic Belt (Smith and Lindh, 1978), crosses the SGB roughly between 36° and 38° N. Although this zone may be somewhat discontinuous, and the rates of seismicity and appearance are no doubt influenced by induced seismicity at NTS, comparison of the pre-nuclear testing period with the present-day record suggests that this seismic zone can be associated with natural tectonic stress release (Meremonte and Rogers, 1987). (2) Dextral slip on northerly-trending faults is preferred, with fewer occurrences of both sinistral slip on east-northeasterly-trending faults and normal slip on north-northeasterly-trending faults. All slip styles occur from near-surface to 10-15 km. The inferred least principal stress orientation is west-northwest, implying notable geographic uniformity in the stress axes across the SGB. (3) Microseismicity emanates from cylindrical volumes of rock that generally plunge steeply and tend to lie in north- to northeast-trending panels. (4) A seismicity minimum occurs between 3.5- to 4.0-km depth (Figures 33 and 49). (5) The association of earthquake clusters with specific faults is commonly difficult, although epicenters and nodal planes may align with nearby structural grain. Little correlation exists between range front faults and




Figure 48.— (a) An east-west projection of earthquake energy release in the southern Great Basin of Nevada and California contoured as increments of $\log_{10}(Joules/9km^2)$ over the depth range 1 km above sea-level to 34 km below sea-level. Seismicity from the monitoring period August, 1978 through December, 1983. (b) A north-south projection of the same earthquake energy release data shown in Figure 48a.




Figure 49.— (a) Depth-of-focus distribution for the period August, 1978 through December, 1983, for earthquakes having "A" or "B" location qualities and depth standard error estimates < 4.0 km, projected onto an east-west plane. (b) Depth-of-focus distribution for the same earthquakes projected onto a north-south plane.

contemporary seismicity. (6) Significantly lower seismic-wave attenuation than other regions of the Great Basin or California has also been noted (Rogers and others, 1987). These features may provide important evidence for evaluating suitable tectonic models that describe contemporary deformation in the SGB.

Given the varied and complex structural framework of the region, however, it is highly unlikely that a single tectonic model can explain all aspects of the Neogene geology and seismicity throughout the region. Deformation associated with extension, for example, is likely to be highly dependent on the position of lateral domain boundaries. Also, only in the past 15 years has it become clear that the continental crust can accommodate large-magnitude extension without rifting apart, and that low-angle normal faults or detachments play an important, if not fundamental, role in that extension. Only in the past few years has the concept of extensive large-displacement detachment faults in the SGB been recognized. Despite these advances, there is at present no consensus on the location or geometry of such faults or the nature of extensional accommodation in the deep crust. It is clear, therefore, that the modeling of deformation associated with extension is likely to be as dependent on ones choice of vertical domain boundaries as it is on lateral domain boundaries and that both must be prescribed in order to fully characterize the deformation.

The data reported herein may have some bearing on the resolution of these complex tectonic issues, but it would be unreasonable to expect a definitive tectonic model to evolve from these data alone. A complete review of all the geological, geophysical, and seismic data for this region is beyond the scope of this study, but some discussion regarding the relationship between seismicity and structure seems appropriate. Some of the following discussions are speculative and most involve considerable simplifications of the observations.

SGB Microearthquakes and Their Relevance to the Occurrence of Larger Earthquakes

Comparison of microearthquakes in the SGB with larger earthquakes and with induced seismicity reveal similarities. For example, it has been observed that the 1966 Clover Mountain earthquake (M = 6.1, USGS) occurred as a dextral strike-slip event on a north-trending fault similar to microseismicity in the study area (Smith and Lindh, 1978; Rogers and others, 1983; Wallace and others, 1983). Based on studies of both body and surface waves (Wallace and others, 1983; Lay and others, 1984; Wallace and others, 1985; Wallace and others, 1986) and geologic studies (Bucknam, 1969; Mckeown and Dickey, 1969) significant amounts of strike slip have occurred due to induced tectonic stress release associated with underground nuclear tests at NTS. This stress release is seen as surface displacements at the time of the event, as seismic energy release concurrent with the detonation, and as numerous aftershock earthquakes outside the zone of shattering (Hamilton and others, 1971; Rogers and others, 1977). At the Pahute Mesa nuclear test region and at Lake Mead (where increased pore pressures due to the lake impoundment acted to trigger tectonic stress release (Carder, 1945; Rogers and Lee, 1976)), focal mechanisms indicate that dextral strike slip occurred on north-trending faults, and normal faulting occurred on northnortheast-trending faults. In essence, the behavior at both Lake Mead and Pahute Mesa is typical of earthquake behavior throughout the monitored region and mimics, albeit at a smaller scale, the behavior of the Churchill Arc (Nevada Seismic Zone) in the northern Great Basin (Shawe, 1965). In the southern section of the Churchill Arc dextral strike slip is a significant component of the deformation where the structural fabric trends more northerly and intersects the central Walker Lane. The Fairview Peak, Cedar Mountain, and Rainbow Mountain earthquakes all exhibited geologic (Shawe, 1965) and seismic evidence (Doser, 1986; D. I. Doser, Univ. Texas, El Paso, 1987, unpublished manuscript and abstract) of dextral slip in this section of the Churchill Arc. The 1934 Excelsior Mountain earthquake exhibited geologic evidence for small amounts of sinistral strike

slip on a northeast-trending fault (Shawe, 1965), although seismic data indicate nearly pure normal faulting on a northeast-trending fault (D. I. Doser, Univ. Texas, El Paso, 1987, unpublished manuscript). Focal mechanisms from 1969 microearthquake activity in the Excelsior Mountains area, however, indicate strike-slip faulting (Gumper and Scholtz, 1971). In the northern section of the Churchill Arc, where structure trends more north-northeasterly, normal faulting also predominates (1915, Pleasant View earthquake). Other less compelling analogs in the two types of data are also present. For instance, the apparent coupling of adjacent seismic zones is observed in the southern Great Basin and the paleoseismic record of the Nevada seismic zone. These similarities were previously discussed by Rogers and others (1983). This comparison suggests that the driving mechanism producing crustal deformation is similar in at least some subprovinces of the Great Basin. We conclude that the similarities between microearthquakes in the study area and larger magnitude earthquakes in the Great Basin suggest a genetic association through the same or similar deformational processes and, thus, we consider the microseismicity to be of first-order tectonic significance.

This conclusion does not necessarily imply that large earthquakes (M > 7) can occur in the SGB. Although in the Churchill Arc numerous large earthquakes have occurred historically $(M \le 7.8)$, historic seismicity in our study area has been limited to $M \le 6.1$ (natural seismicity), $M \le 5.2$ (induced seismicity; Wallace and others, 1983). The conclusion that large earthquakes are possible in the SGB would require proof of the conditions needed for large events, such as the presence of stressed faults of sufficient length and favorable orientation for rupture in the contemporary stress field. It should be noted, in this regard, that Quaternary faults of sufficient length to produce large earthquakes include the Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault zone and various faults in the Mine Mountain-Spotted Range structural zone. The analogies between microearthquakes and the largest events in the Great Basin, thus, provide the basis for attempting to evaluate various models of Great Basin tectonic deformation in terms of the features of the earthquake data presented herein. In the discussions that follow, we consider these models and the extent to which the seismic data of this study support the application of a given model to the contemporary deformation of the SGB.

Seismicity and Local Structure at Yucca Mountain

A model that incorporates block and listric faulting above low-angle detachment surfaces (i.e., Stewart, 1978) permits extension across a broad region with transport of some essentially intact sections of the upper plate over large distances. This model also attempts to account for other sections that are intensely extended on faults that are rooted in the detachment (Wernicke, 1981). Major lateral faults are postulated to bound these extended zones against zones of lesser extension (Anderson, 1971; Wernicke, 1981); the bounding faults are predicted to exhibit dextral motion at one side of a zone and sinistral motion at the opposite side. Anderson (1971) and Wernicke (1983), for instance, suggest that such deformation has occurred in the SGB along the Lake Mead fault system and the Garlock fault (Davis and Burchfiel, 1973). There is also evidence of this type of deformation at several scales. An example of considerable geographic extent, for instance, is the west-dipping Sevier Desert detachment in Utah which may penetrate to depths of about 15 km beneath eastern Nevada (Allmendinger and others, 1983). Shallower detachments of lesser geographic extent such as one that underlies the Bullfrog Hills west of NTS have also been recognized. At some locales at NTS local detachments have formed between the Tertiary section and Paleozoic rocks (W. B. Myers, U. S. Geol. Survey, written comm., 1986). A question of significance is whether the observed seismic quiescence at Yucca Mountain is related to the presence beneath Yucca Mountain of one or more detachment surfaces (Scott, 1986), and, if present, could such detachment surfaces uncouple Yucca Mountain from the regional stress field? For instance a vertical strike-slip fault might intersect a detachment surface from below in such a manner that strike-slip motion on the deep fault could occur without deforming the upper plate. A structure of this type might be important for several reasons: (1) geologic evidence for detachments at Yucca Mountain has been noted by Scott (1986); (2) lower seismic energy release is observed at Yucca Mountain in spite of the presence of faults that are favorably oriented for slip in the contemporary stress field (Figure 11); (3) the fact that the state of stress inferred from hydrofrac measurements in the Yucca Mountain block can be explained solely on the basis of a topographic effect and does not require a tectonic stress component (Swolfs and Savage, 1985); (4) several earthquakes have been located beneath Yucca Mountain, but these events have all been located more than 4 km below sea level; and, (5) two of these events, which occurred after the time period discussed in this report, demonstrate predominantly strike-slip focal mechanisms. Taken together, these data are largely consistent with an interpretation that Yucca Mountain is uncoupled from the regional stress field.

Other interpretations, however, argue against the uncoupling hypothesis. For instance, the low level of seismicity at Yucca Mountain and a larger area to the west (item 2) could be the result of locked faults, or, alternatively, a stress shadow zone. Lack of energy release in the upper part of the brittle crust alone would be a more favorable condition for a detachment hypothesis. This zone demonstrates a low rate of energy release at all depths relative to surrounding regions. The lack of seismicity at depths below 5 km must be unrelated to possible shallow detachments. It is also possible that Yucca Mountain overlies a shallow detachment fault beneath which exists a zone of locked faults. Such a model would account for the geologic evidence for shallow detachment faults and the seismic evidence for low energy release.

Other evidence that could argue against uncoupling is the fact that the least principal stress determined from hydrofrac measurements within the Yucca Mountain block has approximately the same orientation as the least principal stress direction (west-northwest) deduced from the regional focal mechanisms. If Yucca Mountain is underlain by an active detachment, the stress orientation within the block would likely be determined by the dip direction of the detachment and possibly the orientation of the topography. This situation could give rise to stress orientations in the upper detached plate differing from that within the underlying brittle crust. On the other hand, as a detachment forms within the framework of the acting regional stresses, it is possible, and perhaps probable, that the least principal stress orientation within the detached block will have the same orientation as the regional stress direction.

Regional Structure, Great Basin Tectonic Models, and the Characteristics of Contemporary Seismicity

Arabasz (1984) proposed a model for the eastern margin of the Great Basin that incorporates a seismogenic upper crust that is composed of a stack of brittle plates separated by low-angle detachment surfaces. The model permits minor block interior motion generating diffuse low magnitude seismicity, moderate earthquakes on steeply dipping intraplate faults that do not cross plate boundaries, and major earthquakes on steeply dipping range front faults extending to 15 km that do cross plate boundaries and sole into deep detachment surfaces and/or the uncoupling zone. Application of this model to the SGB has some appeal, but is inconsistent in some critical aspects. For instance, in the SGB the general occurrence of dextral slip on north-south-trending faults from near-surface to the base of the seismogenic zone argues against a stack of plates that are uncoupled except at major range front boundaries. This inconsistency is reinforced by the fact that the steeply plunging cylinders of seismicity that we observe do not appear to occur in association with range-front faults. Furthermore, the predominance of lateral motion in the SGB may not support a model that requires a more mixed combination of deformation styles. Whereas, the seismic data suggest that much of the lateral slip occurs on north-trending faults, this model would predict the occurrence of lateral slip preferentially on faults subparallel to the spreading direction. The

spreading direction at present is likely to be west-northwest. For these reasons this model does not appear to be consistent with seismic observations in this region.

More generally, do the data of this study support or deny the widespread occurrence of detachments throughout the region, such as has been suggested by Hamilton (1987)? A detachment model might be consistent with the seismic data of this study under certain conditions. These conditions are: (1) that slip on the detachment is aseismic, or releases too little energy for the events to be considered for focal mechanism computation, or that the network geometry is inadequate to discern sub-horizontal slip; (2) that the over-riding plate is not stress uncoupled to the extent that small earthquakes are not possible in that plate; (3) that the current direction of transport of the over-riding plate is to the south; and (4) that the over-riding plate is geographically large enough that the zone accommodating sinistral slip occurs outside the study area. These conditions are reviewed in the following discussion.

Condition (1) is required because no focal mechanisms computed through 1983 have slip on nodal planes that are subhorizontal. Furthermore, given the stress orientations for this region and applying a Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion with a coefficient of friction $\mu \approx 0.6$, low angle faults might never be selectively preferred for failure in the contemporary stress regime (Harmsen and Rogers, 1986) unless special pore pressure or lithologic conditions existed. Considerable study and debate is currently ongoing concerning the formation of detachment surfaces and conditions for slip on such features (see, for example, Lucchitta, 1985; Davis, 1985; Power, 1985), and the application of simple failure criteria may be found to be unrealistic.

Condition (2) is required because we infer, from earthquake data, similar stress characteristics throughout the upper 10-15 km of the crust. One could postulate that the seismic quiet zone near 4 km depth is associated with a detachment surface. In one scenario, the quiet zone would demonstrate a low angle detachment surface dipping to the south in order to provide a mechanism for producing the widespread occurrence of dextral slip on north-trending faults (see below). This feature is nearly horizontal, however, as shown by the east-west and north-south cross sections shown in Figures 49a and 49b. In a second scenario a set of detachment zones is postulated to exist at this level in the crust that do not, on average, exhibit any primary dip direction, but serve as lensoid structures, similar to the model suggested by Hamilton (1987), absorbing extension on numerous shallow listric faults of varying orientation. One questions, however, whether such a set of structures could produce the notably consistent slip style that has been observed in the earthquake record. The principal argument against a set of active structures of this nature is associated with the fact that earthquakes occur with similar slip style from near-surface to depths as great as 10 to 15 km. This result, if it can be verified, suggests that stress coupling exists throughout this range of the brittle crust. Thus, the proposed upper plate (i.e., in this case the zone above about 4.0 km) and lower plate are not uncoupled. In fact, a plot of total energy release in the region as a function of depth shows that a sizable fraction of the total energy release occurs in the upper 4 km (Figure 50).

The hypothesis that a zone of uncoupling may separate brittle and ductile sections of the crust in the Great Basin is closely related to detachment faulting concepts. The existence of the uncoupling zone is primarily based on the fact that very few earthquakes occur below about 15 km throughout the Great Basin. One possible interpretation of our data is that the uncoupling zone acts as a detachment surface. In this model the entire brittle crust in the SGB is mechanically coupled, permitting lateral deformation throughout and across previously active shallow detachments. The existence of dextral motion on a series of subparallel faults across the zone suggests transport of the entire brittle crust in this region to the south. On the western margin of this zone where the transported blocks abut the Walker Lane the dextral motion is taken up along the northwest




Figure 50.- Depth distribution of earthquake energy for August, 1978 through December, 1983 earthquakes having "A" or "B" location quality, and depth error estimates < 4.0 km. Energy release (joules) was computed from M_L by the formula $E = 10^{1.90 M_L + 2.20}$.

trends of that zone. On the eastern margin of the zone, where the blocks adjoin the Colorado Plateau, one would expect sinistral motion along north to northeast-trending faults. Under this model one could hypothesize that previously active shallow detachments are now largely inactive due to the cooled crust (Lucchitta, 1985) in the SGB.

Conditions (3) and (4), above, are required in order to satisfy geographical constraints on the observed slip directions from SGB focal mechanisms that show dextral slip on north-trending faults. A schematic model demonstrating this concept is shown in Figure 51a. In fact, some evidence exists in both the current seismic record and the late Cenozoic geologic record that significant components of strike-slip movement have occurred or are occurring along the Great Basin-Colorado Plateau boundary (Arabasz and Julander, 1986; Anderson and Barnhard, 1987). A number of earthquakes in the Colorado Plateau-Great Basin transition zone can be interpreted as sinistral motion on northeast trending faults (Arabasz and Julander, 1986). Focal mechanisms from the Sevier Valley region, for instance, exhibit both dextral and sinistral slip on parallel nodal planes (Arabasz and Julander, 1986); in addition, Anderson and Barnhard (1987) find geologic evidence that they interpret as southwest-directed lateral transport or rafting of crustal blocks. They suggest, however, that these blocks are limited in vertical extent to about 5 km. The model shown in figure 51a would require infilling of late Cenozoic intrusive rocks along the zone's northern boundary. In fact, Late Cenozoic igneous rocks do occur in an east-west band across the upper third of the SGB (Stewart, 1978).

The deformation suggested in Figure 51a could be directly related to the tectonic activity that has taken place in the southern subsection of the SGB. Extrusion or transport of crustal material to the southwest along the Lake Mead and other northeast-trending shear zones could have been accompanied by north-south closure of the transport zone as material was removed. North-south closure further requires crustal stretching or southerly transport to replace the crustal block that was removed, perhaps in the generalized fashion shown in Figure 51a. This concept was first suggested by Anderson (1984). The deformation idealized in Figure 51a could also be the result of driving forces in the ductile lower section of the lithosphere and upper mantle that are essentially internal to the Great Basin. The counterclockwise rotation of the Sierra Nevada block (Hamilton and Myers, 1966) and the clockwise rotation of the Colorado Plateau (Wright, 1976) could also play a role in inducing externally acting stress on the Great Basin, although these motions are more likely to be passive response to either plate boundary or intraplate stress.

Cenozoic wrench faulting in the Great Basin has been widely discussed (i.e., Shawe, 1965; Hamilton and Myers, 1966; Wright, 1976; Hill, 1982; see Stewart, 1978 for an overview). These faults occur primarily as steeply dipping northeast- and northwest-trending structures. It is important to examine whether any of the concepts that have been proposed to explain wrench faulting in Basin and Range Cenozoic rocks are relevant to the transcurrent deformation that is observed in the contemporary seismic record. Atwater (1970) assumes that the Great Basin is a soft zone that is extending and shearing in response to plate motions along the continental boundary and that some fraction of the plate motion is absorbed on major continental fault zones subparallel to the San Andreas such as the Walker Lane, the Las Vegas Valley shear zone, and the Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault zones. Normal slip is postulated on faults rotated clockwise from these trends, resulting in Great Basin extension.

The stress orientations and magnitudes that we infer from hydrofrac and focal mechanism data in the SGB are rotated clockwise relative to those at the plate margin (Zoback and Zoback, 1980b). Although the source of such stress changes is unknown, they can result from the remote-stress distributed-deformation process itself. In this process complex passive intraplate response occurs leading to distributed inferred stress orientations in an otherwise simple remote stress environment. Alternatively, stress changes can also result from the superposition of remote plate margin stresses




Figure 51.—(a) Schematic diagram depicting dextral slip along north-trending faults in the southern Great Basin, bounded by the Sierra Nevada block (SN), and sinistral slip along north-trending faults in the eastern margin of the Great Basin, bounded by the Colorado Plateau (CP). (b) Schematic diagram showing the relationship between dextral slip on north-trending faults and normal slip on north-northeast trending faults. The northwest-trending dextral slip fault could represent slip within the Walker Lane Belt and/or the Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault zones. (c) Schematic diagram showing how north-south shortening and east-west extension in the southern Great Basin may result in wrench faulting on northwest- and northeast-trending conjugate faults. (d) A schematic diagram showing one possible interpretation of Zoback and Zoback's (1980) suggestion that the SGB is a zone accommodating differential rates and amounts of extension between the northern Great Basin and the Mojave block. The drawing is adapted from the block-rotation models discussed in detail by Garfunkel and Ron (1985).

and stresses that are internal to the Great Basin. The concept depicted in Figure 51b is a modified version of Atwater's suggestion that would kinematically favor oblique strike slip on north-trending faults. However, because a clockwise rotation of the greatest principal stress does apparently occur in the Great Basin, relative to the plate margin stresses, pure dextral slip on north-trending faults is observed. Other kinematic inconsistency is apparent in this model that should lead to a wider range of slip style in the Great Basin than appears in the contemporary seismic record. Carrying components of strike slip into the Great Basin with a model of this type also requires significant rotations of crustal blocks across the region. Dextral slip requires counterclockwise rotation, and sinstral slip requires clockwise rotation (see for example, Figure 6b in Christie-Blick and Biddle, 1985; Garfunkel and Ron, 1985). At present evidence is lacking for block rotations across the Great Basin, although rotations are known to occur locally (Carr, 1984).

Other wrench models combine north-south shortening in response to compressional forces with east-west extension (Figure 51c) accommodated by lateral shear on conjugate faults trending roughly northwest and northeast in the directions of maximum shear stress. In other concepts it is assumed that wrench, detachment, normal and thrust faulting occur contemporaneously and are different manifestations of the same deformational processes (Anderson, 1971; Wernicke, 1984; King, 1983; Aydin and Nur, 1982).

Aydin and Nur (1982) suggest that transcurrent faulting is the principal mode of intraplate deformation and offsets in these transcurrent faults lead to secondary features such as basin and ridge formation. The basin formations have been termed pull-apart basins. This type of structure, for example, has been suggested as the mechanism leading to the formation of Death Valley (Burchfiel and Stewart, 1966; Hill and Troxel, 1966). The uniqueness of Aydin and Nur's (1982) model is that it permits faulting of virtually any style to occur in a predominantly strike-slip continental crust tectonic environment.

King (1983) also supports the view that in a continental crust setting, major strike-slip faults are the principal deformation mode, acting to accommodate lateral transport of crustal material and thereby thin the crust where disequilibrium occurs. King's (1983) model requires sets of primary and secondary faults of diverse orientations, from the smallest to the largest appropriate scale, to accommodate predominantly lateral motion. The fault orientation relative to the acting stress directions establishes the style of slip. A substantial fraction of the total deformation is taken up on the secondary faults. King's model, if applied to the SGB, would predict dextral faulting on a wide range of fault orientations; this feature, however, is not observed. We also see no evidence of reverse faulting or thrust events that would be expected on the basis of the Aydin and Nur model. Thus, the principal difficulty in relating the data of this study to these models is the uniformity of inferred contemporary fault orientations and slip modes that we observe across the entire region of our study. Such uniformity is not predicted by these models. On the other hand, the reverse and thrust faults predicted by these models might be much more infrequent, given that such faults, for instance, might store stress for greater periods of time and to higher stress levels before rupture. Some aspects of these models, however, are appropriate, as demonstrated by the probable pull-apart nature of Death Valley.

A wrench tectonic model suggested by Zoback and Zoback (1980b) for the SGB can be considered in relation to the seismic and geologic data of this region. This model would require differential rates of spreading between the northern Great Basin and the Mojave block as shown in Figure 51d. Figure 51d is adapted from Garfunkel and Ron (1985), who evaluated the general properties of such a model in detail. This model assumes that motion occurs on existing faults, with little internal block deformation, in response to north-south directed compressional forces. Thus, this representation is a special interpretation of the Zoback and Zoback (1980b) suggestion. They assumed the SGB has responded passively to extension to the north. This model's application to the

Cenozoic evolution of this region on the scale shown produces some shortcomings. These problems include the lack of significant counterclockwise rotation of crustal blocks in the central portion of the Great Basin compared to surrounding regions, the lack of a set of through-going north-trending lateral faults, the absence of contemporary sinistral faulting on east-west-trending faults, and the fact that the observed clockwise stress rotation in the SGB relative to surrounding regions (Rogers and others, 1986) is in a direction that is opposite to the predicted direction (Sbar, 1982). (Sbar's case, which was applied to the Great Basin as a soft zone deforming in response to motion on the plate boundary, can be applied on a smaller scale to the SGB by considering a mirror image of his model.) Zoback's wrench model, however, might be acceptable as a deformation mode of short duration and consequent, low-order block rotation. The appealing aspects of this model are the existence of limited dextral faulting on north-trending faults and the confinement of such events to an east-west zone.

The lack of observable north-trending transcurrent faults suggests that they would have to be deep-seated and hidden or that total slip is limited to the extent that it is not readily visible at the surface. In fact, for some regions of the Great Basin, evidence has been found suggesting that wrench faulting may be obscured by one or more overlying detachments (Hardyman, 1978; Molinari, 1984). Some of the larger earthquakes in the region demonstrate significant components of strike slip inferred from focal mechanisms and wave-form modeling (Doser, 1986), however, the surface faulting that accompanies these events frequently indicates a greater proportion of normal slip compared to strike slip. Similar behavior has been observed at Pahute Mesa in response to nuclear testing. As noted above, these events radiate significant components of strike-slip energy while producing surface scarps as great as 10 km long having maximum displacements exceeding 100 cm (Maldonado, 1977). Richter (1958) also noted slip inconsistency between geologic field observations and seismic data (indicating dextral slip along an north-northwest epicentral trend) for the 1947 M=6.4 Mannix earthquake. In each case, this behavior is suggestive of contemporary deep-seated strike slip that produces sets of reidel shears in an overlying partially detached plate. Structure of this type could be an additional complicating aspect of any of the models shown in Figure 51.

Another means of coping with discordance between contemporary deformation style and that in the Late Cenozoic geologic record is to argue that the region is presently subjected to a short-lived regional stress field (Eaton and others, 1978). In principal, either the orientation or magnitudes of the principal stresses may exhibit temporal variation. Stress changes (i.e., orientation) within the Late Cenozoic have been inferred from the geologic record in selected locales (i.e., Frizzell and Zoback, 1987; Anderson and Ekren, 1977), lending some credence to this possibility. Such changes could be related to the stress build-up and stress release on segments of major faults between the Great Basin and the continental plate margin, for example, the Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault system, the Garlock fault, or even the plate margin itself.

Models 51c and d are closely related because, as drawn, they represent response to north-south directed compression. In 51c and d both sinistral and dextral faulting are possible in adjacent subzones as suggested by Garfunkel and Ron (1985). The significant differences between these two cases is that model c assumes the breaking of intact rock, while d assumes pre-existing faults. Furthermore, the faults in model c lie along the directions of maximum shear, whereas, in model d, the faults may rotate out of the direction of maximum shear. The consistency between the least principal stress direction determined from both focal mechanisms and hydrofrac measurements indicates that faults have not rotated out of the direction of maximum shear. To that extent, model d appears to be less plausible.

It is possible that certain aspects of each of the kinematic patterns shown in Figure 51a-d are present in rocks of the SGB. Given the complexity of observed structures in the region, this

hypothesis may be the only acceptable one. For instance, block rotations may occur locally, as has been noted in the southern section of Desert Game Ranges (see Carr, 1984 for an overview), in the Hampel Wash area of the NTS (Frizzell and Zoback, 1987), and in the Lake Mead area (Ron and others, 1986). Motion along the Walker Lane, as in Figure 51b, is not inconsistent with the observations of Figure 51a along the southwest boundary of the transported zone. Even though features of models 51b-d may be consistent with some aspects of the geologic and seismic data, as a whole the seismic data appear to be most consistent with the principal deformation modes described by Figure 51a.

If model 51a has validity, it could have important implications regarding the assessment of the seismic hazard in this region. For instance, if the initiating process that occurred along the southern end of the zone is essentially complete, one could postulate that the southern transport depicted in Figure 51a has been halted or at least temporarily impeded. In this case major lateral displacements on the set of subparallel north-trending faults across the SGB might not be expected. Given such conditions the microseismicity in this zone could represent release of residual stress remaining on completion of the process. On the other hand, if the potential exists in this region for the occurrence of significant strike-slip earthquakes, a hazard computation based solely on the extensional slip rates reflected by mapped scarps would be underestimated.

The presence of shallow active detachments would further complicate the assessment of the regional seismic hazard (Anderson and others, 1983; Arabasz and Julander, 1986), particularly in a zone undergoing substantial deep-seated strike slip. If active detachment surfaces exist in the upper crust of this region, motions in the lower plate might not be wholly reflected in the upper plate or they might be translated to the upper plate in a complex fashion (Hardyman, 1978). For example, the orientation of faults in the upper and lower plates could differ. Furthermore, if active shallow detachment faults are widespread in the region, then our suggested associations between seismicity and mapped surface faulting could be fortuitous. This scenario, if unrecognized, would produce misleading estimates of the seismic hazard. Upper plate faults that cut the surface, for example, might be of such limited vertical extent that they could only produce moderate or small earthquakes and the greatest hazard would be due to deep-seated faults. Also, listric faults that bottom in detachment zones and have strikes considered favorable for lateral slip or normal faulting could be kinematically unsuited for slip in the present stress regime and contemporary crustal conditions. This hypothesis is difficult to explore in detail, however, because little is known about the mechanics of detachment faulting. The principal characteristics of earthquakes in this region, however, do not seem to support the existence of active shallow detachment faults in the southern Great Basin.

Summary

- •Many earthquakes or earthquake clusters cannot be related to specific faults, and little correlation exists between range front faults and seismicity in the current monitoring period. In some cases, however, earthquake lineations and nodal planes appear to be associated with fault zones of certain orientations or with mapped structural grain.
- •Earthquakes in some zones tend to occur in cylindrical rather than planar or tabular shaped clusters; other zones exhibit tabular north-south elongations. They plunge steeply and sometimes extend to 10-15 km depths. Two cylindrically-shaped clusters are curved or linearly segmented as a function of depth. We suggest that these distributions occur along the intersection of major faults; the concentration of seismicity along the locus of intersection is attributed to the presence of weaker rock in the vicinity of such fault intersections. Further testing of the location process, however, is required to establish that these distributions are not an artifact of the location process or the velocity model.
- •For earthquakes for which focal mechanisms could be determined, a large percentage are strikeslip. Weak to fairly distinct north-south epicenter elongations suggest a preference for dextral strike slip on northerly-trending faults.
- •The greatest number of earthquakes are confined to the upper 15 km; however, there appear to be two principal zones of energy release within the upper 25 km of the crust. The shallower zone occurs above about 15 km, and a deeper zone occurs below about 20 km. The energy release zones and the low between them appears to dip to the southwest. Zones of relatively high energy release in the upper 5 km compared to the regional values are not confined to the nuclear testing areas of the NTS.
- •The depth distribution of earthquake foci is bimodal with maxima at 1.5 and 9 km, and a minimum at 4 km. Although several tests have been conducted to determine whether this effect is an artifact of the location process, this question is not yet satisfactorily resolved.
- •There is no depth-dependent pattern for the occurrence of strike-slip or normal fault events. In some cases, strike-slip and normal fault events occur within the same cluster at about the same depth.
- •Mapped faults of approximately north to east-northeast trend should be recognized as favorably oriented for slip in the current stress regime in spite of the apparent lack of association of specific earthquakes with specific faults. Listric faults could be an exception to this conclusion because, given the regional stress field orientation, such faults may not be favorable for slip even if they exhibit the requisite strike. At present too little is known about the mechanics of listric faulting to resolve this question.
- •From a comparison of the late Quaternary geologic record along the Death Valley-Furnace Creek fault zone (DV-FC) and the contemporary seismic record to the east of DV-FC, we infer that a clockwise rotation of the principal stresses occurs in the SGB relative to areas to the west of the DV-FC. A speculative interpretation of this observation is that the SGB is partially uncoupled from the continental plate boundary stresses. This uncoupled state could be due to previous stress release along the DV-FC fault zone, but may also reflect some intrinsic or fundamental crustal boundary that exists at the DV-FC fault zone-Walker Lane boundary.
- •Based on focal mechanisms, two zones of seismicity 25 km apart in Sarcobatus Flat could be interpreted as strain release at the end points of a common fault.

- •Comparison of the energy release maps for the pre-1978 and post-1978 periods shows that, averaged over a given time period, the active zones appear to have about the same strain release rates across the region, including the areas of induced seismicity. The active zones also appear to shift with time in some areas, in a manner that has the appearance of gap filling.
- •Energy release maps and seismicity maps for the current and the historic record show that seismicity in this region forms an east-west band of energy release across the SGB. The seismicity, however, occurs in distinct zones across the region that gives the east-west seismic zone a discontinuous appearance.
- •Yucca Mountain lies within a seismic energy release low connected to the Furnace Creek-Death Valley and Mojave Desert lows.
- •Focal mechanisms imply that σ_1 , the maximum compressive stress, is roughly horizontal, but also that if a single fixed stress field is acting throughout the region, the principal stresses are rotated slightly out of the horizontal and vertical planes.
- •The stress orientations inferred from the dihedral intersection method indicate that north-trending and east-northeast-trending nodal planes are the preferred fault planes for focal mechanisms having steeply dipping nodal planes. Dextral slip on steeply dipping north-trending planes, and sinistral slip on steeply dipping east-northeast-trending planes are consistent with the directions of maximum shearing stress on those planes. Normal and oblique slip are preferred on planes with strikes intermediate to these two directions.
- •Continued low seismicity levels at Yucca Mountain and vicinity and the disparity of the Yucca Mountain hydrofrac stress measurements with the focal mechanism inferred principal stress attributes are consistent with the conclusion that Yucca Mountain is uncoupled from the regional stress field. Geologic data, which suggest that one or more detachments underlie Yucca Mountain, also support this conclusion. Alternate interpretations, however, are possible.
- •While some of the data and interpretations may favor the existence of detachment faults at Yucca Mountain many of the characteristics of earthquakes in active zones throughout the region do not support an interpretation of detachment faulting as a regional pattern of deformation. The active zones indicate a predominance of lateral faulting on en echelon or parallel north-trending faults.
- •The remarkable uniformity across the region in the occurrence of dextral, sinistral, and normal faulting on north-, east-northeast-, and northeast-trending faults, respectively, is interpreted to be consistent with an axially symmetric stress field having about equal intermediate and greatest principal stresses throughout the seismogenic crust. These slip styles are equally likely in this stress field if pre-existing faults of any orientation are available for slip. The observation that a preponderance of dextral slip on steeply dipping north trending faults occurs may reflect the fact that faults in this region have that preferential orientation. Also, it is important to note that this uniformity in deformation style occurs across a region that has experienced a variety of tectonic styles during the Cenozoic and that the contemporary style is markedly different from that of the recent geologic past.
- •The uniformity in deformation style supports the conclusion that the driving mechanism producing crustal deformation is similar in at least some subprovinces of the Great Basin.
- •Based on our interpretation from earthquake focal mechanisms of an axially symmetric regional stress field, we suggest that the stress conditions measured by hydrofrac techniques do not reflect the general critical stress conditions throughout the region and/or the stress conditions at seismogenic depths.

- We observe that the contemporary principal horizontal stresses are also rotated clockwise relative to the contemporary stress orientations to the north or east of the SGB.
- •Our inference that the greatest and intermediate principal stresses are equal further implies that the horizontal component of tectonic stress is increasing with depth. This result may be consistent with a horizontal basal shear acting along the base of the brittle crust or, perhaps, the lithosphere.
- •At present no single tectonic model satisfactorily accounts for all the critical features of the seismicity in the SGB.
- •In another study, summarized in this report, we determined (Rogers and others, 1987) that attenuation of ground motion in the SGB is much lower than other parts of the Great Basin. This finding affects magnitude estimation for both current and pre-1978 earthquakes and also has an impact on the manner in which strong ground motion estimates will have to be computed in an earthquake hazard assessment of this region.

References Cited

- Aki, K., and Chouet, B., 1975, Origin of coda waves: Source, attenuation, and scattering effects: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 80, p. 3322-3342.
- Albers, J. P. and Stewart, J. H., 1965, Preliminary geologic map of Esmeralda County, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Mineral Investigations Field Studies Map MF-298.
- Allmendinger, R. W., Sharp, J. W., Tish, D. V., Serpa, L., Brown, L., Kaufman, S., Oliver, J., Smith, R. B., 1983. Cenozoic and Mesozoic structure of the eastern Basin and Range province, Utah, from Cocorp seismic-reflection data: Geology, v. 11, p. 532-536.
- Anderson, R. E., 1971, Thin-skinned distension in Tertiary rocks of southeastern Nevada: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 82, p. 43-58.
- Anderson, R. E., Zoback, M. L., and Thomson, G. A., 1983, Implications of selected subsurface data on the structural form and evolution of some basins in the Northern Basin and Range Province, Nevada and Utah: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 194, p. 1055-1072.
- Anderson, R. E. and Ekren, E. B., 1977, Late Cenozoic fault patterns and stress fields in the Great Basin and westward displacement of the Sierra Nevada block: Geology, v. 5, p. 388-389.
- Anderson, R. E., 1984, Strike-slip faults associated with extension in and adjacient to the Great Basin [abs.]: Geologic Society of America Abstracts and Programs, v. 16, no. 6, p. 429.
- Anderson, R. E. and Barnhard, T. P., 1987, Neotectonic framework of the central Sevier Valley area, Utah, and its relationship to seismicity, in Assessment of regional hazards and risk along the Wasatch Front, Utah, ed. P. L. Gori and W. W. Hays, U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-585, p. F1-134.
- Angelier, Jacques, 1979. Determination of the mean principal directions of stresses for a given fault population, *Tectonophysics*, v. 56, p. T17-T26.
- Arabasz, W. J., and Julander, D. R., 1986, Geometry of seismically active faults and crustal deformation within the Basin and Range-Colorado Plateau transition in Utah: Geological Society of America Special Paper on Extensional Tectonics, no. 208, p. 43-106.
- Atwater, T., 1970, Implications of plate tectonics for the Cenozoic tectonic evolution of western North America, Geologic Society of America Bulletin, v. 81, p. 3513-3536.
- Aydin, A., and Nur, A., 1982, Evolution of pull-apart basins and their scale independence: Tectonics, v. 1, p. 91-105.
- Bakun, W. H. and Lindh, A. G., 1977, Local magnitudes, seismic moments, and coda durations for earthquakes near Oroville, California: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 67, p. 615-629.

- Bakun, W. H., and Joyner, W. B., 1984, The ML scale in Central California: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 74, p. 1827-1843.
- Bucknam, R. C., 1969, Geologic effects of the Benham underground nuclear explosion, Nevada Test Site: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 59, no. 6, p. 2209-2220.
- Burchfiel, B. C., and Stewart, J. C., 1966, "Pull-Apart" origin of the central segment of Death Valley, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 77, p. 439-442.
- Byers, F. M., Jr., Carr W. J., Christiansen, R. L., Lipman, P. W., Orkild, P. P., and Quinlivan, W. D., 1976, Geologic map of the Timber Mountain caldera area, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Map I-891.
- Caccamo, D. and Neri, G., 1984, A new analytic procedure to determine hypocentral parameters of local seismic events: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 74, p. 669-688.
- Carr, W. J., 1974, Summary of tectonic and structural evidence for stress orientation at the Nevada Test Site: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 74-176, 53 p.
- Carr, W. J., 1984, Regional structural setting of Yucca Mountain, southwestern Nevada, and late Cenozoic rates of tectonic activity in part of the southwestern Great Basin, Nevada and California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-854, 109 pages.
- Carder, D. S., 1945, Seismic investigation in the Boulder Dam area, 1940-1944, and the influence of reservoir loading on earthquake activity: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 35, no. 4, p. 175-192.
- Chinnery, M. A. 1963. The stress changes that accompany strike slip faulting, Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 53, p. 921-932.
- Christiansen, R. L., Lipman, P.W., Carr, W.J., Byers, F. M., Jr., Orkild, P.P., and Sargent, K. A., 1977, Timber Mountain-Oasis Valley caldera complex of southern Nevada: Geological Society of America Bulletin v. 88, p. 943-959.
- Christie-Blick, N., and Biddle, K. T., 1985, Deformation and basin formation along strike-slip faults, in Biddle, K. T., and Christie-Blick, N., eds., Strike-slip deformation, basin formation, and sedimentation: Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Special Publication No. 37, p. 1-34.
- Davis, G. A., and Burchfiel, B. C., 1973, Garlock fault: an intracontental transform structure, southern California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 84, p. 1407-1422.
- Davis, G. H., 1985, Metamorphic core complexes-expression of crustal extension by ductile-brittle shearing of the geologic column, in Conference on Heat and Detachment in Crustal Extension on Continents and Planets, 1985, Proc.: Houston, Texas, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Contribution No. 575.
- Doser, D. I., 1986, Earthquake processes in the Rainbow Mountain-Fairview Peak-Dixie Valley, Nevada region 1954-1959: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 91, p. 12572-12586.

- Eaton, G. P., 1975, Harmonic magnification of the complete telemetered seismic system, from seismometer to film viewer screen, U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 75-99, 22 p.
- Eaton, G. P., Wahl, R. R., Prostka, H. J., Mabey, D. R., and Kleinkopf, M. D., 1978, Regional gravity and tectonic patterns-Their relation to late Cenozoic epeirogeny and lateral spreading in the Western Cordillera: in Smith, R. B., and Eaton, G. P., eds., Cenozoic tectonics and regional geophysics of the Western Cordillera: Geological Society of America Memoir 152, p. 51-91.
- Ekren, E. B., Orkild, P. P., Sargent, K.A., and Dixon, G. L., 1977, Geologic Map of Tertiary Rocks, Lincoln County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Map I-1041.
- Ekren, E. B., and Sargent, K. A., 1965, Geologic Map of the Skull Mountain Quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U. S. Geological Survey Quadrangle Map GQ-387.
- Ellis, W. L. and Magner, J. E., 1982, Determination of the in situ state of stress at the spent fuel test-Climax stock, Nevada Test Site: U. S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 82-458.
- Frizzell, V. A. and Zoback, M. L., 1987, Stress orientation determined from fault slip data in Hampel Wash area, Nevada, and its relation to contemporary regional stress field: *Tectonics*, v. 6, p. 89-98.
- Garfunkel, Z., and Ron, H., 1985, Block rotation and deformation by strike-slip faults.

 2. The properties of a type of macroscopic discontinuous deformation: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 90, p. 8589-8609.
- Gephart, J. W. and Forsyth, D. W., 1984, An improved method for determining the regional stress tensor using earthquake focal mechanism data: application to the San Fernando earthquake sequence: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 89, p. 9305-9320.
- Gephart, J. W., 1985, Principal stress directions and the ambiguity in fault plane identification from focal mechanisms: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 75, p. 621-626.
- Gumper, F. J. and Scholz, C., 1971, Microseismicity of the Nevada seismic zone: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 61, p. 1413-1432.
- Hamilton, R. M., and Healy, J. H., 1969, Aftershocks of the Benham Nuclear Explosion: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 59, p. 2271-2281
- Hamilton, R. M., Smith, B. E., Fischer, F. G., and Papanek, P. J., 1971, Seismicity of the Pahute Mesa area, Nevada Test Site, 8 December 1968 through 31 December 1970:
 U.S. Geological Survey Report USGS-GD-474-138, 170 p.; available only from U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161.
- Hamilton, W., and Myers, W. B., 1966, Cenozoic tectonics of the Western United States: Reviews of Geophysics, v. 4, p. 509-549.

- Hamilton, W., 1987, Crustal extension in the Basin and Range Province, southwestern United States: Geological Society London Special Publication 28.
- Hanks, T. C., 1977, Earthquake stress drops, ambient tectonic stresses and stresses that drive plate motions: Pure and Applied Geophysics, v. 115, p. 441-458.
- Hardyman, R. F., 1978, Volcanic stratigraphy and structural geology of Gillis Canyon quadrangle, northern Gillis Range, Mineral County, Nevada: Reno, Nevada, University of Nevada, unpub. Ph.D. thesis.
- Harmsen, S. C., and Rogers, A. M., 1986, Inferences about the local stress field from focal mechanisms: applications to earthquakes in the southern Great Basin of Nevada: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 76, p. 1560-1572.
- Healey, D. L., Wahl, R. R., and Oliver, H. W., 1980, Death Valley sheet of complete Bouguer gravity map of Nevada: Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology, Map sheet 68, scale 1:250,000.
- Herrmann, R. B., and Kijko, Andrzeij, 1983, Modeling some empirical vertical component Lg relations: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 73, p. 157-171.
- Hill, D. P., 1982, Contemporary block tectonics: California and Nevada: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 87, p. 5433-5450.
- Hill, M. L., and Troxel, B. W., 1966, Tectonics of Death Valley Region, California: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 77, p. 435-438.
- Hinrichs, E. N., 1968, Geologic Map of the Camp Desert Rock quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-726.
- Jaeger, J. C. and Cook, N. G. W., 1969, Fundamentals of Rock Mechanics: London, Methuen, 515 p.
- Jennings, Charles W., R. G. Strand, T. H. Rogers, M. C. Stinson, J. L. Burnett, J. E. Kahle and R. Streitz, 1973, State of California Preliminary Fault and Geologic Map (South Half), California Division of Mines and Geology, Prelim. Report 13.
- Johnson, C. E., 1979, I. CEDAR: An approach to the computer automation of short-period local seismic networks. II. Seismotectonics of the Imperial Valley of Southern California,: Pasadena, Calif., California Institute of Technology, unpub. Ph. D. thesis, 332 p.
- Johnson, L. R., 1965, Crustal structure between Lake Mead, Nevada, and Mono Lake, California: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 70, p. 2863-2872.
- Johnston, Arch C., 1987, Air blast recognition and location using regional seismographic networks: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 77, p. 1446-1456.
- Kellerher, J. and Savino, J., 1975, Distribution of seismicity before large strike slip and thrust-type earthquakes, Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 80, p. 260-271.

- King, G., 1983, The accommodating of large strains in the upper lithosphere of the earth and other solids by self-similar fault systems: The geometrical origin of b-value: Pure and Applied Geophysics, v. 121, p. 761-815.
- Kisslinger, C., 1976, A review of theories of mechanisms of induced seismicity: Engineering Geology, v. 10, p. 85-98.
- Kisslinger, C., Bowman, J. R., and Koch, Karl, 1981, Procedures for computing focal mechanisms from local (SV/P_z) data: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 71, p. 1719-1729.
- Lahr, John C., 1979, HYPOELLIPSE: A computer program for determining local earthquake hypocentral parameters, magnitude, and first motion pattern: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 79-431, 53 p.
- Lancaster, D., 1975, Active-filter cookbook: Indianapolis, Indiana, H. W. Sams and Company, 240 p.
- Lay, T., Wallace, T. C., Helmberger, D. V., 1984, The effects of tectonic release on short-period P-waves from NTS explosions: Seismological Society of America Bulletin v. 74, p. 819-842.
- Lee, W. H. K., Bennett, R. E., and Meagher, K. L., 1972, A method of estimating magnitude of local earthquakes from signal duration: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 28 p.
- Lee, W. H. K., and Lahr, J. C., 1975, HYPO71 (revised): A computer program for determining hypocenter, magnitude, and first-motion pattern of local earthquakes: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 75-311, p. 1-116.
- Lee, W. H. K., and Stewart, S. W., 1979. Principles and applications of microearthquake networks: New York City, N. Y., Academic Press, 293 p.
- Lucchitta, I., 1985, Heat and detachment in core-complex extension: in Conference on Heat and Detachment in Crustal Extension on Continents and Planets, 1985, Proc.: Houston, Texas, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Contribution No. 575.
- Maldonado, F., 1977, Composite postshot fracture map of Pahute Mesa, Nevada Test Site, June 1973 through March 1976: U.S. Geological Survey Report USGS-474-243, 8 p.; available only from U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161.
- McKay, E. J. and Williams, W. P., 1964. Geology of the Jackass Flats quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-368.
- McKenzie, D. P., 1969. The relation between fault plane solutions for earthquakes and the directions of the principal stresses: Seismological Society of America Bulletin v. 80, p 591-601.
- McKeown, F. A. and Dickey, D. D., 1969, Fault displacements and motion related to nuclear explosions: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 59, no. 6, p. 2253-2269.

- McKeown, F. A., 1975, Relation of geological structure to seismicity at Pahute Mesa, Nevada Test Site, Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 65, p. 747-764.
- Meremonte, M. E. and Rogers, A. M., 1987, Historical catalog of southern Great Basin earthquakes 1868-1978, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-80, 203 p.
- Molinari, M. P., 1984, Late Cenozoic geology and tectonics of Stewart and Monte Cristo valleys, West-Central Nevada: Reno, Nevada, University of Nevada, unpub. M.S. thesis, 124 p.
- Navarro, R., and Overturf, D., 1970, Investigation of the shake-table calibration of the S-13 system and the L-4A system: Environmental Services Administration, Coast and Geodetic Survey Report CGS-746-6, March 12, 1970, 26 p.
- O'Conner, J. T., Anderson, R. E., and Lipman, P. W., 1966, Geologic map of the Thirsty Canyon quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-524.
- Power, W. L., 1985, Low-angle normal faults-low differential stress at mid crustal levels? in Conference on Heat and Detachment in Crustal Extension on Continents and Planets, 1985, Proc.: Houston, Texas, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Contribution No. 575.
- Prodahl, C., 1970, Seismic refraction study of crustal structure in the Western United States: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 81, p. 2629-2646.
- Richter, C. F., 1958, Elementary seismology: San Francisco, Calif., Freeman, 768 p.
- Rogers, A. M., and Lee, W. H. K., 1976, Seismic study of earthquakes in the Lake Mead, Nevada-Arizona region: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 66, p. 1651-1681.
- Rogers, A. M., Wuolett, G. M., and Covington, P. A., 1977, Seismicity of the Pahute Mesa area, Nevada Test Site, 8 October 1975 to 30 June 1976: U.S. Geological Survey Report USGS-474-184, 61 p.; available only from U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA, 22161.
- Rogers, A. M., Harmsen, S. C., and Carr, W. J., 1981, Southern Great Basin Seismological Data Report for 1980 and Preliminary Data Analysis, U. S. Geological Survey Open File Report 81-1086, 148 p.
- Rogers, A. M., Harmsen, S. C., Carr, W. J., and Spence, W., 1983, Southern Great Basin Seismological Data Report for 1981 and Preliminary Data Analysis: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 83-669. 240 p.
- Rogers, A. M., Anderson, R. E., and Harmsen, S. C., 1986, Strike slip seismicity in the Great Basin: Transactions of the American Geophysical Union, v. 67, p. 1236.
- Rogers, A. M., Harmsen, S. C., Herrmann, R. B., and Meremonte, M. E., 1987, A study of ground motion attenuation in the southern Great Basin, Nevada-California, using several techniques for estimates of Q_s , $\log A_0$, and coda Q: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 92, p. 3527-3540.

- Ron, H., Aydin, A., and Nur, A., 1986, Strike-slip faulting and block rotation in the Lake Mead fault system: Geology, vol. 14, no. 12, p. 1020-1023.
- Sargent, K. A., McKay, E. J., and Burchfiel, B. C., 1970, Geologic Map of the Striped Hills quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-882.
- Sbar, M. L., 1982, Delineation and interpretation of seismotectonic domains in western North America: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 87, p. 3919-3928.
- Scott, R. B., 1986. Extensional tectonics at Yucca Mountain, Southern Nevada: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, v. 18, n. 5, p. 411.
- Shawe, D. E., 1965, Strike-slip control of Basin-Range structure indicated by historical faults in western Nevada: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 76, p. 1361-1378.
- Smith, R. B., and Lindh, A. G., 1978, Fault plane solutions of the Western United States: a compilation: in Smith, R. B., and Eaton, G. P., eds., Cenozoic tectonics and regional geophysics of the Western Cordillera: Geological Society of America Memoir 152, p. 107-109.
- Snoke, J. A., Munsey, J. W., Teague, A. G., and Bollinger, G. A., 1984, A program for focal mechanism determination by combined use of polarity and SV-P amplitude ratio data: Earthquake Notes, v. 55, p. 15.
- Stewart, J. H., 1978, Basin-range structure in western North America: A review, in Smith, R. B., and Eaton, G. P., eds., Cenozoic tectonics and regional geophysics of the Western Cordillera: Geological Society of America Memoir 152, p. 1-31.
- Stewart, J. H., and Carlson, J. E., 1978, Geologic map of Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey and Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology map, MF-930, Scale 1:500,000.
- Stock, J. M., Healy, J. H., Hickman, S. H., and Zoback, M. D., 1985, Hydrolic fracturing stress measurements at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and relationship to the regional stress field: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 90, p. 8691-8706.
- Swadley, W. C., 1983. Map showing surficial geology of the Lathrop Wells quadrangle, Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Map I-1361.
- Swolfs, H. S., and Savage, W. Z., 1985, Topography, stresses, and stability at Yucca Mountain, Nevada: Proceedings, 26th U.S. Symposium on Rock Mechanics, p. 1121-1129. USGS (U.S. Geological Survey) (comp.), 1984, A summary of geologic studies through January 1, 1983, of a potential high-level radioactive waste repository site at Yucca Mountain, Southern Nye County, Nevada: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-792, U.S. Geological Survey, Menlo Park, California, 103 p.
- Vetter, U., and Ryall, A. S., 1983, Systematic change of focal mechanism with depth in the Western Great Basin: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 88, p. 8237-8250.
- Wallace, T. C., Helmberger, D. V., and Engen, G. R., 1983, Evidence of tectonic release from underground nuclear explosions in long-period P- waves: Seismological Society of America Bulletin v. 73, p. 593-613.

- Wallace, T. C., Helmberger, D. V., and Engen, G. R., 1985, Evidence of tectonic release from underground nuclear explosions in long-period S-waves: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 75, p. 157-174.
- Wallace, T. C., Helmberger, D. V., and Lay, J., 1986, Reply to comments by A. Douglas, J. B. Young, and N. S. Lyman and a note on the revised moments for Pahute Mesa tectonic release, Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 76, p. 313-318.
- Wernicke, B., 1981, Low-angle normal faults in the Basin and Range Province: Nappe tectonics in an extending orogen: Nature, v. 291, p. 645-648.
- Wernicke, B., Spencer, J. E., Burchfiel, B. C., and Guth, P. L., 1983, Magnitude of crustal extension in the southern Great Basin: Geology, v. 10, 497-502.
- Wright, Lauren, 1976, Late Cenozoic fault patterns and stress fields in the Great Basin and westward displacement of the Sierra Nevada block: Geology, v. 4, p. 489-414.
- Young, G. B. and Braile, L. W., 1976, A computer program for the application of Zoeppritz's amplitude equations and Knott's energy equations: Seismological Society of America Bulletin, v. 66, p 1983-2001, December 1976.
- Zoback, M. L., and Zoback, M. D., 1980a, Faulting patterns in north-central Nevada and strength of the crust: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 85, p. 275-284.
- Zoback, M. L., and Zoback, M. D., 1980b, State of stress in the conterminous United States: Journal of Geophysical Research, v. 85, p. 6113-6156.

APPENDIX A

System frequency response curves and calibrations

Appendix A

Derivations of the frequency response curves of the seismograph instrument packages used in this study are presented below. The individual components are first described as analog or digital filters. The complete systems are then described, and finally, figures of some representative southern Great Basin system calibrations, from seismometer to playout, are shown.

Seismometer Response

For both S13 and L4C seismometers, the frequency response is written as the ratio of seismometer voltage out, E_s , to ground displacement (meters) input, Y_f . The complex transfer function $H_1(f)$ is

$$H_1(f) = E_o/Y_f = 2\pi f_n G_{lo} \frac{f/f_n}{1 - (f_n/f)^2 + 2i\lambda (f_n/f)}$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The values of the effective loaded motor constants, G_{le} , the seismometer natural frequencies, f_n , and the ratios of actual to critical damping, λ , corresponding to the different seismometers, which appear in the above equation, are shown in Table A1.

Seismometer	Gla (Yoltaxsec)	fn (Hz)	λ
L4C	126.5	1.0	0.71
S130	377.8	1.0	0.70
S13Y	368.0	1.0	0.73

Table A1. The values of constants appropriate for SGB seismometers.

Tricom 649 Amplifier/VCO

The frequency response of the Tricom 649 amplifier is modeled using a second-order Bessel low pass filter (-12 db/octave) cascaded with a third-order Butterworth high pass filter (-18 db/octave). Because this amplifier is broadband, it is designed by overlapping high and low pass filters. Letting $H_L(f)$ = the low pass filter, and $H_H(f)$ = the high pass filter, the complex transfer function $H_2(f)$ is written as

$$H_2(f) = AH_L(f)H_H(f),$$

where $A = 10^{(g/20)}$, g = amplifier gain (dB),

$$H_L(f) = \frac{1}{1 - (f/f_1)^2 + id_1(f/f_1)},$$

where $f_c = 16$ Hs (nominal -3 dB point), $f_1 = 1.274 f_c$, $d_1 = 1.732$, and

$$H_H(f) = \frac{f/f_2}{(1+i(f/f_2))} \frac{(f/f_3)^2}{(1-(f/f_3)^2+id_2(f/f_3))},$$

where $f_c = 0.1$ Hz (nominal -3 dB point), $f_2 = 1.0 f_c$, $f_3 = 1.0 f_c$, and $d_2 = 1.0$.

The filter design constants in these and the following formulas are from Lancaster (1975).

Tricom 642 Discriminator

The Tricom 642 discriminator is analytically modeled by a fifth-order Bessel low pass filter having dropost of 30 db/octave. This is factored into a first-order and two second-order filters, having the complex transfer function $H_3(f)$ as follows:

$$H_3(f) = \frac{1}{(1+i(f/f_1))(1-(f/f_2)^2+id_1(f/f_2))(1-(f/f_3)^2+id_2(f/f_3))},$$

where $f_1 = 1.613 f_c$, $d_1 = 1.775$, $f_2 = 1.819 f_c$, $d_2 = 1.091$, $f_3 = 1.557 f_c$, and $f_c = 14.1$ Hz.

Geotech 4250 Amplifier/VCO

The mathematical filter simulating this broadband amplifier is written as a second-order Bessel low pass filter (-12 db/octave) cascaded with a second-order Butterworth high pass filter (-12 db/octave). Letting $H_L(f)$ and $H_H(f)$ represent the low and high pass filters, respectively, and letting $H_4(f)$ represent the amplifier response, we have

$$H_4(f) = AH_L(f)H_H(f),$$

where $A = 10^{g/20}$, g = amplifier gain (db),

$$H_L(f) = \frac{1}{1 - (f/f_1)^2 + id_1(f/f_1)},$$

where $f_c = 20$ Hs (nominal -3 db point), $f_1 = 1.274 f_c$, $d_1 = 1.732$, and

$$H_H(f) = \frac{(f/f_1)^2}{1 - (f/f_1)^2 + id_1(f/f_1)},$$

where $f_c = 0.2$ Hs (nominal -3 db point), $f_1 = 1.0 f_c$, and $d_1 = 1.414$.

Geotech 4612 Discriminator

This component is modeled with a third-order Paynter low pass filter having a corner frequency, f_c , at 22.5 Hs. The complex frequency response, $H_0(f)$, is given by

$$H_{\delta}(f) = \frac{1}{(1 - (f/f_{01})^2 + id_1(f/f_{01}))(1 + i(f/f_{02}))},$$

where $f_c = 22.5$ Hz (nominal 3 db point), $f_{01} = 1.206 f_c$, $f_{02} = 1.152 f_c$, and $d_1 = 1.203$. This filter was preferred to that specified by the manufacturer (Butterworth third-order low pass with $f_c = 25$ Hz), because the Paynter filter better approximated the observed response of the discriminator.

Playout gain/shape - Analog Develocorder

The Develocorder is modeled as a second-order low pass filter having complex frequency response $H_6(f)$ given by

$$H_6(f) = \frac{A}{1 - (f/f_1)^2 + 2id_1(f/f_1)^2}$$

where $A = 17.730 \cdot 10^{-3}$ meters/volt, $f_1 = 16$ Hs, and $d_1 = 0.8$.

Playout gain/shape - Helicorder

The Helicorder has a variable gain, g_i and is modeled as a fourth- order low pass filter. Its complex response, $H_7(f)$, may therefore be written as

$$H_7(f) = 10^{(6-g)/20} (H_6(f))^2$$

where g = Helicorder playout gain (dB), and $H_6(f)$ is defined above, except that, for the Helicorder, $f_1 = 35.0$ Hs, and $d_1 = 0.48$.

The PDP 11/84 Digital Computer Response

The frequency response of the 12-bit analog to digital converter, PDP AD/11K, and the subsequent components on the digital computer, including magnetic tape and software, is flat for input signals having frequencies between 0 and 50 Hz, the Nyquist frequency. The system output is in digital counts, such that ± 1 volt input results in ± 409.6 counts output, respectively, for all frequencies below the Nyquist frequency. Letting $H_{\delta}(f)$ be the system response of the PDP 11/34 computer, we have

$$H_8(f) = 409.6 \text{ counts/volt}, \quad 0 \le f \le 50 \text{ Hs}, \text{ and } -5 \le \text{volts in } \le 5.$$

SGB Seismograph Systems

The entire system from ground motion input to playout has a frequency response, H(f), that may be described by

 $H(f) = H_1(f)H_2(f)H_3(f)H_j(f)$ for system L4C, $H(f) = H_1(f)H_2(f)H_3(f)H_j(f)$ for system S13O, and $H(f) = H_1(f)H_4(f)H_5(f)H_j(f)$ for system S13Y,

where j=6,7, or 8 depending on the medium on which the playout occurs (Develocorder, Helicorder, or digital computer, respectively) and the parameters G_{le} and λ are chosen for the proper seismometer (Table A1). S13O refers to S13 instruments other than those on Yucca Mountain, and S13Y refers to S13 instruments on Yucca Mountain.

The constants, G_{le} , are computed knowing the manufacturer's nominal motor constants, the circuit design, shunt resistance, and input impedance to the amplifier. The proper equations have been derived by Eaton (1975). The constants, λ , have been measured in the lab.

Calibration

Although each component of these seismograph systems has been individually calibrated and compared with its ideal or theoretical performance, in the following we show only several representative examples of calibrations of the frequency response of complete systems. The first example, shown in Figure A1, is for the Mark Products L4C seismometer-Tricom amplifier system, having nominal gain of 48 dB, with playout being sampled by a DEC PDP 11/34 digital computer. The lack of agreement between the theoretical response (solid curve) and the observed system amplification (x symbols) above about 10 Hz is believed to be due to interaction (induction) between the L4C calibration coil and main coil, and does not represent the actual system response. This interpretation is supported by the fact that shake table calibrations of the L4C do not show this discrepancy (R. Navarro and D. Overturf, 1970; S. Morrisey, written commun., 1986). That this difference arises in the seismometer and not in subsequent electronics-telemetry was established by examining the seismometer response alone. The second example, shown in Figure A2, compares theoretical (solid curve) and observed (x symbols) frequency responses for the Teledyne Geotech S13 seismometer-Geotech amplifier system, with playout on a Helicorder paper record.




Figure A1. Amplitude response of L4C system into PDP 11/34 digital computer (theoretical, solid curve, observed ×s) for a nominal amplifier gain of 48 db.




Figure A2. Amplitude response of S13Y system into helicorder for a nominal amplifier gain of 48 db.

APPENDIX B

Station codes, locations, instrumentation, and polarity reversals

10

STATION INFORMATION

CODE	STATION	PERIOD OF OPERATION (DAY/MONTH/YEAR)	LATITUDE (DEG MINUTES)	LONGITUDE (DEG MINUTES)	ELEVATION (METERS)	SEISMOMETER MODEL	GAIN (DB)
AMR	Amargosa, Cal.	24/ 0 7/78-present	36 23.86 N	116 28.45 W	720	L-4C	84
APK	Angels Peak, Nev.	15/06/75-05/08/83•	36 19.17 N	115 34.46 W	2680	S-13 to 21/3/81 L-4C 21/3/81-en	d 84
APKW	Angels Peak, Nev.	05/08/83-present •	36 19.19 N	115 35.22 W	2512	L-4C	84
BCB	Big Butte, Nev.	23/01/79-present	37 02.27 N	116 13.66 W	172 0	L-4C	84
BLT	Belted Range, Nev.	30/05/79-present	37 28.93 N	116 07.35 W	1820	L-4C	84
BMT	Black Mountain, Nev.	26/02/80-01/04/83	. 37 17.02 N	116 38.74 W	2191	L-4C	84
BMTN	Black Mountain, Nev.	01/04/83-present	37 17.35 N	116 38.43 W	1900	L-4C	84
BRO	Bare Mountain, Nev.	28/11/78 - 08/04/81	36 45.76 N	116 37.52 W	920	L-4C	84
CDH1	Catico Hills, Nev.	06/02/80~18/11/81	36 51.62 N	116 19.05 W	1387	L-1-3DS (vert.) L-4C 18/11/81-p	
CDH5	Calico Hills, Nev.	06/02/8 0- 18/11/81	36 51.62 N	116 19.05 W	1055	L-1-30S (horiz.) 108
CPX	CP-1, Nev.	//77-01/03/80•	36 55.80 N	116 03.33 W	1285	NGC-21 to 5/8/8 L-4C 5/8/80-pr.	0 84
CTS	Cactus Peak, Nev.	24/04/79-present	37 39.40 N	116 43.54 W	1899	L-4C	84
DLM	Delamar Mountains, Nev	v. 98/96/78-present+	37 36.35 N	114 44.33 W	1730	L-4C	84
EPN	Echo Peak, Nev.	02/09/75-present	37 12.85 N	116 19:42 W	2285	S-13 to 25/4/80 L-4C 25/4/80-pr	
EPNH	Echo Peak, Nev.	06/06/84-present	37 12.85 N	116 19.42 W	2285	L-4C horizontal	78
EPR	East Pahranagat Rg. Ne	v 23/01/79-present+	37 10.12 N	115 11.19 W	1300	L-4C	84
FMT	Funeral Mountains, Cal	i. 28/11/78-present	36 38.38 N	116 46.73 W	1025	L-4C	84
GLR	Groom Lake Road, Nev.	20/11/75-present+	37 11.96 N	116 01.06 W	1435	L-4C	84
GMN	Gold Mountain, Nev.	13/07/79-present+	37 18.01 N	117 15.58 W	2155	L-4C	84
GMNH	Gold Mountain, Nev.	30/97/84-present	37 18.01 N	117 15.58 W	2155	L-4C horizontal	78

CMR	Groom Range, Nev.	23/81/79-present	37 20.03 N	115 46.27 W	1580	L-4C	84
CMRH	Groom Range, Nev.	09/09/84-present	37 29.03 N	115 46.27 W	1580	L-4C	84
GVN	Grapevine, Cal.	28/11/78-present	37 00.09 N	117 20.55 W	865	L-4C	84
CMA	Greenwater Valley, Cal.	24/87/78-present	36 11.20 N	118 40.24 W	1540	L-4C	84
HCR	Hat Creek Range, Nev.	21/07/81-present	38 14.02 N	116 26.18 W	2030	L-4C	64
JON	Johnnie, Nev.	24/07/78-present=	36 26.39 N	116 06.18 W	920	L-4C	84
JONH	Johnnie, Nev.	22/86/84-present	36 26.39 N	116 06.18 W	928	L-4C horizontal	78
KRN	Kowich Range, Nev.	30/05/79-23/04/80	37 42.37 N	116 29.07 W	2570	L-4C	84
KRNA	Kawich Range, Nev.	23/04/80-present	37 44.47 N	116 22.89 W	1980	L-4C	84
LCH	Last Change Range, Cal.	13/07/79-present+	37 14.08 N	117 38.84 W	1455	L-4C	84
LEE	Leeds, Utah	01/01/71-01/05/80	37 14.58 N	113 22.60 W	1067	Benioff	
LOP	Lookout Peak, Nev.	23/01/79-present	36 51.25 N	116 10.05 W	1695	L-4C	84
LSM	Little Skull Mt., Nev.	13/12/79-present*	36 44.40 N	116 16.37 W	1149	S-13	84
LSMN	Little Skull Mt., Nev.	17/07/84-present	36 44.40 N	116 16.37 W	1140	L-4C horizontal	78
LSME	Little Skull Mt., Nev.	17/07/84-present	36 44.40 N	116 16.37 W	1140	L-4C horizontal	78
LSN	Little Skull Mt., Nev.	19/02/79-13/12/79	36 45.21 N	116 15.57 W	1979	L-4C	84
MCA	Marble Canyon, Cal.	23/01/79-present	36 38.89 N	117 16.85 W	3 00	L-4C	84
MCX	Mercury, Nev.	15/06/77-07/03/80	36 39.37 N	115 59.45 W	1160	S-13	84
MCY	Mercury, Nev.	07/03/80-present	36 39.70 N	115 57.73 W	1285	S-13	84
MGM	Magruder Mountain, Nev.	13/07/79-present•	37 26.47 N	117 29.79 W	2100	L-4C	84
MTI	Mount Irish, Nev.	08/05/79-present+	37 40.60 N	115 16.36 W	1525	L-4C	84
MZP	Montezuma Peak, Nev.	13/07/79-present	37 42.04 N	117 22.98 W	2375	L-4C	84
NEL	Nelson, Nev.	01/01/71-01/06/80	35 42.73 N	114 50.62 W	1052	Benioff	
MMN	Nasa Mountain, Nev.	28/11/78-01/11/83	37 04.85 N	116 49.09 W	1500	L-4C	84
NOP	Nopah Range, Cal.	24/07/78-present	36 07.68 N	116 09.16 W	970	L-4C to 25/4/80 S-13 25/4/80-pr.	84 84

NPN	North Pahroc Rg, Nev.	08/06/79-present•	37 39.16 N	114 56.22 W	1650	L-4C	84
PGE	Panamint Range, Cal.	28/11/78-present+	36 20.93 N	117 03.95 W	1850	L-4C	84
PGEH	Panamint Range, Cal.	11/10/84-present	36 20.93 N	117 03.95 W	1850	L-4C horizontal	78
PPK	Piper Mountain, Cal.	13/07/79-present+	37 25.58 N	117 54.43 W	1830	L-4C	84
PRN	Pahroc Range, Nev.	21/01/72-present•	37 24.42 N	115 02.99 W	1479	NGC-21 to 19/6/8 S-13 19/6/80-pr.	
PRNH	Pahroc Range, Nev.	28/08/84-present	37 24.42 N	115 02.99 W	1470	L-4C horizontal	78
QCS	Queen City Summit, Nev.	08/06/79-present	37 46.07 N	115 54.98 W	1890	L-4C	84
QSM	Queen of Sheba Mine, Ca	28/11/78-present	35 57.93 N	116 52.10 W	670	L-4C	84
RVE	Reveille Range, Nev.	08/06/79-20/07/81	38 91.18 N	116 11.51 W	2290	L-4C	84
SDH	Striped Hills, Nev:	24/07/78-present	36 38.73 N	116 20.29 W	1055	L-4C	84
SGV	South Grapevine Mts, Ca	28/11/78-15/06/81	36 58.87 N	117 01.94 W	1565	L-4C S-13 15/06/81-pr	84 84
SHRG	Sheep Range, Nev.	22/05/79-present	36 30.27 N	115 09.31 W	1645	L-4C	8,4
SPRG	Spotted Range, Nev.	28/05/79-present	36 41.64 N	115 48.56 W	1235	L-4C	84
SRG	Seaman Range, Nev.	08/06/79-present=	37 52.93 N	115 04.08 W	1645	L-4C	84
SSP	Shashone Peak, Nev.	10/10/73-present+	36 55.50 N	116 13.11 W	2065	NGC-21 to 25/5/8 L-4C 27/5/80/pr.	
SVP	Silver Peak Range, Nev.	13/07/79-present+	37 42.90 N	117 48.05 W	2620	L-4C	84
TCN	Thirsty Canyon, Nev.	02/11/84-present	37 98.80-N	116 43.52 W	1469	L-4C	84
TMBR	Timber Mt., Nev.	19/02/82-present	37 02.05 N	116 23.13 W	1758	L-4C	84
				* * *			

•	_
r	▔
٠	_

OMT	Tin Mountain, Cal.	28/11/78-present	36 45.32 N	117 24,48 W	2195	L-4C	84
THP	Tonopah, Nev.	31/08/64-02/19/82	38 64.92 N	117 13.08 W	1931	Benioff	
TPK	Tolicha Peak, Nev.	11/06/79-12/02/80•	37 16.11 N	116 48.26 W	2050	L-4C	84
TPU	Tempiute Mountain, Nev.	08/06/79-present+	37 36.30 N	115 38.95 W	1915	L-4C	84
WCT	Wildcat Mountain, Nev.	08/04/51-present	36 47.53 N	116 37.60 W	1000	L-4C	84
WRN	Worthington Mts., Nev.	08/06/79-present	37 58.90 N	115 35.30 W	1760	L-4C	84
YMT1	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	05/03/81-present+	38 51.29 N	116 31.80 W	1299	5-13	84
YMT2	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	05/03/81-present+	36 47.12 N	116 29.19 W	1220	S-13	84
YMT3	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	05/03/81-present •	36 47.23 N	116 24.79 W	1050	5-13	84
YMT4	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	01/04/81-present*	36 50.83 N	116 27.07 W	1256	S-13	84
YM4N	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	29/06/84present	36 50.83 N	116 27.07 W	1256	L-4C horizontal	78
YM4E	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	29/86/84-present	36 50.83 N	116 27.07 W	1256	L-4C horizontal	78
YMT5	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	01/04/81-present•	36 53.90 N	116 27.23 W	1350	S-13	84
YMT6	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	01/04/81-present+	36 51.51 N	116 24.26 W	1150	S-13	84

[.] INDICATES STATION HAVING POLARITY REVERSAL (SEE FOLLOWING TABLE).

POLARITY REVERSALS (PERTAINS TO DEVELOCORDER FILMS ONLY)

CODE	STATION	PERIOD OF REVERSE POLARITY (DAY/MONTH/YEAR)
APK	Angels Peak, Nev.	21/3/81 - 05/08/03
APKW	Angels Peak, Nev.	95/98/83 - present
CDH1	Calico Hills. Nev.	30/3/81 to 3/8/81; also 1/12/81 to present
CPX	CP-1, Nev.	5/8/89 to 13/12/89
DLM	Delamar Mts., Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
EPN	Echo Peak, Nev.	1/11/78 to 01/05/89
EPR	East Pahranagat Range,Nev	10/12/79 to 20/2/80
GLR	Groom Lake Road, Nev.	1/11/78 to 22/2/79
GMN	Gold Mountain, Nev.	28/8/79 to 29/8/79; also 5/8/80 to 17/12/80
JON	Johnnie, Nev.	1/11/78 to 22/2/79
LSM	Little Skull Mtn., Nev.	17/07/84 to present
LCH	Lost Change Range, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
MGM	Magruder Mountain, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
MTI	Mount Irish, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
MZP	Montezuma Peak, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
NPN	North Pahroc Range, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
PGE	Panamint Range, Cal.	11/10/84 to present
PPK	Piper Mountain, Cal.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
PRN	Pahroc Ronge, Nev.	10/12/79 to 20/2/80; olso 25/05/54 to present
ocs	Queen City Summit, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
QSM	Queen of Sheba Mine, Nev.	. 28/6/79 to 29/8/79
RVE	Reveille Range, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
SRG	Seaman Range, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
SSP	Shoshone Peak, Nev.	28/8/79 to 01/06/80
SVP	Silver Peak Range, Nev.	28/5/79 to 29/8/79
TPK	Tolicha Peak, Nev.	11/06/79 to 29/8/79
TPU	Tempiute Mountain, Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
WRN	Worthington Mts., Nev.	28/6/79 to 29/8/79
YMT1	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	05/03/81 to present
YMT2	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	85/83/81 to present
YMT3		05/03/81 to present
YMT3		05/03/81 to present
YMT4		01/04/81 to present
YMT5		01/04/81 to present
YMTE	Yucca Mountain, Nev.	01/04/81 to present

APPENDIX C

Input parameters to HYPO71

Hypocenter Parameters Used for Earthquake Location Procedure

Routine earthquake location from phase data obtained from the southern Great Basin network is done using the computer program HYPO71 (Lee and Lahr, 1975). Their program has been modified to compute theoretical travel times of seismic rays to actual seismograph station locations, rather than to some mean reference ground level, as in the original computer program. This modification was necessary because SGB station elevations vary from 300 meters above sea level (station MCA) to 2620 meters above sea level (station SVP). Since most station elevations are greater than 1000 meters, we allow earthquake depth of focus to rise to -1.2 km, where negative depths (actually elevations) represent foci above sea level. Test variables 14 and 15 in HYPO71 have been assigned values to invoke the variable surface layer thickness option (see Table C2 below).

A second modification to the HYPO71 program computes local earthquake magnitudes according to the methods discussed in this report in the section "magnitude estimation details." Test variables 16 and 17 in HYPO71 have been assigned values for determining M_{ca} , the coda amplitude magnitude developed by Carl Johnson (1979). Three event magnitudes, M_L , M_d , and M_{ca} may be obtained for each earthquake. The reported magnitude is computed from the formula

$$M = \frac{1}{2}[M_L + \frac{1}{2}(M_d + M_{ca})],$$

or by a similar average if fewer magnitude estimates are available for a given earthquake.

The P- and S-wave velocity model (in text, called M0) used to locate earthquakes is shown in table C1 below.

Depth to top of layer (km)	P-wave velocity (km/sec)	S-wave velocity (km/sec)
Station Elevation	3.8	2.22
1.0	5.9	3.45
3.0	6.15	3.60
24.0	6.9	4.04
32.0 (halfspace)	7.8	4.56

Table C1. Southern Great Basin P and S velocity model. Sea level = 0.0 km.

The values of test variables employed in HYPO71 are given in table C2 below.

TEST(1) = 0.1 sec	TEST(2) = 30.0 km	TEST(3) = 0.5
TEST(4) = 0.05 km	TEST(5) = 5.0 km	TEST(6) = 1.0
TEST(7) = -1.276	TEST(8) = 1.666	TEST(9) = 0.00227
TEST(10) = 100.0 km	TEST(11) = 8.	TEST(12) = 0.5
TEST(13) = 1.0 km	TEST(14) = -1.2 km	TEST(15) = 999
TEST(16) = 0.852	TEST(17) = -1.766	

Table C2. HYPO71 test variables as discussed in Lee and Lahr (1975).

Pertinent control card options are ZTR = 5.0 km, XNEAR = 10.0 km, XFAR = 220 km, and POS = 1.71.

APPENDIX D

August 1978 through December 1983 hypocenter summary and quadrangle maps to which events are keyed

Hypocentral parameters for all local earthquakes cataloged by the U. S. G. S. for the period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983 are listed. Pre-1982 locations from previous open-file reports are repeated with revised magnitudes. The column headings for appendix D are nearly self-explanatory. For clarity, UTC is Universal Coordinated Time, azi gap is the azimuthal gap (HYPO71), horizontal error is the epicentral standard error, $\sqrt{sdx^2 + sdy^2}$, where sdx and sdy are the standard errors in longitude and latitude (HYPO71), respectively, vertical error is the standard error in depth of focus, MD is duration magnitude, and Mblg is the local magnitude calibrated for southern Great Basin crustal paths and stations (Rogers and others, 1987). An asterisk after the depth estimate indicates that the depth-of-focus error estimate was very large ($\geq 100 \, \text{km}$). Two asterisks after the depth estimate indicate that HYPO71 fixed the depth estimate). Pre-digital data (before October, 1981) tend to have fewer phase readings per event, and less precision, explaining the greater percentage of depth-of-focus problems for those hypocenters.

Chemical explosions at Bare Mountain and elsewhere

For the 1982-1983 reporting period, probable and possible blasts in the Bare Mountain quadrangle, just west of Yucca Mountain, are tagged in appendix D by a darkened circle (•) for probable blasts, and an open circle (o) for possible blasts, just to the left of the quadrangle name. Fourteen probable blasts and one possible blast were recorded in the Bare Mountain quadrangle in 1982-1983. The determination of probable blast was based on several factors. These include the fact that a mine was operating at Bare Mountain during 1982-1983, observations of only compressional first-motion polarities on local station seismograms, logical times for blasting (weekdays during standard working hours), shallow estimated depth of focus, and often the presence on several seismograms of an energetic phase at the time of a predicted sonic boom or air-coupled Raleigh wave (Johnston, 1987). Figure D5 shows digital seismograms that record a Bare Mountain chemical explosion of 820824. YMT1 and YMT2, on the west flank of Yucca Mountain, are usually the only SGB network stations that record the slow-moving (v ≈ 0.32 km/sec), air-coupled Raleigh wave generated from Bare Mountain blasts. Note that the Rayleigh wave is especially well-developed at YMT2, having greater amplitude than the body wave at that site (at YMT1 the relative amplitudes are unknown, since both arrivals are clipped). Station YMT4, only two km more distant from the epicenter than YMT2, did not visibly record the Raleigh wave, probably because YMT4 is topographically shielded from the advancing shock front. Most of these observations are possible on digital seismograms, but several are not clear on analog records such as develocorder films. Thus, we do not annotate potential Bare Mountain blasts before 1982. Event 831222 was a poorly recorded potential blast that may have been mislocated in the Bare Mountain mining region.

Although chemical blasts on Yucca Mountain occurred during 1982-1983, these events were confirmed as blasts by Department of Energy personnel and were not included in appendix D. A few Yucca Mountain blasts prior to 1982 are included here, but are tagged as blasts. Elsewhere in the southern Great Basin, known blasts are not included in appendix D, but some blasts may have been inadvertently included.




Figure D1. Quadrangle names in northeast quarter of southern Great Basin.




Figure D2. Quadrangle names in southeast quarter of southern Great Basin.




Figure D3. Quadrangle names in northwest quarter of southern Great Basin.




Figure D4.—Quadrangle names in the southwest quarter of the southern Great Basin. The star represents the location of the Bare Mountain chemical explosion of 820824 22:51, for which seismograms from stations YMT1, YMT2, and YMT4 (labeled) are shown below.




Figure D5.- Event 820824 22:51 seismograms, with compressional (P) and air-coupled Raleigh (R) phases labeled where evident, for (a), station YMT1, (b), station YMT2, and (c), station YMT4.

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DA		TIME	(DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	ERROR (KM)	GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
AUG	3	0: 5: 7	36.572	115.519		7.80		252	AD	1.4		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
	3	15:36:12	37.474	114.739	8.6	-0.72	7.5	315	DD	1.5		SLIDY MTN OAK SPRING BUTTE
	4	21:49:24	37.271 37.347	116.027 115.119	0.1 0.9	8.88 14. 8 3	8.1 8.9	184 157	AD AC	1.2		ALAMO SE
	6	18:45:58	38.238	115.230		7.89**		318	80	1.5	~	TIMBER MTN PASS NW
	18	19:34: 6	37.512	115.108		4.15		263	AD	1.7		HIKO SE
	12	3: 9: 4	35.811	110.985	18.3	7.19	8.0	302	DD	0.9		BENNETTS WELL
	14	16:10: 2	36.255	116.672	9.3	7.80	0.5	216	00	2.0		RYAN
	17 17	5: 6:45 6:51:37	37.358 37.319	116.468 116.325	2. 0 7.9	3.86• 7.88	5.5	260 264	CD	1.5		SILENT BUTTE DEAD HORSE FLAT
	17	8:55:43		116.371		7.00**		282	80	1.4		DEAD HORSE FLAT
	17	15:49:22	36.471	114.299		-0.75		294	80	1.8		•••REGIONAL•••
	25	8:42:49	36.737	116.176	0.8	2.81	2.0	77	AB	0.8		SPECTER RANGE NW
	28	6: 3:29		116.225	8.5	7.74	9.9	142 142	AC BC	0.8 9.7		SKULL MTN Specter range NW
	28 31	19:58: 3 12:15:18	38.742 37.311	116.175 116.367	1.2 3.1	7.13 -9.75	3.2 2.5	287	CD	1.4		DEAD HORSE FLAT
	31	12:18:18	37.309	116.363		7.00**		272	AD	1.2		DEAD HORSE FLAT
SEP	1	1: 7:23	37.988	118.567		7.88**		356	CD	1.4		STINKING SPRING
	8	22:21:38	37.247	116.371	14.7	7.800		332	DD	1.2		AMMONIA TANKS
	13	22:34:44		116.419	6.2	1.19	6.9	271	00	1.7		SILENT BUTTE
	14	17:28:54 14: 0:54	36.398 35.887	114.969 115.988	7.2 4.3	3.63· 2.95·		253 281	CD	9.9 1.1		DRY LAKE HORSE THIEF SPRINGS
	23	14:28:44		115.182	1.5	18.41	5.9	269	CD	1.4		LAS VEGAS NW
	24	8:31:43	36.720	115.578		7.88**		148	AD	9.9		HEAVENS WELL
	25	8:37:22		118.340	1.9	1.25	1.6	218	80		0.2	AMMONIA TANKS
OCT	4	11:59: 1		116.243	1.3	2.59	3.3	192	88	1.2		SPECTER RANGE SW
	10	18:52:37 16:12: 4		115.445 116.852	19.8 2.9	5.30 • 10.82	7.3	300 246	OD CD	1.3		BLUE DIAMOND Furnace Creek
NOV		8:34: 8		116.594	0.6	7.50	1.4	228	AD	2.1		TRAIL RIDGE
	29	11:19:58	36.632	116.224	1.5	4.35	6.4	173	CC	0.5		SPECTER RANGE NW
	29	16:19:22		116.198	1.6	4.16	7.7	149	CC	0.8		RAINIER MESA
	38	13:50:27		117.445 117.885	3.9 18.3	9.23 2.89•	1.3	295 300	CD	1.4		SPLIT MTN Haiwee Reservoir
	39	22: 4:19		118.983	5.5	1.87•		279	DD	0.7		WINGATE WASH
DEC	1	17: 7:30	37.024	118.947	1.7	-0.86+		234	CD	2.5		YUCCA FLAT
	2	12:41: 8	37.184	116.132	4.4	19.84	8.2	252	CD	1.2		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	3	0:43:38		117.426	5.5	3.88+		271	00	1.1		MATURANGO
	3	12:58:42		117.763	12.5	3.29+		293	DD CD	1.3		HAIWEE RESERVOIR DEAD HORSE FLAT
	5 5	9:43: 8 22:29:54		118.328 118.954	2.5	5.15• 16.49		291 148	AD	1.2		FURNACE CREEK
	8	21: 2: 2		117.283	1.8	3.43+		272	CD	1.1		TROMA
	10	11:19:52	35.974	117.278	1.0	3.37•		261	CD	1.4		TRONA
	19	13:35:30	36.401	116.195		5.64		284	AD	2.1		MT SCHADER
	11	21:44:56		117.066	0.8	13.51	1.9	141	AC	1.1		EMIGRANT CANYON
	12	6:37:35 7: 7:15		116.408 116.406	0.8 0.4	9.79 -8.45	3.2 0.4	174 161	BC AC	8.8 1.4		LATHROP WELLS NW LATHROP WELLS NW
	13	4:19: 8		117.255		18.69		266	AD	1.1		TRONA
	13			115.845	1.9	1.28+		259	CD	9.7		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	13	23:29:17	35.948	115.943	8.6	3.28+		298	DD	0.7		HORSE THIEF SPRINGS
	14	9:31:59		118.942	2.0	5.58	8.9	259	CD	1.4		WINGATE WASH
	14	12:18:12 20:17: 1		115.177 116.557	83.8 2.5	8.85 • 4.73•		329 262	CD	1.8		LAS VEGAS NW Thirsty Canyon Ne
	17	28:51: 8		118.439	20.4	7.00+		315	DD	2.9		QUARTZITE MTN
	18	6:43:28		116.378	6.3	-8.22•		292	99	1.6		SILENT BUTTE
	18	7:27:44		118.546	4.2	2.88•		272	CD	1.1		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	22	1: 4:22		117.793 117.286	3.9	3.92 5.96	8.4	338 255	AD CD	1.8		HAIWEE RESERVOIR BONNIE CLAIRE SW
	23 23	2:35: 2 12:12: 4		117.285	3.9 3.6	1.42+		277	CD	1.2		CONFIDENCE HILLS
	23	23:49:48		117.397	5.5	11.96	8.5	265	DD	0.9		MATURANGO
	25	23: 4:59	38.622	116.249	1.3	5.41	3.9	194	80	1.8		SPECTER RANGE SW
	28	22:18: 5		118.995	3.4	2.48+		278	CD	1.1		WINGATE WASH
	27	5:25: 1 4:27:53		116.263 117.566	9.3 8.9	4.56 11.49	8.7 3.9	185 292	AD DD	1.5 1.8		LATHROP WELLS SE Dry min
	29 30	1:38:27		117.597	8.5	10.50+		290	DD	1.3		COSO PEAK
	31	15:54:53		114.948		3.50		335	AD	1.7		FRENCHMAN MTN

			* *									
				•	HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
D/	ATE .	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	OUAL	Md	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
		•	•	. •	• •	• •	• •				•	
JAK	3	16:22: 9	37.547	117.761	1.8	7.80	1.9	323	80	1.2		PIPER PEAK
	5	11:45:26	36.389	116.888	8.9	14.78	1.8	161	AC	1.8		FURNACE CREEK
	8	13:46:54	37.283	116.496	2.3	3.34+		285	CD	1.1		SILENT BUTTE
	9	5:58:37	36.615	117.621	1.7	3.29+		298	CO	1.3		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
	10	16:36:21	36.413	117.893	2.0	8.77	1.5	302	BD	1.3		KEELER
	16		36.697	116.389	0.6	2.91	1.3	118	AB	0.5		LATHROP WELLS NW
		0.00.00						,,,	~~			EATHER REELS NA
	17	2:52:12	37.136	117.379	1.3	6.63	1.6	257	60	1.4		UBEHEBE CRATER
	18	11:34:26		117.082	6.9	6.88	2.1	232	BD	1.0		TELESCOPE PEAK
	21	1: 5:24	35.966	116.951	3.6	2.86+		268	CD	1.4		WINGATE WASH
	22					-0.52+		196	CB			
FEB		18: 6:34	36.469	115.696	8.9					1.8	~~~	CHARLESTON PEAK
FED	3 7	19:16:13		114.872	22.2	4.55	7.5	310	DD	2.1		GREGERSON BASIN
	,	0: 4:50	36.815	115.807	0.9	2.15		147	cc	1.1		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	-	40.40.50			• •							
	7	16:19:36		117.886	2.4	18.36	4.7	186	88	0.6		EMIGRANT CANYON
	6	1:38:32		117.924	1.8	5.74	3.3	362	BD	1.6		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
	8	23: 9:19	37.184	116.062	6.9	8.53	8.6	139	BC	1.4		OAK SPRING
		11:17:16	36.815	115.811	8.9	-0.76	1.8	147	BÇ	1.4		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	16	3:55:26	36.729	115.429	6.9	7.00	5.1	172	CC	1.4		BLACK HILLS NW
	15	4:23:11	37.172	116.887	0.7	2.11	1.4	216	AD	0.3		SPRINGDALE
	28	13:52:26	37.661	116.612	-1.1	5.45+		136	CC	6.6		YUCCA FLAT
MAR	1	0: 5:16	36.590	117.845	4.6	12.78	6.9	319	CD	8.7		NEW YORK BUTTE
	3	15: 2:42	37.234	117.299	1.8	5.71	3.0	253	DD.	1.4		UBEHEBE CRATER
	4	1: 8:31	35.941	116.976	2.4	6.14	2.5	264	80	1.2		WINGATE WASH
	4	19:13: 3	37.280	116.509	1.0	7.78	4.8	227	80	1.8		TRAIL RIDGE
	4	21:28:25	37.372	115.909	1.7	2.64	1.8	199	80	1.3		GROOM MINE SW
	-			,,,,,,,,,								
	6	16:12:36	35.969	116.941	1.7	3.89+		268	CD	1.3		WINGATE WASH
	ĕ	18:25:40	36.897	117.545	4.4	17.72	2.2	287	00	1.8		DRY MIN
	9	15:27:17	36.472	114.697	0.8	3.35	2.3	259	80	1.8	~~~	DRY LAKE
	į	16: 5:37		116.766								
	9	23:50:16	35.969 36.613		2.6	2.13	8.4	255	CD AC	8.8		WINGATE WASH
				117.332	6.7	9.25	1.4	153		8.7		TIN MTN
	10	0:56:38	35.807	116.647	1.9	8.05	2.9	268	80	1.9		CONFIDENCE HILLS
		4 . 00 . 00					• •					
	18	1:29:26	36.783	116.261	6.3	2.64	2.4	118	88	1.0		STRIPED HILLS
	11	4:20:30	36.726	116.248	6.4	-0.29	0.8	126	AC	1.2		SPECTER RANGE NW
	15	11:23:14	36.699	116.262	0.7	0.68	1.2	118	AB	8.5		STRIPED HILLS
	15	21: 3:41	37.221	117.509	1.0	6.58	3.1	295	80	0.9		LAST CHANCE RANGE
	16	7:48:59	35.661	116.627	3.6	2.95	9.0	277	CO	1.2		CONFIDENCE HILLS
	17	23: 3:18	36.612	116.243	1.2	2.91	2.8	146	BC	6.2		SPECTER RANGE SW
	18	8: 6:45	36.943	117.653	3.1	23.49	4.8	297	CD	1.7		DRY MIN
	25	15:16:57	36.129	117.768	1.6	5.66	1.2	293	80	1.6		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
	25	15:20:21	36.141	117.767	2.6	6.01+		293	CD	1.0		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
	25	16:32: 4	36.267	117.535	1.8	11.86	2.0	292	BD	1.3		DARWIN
	25	16:38:18	36.152	117.715	2.1	16.16	1.5	298	80	1.1		COSO PEAK
	25	26:46:42	36.149	117.739	1.6	10.16	1.1	291	80	1.3		COSO PEAK
	26	5:28: 0	36.085	117.344	2.3	2.12	6.0	268	CD	1.2		MATURANGO
	31	13: 6:38	36.466	115.795	1.9	17.64	3.2	245	80	0.5		MT STIRLING
APR	2	3:27:36	36.756	116.672	1.1	1.63	4.5	124	88	8.7		BARE MTK
•	2	9:19:24	36.486	117.749	4.7	16.21	3.2	290	CD	9.9		DARWIN
	3	7:17: 1	37.821	118.928	4.7	3.96	3.0	325	CD	1.5		***REGIONAL***
	3	7:55:32	37.652	116.021	2.4	3.31	4.5	321	60	1.6		+++REGIONAL+++
	3	9: 3: 6	37.043	118.002	14.7	1.90 •		323	DD	1.0		+++REGIONAL+++
	4	11: 8: 5	36.158	117.737	2.1	9.69	2.6	291	80	1.6		COSO PEAK
	5	7:55:47	36.429	117.063	4.6	4.21+		194	CD	6.6		EMIGRANT CANYON
	9	3:15:56	37.141	115.301	6.5	8.95	6.8	141	AC	1.2		DESERT HILLS NE
	9	17:47:31		117.690	2.1	11.18	1.5	300	BD	1.5		RIDGECREST
	10	7:48:22		118.622	3.9	3.42	4.2	321	CD	1.3		***REGIONAL***
			••••	*******	•							
	10	9:27:18	36.215	117.391		11.73		266	AD	1.3		MATURANGO
	11	21:38:17		116.388	8.8	4.50+		264	CD	1.2		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	15	19:43:36		117.383	1.5	6.80	6.6	275	CD	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
	15	26:51:59		117,411	3.2	2.82	9.7	269	CB	1.0		UBEHEBE CRATER
	16	3:23:26		117.393	1.2	5.98	2.9	265	80	1.5		UBENEBE CRATER
	16			117.378		11.69	4.5	275	80	8.5		UBEHEBE CRATER
	18	10:36:38	37.159	117.370	1.5		7.5	-/-	20			Juneary Vanien
	16	17:13:59	37.164	117.393	0.6	6.95	3.5	265	80	1.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
					3.6	2.94+	3.5	268	CD	1.4		UBEHEBE CRATER
	16	18: 4:54		117.411	2.2	5.38	3.4	258	80	1.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
	16	19:24:47		117.377								HAIWEE RESERVOIR
	18	23:54:34		117.808	1.5	5.54	1.1	298	80	1.7		
	18	23:58:14		117.241	9.2	7.68•		219	00	1.1		EMIGRANT CANYON
	21	6: 3:24	37.182	117.415	2.9	2.71	8.7	283	CD	0.9		UBEHEBE CRATER

	- TIME JTC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	ма	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
APR 21	14:16:18	37.180	117.424	1.2	8.28	2.7	278	BD	1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
22	12:25:29	36.091	117.837	1.4	2.93	1.8	302	BD	1.9		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
29	22:52: 1	36.579	116.323	0.4	9.17	1.4	97 262	AB CD	1.0		LATHROP WELLS SE Wingate Wash
MAY 1	19: 8:34 8:44:26	35.951 35.780	116.971 116.545	1 · 8 1 · 5	3.29 19.51	7.8 3.6	263	80	9.9		CONFIDENCE HILLS
į	14:48: 6	36.918	117.443	1.1	7.19	2.1	288	BD	9.9		TIN MTH
•											M86165
7	15:53: 8	36.415	117.912	0.9 0.3	3.13* 4.95	2.0	288 148	CD AC	1.8		KEELER Specter range nw
7	16:24:12 12: 1:25	36.737 36.782	116.178 115.913	9.5	9.49	1.8	148	ĀC	1.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT
11	3: 6:33	36.894	115.928	0.8	4.74	7.9	138	CC	1.1		FRENCHMAN FLAT
15	1:29: 6	36.185	117.495	1.6	7.00+		287 238	CD BD	1.1		MATURANGO Quartzite mtn
22	13:57:14	37.543	116.455	1.4	11.19	5.0	236	50			GOARIZIIC MIN
24	8:12: 4		110.683	3.7	2.42+		287	CD	9.7		LEACH LAKE
24	19: 5: 2	35.694	117.118	2.1	26.16	1.9	303	80	1.3		WINGATE PASS COSO PEAK
26 28	17:33: 2 11:55:56	36.035 37.298	117.717 114.772	1.0 1.3	8.27 7.07	1.1 9.9	295 277	80 80	1.6		GREGERSON BASIN
38	13: 8:32	35.939	117.431	2.5	8.98	1.2	278	CD	2.1		TRONA
30	20:23: 1	37.318	115.231	2.5	5.25•		145	cc	0.8		ALAMO
JUN 1	19: 1:26	36.814	115.895	0.5	0.79	1.5	181	AC	8.9		FRENCHMAN FLAT
3	18:23:22		116.364	0.6	9.12	0.8	168	80	8.6		DEAD HORSE FLAT
5	1:21:52		118.924	1.0	7.09	1.1	308	BD	1.7		+++REGIONAL+++
5 8	22:18: 4 4:12:33		115.439 117.816	3.3 2.7	4.56• 4.31	5.1	326 287	CD	1.1		◆◆◆REGIONAL◆◆◆ Haiwee reservoir
ă	22:41:11	37.159	114.989	2.1	3.11.		283	CD	1.8		DELAMAR 3 NW
8	21.88.12	37 184	115.038	9.8	5.78	3.6	159	BC	1.4		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
9	23:58:12		115.194	1.1	1.43	2.7	171	3C	1.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
18	8:49: 8	37.277	115.010	1.2	5.54	5.0	155	CC	9.9		ALAMO SE
11	16:11:23		116.455	8.4	-1.18+		93	CC	1.5		SILENT BUTTE
11 11	17: 7:49 29:28:59		116.449 116.457	9.7 1.0	-0.85+ 7.80+		92 189	CC	1.7		SILENT BUTTE SILENT BUTTE
	20.20.00										
12 14	19:55:20 7:45:34		115.503 117.987	9.9 2.5	7.00 -9.46	7.9 2.1	191 396	CC	1.0		TIM SPRING LITTLE LAKE
18	21:11:30		117.276	2.8	3.28+		268	CD	1.4		TRONA
23	0:27:11	37.120	116.271	9.4	2.89	0.9	69	88	1.4		BUCKBOARD MESA
25			116.787 116.468	0.6 0.5	7.17 5.32	3.9 7.3	173 175	3C 33	1.2		TOLICHA PEAK Quartzite min
27	3:36:26	37.365	110.450	V .5	3.32	,	.,,	•	•••		gennistis min
27			114.824	3.0	3.16•		297	CD	1.3		DRY LAKE
28 28	1: 0: 0 15:49:23		115.937 114.329	0.7 3.9	8.48 3.61*	1.6	57 316	BC CD	1.8		FRENCHMAN FLAT
29			116.133	8.9	-0.67•		151	cc	1.9		RAINIER MESA
29			118.183	0.4	7.99	5.8	99	80	2.7		OAK SPRING
39	12: 7:39	37.939	117.437	1.4	1.78	1.8	228	80	1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
JUL 1	21:57: 2	35.838	117.985	1.8	19.75	3.5	294	BD	1.3		MANLY PEAK
4			117.561	1.7	11.31	7.8	255	CD	1.4		MAGRUDER MTN CRESCENT RESERVOIR
5			115.417 114.612	9.8 1.1	8.14 1.31	4.8	172 315	BC BD	9.9		CALIENTE
6	6:38:34		115.028	8.1	1.62	0.4	148	AC	-0.5		WHITE RIVER HARROWS
7	9:38:58	37,988	115.286	8.7	1.57	2.4	188	BC	9.4		OREANA SPRING
7	11:37:56	37,341	115.065	9.6	4.22	2.8	165	AC	0.3		ALAMO SE
9	57:25:35	36.442	115.508	2.4	2.10	7.2	283	CD	9.9		CHARLESTON PEAK
18			115.484 115.139	9.7 9.8	7.82 9.89	4.2	77 194	BC AD	1.1		CRESCENT RESERVOIR LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE NW
12 14			114.867	1.6	7.05	8.5	184	CD	0.2		PAHROC SPRING NE
14			114.984	3.9	11.53	5.0	227	CD	9.8		DELAMAR 3 HW
14	16:40:17	35.610	116.319	2.3	11.77•		288	CD	0.7		AVAWATZ PASS
14			117.418	~	7.88		253	CD	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
15	8:55:39	37.481	115.487	0.1	17.73	8.5	134	AD	9.4		CRESCENT RESERVOIR
15 15			117.204 117.973	2.1 1.3	0.97 3.62•	4.9	134 281	8C CD	0.7 8.9		STONEWALL PASS SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
16			114.998	1.8	2.10+		192	CD	1.9		WILDCAT WASH NW
											1181 1 AM
18 18			118,541 118,552	0.4 1.8	9.31 2.45	1.4 9.3	193 121	AD	0.5 0.4		MELLAN Black mtn ne
18			116.536	8.5	8.72	2.5	193	BC	9.8		MELLAN
18	5:26:30	37.513	116.523	9.5	11.94	2.5	144	BC	9.8		MELLAN
18 18			118.679 118.542	2.6 2.3	2.98 11.84	8.8 4.8	148 384	CC 80	0.8		MELLAN Mellan
10	11:12:42	37.344	110.342			4.4	704				··· = = = 1111

						HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
		- TIME		LATITUDE	LONGITUDE			ERROR					
v.		rc)		(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)		(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mbla	QUADRANGLE
	(0)	,,		(DEV. N)	(000)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	,	, ,	,,,,,				
				77 546	116.543	6.3	1.94	2.9	187	80	0.4		MELLAN
JUL				37.508			3.22		368	AD	1.6		TWIN SPRINGS SLOUGH
	18	22:52:		38.168	116.163		7.88	3.9	188	80	8.8		MELLAN
	19	3:19:		37.508	116.536	8.5		2.2	105	BC	1.1		MELLAN
	19	3:21:	_	37.509	116.535	0.3	8.72				0.6		MELLAN
	19	8:39:		37.505	116.532	0.5	5.63	5.5	187	CC			
	19	23:28:	26	37.561	115.362	1.2	14.27	3.0	111	88	1.8		MT IRISH
													BIAGU NTN NW
	26	10:39:	35	37.379	116.731	4.1	19.65	6.6	284	CD	2.4		BLACK MIN NW
	26	21:17:	54	37.244	116.124	1.5	4.62	8.4	161	CC		0.1	OAK SPRING
	27	5:39:	47	36.523	116.855	0.9	7.55	1.7	164	AC	0.1		CHLORIDE CLIFF
AUG	2	18:34:	39	37.306	115.188	0.7	1.43	2.5	138	BC	1.2		ALAMO SE
		19:46:		36.445	116.355	1.4	9.16	3.5	211	BD	6.7		ASH MEADOWS
	3	12:37:	45	36.940	115.139	0.2	4.87	2.7	156	BC	8.6		MULE DEER RIDGE NW
	3	15:43:	39	37.696	116.619		7.66**		189	80	1.6		YUCCA FLAT
	3	17:28:	43	37.086	116.061	1.3	-1.28+		161	CC	1.1		YUCCA FLAT
	3	22:38:	35	37.110	116.919		7.00++		188	AD	1.1		YUCCA FLAT
		11:48:		37.384	117.192		11.96		313	AD	1.3		STONEWALL PASS
		17:48:		37.572	116.467	0.6	6.91	5.8	181	CD	8.6		QUARTZITE MYN
	5	16:13:		37.199	116.395	8.7	5.25	1.0	184	AB	0.3		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	•			•••									
	6	21:55:	54	36.255	114.777	2.3	6.18	1.2	262	60	1.4		DRY LAKE
	7	5: 1:		37.561	117.882		2.32		233	AD	8.7		PIPER PEAK
	8	23:21:		36.686	115.839		7.80 **		227	AD	8.8		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	9			37.124	115.973	4.3	3.25 •		271	CD	8.4		PAIUTE RIDGE
	9	18: 1:			114.783	3.1	3.23+		233	CD	8.8		DELAMAR 3 NE
	9	10:30			116.267	0.6	-0.48+		84	CB	6.7		STRIPED HILLS
	•	10.50			,	•••	*		_				
	• •	5:19		37.692	114.836		1.26		165	AD	8.2		PAHROC SPRING NE
	11				116.322		7.00**		215	AD	1.8		BUCKBOARD MESA
	12	0: 0:			115.991	8.5	5.00	1.7	218	AD	8.9		PAINTE RIDGE
	12	4:14			115.046	1.8	-8.46+		188	CD	1.7		ALAMO SE
	12	18:54			115.614	0.9	3.21	2.4	216	80	2.6		ALAMO SE
	12	11:31					1.65		198	AD	8.9		ALAMO SE
	12	11:48	: 48	37.271	115.100		1.45			,,,,	• • •		
		44.54	- 4-	** ***	115.847	1.5	1.44	3.4	188	80	1.5		ALAMO SE
	12	11:50					8.42		283	80	0.8		ALAMO SE
		11:55			115.692		7.00**		241	AD	6.8		ALAMO SE
		12:16			115.634	1.4	2.57	3.4	185	BD	1.6		ALAMO SE
	12	12:19			115.854	6.8	2.35	1.6	191	AD	2.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12	12:53			115.037	2.4	2.78•		192	CD	1.4	~-~	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12	13:47	: 14	37.248	115.634	4.4	2.760			•			
					445 644		7.88**		248	CD	8.6		ALAMO SE
	12	14:14			115.011	8.5	7.05	2.1	155	BC	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12	15:29			115.631		1.12	6.6	265	CD	2.5		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SE
		15:46			115.609	4.0	5.01	3.9	200	80	1.4		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	-	15:51			115.615	1.4	7.08**		211	DD		8.2	ALAMO
	12	15:55	_		115.188		5.62	4.3	198	80	1.1		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12	16:18	: 7	37.242	115.616	1.6	5.62	7.5	100		• • • •		
					448 070		1.52		220	AD	0.9		ALAMO SE
	12	17:19			115.070	0.5	6.24	3.9		BC	0.4		FURNACE CREEK
	12	-			116.898		7.80**			DD	8.7		ASH SPRINGS
	12				115.188		8.26	1.5		AD	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12				115.016	0.7	6.95	1.9					LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
		18:32			115.025	1.1	7.88**				8.6		ALAMO SE
	12	19:49	: 10	37.302	115.066		7.00			-	•••		***************************************
					445 474		5.87	3.1	152	BC	1.3		ALAMO SE
	12				115.036	6.6					0.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12			37.244	115.016	1.4	4.82	6.6	_				LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	12				115.622	1.5	6.29	3.9			1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13			37.236	115.024	0.9	8.49	1.8					ALAMO SE
	13				115.031	6.8	2.61•						ALAMO SE
	13	6:15	: 36	37.257	115.636	0.5	7.16	2.4	154	8C	1.9		ACAMO 3C
											1.6		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	13	8:36): 1		116.572	0.3	5.84	2.4					GASS PEAK NW
	13	9: 6	:51	36.376	115.179		18.72						LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13				115.017	0.6	8.40	1.3					LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13			2 37.245	115.023	8.3	7.54	1,4					ALAMO SE
	13				115.623	0.4	6.05	2.5					LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13				115.015	8.7	€.56	2.6	198	AD	1.3		PAMER LABRAMANT PORE
								_					LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13	16:3	8:5	8 37.247	115.622	1.0	7.39	2.2					LOWER PARKANAGAT LAKE
	13				115.615	1.5	11.06	2.5					
	13				115.188		7.80+						ALAMO
		18:2			115.629	8.4	7.68	1.6			2.7		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	13				114.999	1.4		2.3					DELAMAR 3 NW
	13			*				3.5	212	. 80	1.6		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE

DATE -		LATITUDE (DEG. M)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	мф	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
			*** ***								
AUG 13	20:33:34 20:56: 8	37.189 37.381	114.555 115. 8 39	0.4	8.75 4.66	2.4	186 281	8D AD	1.4		THIRSTY CANYON NE ALAMO NE
13	22:42:19	36.888	117.485	7.7	-0.18	4.7	282	DD	1.0		TIN MTH
	23:28:24		118.576	0.4	7.40	2.4	102	BC	1.5		THIRSTY CANYON NE
13	23:32:29	37.247	115.029	1.0	8.38	2.0	196	BD	2.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
13	23:37:45	37.184	116.578		7.88**		299	AD	9.4		THIRSTY CANYON HE
13	23:37:58	37.227	115.033	1.6	11.52	3.0	213	80	1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	1:19:44	37.233	115.086	3.4	13.07	2.4	195	CD	9.5		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	1:35:38	37.241	115.018	0.4	0.52+		157	ČČ	1.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	2:54:58	37.269	115.020	1.0	4.54	8.8	154	CC	1.5		ALAMO SE
14	3: 3:14	37.235	115.019	0.5	5.72	1.7	175	AC	2.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	3:12:48	37.175	116.572	0.3	8.65	9.9	58	AC	1.7		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	3:53:21	37.178	116.573	0.3	7.26	1.4	83	AC	1.2		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	4:18:27	37.083	118.381		7.80		169	DD	9.3		TIMBER MTH
14	4:13:47		118.565		11.26		182	AD	8.0		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	4:31:21	37.182	118.576	1.4	3.69	6.6	198	CD	9.4		THIRSTY CANYON HE
14 14	4:31:56 4:35:17		116.574		8.49	4.6	106	BC	8.6		THIRSTY CANYON NE
17	4:33:17	37.195	116.589		7.60++		206	AD	9.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	4:51:55		114.170	9.6	11.50	2.8	299	DD	2.8		***REGIONAL***
14	4:55: 5		115.914	9.9	7.20	2.1	201	80	1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	5:12:42		116.578	0.3	7.99	1.5	199	AC	9.7		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14 14	5:43:34 5:49: 6	37.23 0 37.178	115.939 116.576	1.6 9.2	4.24 7.71	8.4	210	CD	1.4		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	6:22:18		115.918	9.7	5.78	1.2 2.2	189 198	AÇ BD	9.5		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14	7:15:28		116.576	0.4	4.71	4.9	169	BC	1.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14 14	8:22:54 8:41:57		116.559 116.828	26.5	18.18		197	AD	9.5		THIRSTY CANYON HE
14	8:53:25		118.371	9.7	39.11+ 7.39	4.5	184 186	DD BC	0.7 0.7		SPRINGDALE Thirsty canyon ne
14	9: 3:26		115.050	1.5	-0.120		192	CD	1.0		ALAMO SE
14	9: 4:33	37.240	115.014	8.5	3.49+		158	CC	1.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
4.4	10.20. 3	37 100					444				
14	10:29: 3 11:39:52		116.572 116.571	0.6 0.3	8.39 2.89*	3.3	108 111	BC CC	0.5 1.7		THIRSTY CANYON NE THIRSTY CANYON NE
	11:45:13		116.571	8.4	7.43	1.8	58	AC	1.2		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	12:59:11	37.168	118.581	0.3	8.73	1.1	192	BD	D. 4		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14 -	14:58:57	37.213	115.304		3.99		298	BD	0.8		DESERT HILLS NE
14	16:55:29	37.172	116.573	9.5	7.56	2.6	110	BC	1.7		THIRSTY CANYON HE
14	19:15:49	37.195	116.576		11.05		205	AD	1.8		THIRSTY CANYON NE
14	22:58: 5		116.578	1.4	0.69+		133	CC	1.0		THIRSTY CANYON NE
15	2:11:30	36.810	114.921	7.9	2.61	4.1	284	DD	2.3		REGIONAL
15	16: 3:17		118.579	9.8	5.63	7.9	186	CC	9.7		THIRSTY CANYON NE
15	16:47:44		114.980		1.93		246	AD	9.7		DELAMAR 3 NW
15	17: 1:42	37.230	115.915	0.6	5.13	2.3	288	80	0.9		LOWER PAHRAHAGAT LAKE
15	28: 5:28	37.244	115.022	8.4	1.86	1.6	156	AC	2.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
15	21:13: 5		115.020	0.7	8.18	1.5	199	AD	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
15	21:50:33		115.016	1.4	2.97+		221	CD	1.1		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16 16	1: 8:49		115.018	1.2	6.24	3.1	214	BD	1.1		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	2:41:15 2:47:25		116.570 116.576	0.4 0.3	8.54 6.58	1.3 1.8	58 188	AC AC	1.9		THIRSTY CANYON NE THIRSTY CANYON NE
• •					2.46			~~	V.3		CANION RE
16	3: 3:21		118.569	9.5	7.38	2.0	138	AC	1.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE
16	3: 4:39		115.013	0.6	7.39	1.4	197	AD	1.3		ALAMO SE
18 18	3:37:46 4: 5: 2		115.941 115.924	0.6 1.5	2.74 7.11	4.6 2.5	207 229	8D 8D	2.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE Lower Pahranagat lake
16	5:26: 8		115.022	0.8	4.39	2.5	235	8D	1.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	5:31:52		114.998	2.9	0.34+		217	CD	0.7		DELAMAR 3 NW
						*					
16 15	5:57:40 18:57:28		116.581 115.015	1.5 9.8	13.32 6.49	2.6 2.2	286 199	8D 8D	9.4 1.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	12:52:59		116.552	1.3	11.33	3.1	286	8D	8.8		THIRSTY CANYON NE
18	13:20:51		115.917	9.9	8.83	2.4	198	8D	1.4		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	15:49:57		115.029	0.5	5.94	2.3	154	BC	2.3		ALAMO SE
16	16: 2: 9		115.025	1.5	2.43+		232	CD	1.1		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	19:18: 4	37.247	115 622	0.7	4.76	3.1	197	BD	1 ^		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
16	23:47:12		115. 022 116.222		17.65	3.1	289	AD	1.0		TIPPIPAH SPRING
16	23:47:19		116.442		7.80++		272	AD		9.2	SCRUGHAM PEAK
17	9: 1: 7	37.250	115.824	0.5	2.16	9.9	196	AD	1.1		ALAMO SE
17	9:39:13		116.568	0.5	8.20	4.9	186	BC	1.0		THIRSTY CANYON NE
17	1:32:34	37.240	115.007		7.07		236	AD	9.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE

D		- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
			•	•	• •							
AUG	17 17	1:37:23	37.258	115.029 116.570	8.6 8.3	5.34 8.85	2.1	195 57	BD AC	1.1		ALAMO SE
	17	2:39:45		116.581		7.88**	1.4	208	AD	1.5		THIRSTY CANYON NE THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	2:39:48	37.188	116.571	0.6	5.15	5.6	186	CC	1.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	4:11: 8	37.186	116.573	0.4	4.91	4.3	168	BC	1.5		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	4:31:29	37.181	116.577	6.3	2.83+		88	CC	1.4		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	8: 1:34	37.172	116.575	0.3	7.31	2.0	111	AC	0.5		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	8:58:57	37.186	116.569	0.5	2.92+		186	CC	1.2		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	16:28:43		116.574	0.6	8.92	2.4	164	BC	8.8		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17 17	13:41:33 14:53: 7		116.572 116.576	6.8	8.10	3.9	188	BC BC	6.4		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	17	16:16:29	37.185	115.621	0.3 1.9	6.28 4.74	2.2 3.7	57 220	BD	1.9		THIRSTY CANYON NE LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	17	22:36:50	37.236	115.020	1.3	7.91	1.9	221	BD	1.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	18 18	2:22:23 2:40: 9	37.260 37.238	115.066 115.011	9.8	7.00 • • 5.37	2.9	221 199	AD BD	6.7 1.3	~	ALAMO SE Lower Pahranagat Lake
	18	4:48:14		115.889	0.9	5.26	2.2	221	BD.	1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	19	28:56:18	37.180	116.572	6.2	7.63	1.2	188	AC	0.6	`	THIRSTY CANYON NE
	19	21:21:21	37.182	116.568	8.4	5.52	4.7	57	8 C	1.4		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	19	22:14:58	37.324	114.875	8.0	7.35	2.7	217	80	1.4		DELAMAR LAKE
	28	10:37: 9	37.183	116.576	0.6	4.91	8.8	187	cc	1.1		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	26	11:28:35	37.078	116.619	5.7	3.63+		191	DD	8.8	~	YUCCA FLAT
	28	12:17:56	37.653	117.443	0.5	2.82•		145	CC	0.9		UBEHEBE CRATER
	20 20	14:33:46		116.572 116.572	8.6 8.5	7.61 7.66	3.9 3.8	109 65	BC BC	6.9 1.1		THIRSTY CANYON NE THIRSTY CANYON NE
	••		07.1.00	*******	7.0	,,,,	•••	•••	-	•••		THIRST CARTON RE
	26	15:29:35		115.615	1.3	8.56	1.7	221	80	1.1		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	20	15:51:11	37.179	116.571	0.3	4.76	4.4	56	BC	1.3		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	28 22	23:55: 9 14:20:37	37.246 37.844	115.014 116.208	1.1 6.5	6.91 7.88	1.2 1.8	221 83	BD AA	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE TIPPIPAH SPRING
	25	8:54:53		116.289	9.5	7.80	0.9	73	ÃÃ	2.2		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	25	23:33:43		116.205	0.7	7.00	1.2	98	AA	8.6		TIPPIPAH SPRING
		4.00.70	44 444									
	26 27	1:22:58 5:17:31	37.051 37.217	116.197 115.018	0.3 0.8	6.74 7.66	0.5 1.7	93 201	AB AD	8.7 1.3		TIPPIPAH SPRING LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	27	23:52: 3	37.235	114.992	9.9	10.96+		262	00	1.0		DELAMAR 3 NW
	28	21:17: 6	36.386	114.978		7.88++		342	CD	1.2		DRY LAKE
	29	4:17:52	37.676	115.236	0.9	7.66	1.4	126	88	1.4		FOSSIL PEAK
	29	7:45:57	37.143	116.733		8.25		229	BD	6.1		THIRSTY CANYON NW
	29	10:45:11	37.164	116.728	0.6	8.25+		66	cc	1.1		THIRSTY CANYON NW
	29	14:18: 9	37.149	116.244		7.80++		218	AD	1.2		RAINIER MESA
	29	15:45:45	37.116	116.053	1.7	3.62+		135	CB	1.5		YUCCA FLAT
	31 31	2:55:51 12:57:24	37.160 37.251	116.754 115.824	8.8	6.13 4.75	3.6 2.4	131 155	BB BC	8.7 1.2		SPRINGDALE ALAMO SE
	31	13: 3: 2		115.013	1.0	6.34	1.7	221	AD	8.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
SEP	31 3	23:45: 5 4:36:46	37.177 37.214	115.784 114.999	0.8 1.5	10.51 3.17•	1.8	218 203	AD CD	8.8 1.6		PAPOOSE LAKE NE Delamar 3 NW
JEF	3	18:19:16		115.771	1.4	5.32+		184	CD	8.8		PAPOOSE LAKE NE
	4	11: 3:48	36.900	115.987		6.80		156	AD	0.6		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	4	13:57:54	36.986	115.976	8.4	6.19	1.3	154	AC	8.7		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	5	6:16:42	37.230	115.000	1.2	7.98	2.7	200	80	1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	6	15:30: 7	37.087	116.045	8.8	9.88+		113	CC	1.6		YUCCA FLAT
	18	12:29:32		115.658	1.2	7.39	3.8	186	80	1.4		ALAMO SE
	10	21: 4:58		116.203	1.0	6.31	1.5	90	AB	0.6		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	11 13	7:47:36 12: 6:16	36.856 37. 6 47	116.205 116.214	2.3 6.7	6.72 7.88	6.4 1.6	258 182	BD AB	8.6 1.1		SKULL MTN Tippipah spring
	13	17: 2:14		114.906	3.3	10.56	6.7	263	CD	0.7		DELAMAR LAKE
	16	14: 5:45		116.651	14.6	7. 6 0+ 6.47		154 134	DB AD	1.6		THIRSTY CANYON SW BONNIE CLAIRE SE
	18 20	3:35: 2 15:35:16		117.628 116.688		7.68**		307	AD AD	6.3 6.4		SPRINGDALE
	22	2:36:54		117.386	6.3	11.00	8.9	117	AB	1.2		UBEHEBE CRATER
	23	3:19:38	36.351	117,068	8.5	7.00	4.1	173	DC	1.8		EMIGRANT CANYON
	24	2: 5:23	36.351	117.068	14.6	7.68+		173	DD	8.7		EWIGRANT CANYON
	25	18:13:18	37.107	116.619		5.69		188	AD	0.7		YUCCA FLAT
	25	15:22:16		117.477	0.6	5.65	3.3	155	BC	8.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
	26	3:49:36		117.935	6.6	5.14	3.1	249	BD	1.2		SOLDIER PASS
	26 27	19:15:57 6:35:29		116.337 117.384	0.9 6.5	11.29	2.5	183 296	BC DD	1.3		AMMONIA TANKS TIN MTN
	26	3:13:32		117.534	0.7	6.79	1.5	286	AD	1.2		DRY MTN

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
D.	ATE .	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
		TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Mq	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
	,,	,	(**************************************	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	• •	, ,	•				-	
SEP	28	17:23:58	37.229	116.345	0.7	0.93	8.4	89	AA	1.1		AMMONIA TANKS
J	28	28:38:17		118.228	1.0	4.07.		84		0.7		SPECTER RANGE NW
	29	9:25:52		118.338	9.8	3.11•		119		1.0		DEAD HORSE FLAT
OCT		14:34:53		115.641	0.6	4.95•		111		0.7		FALLOUT HILLS NW
001		4:59:41		116.392	0.5	8.39	1.4	98	AB.	0.6		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	2							98	AB	0.7		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	2	3:42:15	37.198	116.389	8.4	7.43	1.0	79	A.9			JONGOHAD FEAR
	_								-			v
		14: 3:53		117.903	2.1	2.92	8.2	274	CD	1.3		KEELER
	2	17:51:38		115.842	9.5	11.73	2.4	150	BC	0.8		MT STIRLING
	2	20:43:14		118.394	9.6	6.29	1.5	98	AB	1.0		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	3	2:21:60		116.286	5.0	4.40	2.7	285		1.4		DEAD HORSE PLAT
	4	2:22: 1	37.222	116.347	9.5	8.48	9.5	67	BA	1.5		AMMONIA TANKS
	4	3:31:20	36.368	115.830	0.2	1.47	9.8	152	AC	0.5		MT STIRLING
	4	5:38: 4	37.229	118.343	0.8	0.12	9.5	78	AA	1.0		AMMONIA TANKS
	5	9:52:27	38.874	116.162	0.5	7.80	9.7	75	AA	0.6		SKULL MTM
	7	2:39: 2	37.078	115.266	2.4	8.66	6.4	193	CD	9.7		DESERT HILLS SE
	7	19:54:24		115.447	0.5	2.79+		100	CC	9.8		CUTLER RESERVOIR
	9	9:48:30		116.020	1.3	9.57	1.4	188	80	0.3		YUCCA LAKE
	9		37.145	116.757	0.4	7.00	6.0	45	CC			SPRINGDALE
	•				•••		•••	• •			•	
	10	17: 6: 2	37 413	115.876	1.0	2.91.		135	CC	0.6		PAIUTE RIDGE
	19	21:22: 9		115.025	1.9	4.53	3.2	227	89	1.3		ALAMO SE
						4.49	2.3	186	BD	1.5		ALAMO SE
	11	17:29:17		115.052	9.8							
	13	6:11:54		115.003	1.0	11.84	1.3	227	BD			LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	14	6:20:12		118.064	9.4	9.53•		135	CC			YUCCA FLAT
	15	8: 3:31	37.975	116.035	0.6	5.46	3.3	146	BÇ	9.5	***	YUCCA FLAT
												WWAAA
	15	10:41:12		116.949	1.0	4.94	3.8	177	BC	0.6		YUCCA FLAT
	15	14:33: 3		115.128	1.9	5.10	2.4	214	80	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE NW
	16	18:47: 9	36.900	116.166	0.4	7.41	8.5	113	AD			MINE MIN
	16	21: 8:54	37.844	115.812	9.7	4.43	4.0	185	80	0.8		QUAD. NOT LISTED
	17	1: 9:15	37.73	118.385		2.83•		168	CC		0.2	QUARTZITE MTN
	17	15:25:51	38.740	115.873	0.5	-0.84	9.6	120	AB	0.6		MERCURY NE
												•
	17	15:55:45	36.739	115.862	0.9	2.18	2.2	129	88	0.5		MERCURY NE
	21	1:14:16	37.005	115.686	9.7	14.77	3.2	143	8 C	0.9		FALLOUT HILLS SW
	24	17:13: 6		115.937		2.05		329	AD	1.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	24	29:47:36		115.191	9.3	4.51	9.5	297	AD	1.4		DREAMA SPRING
	25	22:17:59		115.157		7.00++		136	BD	8.8		HAYFORD PEAK
	27	17:13: 5		115.074	0.8	9.17	10.0	210	CD	0.0		ALAMO SE
		.,				••••						
NOV	2	19:51:11	37.241	115.045	1.0	5.83	3.2	188	50	1.7		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
		18:48:45		117.403	0.0	7.16	1.6	186	AD	2.0		UBENEBE CRATER
	3	17:44: 7		117.396	4.5	14.04	5.5	267	CD	1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
	4	1: 8:51		117.401	9.7	5.46	3.3	207	80	1.7		UBEHEBE CRATER
	4	8:53:52		117.485	9.7	1.94	1.4	295	AD	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
	4	29:27:53		117.485	1.6	5.89	3.5	184	80	1.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
	•	20:27:55	37.100	117.703		3.55	9.9					
		00.48.41	33 444	447 463		7.88	1.0	189	AD	1.6		UBEHEBE CRATER
	•	22:18:13		117.403	9.3			186	BD			UBEHEBE CRATER
	5	13: 4:33		117.401	0.5	5.84	3.3					UBENESE CRATER
	5	13:18:16		117.403	0.7	5.54	3.7	182	BD			
	5	22:50:44		115.028	1.8	4.32	8.4	231	CD	9.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	-	1:22:58		118.393	0.4		1.5	85				SILENT BUTTE
	6	9:21:42	2 37.286	116.923	1.7	6.54	4.2	274	80			TOLICHA PEAK
												AAR 1114 AR 11 A
	8	9:27:49		116.955	0.6	6.23	3.1	148	BC		0.2	SPRINGDALE
	7	3:35: 2	2 37.588	118.483	9.2	0.97	1.8	131	AC	1.2		QUARTZITE MTN
	8	15:42:13		115.383	0.7	2.25•		81	CC	1.6		CRESCENT RESERVOIR
	8	19:18: 3	36.844	116.341		1.02		231	AD	0.5		JACKASS FLATS
	9	9: 5:51	38.635	116.330	0.3	3.84	0.5	100	AB	1.6		STRIPED HILLS
	9	3: 2:3	7 36.486	117.818	1.8	11.78	1.6	250	BD	0.9		KEELER
	9	11:35:5	36.698	117.210	0.3	7.68	9.7	73	AB	1.2		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	9		4 38.691	117.234	0.8	10.01	1.4	88	AA	0.4		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	18	9:52:		116.988	9.5	7.95	1.8	100	AB	9.5		CANE SPRING
	19	1:36:5		117.208	9.6	5.89	1.5	74	AB	1.0		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	18			118.159	1.6	4.17	5.8	188	ĈD	0.5		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	14		8 37.679	116.266	0.9	5.96		166	CD	0.9		QUARTZITE MIN
	1 🕶	23:24:1	31.019	119.400	4.5	5.54			~			
		20.24.2	4 37.484	110 700		7.00+		193	AD		0.2	SILENT CANYON NE
	15	22:51:3		116.360								STOVEPIPE WELLS
	18		8 36.694	117.207	9.5	7.81	1.1	72	AB	1.7		
	18		4 36.691	117.204	9.3	7.48	0.7	73	AB	2.1		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	18			117.213	9.2	7.87	9.5	73	AA	9.9		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	20			115.026	0.8	8.27	1.6	280	AD			LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	29	3: 6:4	9 37.214	116.038	1.3	1.97	1.5	125	88	1.3		OAK SPRING

1979 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

					HORIZ	050511	VERT ERROR	AZ I Gap				
D)		- TIME TC)	(DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
HOV	26	3:38:16	37.234	115.671	1.8	9.48	2.1	199	BD	0.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
no,	20	6:43:19	37.237	115.058	0.7	18.48	1.6	202	AD	0.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	20	18:28:21	37.244	115.088		10.72		188	AD	0.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	20	10:42:20	37.214	115.007	2.6	3.93.		224	CD	0.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	26	11:14:57	37.340	116.122	0.5	3.68+		164	CD	8.6		OAK SPRING BUTTE
	20	16:35:23	37.186	115.265		8.51		182	AD	8.9		DESERT HILLS SE
	21	3: 6:49	36.698	117.223	0.4	6.72	1.6	99	AB	0.9		STOVEPIPE WELLS
	22	16:45: 5	36.655	115.939	0.6	2.93+		142	CC	8.6		MERCURY
	25	8: 2:17	37.341	114.943	8.3	0.38+		197	CD	0.3		DELAMAR LAKE
	27	11:41:58	36.882	115.444	1.6	7.00+		286	CD	0.7		DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
	28	16:24:18	37.837	116.427	5.1	2.25+		257	00	1.0		KAWICH PEAK
	29	2:41:24	37.065	116.227	1.0	8.19	8.8	219	80	0.4		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	28	16:37: 4	36.985	116.003	8.6	5.83	4.6	147	BC	2.5		YUCCA LAKE
	36	2: 8:50	37.285	115.619	1.6	18.68	1.6	284	80	8.9		ALAMO SE
	36	14: 0:37	37.501	116.533	0.5	11.46	1.2	106	AC	1.5		MELLAN
DEC	1	8:47:35	37.232	115.621	3.3	5.29	7.2	237	CD	0.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	2	1: 6: 3	36.769	116.268	0.7	1.11	2.6	129	86	0.3		STRIPED HILLS
	2	8:47:36	37.267	114.979	2.9	11.84	3.2	211	CD	1.1		DELAMAR LAKE
	3	13:31:50	37.624	116.677	3.2	12.19	5.0	127	CB	8.9		MELLAN
	9	8:28: 4	37.431	117.015	●.7	9.46+		195	CD	6.6		SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	11	12:26:31	37.581	116.534	0.4	6.85	4.6	106	BÇ	1.6		MELLAN
	13	14:38: 6	38.023	115.638	11.8	4.16	8.1	241	DD	1.6		CHERRY CREEK SUMMIT
	14	11:45: 9	36.632	116.237	1.6	6.32	5.8	71	CB	0.4		SPECTER RANGE NW
	17	8:48:34	37.174	116.467	9.4	10.93	1.1	109	AB	6.3		SCRUGHAM PEAK
	17	12:53:35	37.451	117.022	e.5	18.82	3.5	148	BC	8.5		SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	19	14:59: 5	37.506	116.532	8.7	2.91+		187	CC	1.0		MELLAN
	21	19:13:49	36.124	117.471	12.7	2.68•		256	00	0.9		MATURANGO
	22	2:29:12	36.516	116.339		-0.87		261	AB	8.4		LATHROP WELLS SE
	22	9:53:56	37.206	115.015	2.1	11.38	2.3	226	BD	1.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	23	12:41:54	37.509	116.543	0.3	11.31	1.3	127	AC	8.9		MELLAN
	23	16:34:53		116.195	1.6	4.57	2.9	154	BC	0.3		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	24	14:54:52		115.507	7.7	17.73	6.8	152	DC	1.8		HEAVENS WELL
	25	14:17:12		117.862	€.3	6.66	6.8	67	AC	2.8		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	14:24:11		117.666	0.7	5.69	2.6	92	BC	1.9	~~~	SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	14:27:41		117.054	0.2	2.84+		102	CC	8.6		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	14:29:33	37.261	117.657	1.0	7.66+		67	CC	1.1		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	15:19:16		117.659	0.3	7.68	4.3	89	BC	8.9		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	15:24:11		117.064	6.5	5.57	6.4	68	CC	1.1		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	16:15: 2		117.861	0.5	2.92	4.6	175	BC	0.9		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	17:36:59		117.868	0.2	10.38	1.3	173	AC	8.6		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	25	23:36:21		117.055	6.3	6.37	4.3	67	BC	8.9		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	26	2:13:45	37.236	115.021	0.4	5.86	2.1	157	BC	1.7		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	26	6:25:59	37.243	115.616	1.5	-0.10	1.6	198	80	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	26	14: 7:10	37.281	117.853	e.3	2.60+		75	ÇC	8.7		SCOTTYS JUNCTION

1988 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

												,
					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DA	_	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	HTTS	ERROR					
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	GUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
	_								CC	0.7		LATHROP WELLS SW
JAN	6	4:22:19		116.384	0.8	2.84+ 8.51	9.5	166 188	AB	1.3		MAGRUPER MIN
	8	15:11:59		117.621	9.4 9.3	7.99	1.7	193	AD	1.1		MAGRUDER MIN
	8	16: 6: 8 18:37:58		117.624 115.849	9.3	-9.88	1.4	144	ĀC	0.8		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	8	18:51: 2		115.849	1.6	4.18	4.7	146	BC	0.7		MERCURY NE
	9	4:34:22		116.349	0.7	9.23	0.4	140	AC	0.6		AMMONIA TANKS
	•	4.04.22		***************************************	•••	****	•••			•		
	9	19: 6:20	37.159	117.397	9.4	5.98	2.9	113	BC	0.8		UBEKEBE CRATER
	11	11:36:23		116.237	4.4	9.46	4.2	309	CD	0.4		SPECTER RANGE NW
	11	21:46:31		114.279	15.7	6.24.		298	DD	1.1		···REGIONAL···
	11	23:21:44	36.815	116.268	2.7	7.88	3.5	185	CD		0.1	JACKASS FLATS
	12	11:40:58	36.815	116.258	9.5	0.77	1.0	186	AB	9.9		JACKASS FLATS
	12	19:13:26	36.819	118.265	1.8	4.14	3.8	189	88	9.8		JACKASS FLATS
	13	4:40:50		116.265	9.3	9.47	0.7	109	AB	1.4		JACKASS FLATS
	13	4:44:44		116.278	•.3	2.32 4.76	9.5 2.5	174 165	AC 36	9.6	0.2	JACKASS FLATS Jackass Flats
	13 13	7:14:23 7:48:50		116.257 117.354	1.5 3.9	7.80	5.7	266	CD		9.1	UBEHEBE CRATER
	14	2: 4:33		115.457	0.3	13.81	2.3	99	88	8.8		DESERT HILLS NW
	13	8:49:53		117.069	0.3	7.80	4.4	89	BC	1.2		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	. –						•					
	15	12:21:21	37.061	116.050	0.6	-0.19+		113	CĊ	0.6		YUCCA FLAT
	15	14:21:11		116.371	9.3	9.13+		123	CC	0.5		QUARTZITE MTN
	15	20:28:21		117.654	3.3	5.00	2.5	251	CD	2.6		COSO PEAK
	16	17:58:42		117.062	9.2	-0.85	9.3	142	AC	9.9		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	28	19: 4:53		116.160		7.88**		215	AD		0.2	SKULL MTN
	21	29:48:48	37.267	115.188		7.80**		208	AĐ	9.4		ALAMO
		07.50.04	17 007	444 477		-0.07	2 4					ACCORT MILLS NO
	23	23:50:24		115.477	9.5	-9.87 5.91	2. 9 8.1	107 117	AC CC	1.4		DESERT HILLS NW DESERT HILLS NW
	24	0:34: 1 8:59:40		115.465 115.529	9.5 2.2	24.87	1.4	253	80	9.7		SOUTHEASTERN MINE
	25	11:49:11		116.305	1.8	19.55	1.3	187	80		0.2	LATHROP WELLS SE
	28	3: 7:11		115.234	9.5	5.10	1.1	65	AA	9.8		SPECTER RANGE NW
	28	3:27:53		116.363	9.6	-1.16+		104	CC	9.7		LATHROP WELLS SE
	••											
	28	17:22:21	37.223	117.838	2.7	13.65	3.7	210	CD	9.8		WAUCOBA SPRING
	28	18: 4: 1	36.740	115.271	9.3	1.47	0.3	115	AB	9.9		STRIPED HILLS
	30	0:33: 5		117.407	9.9	19.58	3.7	127	BD	0.4		UBEHEBE CRATER
	30	9: 2:29		115.889	9.7	15.57	1.2	313	AD	0.3		FRENCHMAN FLAT
	3	11:31: 8		115.395	0.7	0.20+		144	CC	1.3		BLACK HILLS
	30	14:28:33	36.629	118.280	0.5	7.50	. 8	209	AD	0.5		STRIPED HILLS
	31	14:28:48	37.281	117.649	8.4	5.89	8.8	128	AB	1.4		MAGRUDER MIN
FEB	1	15:47:49		117.895	9.2	4.17	1.4	205	AD	6.4		PIPER PEAK
	ż	4:49:36		117.406	9.7	11.03	1.8	167	AD	0.7		USENESE CRATER
	2	7:37: \$		116.213	3.0	9.92	4.2	163	CD	0.2		SKULL MTN
	4	5:56:34	38.619	116.257	0.8	4.60	1.3	275	AD	0.5		LATHROP WELLS SE
	4	14: 5:55	37.198	115.469	0.8	6.37	7.1	113	CC	1.0		DESERT HILLS NW
	4	16:21:19		116.326	8.4	2.99	9.7	126	AB	1.3		STRIPED HILLS
	5	4:36:15		116.201		5.98		120	AD DD	0.3	• . 2	TIPPIPAH SPRING THIRSTY CANYON NE
	6	5:56:14 8:49:14		116.574 116.605	6.8	11.82• 19.43		261	AD	8.1		THIRSTY CANYON NE
	6	9: 0:5		116.326	0.4	2.62	9.7	127	AB	0.9		STRIPED HILLS
	6	11:49:1		116.317	9.6	2.44	0.8	182	AD	0.5		LATHROP WELLS SE
	•				J. •							
	17	2:42:24	4 37.160	116.056	8.9	13.14	1.4	169	AC	9.3		OAK SPRING
	19	23:42:50		117.285	1.7	6.30	2.7	152	80	9.5		GOLD POINT
	29	1:41:3		115.066	0.8	15.77	1.5	118	AB	9.4		HIKO NE
	20	2:52:52		117.278	1.9	7.00	1.6	84	DA	0.4		GOLD POINT
	21	4:44:11		117.809	0.4	11.88	0.5	259	AD	1.0		WAUCOBA WASH
	21	4:51:60	36.984	117.746	2.1	-1.05	2.7	214	BD	0.8		DRY MTN
									^^			GROOM LAKE
	22	3:37:5		115.638	0.8	4.37	8.3 7.3	118 211	CC	0.9	0.2	ASH MEADOWS
	24	5:56:24 16:23:5		116.337 117.497	2.8 1.5	4.33 18.82	2.9	168	80			UBEHEBE CRATER
	24	29:36:5		117.500	0.9	7.51	1.8	139	AD	0.1		MAGRUDER MIN
	25	4: 5:2		117.172	8.2	9.99		143	ĀD	0.0		BONNIE CLAIRE NW
	28	12:27:11		117.469	0.8	7.57	9.9	288	AD	9.8		TIN MTN
	28	19: 3:41	8 37.185	117.193	0.3	8.36	1.0	94	AB	1.3		BONNIE CLAIRE NW
MAR		7:36:13		115.517	0.9	3.85+		92	CC	9.6		GROOM RANGE SE
	3	3:18:5		117.717	9.9	3.13+		132	CC	9.3		LIDA WASH
	3	16:59:5		118.530	0.9	8.41	5.5	143	CC	1.0		MELLAN
	6	7:45:2		117.173	3.2	9.38	9.9	292	CD			WINGATE PASS
	7	16:50:	7 37.336	117.392	9.2	9.99	9.4	192	AD	0.0		GOLD POINT

DATE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		DEPTH	VERT ERROR		01144	11.4	Mb 4 -	0,400,400,5
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)		Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
MAR 7 18:12:11 8 18: 4:15		115.795 115.342	0.1 0.5	-8.71 10.04	3.8 2.8	121 129		1.1	6.2	***QUAD. NOT LISTED*** MT IRISH
12 10:28:46		117.149	1.6	11.89	1.1	176	8C -			BONNIE CLAIRE NW
14 1:34:21	36.544	116.392	1.5	6.13.		115	CC (9.7		LATHROP WELLS SW
14 11:12:39		116.664	0.4	23.39	1.8	105		1.6		THIRSTY CANYON NW
14 28:52:52	36.612	116.264	1.3	7.60	2.3	157	BC (0.4		LATHROP WELLS SE
15 3:39:46		117.743	1.3	16.42	3.1	124			0.2	LIDA WASH
15 4:46:25 17 19:17:16		115.999 116.573	6.5 8.7	1.77 5.81	1.7 4.3	118 112		2.1 8.7		FRENCHMAN FLAT Ryan
18 12:56:47		117.103	1.8	7.00+		313		1.1		MUD LAKE
19 4:24:53	37.387	117.615	6.5	-0.03	8.9	186	AB (8.4		MAGRUDER MTH
22 3: 5:54	37.286	117.546	0.5	1.51	1.3	269	AD (8.2		MAGRUDER MTN
25 22:48:44		117.649	8.8	3.23+		194	CD (8.8		LIDA WASH
26 3:13: 7		116.177	1.0	7.60	2.1	119			0.2	SKULL MTN
26 5:15:42 27 20: 1: 7		117.646 117.367	0.4 6.6	22.92 7.03	0.2 2.1	251 129		B.4 B.8		LAST CHANCE RANGE UBEHERE CRATER
28 2: 8:44		116.375	6.2	7.38	6.7	162		1.1		ASH MEADOWS
28 21: 3:37	36.766	116.263	6.2	5.41	6.4	127	AB (8.4		STRIPED HILLS
31 13: 3:57	36.871	116.171	8.4	8.37	9.7	73	AA (8.8		SKULL MIN
APR 2 14:15:11		116.319		36.89		298		0.0		TOPOPAH SPRING
2 17:56:30 2 16:13: 9		115.998	1.2 3.5	18.78 18.86	4.6	158		8.5		PLUTORIUM VALLEY
2 18:28:4		115.961	6.3	1.30	9.1	216 55		0.4 2.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT FRENCHMAN FLAT
2 21:14:5		115.982	0.6	8.71	1.9	54		1.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT
3 2:18: 1	36.899	115.999	8.7	10.56	3.1	176	80	8.7		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
3 6:46:4	36.851	115.967	0.5	6.26	4.5	161	BC I	0.7		FRENCHMAN FLAT
3 15:22:30 3 17:15:13		115.961	8.2	5.39	1.4	151		6.9		FRENCHMAN FLAT
3 17:15:13 3 23:47: 1		115.957 116.169	0.4 0.7	-0.07+ 5.15	1.2	126 175		8.9 1.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT Tippipah spring
4 18: 6:4		115.636	1.0	15.19	4.7	164		0.5		QUARTZ PEAK NW
5 2:27:49	36.853	115.957	0.6	6.92•		91	cc	1,2		FRENCHMAN FLAT
5 2:29:		115.946	8.1	6.89	1.4	189		6.6		FRENCHMAN FLAT
5 17:29:5	36.830	115.891	0.1	15.44	8.2	287	AD	8.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT
8 1:35:2		116.342	6.9	2.62	3.2	94		0.7		LATHROP WELLS SE
8 2:11:3 ⁻ 10 7:39:2		115.939 117.058	8.6 8.9	7.63 7.39	3.1 3.2	164 284		1.6 6.3		PLUTONIUM VALLEY SCOTTYS JUNCTION
11 9:48: 4 14 13:54:2		116.319 116.307	0.4	7.60**		241 114		0.8 1.8		JACKASS FLATS Ammonia tanks
14 16:55:		117.421	8.8	7.00	3.5	189		8.4		UBEHEBE CRATER
15 10:24:4	37.514	117.716	0.6	2.99•		101		8.8		LIDA WASH
15 12:42:5		116.117		7.80 • •		216		1.3		YUCCA LAKE
15 12:44:5	5 36.619	115.961		3.60		235	AD	6.7		FRENCHMAN FLAT
15 21:30:		115.992	8.8	1.93	1.5	123		8.7		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
16 11:25:3: 16 21:41:2		115.461 115.484	0.4 8.5	14.82 -0.64+	1.7	61 84		2.2		DESERT HILLS NW DESERT HILLS NW
21 2:27:3	37.316	116.317	0.4	5.15	2.3	85		1.4		DEAD HORSE FLAT
23 4: 8:4	8 36.874	116.162	8.5	6.60	6.9	65		1.3		SKULL MTN
23 5:24:3	8 36.617	116.257	0.5	2.75	6.8	99	AB	1.0		JACKASS FLATS
23 11:37:3		116.253	0.4	4.52	1.0	58		1.8		JACKASS FLATS
23 16:43:2		117.381	0.4	-0.63+		124		0.7		GOLD POINT SW
24 6: 2:2: 24 6: 2:6:		116.271 116.278	6.7 6.7	3.79 3.79	2.1 2.1	121 121		0.6 0.5		JACKASS FLATS JACKASS FLATS
24 7:20:5		116.267	0.6	3.78	2.6	117		8.6		JACKASS FLATS
24 11: 9:4	37.332	114.598	0.6	9.67	6.5	231	AD	1.7		ELGIN
25 3:48:3		116.167	1.9	3.53+		229		1.4		STEWART VALLEY
25 10:46:3		116.299 116.271	6.3 8.5	-0.26. 3.82	1.7	77 122		2.8 8.3		DEAD HORSE FLAT JACKASS FLATS
26 2:13: 27 13: 1:5		117.471	1.9	2.61	3.2	205		0.8	~	UBEHEBE CRATER
27 21:15:1		116.417	6.4	-0.95	0.4	149	AC	1.2		SILENT BUTTE
29 4:12:4		115.866	0.3	7.67	1.8	155	AC	8.7		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
29 17:53:3		116.263	€.4	-0.22	6.5	116		0.5		JACKASS FLATS
30 9:33:5		115.999	0.5	12.05	1.8	132		8.8		MERCURY
MAY 2 7:38:2 3 10:31:1		116.265 117. 0 49	0.8 0.7	4.00 13.74	2.5 1.6	116 133		8.5		JACKASS FLATS Emigrant canyon
3 10:31:1 8 11:36:3		117.410	0.2	5.62	2.4	128		6.9		UBEHEBE CRATER
16 11: 3:3		116.267	0.6	6.64	8.8	58	68	1.2		JACKASS FLATS

	- TIME	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KW)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
MAY 11	9:28:54	36.576	116.344	0.4	8.13	1.4	136	AC	0.7		LATHROP WELLS SE
13	2:33:43	36.789	116.090	2.8	8.61	4.2	178	BC		0.1	CANE SPRING
14	8:33:32	36.845	118.205	0.6	7.08	1.9	145	AC	0.5		SKULL MTH
15	1:30:38		115.894	1.5	7.00	4.1	238	80	9.5		MERCURY SW
1 <i>6</i> 18	16:49: 8 1:43:11	37.987 36.812	118.053	9.7	7.87	3.6	162	BC	0.9		YUCCA FLAT
10	1:43:11	30.012	116.236	1.3	8.23	3.7	149	BC	9.4		SKULL MIN
18	17:56:25	36.911	116.916	2.4	32.48	4.3	198	80	0.3		YUCCA LAKE
19	4:16:35	37.076	117.872	0.9	11.24	2.5	64	BA	1.8		BONNIE CLAIRE SE
JUN 3	9: 4:28	36.886	116.003	0.5	7.98	3.5	149	8 C	8.7		YUCCA LAKE
5	19:54:36 19:43:17	37.572 36.888	116.458 115.739	0.9 1.5	4.59+ 2.49+		88 200	CC	1.1		QUARTZITE MTH Quartz Peak NW
7	2: 8:55		116.977		8.88		151	BD	1.1		BULLFROG
								_			,
7	12: 8:33		118.284	1.3	7.74	9.8	152	AC	8.8		LATHROP WELLS SE
7	12: 1:41 12:21:54		116.256 116.261	0.2	5.53 5.17	9.6	148 153	AC AD	0.5 8.2		LATHROP WELLS SE
š	11:48: 4		114.794	1.5	8.89	1.0	302	80	1.9		LATHROP WELLS SE Gregerson Basin
9	7:53:32	36.781	115.984	1.1	7.00	5.2	155	CC	1.8		FRENCHMAN FLAT
9	12:29:18	36.872	118.334		7.88++		181	AD		0.2	JACKASS FLATS
10	15:19: 5	37.156	117.339	8.4	7.88	1.3	188				11854555 AB4558
15	1:17:38	36.823	115.999	1.1	6.23	3.7	192	AD BD	1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER FRENCHMAN FLAT
18	17:57:11	36.712	115.623	9.3	-0.81+		118	CC	1.9		HEAVENS WELL
19	2:33:21		118.283	0.8	-0.13	1.0	139	38	9.8		STRIPED HILLS
19 20	4: 4: 8 20:40:58		116.374 116.496	8.8 1.2	1.18 7.75	3.3 2.6	108 182	BC BD	0.6 0.5		LATHROP WELLS SE
	20.40.30	33.071	110.400	1.2	7.73	2.0	102	50	0 .5		LATHROP WELLS NW
JUL 3	2:52:10		116.181	1.4	11.38	5.8	153	CD	8.3		SKULL MTH
3	21:15:42		114.893	2.3	4.14	2.4	254	80	1.2		DRY LAKE
4	7: 3: 3 8:21:39		116.277 116.685	9.5 9.4	4.86 4.19	1.3 3.3	69	AA	9.5		STRIPED HILLS
Š	13:28: 8		118.627	2.7	8.25	3.8	97 196	BB CD	9.6 9.7		BARE MTN Bare MTN
7	15:13:14		115.821	2.9	11.15	4.9	191	80	8.7		MERCURY NE
_											
9	0:36:58 2:13:48		118.452 115.030	23.8 1.5	7.00 2.75	8.0 6.2	334 186	DD	1.4		· · · REGIONAL · · ·
9	15: 5:51		116.169	2.1	18.73	3.1	154	CD BC	1.7		ALAMO SE Skull min
11	13:22: 4		116.277	0.3	7.80	9.6	58	AA	1.2		JACKASS FLATS
11	13:26:10		118.277	9.5	7.98	0.8	71	AA	9.3		JACKASS FLATS
11	13:37:58	36.750	118.277	9.4	7.00	8.7	87	AA	0.7		JACKASS FLATS
11	14:53: 2	36.755	116.275	8.4	6.55	9.7	79	AA	9.5		JACKASS FLATS
11	15:10:21	37.699	115.846	9.4	1.59	1.3	116	AC	1.1		HIKO NE
12	17:10:20	36.702	118.282	0.4	5.05	0.9	63	AA	1.8		STRIPED HILLS
13 13	13:58:28 16: 2:18	37.397 36.808	115.210 115.934	0.8 0.4	8.44 5.66	3.3 2.3	134 175	BC BC	1.2		ASH SPRINGS Frenchman Flat
13	16:51: 8		115.980		12.48		224	AD	0.8		FRENCHMAN FLAT
14 14	2:18:23		115.978		7.00**		235	AD	0.3		FRENCHMAN FLAT
14	2:51:48 2:57:15		115.932 115.957	1.8	7.23 24.98	5.2	199 234	CD AD	9.9 9.6		FRENCHMAN FLAT Frenchman Flat
14	12: 4:29		116.205	2.3	14.88	3.4	200	80	9.9		TIPPIPAH SPRING
14	12:12:42		116.193	0.5	-8.83	8.7	113	AB	8.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING
14	12:44:29	37.852	116.147		9.18		189	AD	0.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING
14	16:42:58	36.896	115.947	1.0	8.89	1.9	193	AD	9.4		FRENCHMAN FLAT
15	12: 3:21		115.954	2.4	26.29	2.2	162	BC		0.2	MERCURY
15	14:23:33		115.921	0.2	11.60	0.5	203	AD	0.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT
15	23:16:16		116.815	9.5	-0.05+		123	CC	1.3		BULLFROG
16 17	6:37:38 14:16: 3		115.435 115.911	1.7 0.8	2.33 0.69	1.9	295 188	BD AD	1.3		LA MADRE MTN Frenchman flat
• •			******		0.00		, 55	~•	•.•		TREADIMAN TEXT
17	22: 3:14		115.188		7.46		228	80	0.5		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
18 18	12:13:41 15:18:53		118.194	9.7	5.28	2.5	93	BB	1.2		TIPPIPAH SPRING
18	15:18:53		116.292 116.393	0.7 	9.33 7.21	1.2	150 226	AC AD	9.2	0.1	JACKASS FLATS JACKASS FLATS
19	18: 1:46		116.177	9.5	7.50	0.7	154	AC	0.1		SKULL MIN
19	21:49: 4	37.408	114.457		7.00**		327	CD	1.3		· · · REGIONAL · · ·
19	21:49:36	36.836	115.281	5.5	6.98+		246	D D	0.6		0610 HODGE B1005
29	1:49:59		115.281	5.5 	7.98 • •		246	AD	9.5		DEAD HORSE RIDGE Yucca Flat
20	9: 7:55		116.007	0.5	11.24	2.5	157	BC	9.8		YUCCA FLAT
20	9:47:19		116.803	0.4	7.12	3.2	158	BC	8.8		YUCCA FLAT
20 21	23: 4:18 2: 8:48		116.313	3.0	7.00	2.4	201	CD	9.2		STRIPED HILLS
21	2: 0:40	36.832	115.988		7.00**		254	AD .	-0.2		FRENCHMAN FLAT

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI					
0.	ATE .	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		DEPTH	ERROR						
0,									01141			A11.4 A D A 11 A 1 A	
	(0	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KW)	(KM)	(DEG)	OUXL	MQ	Mblg	QUADRANGLE	
JUL		4: 7:59	37.077	116.187	1.5	6.99	2.4	142	BC	0.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING	
	22	16:50:14	36.976	115.649	1.5	22.54	3.6	126	86	1.2		QUARTZ PEAK NW	
	22	14:11:42	36.806	115.691		16.36		268	AD	8.8		QUARTZ PEAK SW	
	22	20: 0:48	37.383	115.556		7.88**	~~-	193	AD	1.0		GROOM RANGE NE	
	22	26:28:13	36.973	115.646	~~~	21.81	~~-	125	AD	8.9		QUARTZ PEAK NW	
	23	10: 3:50	36.789	115.772	0.4	6.38	2.3	165	BC	1.9		MERCURY NE	
												•	
	23	18: 5:49	36,793	115.673	~~~	12.49		185	AD	9.8		INDIAN SPRINGS NW	
	23	13:18:49	37.637	115.524	13.6	15.47+		105	DD	1.0		SOUTHEASTERN MINE	
	24	11:39:43	37.057	116.236	8.4	6.59	8.6	175	AC	0.8		TIPPIPAH SPRING	
	25	20:30:50	37.261	116.469	8.4	0.03+		48	CC	2.3		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	21:14:18	37.261	116.465	0.3	-8.26+		50	ČČ	2.2		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	23:18:48	37.262	116.487	0.8	2.63+		77	ČČ	2.8		SILENT BUTTE	
		2011111			•	-100		• • •				0.000.0	
	26	5: 8: 4	37.242	116.315		31.05		164	AD	0.5		AMMONIA TANKS	
	26	17:19:58	36,698	115.678	8.8	6.35+		166	ĈĊ	1.2			
	26	18:33: 7	37.666		1.0	16.92+		169	CD			INDIAN SPRINGS NW	
				115.677						1.6		FALLOUT HILLS SW	
	27	9:42: 8	36,649	115.287	2.8	8.51+		129	ÇÇ	1.1		WHITE SAGE FLAT	
	27	13:56:28	36.868	115.462		7.66		226	AD	6.5		DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH	
	28	5: 0:29	36.914	115.987	8.8	4.33	8.1	188	CD	0.7		PLUTONIUM VALLEY	
	0.0	44,44:4"	** ***			9 44:		^	. ~			BB4888 4444 A	
	28	14:48:47	37.200	115.436		7.66**		283	AD	8.6		DESERT HILLS NW	
	26	18:55:56	36.721	115.967	1.8	-0.61	8.6	227	80	8.6		MERCURY	
	28	19:38:11	37.234	115.404	0.5	2.63.		154	CC	1.6		DESERT HILLS NW	
	31	3:48:18	36.787	115.801	0.4	7.18	8.8	9.5	AB	1.6		MERCURY NE	
	31	19:22:16	37.097	116.031	2.8	7.88+		141	CC	2.0		YUGCA FLAT	
	31	19:26:16	37.073	116.095	1.3	2.12	16.8	121	CC	2.7		YUCCA FLAT	
AUG	6	3:42: 4	37.066	116.145	0.6	-0.52	0.5	148	AD	8.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING	
	6	9:37:34	37.262	116.485	8.7	8.71.		74	CC	1.5		SILENT BUTTE	
	7	3:21:59	36.438	115.648	8.6	11.54	1.4	67	AB	1.2		CHARLESTON PEAK	
	7	9:53:37	37.313	116.291	8.8	2.56	3.2	128	BC	1.2		DEAD HORSE FLAT	
	8	9:51:35	37.838	116.476		22.57		167	DD	0.9	~	TIMBER MTN	
	9	2:21:22	36.535	116.396		4.14		271	AD	8.7	~	LATHROP WELLS SW	
	9	2:21:48	36.617	116.281	1.1	11.41	2.2	161	BD	8.4	~	LATHROP WELLS SE	
	11	8:14:38	37.149	117.489	8.7	6.21	4.0	134	BC	0.5	~	UBEHEBE CRATER	
	11	8:19:44	37.143	116.294	0.3	7.61	8.7	176	AC	8.2	~	AMMONIA TANKS	
	12	4:53:14	36.487	116.886	0.4	-0.89	1.8	67	AC	1.1		FURNACE CREEK	
	14	8:20:27	36.329	116.239	8.4	6.67	3.5	129	BC	e.7		HIGH PEAK	
	15	9: 8: 1	37.100	116.163		7.00 **		274	AD	8.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING	
	• •	J. U. 1	37.100	110.103		7.00		474	AU	0.7		TIPETERN SERING	
	15	18:15:37	35.975	115.241	3.5	4.29	3.5	256	CD	1.7		SLOAN	
	15	23: 9:50	36.477	116.920	8.6	18.84	2.6	78	88	1.1		FURNACE CREEK	
	17		36.996	117.534	0.8	6.75	1.6	186	AD	1.5		DRY MIN	
	18	17:48: 9 8: 6:43	37,198	115.197		1.28		148	AD	1.3		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE NW	
	19	8:33: 4	36.916	115.971	8.9	3.50		186	ĈĎ	6.5		PLUTONIUM VALLEY	
	26	11:58:16	36.728	115.613	6.3		3.4	133	BC	1.8		HEAVENS WELL	
			30.720	113.013	4.5	7.84	3.7	133	00	1.0		HEAVENS WELL	
	26	16: 5:26	36.782	116.282		7.66	~~-	165	AD	0.3		JACKASS FLATS	
	21 21	3:24: 2 12:38:48	37.288 36.818	116.528 115.976	6.3	11.23	e.6	112 252	AB AD	1.7		THIRSTY CANYON NE Frenchman Flat	
	22	1:11: 2	36.511	116.466	1.2	13.67	3.6	281	60	8.6		LATHROP WELLS SW	
	23		37.137	117.012	6.6	4.62	5.4	114		1.2		BONNIE CLAIRE	
	24	18:34:23	30.607	110.9/3	0.3	5.24	8.5	256	NU .	-0.1		FRENCHMAN FLAT	
	24	11:40: 8	16 477	116.154	1.1	16.37	0.6	236	80		0.2	SKULL MTN	
	24	23: 7: 2		116.000	9.5	8.44	1.8	134	AB	6.7		CAMP DESERT ROCK	
	25	8: 7:49		116.437	6.3	5.44	2.7	91		1.6		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	8: 9:29		116.438	0.1	11.88	8.1	193	AD	8.7		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	8:32:36		116.435	0.3	6.77	1.8	91	AC	6.9		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	9:27: 4	37.314	116.433	0.3	5.16	2.6	8.6	BC	1.4		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	13:32:26		116.432	8.3	6.78	1.9	96	AC	8.8		SILENT BUTTE	
	25	15:12:22		116.432	0.3	4.81	3.5	91	BC	8.8		SILENT BUTTE	
	26	1; 6:10		116.432	0.4	6,91	2.3	96	BC	8.6		SILENT BUTTE	
	26	1:28:56		116.427	8.4	8.20	2.1	80	88	8.9		SILENT BUTTE	
	26		37.296	116.466	1.1	10.65	2.1	135	BB	0.8		SILENT BUTTE	
	26	10:15:45	37.324	116.438	6.4	5.18	2.9	214	BO	0.5		SILENT BUTTE	
	26	11:18:14	36.413	116.289	0.1	0.76	8.4	105	AC	8.4		ASH MEADOWS	
		11:18:58		116.258		7.88 * *		213	AD			JACKASS FLATS	
	28	2:18:26		115.977		7.00.0		227	AD	0.4		MERCURY	
	28	17:12:28		116.024	1.0	2.86.	~	92		0.7		CANE SPRING	
	29		36.989	116.729	8.4	8.92	1.2	92	AB			BARE MTN	
	29		36.835	115.979	1.5	5.79	5.5	208	CD	0.3		FRENCHMAN FLAT	

D		- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	DUAL	ма	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
	•	•	•	•								
AUG	29 30	29:48: 3 19:18: 7		117.712 117.411	1.1 0.7	1.29 7.78	2.1 3.2	262 142	80 80	1.7		COSO PEAK Ubehebe Crater
SEP	3	1:31:18	37.192	117.579	9.5	7.96	0.9	148	AC	1.1		LAST CHANCE RANGE
	5	5:11:51	38.712	118.342	9.5	5.11	1.2	191	AD		0.2	STRIPED HILLS
	. 5	11:42:38	36.849	116.280		1.96		216	AD	9.0		JACKASS FLATS
	11	14:59:60	36.974	118.179	8.6	-0.02	9.5	133	AB	1.6		MINE MTN
	11	20:58: 3	36.593	116.149	3.2	11.89+		143	CC	9.9		SPECTER RANGE SW
	11	22:19: 6	36.828	116.336	0.4	4.59	1.1	184	AB	1.2		STRIPED HILLS
	12	2:21:34 7:41:56	36.744 37.278	115.429 114.983	0.6 1.1	4.69• 5.33	2.6	171 196	CC BD	1.9		BLACK HILLS NW Delawar lake
	13	5:54:25		118.314	9.7	8.32	1.7	161	AC	1.3		AMONIA TANKS
	13	19:48:38	37.564	115.802	2.5	4.61+		192	CC	1.3		WHITE BLOTCH SPRINGS
	13	14:58:19	37.183	115.443	0.7	0.69	1.6	139	BC	1.5		DESERT HILLS NW
	14	14:19:18	36.839	115.941	8.5	4.31+		135	CC	9.9		FRENCHMAN FLAT
	17	4:48:40		116.227	1.4	3.20•		270	CD	1.4		REVEILLE
	18 19	11:13:47 18: 8:44	36.978 36.789	116.559 115.942	1.6 9.5	7.81 -0.88	6.7 1.1	211 154	CD AC			BARE MIN
	19	18: 0:48	36.432	118.953	5.2	2.34•		214	DO	1.8		CHLORIDE CLIFF FURNACE CREEK
	22	17:22:51	37.254	118.479	8.6	0.04+		78	CC	1.8		SILENT BUTTE
	22	19: 6:49 21:28:40	36.980 37.257	116.815 118.521	0.5 0.8	4.18 1.57	8.5 2.9	119 155	CC BC	1.2		BULLFROG Trail ridge
	23	12:28:38	36.858	115.919	1.3	11.21	3.5	206	BD	0.8		FRENCHMAN FLAT
	24	6:17:26	36.759	115.769		7.88**		183	CD	1.1		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	25	9:33:50	35.476	116.979		7.00**		360	DD	1.8	~~~	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	28	18:59:50	36.703	118.438	0.2	2.98+		166	CD	8.3		LATHROP WELLS NW
	27	9:18:46	36.663	115.964	16.3	7.00	8.8	182	DD	1.0		MERCURY
	28 29	15: 8:15 21:25:54	36.884 36.854	115.988 116.013	2.9	2.86 9.98•		238 192	BD CD	9.5 9.7		PLUTONIUM VALLEY CANE SPRING
OCT	2	1:48:15	37.274	117.015	1.4	9.01	9.9	254	80	2.0		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	2	6:13:41	36.996	115.983	0.4	5.03	2.3	129	88	1.0		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	2	29:15:47	36,447	114.485	8.8	2.94	3.7	298	DD	1.9		REGIONAL
	2	28:15:57	37.816	114.793		29.58		289	DD	1.5		DELAMAR 3 SE
	3	5:25: 3 11:50:55	37.23 0 37.316	116.348 115.885	9.5 3.7	-0.14 10.02	0.4 3.8	94 169	AB CD	1.5		AMMONIA TANKS
	3	17:52: 8	37.409	114.787	3.7	3.09		254	80	1.1		GROOM MINE SW Delamar
	3	17:52:41	36.786	115.818		7.80		291	DD	0.3		FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	4	2:23:46	35.622	117.589	2.2	5.19	9.9	299	30	1.8		RIDGECREST
	6	19:48:31	37.287	117.055	0.3	5.03	3.4	87	BC	1.1		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
	8	21:45:52	37.324	114.684	4.5	11.40	1.3	305	CD	2.1		ELGIN SW
	9	2:19:22 18: 3:39	36.778 36.783	115.937 115.927	0.7 8.2	-0.22 · 2.88	9.7	188 168	CC AC	1.0		FRENCHMAN FLAT FRENCHMAN FLAT
	12	2:47:41	37.284	117.186		2.43		282	AD	2.2		BONNIE CLAIRE
						40.00						
	12	5:40:44 14:52:14		115.634 117.211		18.82 2.83		324 273	AD BD	1.3		GUARTZ PEAK SW BONNIE CLAIRE SW
	12	16:27:31	37.403	118.184	9.4	29.57	0.3	163	AD	1.1		WHEELBARROW PEAK NE
	13	18:57:31	37.258	118.481	0.9	0.09+		99	CC			SILENT BUTTE
	13 13	14:52:15 18:27:24		117.068 115.358	0.4 0.5	5.07 18.91	3.2 2.1	135 95	BC BB	8.9		BONNIE CLAIRE SE MT 1818H
		10.27.24	37.302	110.550	4.5		2.,		99	4.4		#1 1K13N
	15	4:53:22		114.993	1.0	0.99	5.6	220	CD	1.4		DELAWAR 3 NW
	15 15	12:21:52 12:29: 6		116.357 116.447	1.7	4.79 8.65	6.5	192 141	CD AD	0.9		DEAD HORSE FLAT Scrugham Peak
	17	19:21:37		117.484	5.1	8.96	1.7	283	DD	1.3		TRONA
	17	19:21:54		116.864		36.12		185	DD	8.7	~	SPRINGDALE
	19	9:33:18	37.358	115.184		2.68		195	AD	1.4		QUARTET DOME
	20	11:36:12		118.866	1.9	7.00+		207	CD	1.1		BLACK MTN NW
	20 21	11:41:38		116.340 115.692	0.6 0.8	1.87** 13.95	2.2 1.0	110 143	BC AC	1.3		DEAD HORSE FLAT
	23	1:32:14		114.748	4.5	12.95	2.8	264	CD	1.7		INDIAN SPRINGS NW HOOVER DAM
	23	2:31:19	37.461	116.272	1.7	7.37•		104	CC	1.2		SILENT CANYON NE
	24	13:27:47	37.007	115.981		4.89		138	CD	1.3		PAIUTE RIDGE
	24	19:25:38	37.116	115.991	1.2	19.91	1.9	92	88	1.5		PAIUTE RIDGE
	24	19:27:45	37.087	118.025	9.8	4.35	4.9	134	BC	1.3		YUCCA FLAT
	25 25	0:27:38 0:30:60		117.287 118.306	3.2 0.3	4.01 8.34	1.4 9.4	256 173	CD AC	1.5		SAN ANTONIA RANCH KAWICH PEAK
	25	1: 6:45		114.797	9.5	2.52	9.5	286	DD	1.7		GREGERSON BASIN
	27	13:22:51		116.311	9.3	3.34	3.4	129	88	1.3		JACKASS FLATS

			HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DATE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
		(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(~=)	/ " " >	()	(000)			- •	
				2 14	1.8	274	BD	1.5		LAS VEGAS SE
OCT 27 28: 2:	:28 36.004	115.066	2.0	2.16						MERCURY
31 0:40:	:33 36.767	115.964	1.6	8.50	2.1	99	CB	1.4		RAINIER MESA
31 18:11	9 37.223	116.175	0.4	8.78+		146	CC	1.2		
31 18:11		116.179	1.3	8.78+		170		1.2		RAINIER MESA
31 18:15		116.282	1.4	15.43	2.7	181	88	1.1		AMMONIA TANKS
31 18:40		116.253	1.3	8.18	2.8	103	88	1.3		AMMONIA TANKS
31 18:40		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
74 40.47	: 3 37.211	116.297	1.9	19.61	2.5	169	88	1.3		AMMONIA TANKS
31 16:43		115.962		7.66+		238	DD	1.2		PAIUTE RIDGE
31 19:18			0.9	2.29+		95	CC		0.2	AMMONIA TANKS
31 19:46		116.252		1.00+		125		1.1		SCOTTYS JUNCTION
NOV 2 23:59		117.050	1.6				AD	1.1		MT IRISH
3 2:17	:27 37.541	115.302		15.98		129				STRIPED HILLS
3 3:30	:26 36.637	116.276		24.32		195	AD	1.2		STRIPED MILES
										COCATER DANCE CE
3 9:10	: 24 36,586	116.805	2.4	17.67	1.5	239	BD	2.1		SPECTER RANGE SE
3 14: 8		116.694		2.95		153	AD	1.3		CAMP DESERT ROCK
4 6:49		114.963	1.6	2.26	1.5	131	88	1.7		PAHROC SPRING
4 7:39		117.115	1.3	12.74	8.6	264	80	1.5		EMIGRANT CANYON
		116.878	1,3	4.55	5.5	123	DC	1.1		CANE SPRING
4 8: 6				3.07		348	AD	1.2		VIGO NW
5 9:46	:12 37.217	114.747		~~~						
				4.28+		194	CD	8.7		FRENCHMAN FLAT
6 5:52		115.988	1.9				BB	8.6		MERCURY
6 18:41		115.931	1.3	7.00	2.2	119	BC	1.1		PAPOOSE LAKE NE
8 22:27	:18 37.243	115.871	1.3	14.17	3.4	136				STEWART VALLEY
9 2:25	:29 36.138	116.129		7.00**		261	BD	1.4		
9 7: 6		116.383	6.9	8.91•		262	CD	1.7		KAWICH PEAK
9 13:58		115.998		7.88**		231	AD	8.7		FRENCHMAN FLAT
11 1:43	:51 36.739	116.254		7.88 • •		166	AD	6.5		STRIPED HILLS
		116.464	8.9	18.88**		125	AB	1.8		SILENT BUTTE
11 8:33		116.501	8.9	8.47	5.2	128	CC	1.3		TRAIL RIDGE
11 11: 4				7.88**		156	AD	0.9		STRIPED HILLS
11 12:36		116.279		8.55		96	ĈĈ	1.1		SILENT BUTTE
12 9:44		116.442	0.8			167	BC	8.9		TIPPIPAH SPRING
13 19: 7	:44 37.884	116.229	1.3	5.69	1.7	167	50	0.5		***************************************
							00	2.0		YUCCA FLAT
14 17:16	1:26 37.084	116.829	2.7	11.07+		144	CC			PAIUTE RIDGE
14 17:15	:35 37.898	115.966	1.4	4.96	6.1	91	CC	3.8		THIRSTY CANYON NE
19 3:15	: 9 37.143	116.584	0.5	14.55	2.7	87	88	1.3		FALLOUT HILLS NW
19 8:43		115.633	8.6	11.65	5.3	186	CC	1.2		
19 9: 2		116.275	4.8	18.48	8.7	228	CD	0.9	~	LATHROP WELLS SE
	:19 37.673		15.9	17.89	3.9	311	DD	6.6		QUARTZITE MTN
10 1.50	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
21 3:39	3:26 37.361	115.668		7.66+		.316	AD	1.2		ALAMO NE
	2:56 37.426			2.78		288	AD	1.3		TOLICHA PEAK
			8.4	2.89+		163	CC	1.1		BIG DUNE
	8:54 36.517		1.1	5.80	3.3		80	1.2		BIG DUNE
22 19:10			8.9	9.28	5.0	118	CC	8.9		MERCURY SE
22 22:				7.60+			CD	1.0		VIGO NW
23 1:1	1:45 37.196	114.699	3.2	,.00*						
				3.12•		98	cc	1.3		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
	7:25 36.530		6.3				BC			INDIAN SPRINGS SE
	6:29 36.521		0.6	5.69	2.6					INDIAN SPRINGS SE
23 12:1			2.7	12.88						INDIAN SPRINGS SE
23 15:1	5:28 36.551		8.7	2.07•						HEAVENS WELL
25 0:3	8:31 36.679	115.574	8.6	16.89				1.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
26 4:	7: 5 37.099	117.336	1.1	-8.85		123	ÇĐ	. . 9		SOCIEDE SINGER
										COSO PEAK
26 11:1	2:42 36.813	117.547	15.7	-8.46+						SILENT CANYON NE
	4:34 37.484			7.88+						
	5:13 36.411	_	0.6	16.65	1.2					CHARLESTON PEAK
	2: 2 36.674			5.17	~				;	JACKASS FLATS
	8:20 36.869		2.9	4.24	~~~	- 231				FRENCHMAN FLAT
	6:53 36.762		0.2	2.85	8.2	198	AD	8.6	;	JACKASS FLATS
29 4:5	U. VU JU. / UZ				-					
AA		115.816		7.60+		- 302	. AD		0.1	FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
	1:31 36.85			8.37				8.2	2	STRIPED HILLS
	7:14 36.71		14.6	17.56	7.8					TELESCOPE PEAK
•••	8:48 36.28			17.62	0.7					WHITE SAGE FLAT
	6:11 36.66		0.5							FRENCHMAN FLAT
	1: 3 36.79		3.5	4.36						BAXTER SPRING
4 6:4	0:35 38.26	117.144	1.4	7.68	1.5	, 240		• • • •	-	
							2 86	3 1.	5	ALAMO NE
6 6:4	6:35 37.38	8 115.117	1.0	5.69	2.6				-	DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
	5:13 36.79			7.00•						SAN ANTONIA RANCH
	4:46 38.31				3.7					WILDCAT WASH SE
	4:34 36.76			7.86						WHEELBARROW PEAK NE
	21:46 37.38			8.95	1.4					
					1.0	B 18	5 86	B 1.	4	SPRINGDALE
14 6:	5:58 37.10									

1980 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HOR IZ ERROR	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I Gap				
	ITC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Mq	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
DEC 14	11:12:54	36.541	116.631	1.0	-0.82	2.0	163	AC	1.1		BIG DUNE
15	2:34:47	36.646	115.417	0.3	9.41	1.0	105	AC	1.5		BLACK HILLS NW
16	21:17:41	37.205	115.869		7.88**		393	AD	0.9		PAPOOSE LAKE NE
17	7:28:51	37.838	116.211		2.64		196	AD	1.1		TIPPIPAH SPRING
17	15:23:52		116.384	0.7	-9.30+		48	ĈĈ	2.2		DEAD HORSE FLAT
17	15:25:45		116.319	0.4	2.15.		54	CC	2.3		DEAD HORSE FLAT
17	15:51:31	37.387	117.232	0.3	21.35	0.3	83	AA	2.7		STONEWALL PASS
17	16: 1:18	36.958	115.747		4.56+		84	CC	2.5		QUARTZ PEAK NW
18	9:39:11	38.987	118.838	3.2	15.14	1.9	220	CD	1.8		BLACK BUTTE .
19	14:47:33	38.354	118.308		7.80**		137	AD	1.2		ASH MEADOWS
19	19:18:34	38.939	118.713	0.2	7.94	1.2	119	AC	1.1		BARE MTN
28	8:37:59	38.546	117.528	8.3	0.51	7.8	310	DD	2.3		REGIONAL
20	0:47:54		115.569	1.1	2.27•		165	CC	1.3		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
29	1:46:17		115.573	1.0	8.18	8.2	87	CC	1.5		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
29	8:24:28		118.008	8.3	6.17	1.1	124	AB	1.8		CANE SPRING
29	18:18:43		115.583	1.6	1.51	5.7	56	CO	1.4		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
29	18:32:27		115.569	1.2	1.79+		152	CC	1.1		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
21	14:54:45	37.431	114.982	1.4	0.47+		178	CC	1.3		DELAMAR NW
21	22:13:45		116.231	1.1	0.32+		189	CD	0.3		SKULL MTN
22	1:34:17		115.554		2.34.		151	CC	1.1		INDIAN SPRINGS SE
22	1:35:27		115.438		9.71		221	AD	9.4		DESERT HILLS SW
22	11:42:54		118.333	1.3	-0.23•		86	CC	1.2		DEAD HORSE FLAT
22	14:42:25		114.828	3.6	15.17	1.3	263	CD	1.9		DELAMAR 3 NE
23	1:14: 4	38.779	115.991	1.9	8.73+		227	CD	0.4		FRENCHMAN FLAT
23	9: 5:25		117.748	2.1	2.61	3.6	265	80	1.8		DRY MTH
25	17:35:58		116.369	1.4	2.14.		88	CC	1.7		DEAD HORSE FLAT
26	3:21:45		115.094	22,1	7.00+		202	DD	1.4		HAYFORD PEAK
28	7: 1:18		115.454	9.5	22.38	0.6	193	AB	1.4		BLACK HILLS NW
28	8:46:31		118.329		3.97		191	AD	9.8		STRIPED HILLS
30	12: 9:23	38.616	116.289		13.08		167	AD	0.5		LATHROP WELLS SE
38	19:45:27	37.316	115.831	8.8	5.45	2.5	288	80	1.0		ALAMO SE

	E - TIME (UTC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HOR1Z ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Kblg	QUADRANGLE
JAN	2 15: 3: 8	35.975	118.345	8.4	8.58	3.9	283	DD	2.5		· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	3 6: 3:57		115.503	0.8	1.80	3.7	218	BD	1.0		HEAVENS WELL
	3 16:18:44		115.676	23.6	14.30+		257	DD	2.4	、	BALD MIN
	3 16:19:36 3 18: 4:52		115.283	9.5	3.37	3.8	127	BC	2.6		OUAD. NOT LISTED
	4 7: 2:41		115.691 115.251	0.5 1.2	1.12 3.63	3.5 6.9	73 158	8C CC	1.4	~	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
		0,.0,,	113.231		5.05	•.•	156	CC	1,0		QUAD. NOT LISTED
	4 11:30:52		115.297	1.4	-0.01+		189	CD	1.3		***QUAD. NOT LISTED***
	5 6:34: 2		116.516	8.3	0.30	5.0	111	88	2.8		RYAN
	5		116.535 116.757	8.6 8.6	5.51 4.86	3.3	111	BC	1.1		RYAN
	6 2:21: 4		116.349	3.6	2.34	5.6	135 194	BC CD	1.7		SPRINGDALE DEAD HORSE FLAT
	6 6: 8:26		116,936	0.6	7.88	1.8	170	AD	1.6		SPRINGDALE
	6 28:49:45	38.389	117.283		0.00						••••
	9 5:26:35		117.283	2.2 4.6	2.98 8.68•	2.2	267 281	BD CD	2.4		SAN ANTONIA RANCH Haiwee Reservoir
	9 22:29:56		116.286	1.6	0.87+		181	CD	0.7		JACKASS FLATS
1			117.566	4.6	6.58+		236	CD	2.3		MAGRUDER MTN
1			114.654	5.1	7.88	2.0	289	DO	2.5		MUDDY PEAK
1	6 6:14:40	37.231	115.024	0.3	8.32	1.1	157	AC	2.7		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
2	3 4:41:12	37.148	117.387	6.2	18.28	8.5	110	AB	2.7		UBEHEBE CRATER
2			117.386	0.6	9.23	2.0	125	88	1.7		UBEHEBE CRATER
FEB 2			117.366	0.4	5.69	1.3	123	AC	1.7		UBEHEBE CRATER
FEB 1			115.187 117.203	3.1 9.6	1.88 4.18	2.3 8.8	229 272	CD DD	1.9		GASS PEAK NW
i			116.194	0.6	-0.07	8.9	67	BB	1.9		BAXTER SPRING MINE MTN
1	5 20: 8:47 6 20:12:18		117.259 114.268	1.7 5.4	5.86 -0.86	0.7	281	BD	2.8		SAN ANTONIA RANCH
2			115.658	3.3	7.88	8.7 2.1	258 259	DD CD	2.2		***REGIONAL*** Las vegas ne
2			114.839	4.6	8.28	4.0	304	CD	1.9		BOULDER CITY SE
2			115.876	9.1	5.86	2.4	241	DD	1.8		HAYFORD PEAK
2	8 3:23:54	37.189	114.781	1.1	5.68•		199	CD	1.9		DELAMAR 3 NE
MAR	2 15:26:24	37.185	117.846	6.7	5.29	2.2	223	BD	1.6		WAUCOBA SPRING
	3 23:14:32		115.052	2.4	5.55	4.5	182	80	1.8		ALAMO SE
	5 19:41:52		116.364	0.4	-1.14+		184	CC	1.5	~~~	LATHROP WELLS SE
1			116.917	8.3	6.50	8.8	51	AC	2.2		SPRINGDALE
i			116.369 115.558	6.4 0.3	0.81+ -0.92	8.9	186 87	CC	1.4		LATHROP WELLS SE Indian springs se
_											
2			116.178	0.9	4.78	2.6	87	88	1.6		TIPPIPAH SPRING
APR	9 11:19:45 2 19:48: 2		117.974 117.296	3.0 3.2	2.56 2.74	3.6 3.3	241 257	CD CD	1.8		NEW YORK BUTTE SAN ANTONIA RANCH
	3 6:53:43		117.239	6.8	2.56	6.1	257	DD	2.8		BAXTER SPRING
	3 10:43:58		116.465	2.1	6.64+		127	CC	1.7		QUARTZITE MTN
	5 16:34:17	36.042	117.748	7.9	2.58+		272	DD	2.0		COSO PEAK
	6 18:19:48	36.440	114.473	4.1	3.55	2.2	273	CD	2.2		***REGIONAL***
	7 23: 3:28		116.919	8.2	7.74	2.1	75	BC	2.8		SPRINGDALE
	8 4:38:32		116.914	0.3	5.63	1.7	79	AC	1.9		SPRINGDALE
	8 4:44:53		116.911	0.5	6.68	1.6	75	AC	2.8		SPRINGDALE
	9 13:36: 6 9 23:44:36		116.267 116.651	8.4 6.7	5.34 -1.12+	1.3	72 113				JACKASS FLATS Yucca flat
		37.002	110.031	• • •	-1.124		113		1.7		TOCCA FERT
	8 11:56:59		116.126	0.8	1.05	2.8	99	88	1.2		MINE MTN
	1 1:37:48		116.379	8.5	4.36	6.9	135	CC	1.6		LATHROP WELLS SW
	2 5:33: 9 2 8:15:24		116.841 116.233	0.3 0.5	5.33 6.33	1.3 8.6	127 61	AB AA	1.3		SPECTER RANGE SE Skull mtn
	3 28:21:28		116.233	0.5	4.49	6.7	135	AC	8.7		TOPOPAH SPRING NW
		37.596	115.634	1.3	0.10	0.8	112	88	2.1		TEMPIUTE MTN
_	9 4.40.40	** ***	440								14TUDOD WELL & C.
	7 1:42:18 7 2:15:25		116.374 115.636	8.6 1.0	-8.15+ 8.28	0.7	181 137	BC	1.5		LATHROP WELLS SE TEMPIUTE MTH
	7 5:31: 1		117.379		11.68		121	AD	0.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
	7 8:38:33	37.287	116.728	6.4	2.60+		89	CC	1.3		BLACK MTN SW
	9 2: 3:32		115.155		5.63		194	BD	1.1		ASH SPRINGS
2	0 12:29:56	36.944	117.633	8.8	2.64	2.3	221	80	1.5		DRY MIN
2	8 18: 7:24	37.658	115.651		7.00++		256	CD	1.0		TEMPIUTE MTN
	1 9: 3: 9		115.774	0.9	2.81	0.2	267	AD	8.9		MERCURY HE
	1 11:10:43		115.736	1.9	8.65	4.4	176	CC	1.1		TEMPIUTE MIN
	2 16: 2: 2 4 16:35: 5		117.406 116.141	6.5 6.4	5.49 1.42	1.2	169 72	AC AC	2.2		UBEHEBE CRATER Specter range NW
	4 16:33: 3		116.148	0.4	-8.23		71	CC	1.6		SPECIER RANGE NW
-											•

1981 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

			WAR 17		VERT	AZI				
DATE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HORIZ	DEPTH	ERROR					
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	MPIG	QUADRANGLE
400 05 45.00.		116.087	0.5	9.60+		86	cc	1.8		CANE SPRING
APR 25 15:28:4		116.141	0.4	5.22	2.1	43	BC	1.8		SPECTER RANGE NW
28 19:13:		116.254	2.4	16.07	3.2	191	BD	0.8		TOPOPAH SPRING
39 16:55:2		116.144		-0.01		172	AĐ	1.0		SPECTER RANGE NW
MAY 2 21:53:		117.334	0.4	7.07	1.5	111	AB	1.6		UBEHEBE CRATER GOLD POINT
3 14:47:	34 37.387	117.358	0.5	2.09	1.1	132	AB	1.8		COLD FOIRT
3 15:38:	12 38.647	116.349	1.3	7.88	1.6	178	BC	1.2		STRIPED HILLS
3 18:49:		117.357		7.00**		180	BD	1.0		GOLD POINT
3 16:56:		117.397		0.95		184	CD	1.2		COLD POINT SW
3 17: 6:		117.363	0.5	5.65	1.7	110 333	88	2.0		GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
3 17: 8:: 3 17:16::		117.322 117.313		1.92 5.69		178	AD AD	1.2		GOLD POINT
4 1:29:		115.702		7.80 • •		191	AD	1.7		QUARTZ PEAK NW
5 7:52:		117.379	1.8	5.47	5.7	121 283	CB CD	1.7		GOLD POINT SW
5 13:59: 5 14:34:		118.092 118.062	3.7 1.8	4.85 3.05	1.7	253	80	2.6		•••REGIONAL•••
5 29: 9:		117.352		2.54		143	AD	1.1		COLD POINT
5 29:38:	17 37.392	117.359		7.99**		181	DD	1.1		GOLD POINT
- 4.44										(1251525 ABATES
7 4:29: 7 14:48:		117.337 117.240	9.6 9.5	5.01 9.33•	3.0	156 215	BC CD	1.4		UBEHEBE CRATER Stonewall Pass
19 17:28:		117.415	9.8	7.66	2.1	149	BC	2.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
12 0:40:		117.322	2.0	6.16	2.6	261	BD	1.8		TRONA
12 11:55:		118.600	0.5	5.68	6.5	174	CC	1.3		THIRSTY CANYON HE
12 13:20:	36 37.929	117.445	9.8	2.68	2.3	182	BD	1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
18 18:46:	18 38.699	116.299	8.4	-8.23	0.3	115	AB	1.1		STRIPED HILLS
19 12:35:		116.266		4.77		251	AD	0.8		STRIPED HILLS
29 6:59:		116.021	5.1	2.74	9.6	226	DD	1.5		SPECTER RANGE SE
23 13:34:		118.221		7.88		22 6 265	AD	8.8 2.5		SKULL MTM HAIWEE RESERVOIR
23 18:59: 25 4:59:		117.848 117.934	3.5 2.7	8.90 5.97	1.4	285	CD	2.5		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
20 4.00.		*******	•	••••	•••		•••			
25 19:39:		117.818	7.6	8.38	3.2	268	DD	2.3		HAIWEE RESERVOIR
28 13:22:		117.286		2.71		132	AD	1.6		UBEHEBE CRATER
29 5:22: 29 9:13:		115.783 117.403	9.3 9.7	12.93 5.42	0.2 4.6	293 132	AD BC	1.9		INDIAN SPRINGS NW UBEHEBE CRATER
29 11: 7:		116.329		2.56		128	AD	1.0		STRIPED HILLS
30 6:15:		115.399	0.5	6.38	2.9	145	BC	2.5		CUTLER RESERVOIR
										AUT. FR B5655VA15
31 2:55: Jun 2 9:31:		115.377 117.112	8.6 8.9	2.45• 28.57	1.1	134 216	CC AD	2.5		CUTLER RESERVOIR MUD LAKE
3 13:19:		115.422	1.1	21.68	9.3	196	BD	1.3		DESERT HILLS NW
4 3: 0:		115.991	0.5	16.32	9.4	173	AC	1.9	~	MERCURY SW
4 11: 5:		118.283	0.3	0.56	0.3	75	AA	1.4		STRIPED HILLS
4 12:53:	41 37.346	115.414	0.7	3.13+		172	CC	2.9		CUTLER RESERVOIR
6 13: 5:	8 35.462	116.025	2.1	-0.09+		259	CD	1.1		MT SCHADER
8 15:39:		117.236		3.99		153	AD	0.8		BONNIE CLAIRE NW
7 18:24:		116.279	38.4	4.39+		285	DD	9.8		STRIPED HILLS
8 14:44:		116.962 117.246	9.5 9.3	-9.31 8.67	0.4 3.1	148	AD BD	1.3		BULLFROG Emigrant Canyon
10 7:31: 10 19:52:		117.408	1.3	7.98	7.1	131				UBEHEBE CRATER
					• • •					
11 0:30:		117.399		5.28	9.2	125	AD	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
11 18: 0:		115.898	2.1	18.48	1.7	254	BD	2.8		QUAD. NOT LISTED
13 17:47: 15 17:57:		116.392 116.276	1.5 8.4	4.04 6.09	7.4 0.7	114 73	CC AA	1.1		DEAD HORSE FLAT Striped Hills
16 5:25:		116.255	0.4	3.81	1.1	91	AB	1.0		JACKASS FLATS
17 1:46:		116.250	0.3	0.98	0.7	77	AA	0.6		JACKASS FLATS
		446 55-		• • •	• •					IAONACE ELAS
17 3:28:		116.252 116.262	0.2 0.3	2.11 9.59	0.4	90 184	AA, EA	1.9		JACKASS FLATS Striped Hills
17 9: 4: 18 15: 1:		117.159	0.5	0.46+		163	Ĉ	1.3		SCOTTYS JUNCTION SW
18 17: 9:		116.175	0.5	-0.53	9.7	77	88	1.7		MINE MTN
19 4:48:		115.398	0.4	3.45•		113	CC	1.2		DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
21 4:51:	35 37.911	116.141	1.7	-9.55	1.5	288	BD	1.0		TIPPIPAH SPRING
22 5:33:	42 36.849	117.478	1.9	5.60	1.5	197	AD	1.2		TIN MTN
22 9:22:		115.630		3.93		15.1	BD	1.1		BALD MTN
22 18:31:	44 36.748	116.265	9.4	4.17	9.5	174	AD	9.6		STRIPED HILLS
23 15:17:		117.047		7.80+4		219	AD	9.7		BONNIE CLAIRE SE
24 1:11: 25 15:46:		118.455 115.887	9.4 9.5	9.22 9.54	2.4	99 136	BC BC	1.1		QUARTZITE MTM MT STIRLING
25 15:46:	3 30.4//	113.00/	V. Q	# . U T	7		-	=	-	

	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HORIZ	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I GAP			
(u	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	OUAL MG	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
JUN 26	7:15:11	-	116.259		7.88**		216	AD 8.4		LATHROP WELLS SE
27 27	13:44:33 20:43:21	36.834 36.862	116.198 116.197	1.1 0.6	6.29 4.17	1.2	236 61	8D 8.5 8A 1.2		SKULL MTN Skull mtn
27	21:58: 5		116.169		6.35		362	A 6.6		SPECTER RANGE NW
27	23:50:29		116.943	2.6	2.89	3.9	226	CD 1.1		SPRINGDALE
28	2:42: 9	36,968	115.983	6.4	4.98	5.4	148	CC 1.3		PLUTONIUM VALLEY
28	23:49: 3		117.708	2.4	7.88	1.5	262	80 1.6		COSO PEAK
29 29	7: 9:43		117.689	0.3	~0.30+ 6.93	3.3	72 213	CC 1.5		SCOTTYS JUNCTION MERCURY NE
30	22:17:50 0: 1: 1	36.722 36.584	115.786 116.186	2.7	7.89**	3.3	333	BD 8.3		SPECIER RANGE SW
36	6:29:37		115.662		7.86++		342	60 6.6		INDIAN SPRINGS
36	12: 6:59	36.613	116.328	9.6	7.43	8.8	281	8.9 QA		LATHROP WELLS SE
JUL 3	10:31:52	37.148	116.598	0.3	8.62	4.2	103	BC 1.5		THIRSTY CANYON NE
4	0: 4:46		116.298	0.3	2.86	1.6	76	AC 1.7		DEAD HORSE FLAT
4	5: 2:29		116.946	6.7	9.66	3.1	118	BC 1.8		SPRINGDALE
	5:31:55 5:53:24		116.787 116.937	5.4 0.5	34.52 1.93	6.9 2.2	212 121	BC 0.6	~~~	THIRSTY CANYON NW SPRINGDALE
. 4	11:25:38		116.916	1.7	8.66+		63	CC 8.8		SPRINGDALE
5	16:18:44	36.610	115.756	6.3	-8.33+		118	CC 1.1		MERCURY SE
\$	17:36:14		115.423	1.5	8.23+		272	CD 1.7		BLUE DIAMOND
12	2:42:31		116.943	0.3	7.88	2.4	128	BC 1.1		SPRINGDALE
14	15:47:36		117.407	0.4	5.99	2.1	129	BC 1.5		UBEHEBE CRATER
14 15	17: 8:49		117.495 116.666	0.3 0.2	6.84 14.59	0.8 0.9	111	AC 1.5 AB 1.8		UBEHEBE CRATER BIG DUNE
	0.00.00									D. C. D. W. C.
15 15	2:23:31 4:37:16		116.681 116.687	6.9 8.2	2.45• 11.83	8.7	235 128	CD 1.6 AB 1.5		BIG DUNE BIG DUNE
15	5:12:31		116.611	8.5	8.53	2.5	128	6C 6.9		BIG DUNE
16	15:11:34		117.703	~~~	11.67		167	AD 1.2		MAGRUDER MTN
16	15:15: 4		116.033	1.1	~8.56•		232	CD 2.8		YUCCA FLAT
18	21:22: 8	35.813	117.901	11.6	7.00	4.9	289	DD 1.6		LITTLE LAKE
21 22	15:36:30		116.863 115.862	0.4 0.3	-0.16+ 2.86	8.7	124 154	CC 8.9 AC 8.6		CAMP DESERT ROCK PAPOOSE LAKE NE
22	4: 7:59		116.989	0.3	6.61	2.0	87	AC 1.6		SPRINGDALE
24	12: 2:28		117.697	8.8	1.89	3.2	132	BC 2.3		MAGRUDER MTN
24	28:47:59		116.068	8.9	8.23+		124	CC 1.0		CAMP DESERT ROCK
27	10:45:31	36.705	115.850	2.3	0.19+		271	CD 8.7		MERCURY NE
27	20:20:32		115.535	1.7	5.04	6.1	122	CC 1.3		CHARLESTON PEAK
28	0: 3:56 7:49:18		116.286 115.949	2.1 8.8	14.98 5.46	6.0 1.2	269 149	CD 8.7		QUARTZITE MTN MERCURY
28 AUG 1	4:26:41		116.285	0.4	5.83	8.8	78	8,8 AA		STRIPED HILLS
2	12:37:35	37.879	115.988	8.6	4.19+		146	CC 8.6		PAIUTE RIDGE
2	21:52: 2	37.222	117.319		8.12		136	AD 1.0		UBEHEBE CRATER
5	16:56:11	35.346	116.602	11.1	7.08	3.8	290	DD 2.2		***REGIONAL***
6	11:25:31		116.179	0.5	4.89	2.8	141	BC 0.9		SKULL MTN
6 7	18:57:48 9:39:48		116.255 116.323	1.5 8.3	5.114		228 132	CD 1.3		STRIPED HILLS AMMONIA TANKS
-	18:57:49		116.329		8.47		316	AD 1.2		STRIPED HILLS
13	28:31:56	37.224	116.962		11.79		244	AD 1.7		SPRINGDALE
16	8:16: 9	36.718	116.325	6.8	2.68	1.4	111	AB	8.2	STRIPED HILLS
16	11:24: 9		116.388		8.86		175	AD 1.3		ASH MEADOWS
23	2: 9:17		116.941	0.3	6.37	2.5	9.5	8C 1.5		SPRINGDALE ***REGIONAL***
25 26	18:43:36 4:10:21		117.102 117.326	2.2 2.9	4.87 1.53	1.4	284 172	BD 2.8 CD -0.1		MARBLE CANYON
26	5:18:35		116.053	8.3	-0.44•		143	CC 0.9		CAMP DESERT ROCK
26	16:16: 6	36.384	117.566	8.1	24.62+		250	DD 1.3		DARWIN
26	16:37:40		116.248	1.7	6.53	4.9	87	88 1.0		SPECTER RANGE NW
27	9:30:18	37.245	115.922	1.0	5.31	3.6	157	BC -0.2		JANGLE RIDGE
SEP 1	6: 3:19		115.651	0.4	7.88**	2.3	249 156	CD 1.4		TEMPIUTE MTN Mount Jackson
1 7	16:19:36 3:51:5		117.338 115.023	2.1	3.62	3.2	283	BO 1.3		ALAMO SE
			117.665	4.2	4.68	3.6	278	CD 2.6		***REGIONAL***
9 12	18:46:11		116.275		39.68		172	BD	0.2	JACKASS FLATS
12	21:23:3	5 35.995	116.768	4.9	14.27	5.3	206	CD 2.3		WINGATE WASH
15	4:56:41		116.385	0.2	5.34	1.6	116	AC B.C		TIMBER MTN TIMBER MTN
15 15	6:17:2: 6:44:5		116.384 116.388	8.3 8.2	7.64 4.94	2.1	187	BC 0.7		TIMBER MTN
	U. 77.0						-			

1981 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

	•									
			HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DATE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR					
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	MDIG	QUADRANGLE
SEP 15 7:52:41	37.815	116.385	0.3	2.79	9.7	117	AC	0.5		TIMBER MTM
16 4:15:5	37.911	116.388	9.2	4.09	2.8	105	80	0.7		TIMBER MIN
16 11: 8:2	3 37.814	118.391	8.5	7.51	3.1	160	BC	0.6		TIMBER MIN
21 4:59:2	5 37.814	116.379	0.3	5.97	1.5	117	AC	1.8		TIMBER MTM
21 5:16:11	8 37.016	116.384	8.8	4.56	3.7	224	BQ		8.2	TIMBER MTN
23 9:35:4	9 37.189	117.977	0.3	8.28	1.0	121	AB	9.9		BONNIE CLAIRE SE
24 2:24:4	3 37.223	118.989		28.23		286	AD	8.5		SPRINGDALE
24 2:35:5	5 37.194	116.978	9.4	5.82	4.5	88	BC	1.3		SPRINGDALE
25 17:59:4	4 37.885	118.925	6.9	1.24.		253	DD	1.4		CACTUS PEAK
26 19:48:5	3 35.699	115.625	4.2	-0.38+		282	CD	0.9		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
28 17:32:3	6 37.782	117.402	9.9	7.99	1.8	183	80	1.5		SPLIT MTN
28 17:48:3	1 37.711	117.399	9.9	8.44	1.1	177	BC	1.4		SPLIT MTN
28 18:18:5	9 37.782	117.385	2.8	6.12	2.4	229	CD	1.4		SPLIT MTN
OCT 5 20:17:3	1 37.134	116.213	0.3	4.34	2.2	76	BC		2.9	RAINIER MESA
5 20:42:	7 37.147	118.214	0.2	5.76	9.8	124	AC		8.6	RAINIER MESA
5 21:12:	4 37.143	116.215	9.2	4.62	1.9	121	AC	8.6	9.9	RAINIER MESA
5 21:31:2	0 37.144	115.218	9.2	5.29	8.7	121	AC		9.9	RAINIER MESA
6 1:24:	4 37.185	117.309	21.5	7.00•		214	DD	9.8		UBEHEBE CRATER
										,
6 5:42:3	1 36.807	115.942		2.94		318	CD	1.8		FRENCHMAN FLAT
6 21:24:		115.215		7.88++		246	AD	1.2		HAYFORD PEAK
7 2:28:1		117.338	0.8	5.86	2.8	198	BD	9.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
	3 37.101	115.162	0.9	4.21	2.7	158	BC	0.2	_~-	TIPPIPAH SPRING
8 12:19:2		113.255		2.99		336	AD	1.8		***REGIONAL***
8 16:48:5		118.717	88.4	7.00.		350	DD	9.8		RYAN
9 2:27:3	9 36.784	115.984	0.6	11.27	9.8	237	AD	0.3		FRENCHMAN FLAT
9 3:27:		114.999	2.7	13.22	0.9	276	CD	1.0		FRENCHMAN MIN
9 15:11:5		114.731	1.1	19.61	1.2	248	50	9.5		ELGIN SW
	3 37.376	115.691	84.6	2.01+		197	DC	8.3		BALD MTH
10 12:21:5		117.478	52.5	1.94+		288	DD	0.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
	4 37.061	116.951	0.3	8.27	1.5	142	AC	2.6		SPRINGDALE
13 14.47.3	· 57.001	110.201	•.•	0.2.			7.0			
13 14:51:5	8 37.864	116.947	8.4	4.73	2.1	94	BC	1.1		SPRINGDALE
13 14:56:1		116.945	9.4	0.45	8.7	94	ĀČ	1.9		SPRINGDALE
	6 37.967	116.958	0.3	-0.11	0.5	95		0.7		SPRINGDALE
13 19:51:1		116.951	9.2	6.27	9.7	45	AB	2.2		SPRINGDALE
14 2:33:1		115.948	8.4	3.88	2.5	147	BC	9.4	_~-	SPRINGDALE
14 3:11:4		116.951	8.3	-0.73	9.5	94	AC	9.7		SPRINGDALE
		*******	•.•		•••			• • •		•
14 4:31:5	9 37.064	116.951	0.3	5.88	1.2	63	AC	1.5		SPRINGDALE
	7 37.059	118.951	0.2	5.59	0.9	62	AC	1.9		SPRINGDALE
	8 37.965	116.951	9.2	0.92	9.4	83	AC	1.9		SPRINGDALE
14 12:28:4		116.958	9.2	6.98	0.9	118	AB	1.0		SPRINGDALE
14 15:51:3		116.951	9.2	4.45	1.2	63	AC			SPRINGDALE
14 21:57:		115.769	60.6	7.88+		322		-0.2		MERCURY NE
14 22:23:1	8 37.054	116.955	8.3	5.47	8.9	72	AB	1.1		SPRINGDALE
	9 36.442	118.493		7.00		256	AD		0.2	ASH MEADOWS
	8 37.995	118.972	9.2	5.25	.9	198		9.8		SPRINGDALE
15 2:23:4		118.945	8.4	9.86	2.9	59		1.6		SPRINGDALE
15 4:21:		116.955	0.3	9.28	0.9	64	AB.	2.5		SPRINGDALE
	5 37.862	116.959	0.3	15.78	1.2	109				SPRINGDALE
								-		
15 7:22:5	8 37.869	115.949	8.3	8.11	9.8	64	AB	1.1		SPRINGDALE
15 18:43:1		116.955	9.2	5.17	9.7	52	AC	1.3		SPRINGDALE
	3 38.904	116.158	72.6	2.03+		220	DD	9.2		MINE MIN
	6 36.612	116.855	64.6	7.80+		345	DD	0.1		CHLORIDE CLIFF
16 1:47:4		116.960	8.2	9.98	9.4	66	AC	1.1		SPRINGDALE
16 2:33:4		115.821	8.4	9.25	1.3	133	AB	8.3		MERCURY SE
2.33.4			V. 4		.,,			•••		
18 5: 9:1	3 37.947	116.941	9.3	-9.19	9.3	143	AC	1.8		SPRINGDALE
16 13:37:3		116.583	1.6	2.91	5.4	264	ĈD	1.9		STONE CABIN VALLEY
17 1:12:		116.952	9.4	7.58	1.0	88	88	0.8		SPRINGDALE
17 17:59:5		116.937	0.3	8.28	9.9	78	AB	1.3		FURNACE CREEK
17 21:16:		116.954	0.6	13.23	1.4	84	BA	1.0		FURNACE CREEK
18 9:51:3		116.956	0.2	11.04	1.6	84	AÇ	0.8		SPRINGDALE
			J					- • •		- *
19 0:18:	9 35.615	116.256	9.3	5.87	9.9	159	AC	0.5		LATHROP WELLS SE
19 1:43:4		116.953	9.3	11.41	1.7	93	AC			SPRINGDALE
19 18:34:4		116.317	9.3	5.98	0.9	74	AB			DEAD HORSE FLAT
19 22:42:3		115.051	45.4	7.00+		268		-0.5		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SE
19 23:30:3		116.401	82.7	3.00+		146		-0.5		TOPOPAH SPRING NW
20 0:53:		116.653	1.3	-0.91	1.1	268		2.3		REGIONAL
0.33.										· **== : ::::: * ' ' '

				HORIZ		VERT ERROR	AZ I Gap				
DATE -		LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	(KM)	DEPTH (KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mbla	QUADRANGLE
(UT	c)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(v m)	(~~)	()	(0,00)			•	
OCT 28	5:12:45	37.041	115.172	8.4	5.01	2.4	147	BC	2.0		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
20	9:28:53		115.168	6.4	5.30	2.7	148	BC	1.2		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
	22:16:19		116.333	99.9	16.61+		348	DD	0.7	~~~	***REGIONAL***
	19:18: 4	37.864	116.946	8.4	2.85	1.2	94	AC	1.0		SPRINGDALE ***REGIONAL***
22	23:35:29		118.194	1.8	2.61	1.1	309	BD BC	1.8		FOSSIL PEAK
23	6:16:45	37.786	115.149	8.6	5.47	2.3	127	ВС	0.0		TOSSIE TEAR
			116.953	0.2	8.65	8.6	120	AB	0.9		SPRINGDALE
24	1:45:14		115.517		12.77		312	AD		9.1	INDIAN SPRINGS SE
24 24	16:29:11		115.533	8.2	-8.81	5.7	99	CD	1.2		WORTHINGTON MTNS
	16:56:15		116.287	0.6	9.23	0.5	249	AD	0.1		STRIPED HILLS
	21:34:46		116.949	0.3	6.58	8.9	94	AB	2.0		SPRINGDALE
25	22:18:31	37.000	117.565	0.5	7.87	2.1	176	BC	0.7		LAST CHANCE RANGE
					8.84*		164	CB		6.2	SPECTER RANGE NW
26	1:25: 8		116.192 116.234	6.3 6.7	3.82	1.7	163	AB	0.1		SKULL MTN
26	4:50:29		116.234		7.60 **		289		-0.1		MELLAN
	15:18:15 15:23:26		115.632		2.09		173	AD	0.0		TEMPILIE MIN
27	8:24:14		116.944	8.Z	6.93	1.8	84	AB	1.8		SPRINGDALE
27	0:27: 4		115.811	48.8	11.84*		184	08	1.0		MERCURY NE
							.70	BD	6.3		QUARTZ PEAK SW
27	0:31:18		115.697	8.5	2.07 5.97+	3.3	179 266	CD	1.4		COSO PEAK
27	3:16: 8		117.626 116.537	8.7	7.00**		190		-0.4		MELLAN
27	15:24:14		116.193	8.3	8.11	8.7	148	AC	0.2		MINE MTN
28 28	15: 6:47		117.069		7.00		294	AD	0.8		MUD LAKE
29	1:47:42	·	116.163	8.5	8.43	8.6	120	AB	8.4		SKULL MTN
	• • • • • •										SPECTER RANGE SW
29	13:50:66		116.218	8.4	-8.48	0.6	78	AC AD	0.3 1.6		TIMBER MIN PASS WEST
. 29	17:49:34		115.185	6.6	18.24	1.3	237 184	AD	0.7		TIPPIPAH SPRING
36	6:42:66		116.221 117.586	8.9 8.4	7.12 7.77	6.6	92	AB	1.2		MAGRUDER MIN
36	12:27:56		117.087		7.08++		358	DD	8.7		GRAPEVINE PEAK
30 NOV 2	15:19:14 5:50:36		117.063	4.6	17.10	2.2	277	CD	1.7		MANLY PEAK
NOV 2	0.00.00	•				_					AACA BEAK
5	1:39:42		117.698	0.5	5.99	6.5	266	AD	1.5		COSO PEAK Topopah Spring NW
5	2:11:47		116.484	3.7	26.88	1.4 0.7	313 265	ÇD AD	0.7 8.7		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
5	8:42:50		115.676	0.7 1.2	7.17 5.23	1.8	268	BD	1.8		REGIONAL
6	6:52:30		118.029 117.337	0.3	9.54	1.1	113	AB	8.6		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 7	21:12:2		117.917	8.5	13.50	0.6	245	AD	1.5		KEELER
•	10.20.1	• •••••									
8	6: 9:4	3 36.335	115.958	8.2	4.51	3.7	123	8C	1.1		MT STIRLING SPRINGDALE
8	14:42:		116.954	8.4	2.69	1.1	85 84	AC BA	8.9		TIPPIPAH SPRING
9	8:24:5		116.216	0.7 8.5	4.39 8.47	1.6	160	BB	1.1		BONNIE CLAIRE SE
9	3:34:3 15:48:1		117.062 118.603	1.6	2.64	4.5	262	CD	2.1		***REGIONAL***
9 16	15:45:3		115.851	1.8	-0.65	8.9	211	CD	1.4		ALAMO SE
											SPRINGDALE
16	23:42:2		116.952	8.3	4.89	1.3	64 64	BC BC			SPRINGDALE
11	1:34:1		116.952	8.2 8.3	8.27 -8.38	2.5 8.5	193				TIPPIPAH SPRING
11	6:49:1		116.178 116.879	9.6	2.42	7.5	106				YUCCA FLAT
11	20:15:5 20:24:3		116.836	8.4	-8.22+		173		8.6		YUCCA FLAT
- 11	26:37:1		116.876	6.3	4.27	6.1	167	CC	1.3		YUCCA FLAT
							99	88	0.4		OAK SPRING BUTTE
11	21:29:5		116.619	0.3	4.33	2.9					YUCCA FLAT
12	2:24:4		116.074	8.3 8.5	-0.98 -1.11+	9.2					MOUNT JACKSON
12			117.333 116.686	6.3	4.87	1.7					YUCCA FLAT
12 13		-	117.486	0.5	7.95	8.7			9.7		TIN MTN
13			116.365	4.9	29.87	3.0	287	CD	9.6		TOPOPAH SPRING
,,				_							DELAMAR 3 NE .
13			114.776	8.6	11.45	2.6					LATHROP WELLS SW
14			116.410	8.3		1.3					LATHROP WELLS SW
14				0.3 0.4	_	1.5			9.5	·	FOSSIL PEAK
14				1.3	7	8.8		80	1.4		CALIENTE
14						•	- 321	I AC	1.		CALIENTE
17									.	- 8.1	HIKO
15	4:33:	55 37.564				1.1					SPRINGDALE
15	14:30:	28 37.062	116.953			1.4					CALIENTE
16						2.0					CALIENTE
17		8 37.518 16 37.228				1.1		5 81	9.º	9	DELAMAR 3 NE
18 18							179	9 B1	C 8.	B	DESERT HILLS NW
10											

				HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
NOV 19	18:18:44	37.396	115.077	0.4	8.84	1.7	178	AC	1.9		ALAMO SE
19			116.603	0.4	15.33	1.1	155	AC	9.9		BIG DUNE
19			118.949	0.2	1.41	0.8	82	AC	2.5		SPRINGDALE
19			118.953	9.3	9.74	1.7	69	AB	1.2		SPRINGDALE
19			116.951	0.3	9.15	9.8	68	AB	1.8		SPRINGDALE
19	22: 1:55	37.869	116.955	8.2	5.59	8.8	58	AB	1.4		SPRINGDALE
19	23: 1:43	37.060	116.959	0.3	6.92	9.9	67	A8	0.8		SPRINGDALE
28			115.817	8.3	8.59	1.1	135	AC	9.7		MERCURY SE
20	4:10:51	36.197	115.407	1.3	8.73+		238	CD	0.5		LA MADRE MTN
29			115.949	0.3	1.57	1.1	194	AC	9.7		HIKO NE
29 29			114.548 116.954	1.3 9.3	7.82 4.68	1.4	294 63	BD AC	1.4		BENNETT PASS Springdale
24	3 : 0: 4	37.664	110.034	•.5	7.00		•	~~	•••		21 H I H V V C C
21	1:59:56	38.444	117.018	8.8	18.35	1.6	112	AB	0.8		EMIGRANT CANYON
21			116.954	9.2	9.06	9.3	63	AC	9.8		SPRINGDALE
21			116.959	9.2	5.28 1.78	1.6 9.8	84 245	BC AD	1.8		SPRINGDALE CHOKECHERRY MTN
21 21			114.658 116.952	0.5 0.2	5.61	9.9	83	AC	1.2		SPRINGDALE '
22			117.523	9.7	1.97	2.2	177	BC	9.5		LAST CHANCE RANGE
								_			
22			115.491	9.5	11.67	2.2	143	BC	8.9		DESERT HILLS NW
22			116.327 115.901	9.4	2.54 5.39	0.5	129 143	AB AC	0.4		STRIPED HILLS Groom mine SW
22 23			118.950	0.5 8.2	1.35	1.6 9.7	63	AC	1.1		SPRINGDALE
23			116.959	9.2	5.05	1.0	62	AC	1.0		SPRINGDALE
23			117.778	0.8	1.88+		222	CD	1.0		WAUCOBA WASH
23			115.563 115.567	8.3 8.7	9.38 2.66	8.4 6.0	86 154	AC CC	1.3		GROOM RANGE SE Groom range se
23 23			117.893	1.3	11.66	5.8	253	CD	1.3		NEW YORK BUTTE
23			118.360	0.3	8.65	9.8	99	AB	8.4		BUCKBOARD MESA
23	19:10:14	37.966	118.948	8.1	0.63	9.2	95	AC	8.7		SPRINGDALE
23	3 23:29:51	37.236	115.009	8.8	4.78	2.7	214	8 D	1.9		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
24	12:14:56	37.836	114.549	1.4	11.60	6.5	293	CD	1.6		BENNETT PASS
24			116.949	9.3	4.32	1.5	63	AC	9.9		SPRINGDALE
25			116.951	9.2	4.83	1.1	63	AC	1.4		SPRINGDALE
26			117.800	9.2	4.85	1.0	72	AB	1.9		MAGRUDER MTN
28 29			114.905 118.129	0.5 0.2	1.89 12.03	1.2 3.7	152	AC AA	9.9 9.5		PAHROC SPRING Cane Spring
41	18:11:21	30.704	110.128	₩.2	12.03	•.,	70	~~	•.5		CARE STRING
36	16:39:56	36.498	116.387	8.2	9.74	8.6	62	AB	1.1		ASH MEADOWS
31			117.198	0.5	4.55	1.1	195	AD	8.7		ENIGRANT CANYON
DEC			116.437	9.3 9.2	-8.138L 5.39	0.3 1.3	141 52	AC AC	9.8		TOPOPAH SPRING SW SPRINGDALE
	2		118.953 118.952	8.2	4.92	1.1	83	BC	1.3		SPRINGDALE
	7:22:4		115.882	9.3	7.55	1.0	71	AC	1.1		WHITE BLOTCH SPRINGS
	5 13:43:31		115.869	0.3	7.52	1.3	78	AC	1.9		WHITE BLOTCH SPRINGS WHITE RIVER NARROWS
	7 2:51:50 7 20:58:5		115.192 116.227	0.5 0.5	2.12 5.37	1.5	138 128	AC AB	9.5		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	8 8:24:4		116.379	9.5	8.24	1.2	103	AB	9.4		BUCKBOARD MESA
i	8 12:34:50		115.065	8.4	1.92	1.0	99	AC	0.7		HIKO NE
1	9 15:52:4	2 36.545	117.814	1.4	5.95•		244	CD	1.6		NEW YORK BUTTE
	9 23:21:1	7 36.836	116.492	2.4	27.3181	1.7	125	88	8.9		TOPOPAH SPRING SW
1:			115.335	0.3	19.75	1.5	111	AC	9.9		HANCOCK SUMMIT
13			116.126	9.7	6.84	3.8	111	BC	9.3		SPECTER RANGE NW
1	8 2:25:1	9 37.075	116.148	1.4	20.80	0.6	304	80	0.0		TIPPIPAH SPRING
11			118.956	9.2	4.67	0.7	62	AC	1.3		SPRINGDALE
1	1 4: 4:3	8 37.869	116.951	0.5	4.74	2.0	64	BC	1.0		SPRINGDALE
1:	2 8:19:4	9 36.830	116.635	9.3	-1.19BL	9.4	129	AB	1.8		BARE MIN
i.			117.956	4.9	9.29	1.5	282	CD	2.9		QUAD. NOT LISTED
	5 23:17:3	8 37.145	116.938	8.5	5.60	3.3	77	CC	1.1		SPRINGDALE
1			116.114	9.5	0.12+		129	CC	2.9		YUCCA FLAT
1			115.328	9.4	14.50 -0.25	1.5 0.5	110 116	A 5 8 8	1.0		HANCOCK SUMMIT Jackass Flats
1	9 14:13:3	6 36.764	116.287	0.5	-0.13	₩. 3		98	g. g		enennee Pula
1	9 18:21:5	1 37.321	115.446	8.9	16.52	2.7	146	BC	9.8		CUTLER RESERVOIR
1			116.444	0.3	5.21	2.0	59	BC	1.1		SILENT BUTTE
2	8 19: 3:5	9 36.725	115.698	8.7	7.89	2.4	85	CB	1.2		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
2			117.389	0.4	9.25 -8.27	1.1 8.7	113 154	BA DA	1.0	8.2	UBEHEBE CRATER Alamo se
2	2 16:44:5 2 19:11:5		115.932 115.699	9.4 8.5	-0.27 5.65	1.3	185	AD	9.7		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
4		,,,									

1961 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

DATE -		LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HORIZ	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	OHAL	Md	Mbla	QUADRANGLE
(8)	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(~~)	(~ ~ /	(0-0)	••••			
			116.463	9.1	-8.84BL	2.3	94	88	0.5		TOPOPAH SPRING SW
DEC 22	21:55: 1	36.821		0.4	6.29	1.4	75	BB	1.1		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
23	8:32:19	36.730	115.688	0.7	5.86	8.6	128	CC	1.0	~~~	CUTLER RESERVOIR
23	1: 8:32		115.488		-1.88	6.4	43	BA	1,3		AMMONIA TANKS
23	7:14:28	37.233	116.362	8.4			74	BB	0.8		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
23	22: 8:42	36.716	115.697	0.7	7.76	1.6 8.7	96	AB	0.5		OTOPOPAH SPRING SW
23	23: 6:49	36.819	116.465	0.4	-0.24BL	0.7	***	A.O	0.5		
		i					97	88	8.7		CAMP DESERT ROCK
25	9:44:41	36.719	116.825	8.5	4.09	2.1	114	88	0.9		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
25	15:22:22	36.714	115.782	1.2	8.92	1.7		AC	1.0		UBEHEBE CRATER
26	5:42:55	37.175	117.379	0.3	6.61	1.1	169				SILVER PEAK
26	6: 4:10		117.512	0.9	5.44	7.4	229	CD	1.8		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
26	17:29:44		115.708	8.2	8.68	8.4	73	AB	1.7		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
28	11:57:19		116.129	0.3	5.49	8.9	109	AB	1.1		SPECTER RANGE SW
20	,,,,,,,,	••••									
28	22:45:43	37.222	114.928	8.6	5.20	1.6	129	BC	2.1		DELAMAR 3 NW
29	0:41:25		114.886	0.4	5.67	1.8	161	AD	1.7		DELAMAR 3 NW
	9:16:13	T	114.873	0.4	8.99	8.7	218	AD	1.6		DELAMAR 3 NE
29			114.918	1.2	6.16	5.1	216	CD	1.4		DELAMAR 3 NW
29	10:42:52		114.908	8.6	2.81	2.1	214	BD	2.2		DELAMAR 3 NW
38	0: 5:13		114.966	6.3	11.25	1.2	177	AC	1.3		DELAMAR 3 NW
36	9:56:29	37.213	114.300	0.3	,,,,,		•••				
				0.7	10.39	1.6	225	AD	1.2		DELAMAR 3 NE
36	10:46:56		114.865		5.28	4.7	174	BC	1.7		DELAMAR 3 NW
36	16: 9:13		114.929	8.6		1.1	89	AB	1.1		ASH SPRINGS
39	16:44: 8		115.233	8.3	8.77		155	ÃC	1.6		ALAMO SE
31	3:18:34		115.020	6.3	7.18	1.4		BD	1.3		TRONA
31	13:18:24	35.986	117.269	0.8	6.61	4.0	265	טפ	1.3		Inviro

DA		- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)		QUAL	Mq	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
JAN	3	9:14:48	37.115	116.748	9.3	-1.14+		195	cc	1.1	1.1	THIRSTY CANYON SW
47.1	4	19:29:36	36.309	115.147	2.9	19.53	2.1	268	00	1.3	1.5	GASS PEAK SW
	5	4:25:42		115.470	0.4	9.86	1.3	98	AC	1.9	1.9	BLACK HILLS NW
	5		36.436	116.922	0.3	8.81	3.2	92	88	0.8	1.0	FURNACE CREEK
	5	5: 8: 1	36.635	115.478	1.8	8.89+		157	CC	1.2	1.4	BLACK HILLS NW
	5	5:38:22	37.282	117.724	1.6	1.93	3.1	182	80	1.8	1.1	MAGRUDER MTN
	5	15:31:37	36.741	115.472	9.5	11.26	3.9	197	BC	1.0	1.3	BLACK HILLS NW
	5	20: 9:57	35.729	115.469	2.0	2.60.		297	CD	1.0	1:3	BLACK HILLS NW
	8	1:47:22 8:32: 1		115.705	9.3	7.81	1.1	73	88	1.1	1.2	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	8	9: 0:18	36.461 37.973	115.848 115.229	0.4	11.32 -1.82	1.8	115 214	AC AD	8.4 8.8	1.1	MT STIRLING OREANA SPRING
	8	13:41: 1	37.280	117.729	1.1	8.97+		164	ĈĈ	1.3	1.5	MAGRUDER MIN
	_											
	8	22:23: 0 12:10:32		116.429 117.735		8.31		238	AD	9.6		TOPOPAH SPRING SW
	19	9:30:34		118.328	9.8 9.3	4.87 -9.45+	2.5	164 197	BC	1.4	1.5 1.5	MAGRUDER MTN ASH MEADOWS
	18	4:15:49		116.957	0.9	4.52	8.0	112	CC	0.9	1.8	OAK SPRING BUTTE
	11	6:37:14		116.760	1.6	-0.76+		241	CD	1.3	1.3	WINGATE WASH
	11	23:52:14	36.333	118.329	9.8	1.87	2.2	172	BC	1.2	1.1	ASH MEADOWS
	12	6:47:54	37.080	115.237	0.8	7.76	1.4	193	AD	1.3	1.4	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
	12	9:31:12	35.692	115.331	9.2	2.88+		316	00	1.5	1.6	ROACH LAKE
	12	20:43:11	37.156	116.938	8.2	2.36+		89	CC	1.1	1.3	SPRINGDALE
	13	5:53:33		116.286	0.3	6.48	0.7	168	AC	0.9	1.0	TOPOPAH SPRING
	14	4:22: 3 8:35:49		115.028 117.861	1.2 2.4	8.47 5.69+	3.8	294 266	BD CD	0.8 1.2	1.0	ALAMO SE Waucoba spring
			•		• • •	0.00			-	•••	,	WAGGOOK SPRING
	14	19:43:55		116.328	9.5	2.82•		92	CC	1.6	1.8	ASH MEADOWS
	14	21: 9:56 2:36:15		116.207 117.702	1.5 1.2	4.12 5.84•	3.8	141	8C	9.8	8.8	MINE MTN
	16	5:47:44		114.578	2.8	5.33	4.5	201 281	CD	1.2	1.3 1.6	LAST CHANCE RANGE Caliente
	16	11:56:30		115.964	9.6	11.87	1.8	143	AC	8.4	8.9	MERCURY
	17	5: 2:10	37.186	117.427	9.5	1.69	1.9	126	AC	0.9	1.0	UBEHEBE CRATER
	18	17:38: 8	37.831	115.141	9.3	-0.20	9.4	115	CB			66444M MAGU
	19	11:53:56		117.839	1.6	4.88	9.8	163	CC	1.1	1.1 1.2	SEAMAN WASH Piper Peak
	19	14:24:16		115.214		7.00		286	AD	9.5	9.8	ASH SPRINGS
	19	14:45:51		118.021	8.6	11.97	4.7	126	88	0.8		OAK SPRING BUTTE
	19 19	15:24: 4 23:44:43		116.937	8.7	-0.27	0.6	120	AB	1.3	1.2	OAK SPRING BUTTE
		23:44:43	37.151	116.940	0.2	6.39	9.6	69	AB	2.1	2.3	SPRINGDALE
	28	11: 8:18	37.151	116.939	0.2	5.46	1.1	69	AC	1.7	2.1	SPRINGDALE
	29	11:14: 3		118.939	9.3	5.87	2.8	79	AC	9.9	1.1	SPRINGDALE
	20 20	18:46:25 22:47:16		116.938	9.2	0.44	6.4	75	CC	1.7	1.7	SPRINGDALE
	21	2: 7:42		116.943 116.939	9.4 9.3	5.28 1.92	2.8 1.3	76 75	BC AC	1.2	1.3 1.1	SPRINGDALE SPRINGDALE
	21	11:40:12		116.949	8.2	8.54+		176	ĈĈ	1.0	1.1	SPRINGDALE
	21	15:34:41 23: 7:54		116.942	9.5	1.94	3.4	172	BÇ	1.1	1.0	SPRINGDALE
	23	0: 7:17		116.222 116.647	9.4 9.4	4.84 -8.318L	2.4 9.5	114 92	BC AB	9.3 1.1	1.0	SPECTER RANGE NW BARE MIN
	23	7:30:48		116.142	0.3	0.41	9.8	96	CB	1.1	1.0	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	23	11:45:41	37.322	116.378	8.4	5.47	7.5	113	CC	1.2	1.2	SILENT BUTTE
	24	15:43:59	37.402	117.941	0.7	9.78	8.3	231	AD	2.9	2.1	SOLDIER PASS
	24	15:48:45	37,419	117.931	1.1	8.26	0.8	233	80	1.3	1.6	SOLDIER PASS
	24	16:11:13		115.018	1.5	6.67	3.8	298	BD	1.5	1.5	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	24	16:24:38		117.894	1.6	5.64	1.3	139	BC	1.5	1.8	SOLDIER PASS
	24	18:48:21		117.921	1.0	4.58	9.9	228	80	2.1	2.3	SOLDIER PASS
	24 25	28: 6:44 2:38:46	37.425 37.397	117.892 117.937	0.9 1.2	5.84 5.79	1.0 9.8	129 234	8A 8D	1.5	1.7 2.5	SOLDIER PASS Soldier pass
		2.00.10		*******	• • •	V.,,	0.0	204		2.5	2.5	JULUIER FASS
	25	14:27:20		116.945	8.4	3.83•		183	CD	8.9	1.2	SPRINGDALE
	25	28:27:28		117.905	2.5	3.44	1.8	268	BD	1.6	1.4	SOLDIER PASS
	26 27	11:20: 5 7:39:34		117.871 114.408	0.5 2.2	1.83	1.1	166 273	AC BD	1.4	1.8 2.2	SOLDIER PASS •••REGIONAL•••
	27	8:29:58	37.191	114.515	1.1	8.64	9.5	248	80	2.0	2.1	VIGO NE
	29	13:16:14		115.098	9.5	-0.12+		73	CC	1.6	1.4	YUCCA FLAT
	20	14.47.40	17	114 045					^^			5571465415
	29 29	14:17:12 14:33:43		116.945 115.582	9.3 9.4	8.35+ 1.28	4.8	181 188	CC BC	1.4	1.1	SPRINGDALE Fallout Hills ne
	31	21: 6:43		117.557	0.5	5.25	1.4	96	AB	1.1	1.6	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	31	22:19:33	37.249	117.565	1.9	4.73	2.5	94	88	1.2	1.4	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	31	22:25:53		117.578	9.4	8.91	0.6	103	AB	0.3	1.8	LAST CHANCE RANGE
FEB	1	1:19:38	36.298	115.929	2.0	1.35	3.8	231	80	1.1	0.7	MT STIRLING

DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HOR IZ ERROR	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I GAP				
	ITC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)		QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
FEB 1	7: 0:50		117.567	0.3	8.07	0.6	109			1.6.	LAST CHANCE RANGE
1	9:16: 4		116.126	7.6	2.26	3.9	265	DD		1.3	STEWART VALLEY
2	2:51:58		117.567	6.4	5.74	0.9	90		1.4	1.5	MAGRUDER MTN
	18:13:31		117.969	1.3	6.33	1.1	218		1.6	1.6	SOLDIER PASS
3			116.993	8.3	8.82	1.6	154		1.3	1.5	FURNACE CREEK
4	10:24:13	37.190	117.870	0.5	6.93	1.1	214	AD	1.4	1.2	WAUCOBA SPRING
4 5	14:32: 6		117.725	6.7	8.46+ 5.62		168	CC		1.1	MAGRUDER MTN
5	21:25: 9	37.268	115.723	0.4		2.7	124	BC	1.2		INDIAN SPRINGS NW
6	16:39:29		114.686	6.3	11.86	4.9	213	DD		1.4	ELGIN SW
7	8:10:42		117.687 116.962	6.7	2.61	1.6	192	AD		2.0	SOLDIER PASS
ż	9:36:25		117.165	8.7 1.8	16.81 9.80	8.6 1.2	191 266	AD BD	1.8	2.6 1.8	BENNETTS WELL . Manly Peak
9	2:22:47	36.867	116.692	0.8	-0.38+		148	cc	8.4	e.7	BARE MIN
9	18:46:26		116.938	0.5	2.94	1.5	76	AC	1.1	1.2	SPRINGDALE
ğ	18:52:51		116.946	8.2	1.26	0.9	85	AC	0.8	1.0	SPRINGDALE
9	19:41:16		116.118		6.41		198	AD	6.7	1.2	STEWART VALLEY
9	20:18:42		116.188	8.5	6.54	2.1	91	80	8.6	1.8	CAMP DESERT ROCK
11	20:24:42		116.650	1.0	1.06BL	4.5	135	88	0.7	8.7	BARE MIN
11	23:43:58	37.741	115.046	e.1	9.43	8.4	126	AD	8.8	1.1	HIKO NE
12	17:14:12		116.301	6.3	-8.18+		59	CC		1.5	DEAD HORSE FLAT
12	18:33:19	37.226	116.452	0.3	-0.70+		123	CC	1.3	1.1	SCRUGHAM PEAK
12	18:51:19	37.229	116.472	6.2	3.00+		93	CC	1.4	1.1	SCRUGHAM PEAK
12	20:57:52	37.211	115.839		7.00++		193	AD	1.0	0.8	PAPOOSE LAKE NE
12	23:23:27	37.216	116.473	0.5	-1.89+		103	CC		1.5	SCRUGHAM PEAK
13	1:43:15		116.447	8.4	5.16	1.6	69		1.5	1.2	SCRUGHAM PEAK
13	2: 7:13		116.452	0.3	-0.26+		87		1.5	1.3	SCRUGHAM PEAK
13		37.225	116.459	0.3	-0.25	9.5	72	CC	1.4		SCRUGHAM PEAK
13	3:24:53		116.444	1.2	9.54	2.2	204		1.3		SILENT BUTTE
13	12:58:37		115.089	2.6	5.39	1.7	243		1.4	1.2	TIMBER MIN PASS NE
14	0:32:59	37.173	117.948	1.6	6.03	0.7	264	BD	1.5	2.7	WAUCOBA SPRING
14	3: 5:47		115.167	8.6	-8.86+		164		1.3	1.8	ALAMO SE
15	11: 2:33		117.603	5.6	1.54•		275	DD	1.8	1.3	DARWIN
15	20:55: 4		117.565	0.2	-0.82	4.6	74	BC	1.9	1.8	MAGRUDER MTN
16	0:11:33		117.562	0.3	-0.53	8.8	73	CC	1.3	1.7	MAGRUDER MTN
16	6:20:40	37.313	117.564	1.6	6.27+		115		1.4	1.5	MAGRUDER MTN
16	0:26:55	37.328	117.572	0.2	-0.43	5.3	76	cc	1.5	1.9	MAGRUDER MTN
16	1:27: 8		115.699	1.9	8.88+		198	CD	8.8	1.3	BALD MTN
16	5:23: 8		115.070	2.9	5.52	4.0	235		1.6	1.8	TIMBER MTN PASS EAST
16	6:23:54		117.844	0.6	5.93	2.6	232	BD	1.0	1.4	WAUCOBA SPRING
16	16:23:34		117.878	8.8	0.60+		209	CD	0.9	1.6	WAUCOBA SPRING
16	28:27: 4		116.324	0.5	-0.65•		165	CC	1.7	1.7	ASH MEADOWS
16	23: 4:51	37.316	117.648		3.00		116	AD	0.5		MAGRUDER MTH
17	9:53:42		117.568	0.2	8.59	4.4	75	BC	1.7	1.9	MAGRUDER MTN
18	5: 6: 7	35.754	117.723	2.5	6.84	8.8	282	BD	2.3	2.7	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
18	6:18: 2		115.824	0.5	7.00	8.3	141		0.9	1.2	MERCURY NE
18	8:58:45		116.106	8.2	-0.16	6.4	48		1.7	1.7	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	19:31:24		116.388		7.68++		242				TOPOPAH SPRING NW
16	19:52:42	37.325	117.541	6.9	-0.96+		79	ÇC	6.5	1.1	MAGRUDER MTN
18	21:15:40	36.692	115.518	2.7	4.68+		258	CD	1.3	1.1	HEAVENS WELL
19	0:35:53	37.267	117.847	8.6	6.83	8.9	287			1.2	WAUCOBA SPRING
19	1:24:57	35.659	117.766	1.5	5.33	1.8	289	BD	2.6	2.5	INYOKERN
19	1:56:26	36.677	115.681	1.3	-0.77	8.6	224	B D	8.5	0.5	MERCURY NE
19	2:24:48	36.392	115.785		14.87		168	AD	0.9		MT STIRLING
19	4:26:41	35.663	116.631	3.1	2.63.		275	CD	1.6	1.7	LEACH LAKE
20		36.868	116.252	0.4	4.67	1.0	96			1.1	JACKASS FLATS
28	1:46:59		115.758	6.8	-0.63	3.6	288				MERCURY NE
28	1:56:33		115.814	6.8	-8.79	8.8	135				MERCURY NE
	12:12:50		117.949	71.9	7.00•		296			1.5	HAIWEE RESERVOIR
26	16:18: 0		117.528	0.2	-0.14	5.3	95			1.3	MAGRUDER MTN
20	21:28:16	37.983	115.213	1.6	5.77	1.6	221	BD	6.6	1.2	OREANA SPRING
21	15:21:51		116.015	8.4	5.64	6.0	128			1.6	REVEILLE PEAK
	23:14:47		115.197		10.25		247			1.1	TIMBER MTN PASS WEST
	23:21:59		115.670	1.8	1.78	5.5	179	CD	1.0	1.8	ALAMO SE
23			116.242	0.2	2.92•		97			0.8	SPECTER RANGE SW
	14:57:39		116.119	9.3	2.47	0.9	86			1.1	CAMP DESERT ROCK CAMP DESERT ROCK
23	18:29: 9	30./85	116.117	8.5	5.99	2.8	168	BU	J. 5	J.,	AVML AFFELL HACK

			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•			
				HORIZ		VERT	AZI				1
DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		DEPTH	ERROR					
	JTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DES)	0444	14.4	454.5	QUADRANGLE
,,	,	(000. 7)	(064. 4)	(~-)	(~=)	(~=)	(DEG)	GOVE	M 4	Mbig	CUADRANGEE
FE8 23	22:53:38	38 018	115.177	2.5							-1
25					8.43	1.9	268	CD	1.9	2.0	SLOAN
	5:19:58	35.918	116.548	1.0	14.86	1.1	224	AD		2.3	CONFIDENCE HILLS
26	4:39:40		114.951	0.6	4.57	3.0	173	BÇ	1.1	1.9	WHEATGRASS SPRING
26	23:59:14		116.946	8.4	5.92	1.7	66	88	1.9	1.2	SPRINGDALE
27	20:40:37		116.015	0.8	5.60+		128	CC	1.3	1.1	REVEILLE PEAK
28	17:31:49	37.139	118.953	0.4	11.70	2.0	116	AB	1.0	1.0	SPRINGDALE
MAR 1	8: 9:22	35.649	117.756	3.6	8.35	1.4	286	CD	2.0	2.8	INYCKERN
2	18:52: 1	37.343	117.189	0.3	-0.13	9.4	87	AB	1.1	1.3	SCOTTYS JUNCTION SW
2	22:17:47	35.797	115.117	12.2	6.74	3.8	360	DD	1.5	1.5	SLOAN
3	4: 3: 7	37.552	118.198	1.6	0.41	5.3	286	CD	2.0		REGIONAL
4	2:44:38		117.777	0.9	9.34+		208	CD			WAUCOBA WASH
4	15: 6:54		117.735	0.5	8.13	1.2	116	AC	1.5	1.3	LIDA WASH
· ·			*********	•.•		•••		~~		1.5	FINA MYSU
5	22:21:28	36.344	114.987	3.4	4.09						
5		35.898				1.9	259	CD		1.6	DRY LAKE
8			117.074	5.5	2.82•		275	DĐ	1.2	1.4	MANLY PEAK
		37.978	116.179	0.4	2.91•		128		1.2		REVEILLE PEAK
7		38.874	118.932	0.8	0.30	4.8	236	BD	1.4	1.3	···REGIONAL···
7	8:42:12		117.783	0.9	5.62	2.3	197	5 D	1.3	1.5	DRY MIN
7	8:52:	37.780	115.035	9.7	9.15.		135	CC	1.5	1.5	WHITE RIVER NARROWS
7	21:44:36	35.689	117.765	7.7	7.39	2.4	305	DD	1.7	1.5	INYOKERN
7	22:29: 7	35.728	117.833	7.6	2.72	4.5	292	DD	1.0	2.3	INYOKERN
8	2:25: 7		118.455	0.3	1.19	1.6	83			1.2	SCRUGHAM PEAK
8		37.339	116.317	9.5	2.48+		130	CC	1.2	0.9	DEAD HORSE FLAT
8	5:18:23		117.731	3.1	7.76	1.0	281	CD	1.8	2.5	
8	7: 1:45		117.607	8.6						-	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
•	7, 1.45	33.545	117.007		11.70	3.5	291	DD	1.0		MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
8	44.44.48	78 446									
	14:41:45	35.645	117.731	2.2	9.51	9.6	286	80	3.7		RIDGECREST
8	19:32:38	37.113	117.968	0.6	3.86•		244	CD	0.9	1.1	WAUCOBA SPRING
8	21: 8:47		117.492	2.7	9.12	1.5	261	CD	9.8	1.1	TIN MTN
9	9:46:19	35.820	117.688	5.3	10.96	1.5	295	DD	1.3	1.5	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
9	12:26:28	35.823	117.792	3.8	7.59	1.6	284	CD	1.7	1.6	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
9	17: 8:32	36.627	118.282	1.1	5.58	2.4	142	BC	9.7	0.5	STRIPED HILLS
9	19:39:49	37.594	115.935		-0.66		189	DD	9.9		HIXO SE
9	21:29:39	35.747	117.748	2.5	7.60	9.5	283	CD	1.4	2.7	RIDGECREST
19	3:36:27	37.279	114.641	2.7	2.88+		251	CD		1.3	ELGIN SW
19	17:25:37	36.802	115.977	0.4	2.85+		112		1.5		FRENCHMAN FLAT
19	28:13:33	37.694	115.186		7.80		104	AD	1.0		FOSSIL PEAK
19	22:32:52	38.185	115.513	2.2	10.49	2.0	237	8D	i.7		
			113.313	4.4		2.0	237	90	1.7	1.9	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS
11	8:56:39	36.947	110 100								
ii	11:52: 7		118.196	1.9	8.07	0.7	283	ØD	1.4	1.2	REGIONAL
		37.249	114.509	2.0	0.67	1.1	269	BD	2.2		VIGO NE
11	23:59:53		116.974	0.8	5.05	4.4	129	BC	1.4	1.4	FURNACE CREEK
13	9:19: 1	38.267	115.892	1.3	3.89	2.8	215	BD	1.9	1.9	THE WALL SW
13	18:14:47	35.595	117.812	8.4	5.34	2.3	296	DD	2.0	2.1	INYOKERN
13	11: 9:52	36.505	116.579	9.3	4.40	2.5	106	BC	0.5	9.7	BIG DUNE
13	19:44: 7	36.789	115.188	9.3	1.86	1.9	138	AC	8.4	9.7	CAMP DESERT ROCK
13	22:17:53	37.422	118.318	3.2	9.63	8.9	282	CD	1.5	1.3	REGIONAL
14	0:14:59	36.685	116.111	1.7	3.09+		98	CC	9.8	0.7	CAMP DESERT ROCK
14	9:35:13	37.678	115.226	9.3	3.91	1.0	148	AC	1.2	1.4	FOSSIL PEAK
14	12:12:16		115.448		7.15		220	AD	1.0	1.2	BLACK HILLS NW
14	16:11:52	35.897	117.785	4.3	8.78	1.5	303		1.9	2.8	INYOKERN
					- · • •	•••					
14	18:31:55	35.799	117.797	7.2	7.52	3.1	286	DD	2.0	2.3	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	22:10:55		117.111		34.27	J. 1	219		1.5		
15	1:58:49		117.687	8.9	13.16						EMIGRANT CANYON
15	17: 2:18					2.4	293		1.7		MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
15			115.437	0.4	5.33	2.6	53	BC	1.9		DESERT HILLS NW
	17:33:48	36.705	118.458	9.3	4.60	1.4	73	AB	1.1		LATHROP WELLS NW
15	17:58: 9	37.231	115.444	0.5	1.26	1.9	180	AC	1.4	1.4	DESERT HILLS NW
		•• •							_		
15	20:42:53		117.988	0.3	5.89	2.8	117		1.8		STOVEPIPE WELLS
15	23:28:34		117.494	•.3	5.79	2.2	110	BC	1.6	1.8	UBEHEBE CRATER
16	7: 8:13		117.982	0.3	8.98	0.8	118	AC	2.6		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16	7:20: 6	36.595	117.977	●.3	1.35	1.3	110		1.4		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16	7:21:25		117.084	0.3	7.00	1.8	116		1.2		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16		38.581	117.086	8.4	8.67	2.1	117		1.4		STOVEPIPE WELLS
				•	•		. • •	- •	- • •		
16	8:47: 1	36.580	117.081	8.2	7.99	9.7	116	AC	2.5	1.3	STOVEPIPE WELLS
16	9: 1:47		117.075	1.1	-0.84+		114		1.1		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16		36.585	117.884	1.9	3.17.		115		9.7		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16	13:35:56		117.083	0.3	5.47	2.4	118		1.7		STOVEPIPE WELLS
16	13:44:57		117.073	0.3	-0.19	9.2	113		1.1		
17			117.052	B. 4	2.98+						STOVEPIPE WELLS
• •	20. 0.43	J4.J0J	117.002		4.700		115	CC	1.2	1.2	STOVEPIPE WELLS

1982 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

	- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)		OUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
MAR 18	16:38: 4	36.829	116.232	0.3	e.35+		49	СВ	1.2	1.4	SKULL MTN
16	12:17: 3		116.947	0.4	3.64+		91	CC	1.4	1.2	SPRINGDALE
18	16:13:21	36.726	115.698	0.5	-0.92.		122	CC	1.0	1.0	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	20:55:33		115.188		7.88**		163	DD	1.2	1.6	FOSSIL PEAK
19	0:33:48		115.381	8.4	2.48+		142	CC	1.6	1.7	DESERT HILLS NW
19	1:32:59	37.167	115.367	0.8	0.85+		130	cc	1.2	6.9	DESERT HILLS NE
19	3: 4: 1	37.412	117.168	8.5	0.33+		187	CD	1.1	1.1	STONEWALL PASS
19	3: 7:38	37.118	117.318	0.5	6.18+		164	CC	1.5	1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER
19	14:22:21	37.068	117.453	8.2	4.65	1.0	146	AC	1.1	1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER
19 28	15: 9:52 3:42:47	36.457 37.863	115.761	8.4	9.63	1.7	92	AC	1.1	1.0	MT STIRLING
28	9: 2:51	38.136	117.462 115.039	0.6 4.5	2.93+ 1.67	7.7	149 241	CC	1.2	1.0	UBEHEBE CRATER Timber mtn pass ne
				• • • •				•••	• • •		TIMOLA MIN FASS NE
21		36.644	117.382	8.4	7.52	8.7	183		1.6	1.9	MARBLE CANYON
21 21	10:27: 7 10:47:36		115.353	0.4	2.24+		51		1.3	1.8	MT IRISH
		37.144	116.937 116.942	0.3 0.3	2.74 5.48	1.8 2.5	75 76		1.5	1.5	SPRINGDALE Springdale
21	15:26:38	35.655	117.779	16.5	3.99	4.6	315		1.7		INYOKERN
21	22:19:44	37.140	116.939	0.3	0.99	8.1	188			1.5	SPRINGDALE
22	2:46:39	38.279	115.880			• .					
22	5:43:11	36.614	115.946	1.3 0.3	3.86 6.52	3.4 0.7	216 80		1.5	1.7	THE WALL SW MERCURY SW
	20: 1:32	36.974	116.325	8.2	8.88+		90		0.5		TOPOPAH SPRING
	19: 8:23	37.155	116.281	8.4	-0.66+		122		1.8	1.2	RAINIER MESA
25	3:21:35	36.506	115.631	11.9	13,64	6.3	254		1.7		HAYFORD PEAK
25	4:23:58	37.133	116.258	0.3	1.88	1.1	106	AC	1.0	0.9	AMMONIA TANKS
	19:37:27		115.229		17.72		226	AD	1.0	1.3	HIKO
	22: 3:24		115.606	5.5	14.84	1.9	297			1.5	CLARK MTN
	22:32:23	37.841	114.569	3.6	2.45		264		1.5		VIGO
30 31	18: 5:36 15:47:19	36.756 38.036	117.792 115.762	2.3 8.4	6.03+ -0.60+		249 175		1.4	1.7	WAUCOBA WASH Quinn Canyon Range
		36.726	116.232	0.7	7.60	8.7	67			1.5 1.3	SPECTER RANGE NW
2 2	8:13:26 13:57:28	36.726 36.739	116.239 116.239	0.2 0.2	7.98 7.61	8.5 8.6	66 59			1.3	SPECTER RANGE NW SPECTER RANGE NW
ŝ	2: 6:25		117.676	6.6	6.64	2.4	216		6.9	1.5	WAUCOBA SPRING
3	8:45:45	35.786	117.962	2.2	5.79	8.9	327		1.7		LITTLE LAKE
	10:31: 7	36.851	116.245	0.4	3.90	1.1	83		0.4	8.5	SKULL MTN
3	13:17:49	37.175	117.879	0.5	6.12	1.2	221	AD	1.7	1.8	WAUCOBA SPRING
3	17:13: 9	36.731	115.992	1.3	4.65	3.6	118	88	1.8	8.9	MERCURY
•		37.172	117.884	8.7	6.00	1.6	232			1.7	WAUCOBA SPRING
4	8:22:14 8:25:27		117.913	1.1	1.88	4.3	227			1.9	WAUCOBA SPRING
7	12:19:37	37.186	117.859 115.888	8.6 8.5	6.66 -0.65	1.4 8.7	212 158		1.7	1.5 1.9	WAUCOBA SPRING Alamo se
į.	18:27:26	37.176	117.878	6.5	6.69	1.2	217		1.4	1.4	WAUCOBA SPRING
4		** ***									
4 5	23: 8:11	37.712 35.863	115.053 117.748	8.4 5.8	7.37 6.41	1.4 3.6	117 387		1.3		HIKO NE
	14:38:23	37.852	116.146	9.3	-0.58	6.7	185		1.5	1.8	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON REVEILLE PEAK
6	15:13: 7	37.485	115.200	6.3	-0.18	8.4	88			1.4	ASH SPRINGS
-			117.863		14.32	1.8	232	80	0.8	0.9	WAUCOBA SPRING
9	5:21:18	36.653	116.402	8.4	8.63	0.7	61	BA	1.5	1.4	LATHROP WELLS NW
9	7: 6:29	37.028	116.195	8.2	4.48	6.4	83	AA	1.0	1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING
9		37.237	115.021	1.4	1.58	2.6	211		8.8		LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
18		35.749	117.751	1.6	5.82	8.9	361	80	1.4	1.8	INYOKERN
	18:48:54		116.178	6.3	4.28	1.2	98	AB		1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING
10 12	21:32: 4	37.573 3 7.773	116.045 115.312	6.5 0.9	4.05 3.02•	3.3	99 163	BC CD	1.8	1.0	BELTED PEAK ***QUAD. NOT LISTED***
					J. 04 T		.03	U			TTTUND. NOT LISTED.
	16:23:26		114.996	6.4	1.18	1.4	175	AC		1.0	DELAMAR NW
13 13	5: 5:31 9:20:22	36.943 37.734	117.770 115.623	6.7 6.4	16.54 6.79	1.5	228 133	AD AB	1.1	1.0	WAUCOBA WASH Hiko ne
14	20:10:13		116.619	1.3	1.76	3.5	277	8D	1.4	1.6	LEACH LAKE
15	1: 3:24		115.605	0.4	2.26	8.7	170	AC	1.6	1.6	ALAMO NE
15	4:40: 6	36.739	116.246	0.3	7.00	8.4	115	AB	6.9	6.6	SPECTER RANGE NW
15	18:54:28	37.034	116.193	0.6	3.83	1.1	215	AD	0.7	8.9	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	6:15:24		115.395	0.3	6.34	1.3	98	AC	1.7	1.8	DESERT HILLS NW
	11:54:11		116.186	0.3	4.63	0.4	118	AB	1.2		TIPPIPAH SPRING
		37.847	116.182	0.5	5.67	8.6	234	AD	1.1	1.0	TIPPIPAH SPRING
16 17	21:39:57 2:15:57		117.250 117.395	0.7 1.1	8.45 0.74	8.7 1.8	143 238	AC BD		1.4 0.7	GOLD POINT Marble Canyon
• •		~~· ~		•••	·., ·		-40			. .,	

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
Đ		- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	MPIG	QUADRANGLE
APR	17	14:52:48	37.028	118.182	0.3	4.49	9.6	87	AA	8.9	1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	17	18:48:33	37.924	116.023	9.5	4.30	3.5	119	BC	1.3	1.4	YUCCA FLAT
	17	19:44: 9	37.030	116.189	0.5	4.89	1.2	94	88	0.8	1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	19 19	13:52:13	35.722 36.669	117.776 117.452	1.5	5.81 0.56	1.6	302	BD	1.6	1.5	INYOKERN
	28	12:19:19	38.136	115.696	2.3 1.7	5.39	1.9 2.2	246 233	80 80	9.9	1.2 2.5	MARBLE CANYON CHERRY CREEK SUMMIT
	•				•••	0.00	• • •		-			CHERRY CREEK SOMMIT
	21	8:25:52	37.218	117.682	9.3	9.58	0.6	169	AC	1.4	1.8	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	21	23:14:15	37.318	117.846	1.3	14.58	1.0	288	80	1.4	1.3	SOLDIER PASS
	22 22	11:32:55 13:22:59	36.712	116.229	9.2	0.02	0.3	141	AC	8.9	0.8	SPECTER RANGE NW
	23	18:12: 3	37.949 37.113	116.238 116.505	8.8 9.4	2.93 9.18	9.7 2.8	241 89	AD BC	8.9 8.7	9.7 9.9	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	23	18:56:37	36.982	116.017	0.5	5.53	1.0	172	AC	1.2	9.9	THIRSTY CANYON SE Yucca Lake
											• • •	
	24	0:17:48	35.773	117.790	0.6	7.58	8.4	292	AD	2.2	2.8	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	24 24	13:13:24	37.958 38.128	117.788 115.748	9.3 9.8	0.47	9.5	245	AD	2.1	2.0	RHYOLITE RIDGE
	25	2:49:38	36.728	117.304	0.3	8.19 11.25	0.8 0.4	194 146	BD AC	1.5	1.8	CHERRY CREEK SUMMIT MARBLE CANYON
	25	3: 3:33	38.510	117.937	1.3	9.72	1.1	256	80	1.7	2.6	NEW YORK BUTTE
	25	3:45:21	36.580	117.077	0.4	13.88	1.0	115	AB	1.3	1.4	STOVEPIPE WELLS
	24	4.13.24	75 454									
	25 25	4:13:24 4:26:25	35.655 35.708	117.777 117.742	9.9 9.5	6.51 7.46	9.4 9.4	293 304	AD AD	2.8	3.4 1.9	INYOKERN Ridgecrest
	25	8: 1:21	37.627	114.818	8.8	-8.51	1.8	149	AC	8.9	8.9	PAHROC SPRING NE
	25	23:56:12	36.739	115.994	9.3	9.58	0.5	157	AC		0.5	MERCURY
	27	15:42:37	35.597	117.881	1.0	8.60	8.8	298	AD	2.3	3.3	INYOKERN
	27	17:34: 1	35.741	117.740	. 6	7.88	0.6	298	AD	2.3	2.4	RIDGECREST
	28	10:21:33	38,942	117.529	0.5	4.78	3.2	196	80	1.3	1.5	DRY MTN
	28	12: 8:38	38.819	115.140	1.0	-0.12	9.8	221	AD	1.2	1.7	TIMBER MIN PASS WEST
	28	17: 3:18	37.332	115.090	9.2	4.72	1.6	112	AC	1.0	1.2	OAK SPRING BUTTE
	28 28	19:41: 2 21:17:18	37.042	118.136	0.4	3.67	1.6	178	AC	1.1	0.9	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	28	22:58:26	38.337 37.202	114.899 115.061	1.4	5.05 15.24	3.2	263 338	DE CA	1.8	1.9	DRY LAKE
								550	~~	•••	1.4	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	28	23:23: 4	38.139	115.769	9.6	2.46	2.7	195	BD	1.3	1.5	QUINN CANYON RANGE
		23:35:29	38.132	115.751	0.5	4.97	3.9	219	BD	1.4	1.4	QUINN CANYON RANGE
MAY	29 1	4: 0: 1 1:12:41	36.874 37.161	118.777 116.198	0.3 9.3	9.19 7.89	0.9 8.7	49 193	AB AB	1.4	1.4	BULLFROG
	2	7:19:42	35.728	117.743	8.6	8.61	0.5	295	AD	2.3	1.3	RAINIER MESA RIDGECREST
	2	18: 2:23	38.198	117.929	0.5	3.39+		281	CD	1.5	1.5	HAIWEE RESERVOIR
		3.34.50										
	5 5	7:31:58 28:28:21	37.093 36.822	116.856 117.499	9.2 9.8	-9.43 5.99	0.3 9.9	58 197	AA	1.8	1.0	SPRINGDALE
	8	11:35:48		114.985	2.3	9.30	3.0	298	90	1.2	1.3	TIN MTN DELAMAR LAKE
	8	18:37:43		117.901	0.5	2.92	9.5	269	AD	2.9	2.3	LITTLE LAKE
	7	0:12:14	35.828	117.826	3.1	19.43	4.0	296	CD	1.6	2.1	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	7	8:58:39	36.723	116.047	0.2	5.72	0.8	96	AB	0.8	9.8	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	7	14:45: 4	35.987	115.679	9.8	7.09	8.9	270	AD	1.6	1.9	SHENANDOAH PEAK
	7	15:43:44		116.897		7.88**		286	AD	2.1	1.9	WINGATE WASH
	7	18: 6:43		115.771	0.3	11.41	0.9	162	AC	1.2	1.5	MT STIRLING
	8	12:52:17	38.174	115.731	0.8	0.34	9.9	203	AD	1.7	1.5	CHERRY CREEK SUMMIT
	8	18:48: 8 21:49:41	38.371 35.728	116.861 117.775	1.9	5.86 5.93	1.2 0.9	312	8D	8.9 1.9	2.2	CHUCK WAGON FLAT
	•				• • •	J. 24		3,4	55			INYOKERN
	9	2:12:29		115.428	0.5	5.16	5.0	113	BC	1.1	1.1	MT IRISH
	10	8:58:20		118.046	8.5	0.39	9.9	130	AC	1.2	1.4	YUCCA FLAT
	12	6:22:37 9: 5:29	35.783 35.733	117.73 9 117.716	2.4 1.1	7.8 8 7.59	2.4 9.5	299 302	CD BD		2.1 2.5	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON RIDGECREST
	12	1:22:58	35.768	117.798	2.0	3.86.		319	CD	2.1	2.2	LITTLE LAKE
	12	19:29:25		115.029	0.3	7.24	0.6	169	AC	3.0	3.3	ALAMO SE
		10.77.55	77	448 444		4		455				
		19:33:25 19:58:51		115.024 115.021	9.5 9.4	4.75 6.69	2.6 9.7	152	BC	2.0	2.9	ALAMO SE
	12	20: 7:37		115.021	1.8	5.27	1.3	192 179	AD AC	2.0	1.8	ALAMO SE Alamo se
	12	20: 9:10		115.026	8.2	2.59+		227	DD		9.8	ALAMO SE
	12	20:26: 3		115.037	1.3	0.17	1.6	152	BC	1.5	1.6	ALAMO SE
	12	29:27:37	37.234	114.954	2.1	3.88•		247	CD	1.1	1.1	DELAMAR 3 NW
	12	22: 8:60	37.237	115.096	1.1	9.85	2.2	215	BD	1.0	1.5	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
		22:15:20		114.988	2.3	7.87	4.1	225	80	1.3	1.3	DELAMAR 3 NW
		22:33:11	37.258	115.077	2.9	4.36	5.5	187	CD	1.1	1.2	ALAMO SE
		23: 4:13		115.094	1.0	0.15	1.1	158	CC	1.7	1.5	ALAMO SE
	13 13	8:12:27 11:25:29		115.048 115.044	5.8 2.1	2.89• 6.34	7.4	181 153	DD CC	2.4		ALAMO SE Lower Pahranagat Lake
			4		- • •	V. V T			~~			FAMES LUSSIVATIONS FULL

DATE - TIME (UTC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)		QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
MAY 13 15:42:54	37.252	115.007	6.5	4.29	2.1	209	80	1.2	1.3	ALAMO SE
13 17:37:48		115.008	2.4	6.89	1.7	213	BD	1.2	1.1	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
13 21:24:53		115.000	8.3	6.12	0.4	159		1.8	2.0	ALAMO SE
14 3:11:26		115.026	1.3		2.4	208	80	1.4	1.1	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14 5: 0:34 14 8: 0:23		115.618	8.2	8.32	0.3	156	AC	1.8	2.1	ALAMO SE
14 6: 0:23	37.226	115.102	1.7	7.64	2.7	213	BD	1.1	1.1	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
14 19: 6:56	36.033	116.826	1.8	6.76	5.1	127	CB	2.6	1.6	BENNETTS WELL
14 19:48:25		117.784	8.7	6.15	0.6	302	AD	2.1	2.1	INYOKERN
14 28: 5: 6		117.768	8.8	5.64	8.9	284	AD	2.6	2.5	LITTLE LAKE
15 6:24:56		115.615	8.4	6.69	1.6	155	AC		1.5	ALAMO SE
15 5:50:52		115.058	0.3	6.36	1.1	116	AB		1.3	HIKO NE
15 18:12:18	37.275	115.868	1.1	17.89	1.6	186	BD	1.4		ALAMO SE
15 21:41:37	37.313	115.052	0.7	4.55	1.4	184	AD	1.4	1.4	ALAMO SE
15 22:49:56	37.272	115.613	0.6	-8.18	8.6	186	AD	1.3	1.6	ALAMO SE
16 2:10:31		115.172	8.7	5.32	1.9	191		1.4	1.6	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
16 21:23:36		114.983	6.7	3.06	3.0	284	BD	1.2	1.6	DELAMAR 3 NW
16 23:33: 3 17 12:16: 1		116.286 116.641	0.2 8.3	5.01 -0.32+	8.3	75 146	AA	8.9	0.9	JACKASS FLATS
17 12.10;	37.005	110.041	0.3	-6.324		140	¢¢	1.4	1.4	YUCCA FLAT
17 12:55:44		115.030	8.4	6.56	8.7	269	AD	1.5	1.5	ALAMO SE
17 14: 2:56		115.033	1.4	4.25	4.7	203	BD	1.8	1.2	ALAMO SE
17 22:14:57		115.020	0.7	-8.25	0.6	183	AD	1.7		ALAMO SE
17 23: 8:29 18 2:55:58		116.152	6.2	4.44	1.1	98	AC	0.6	0.6	SKULL MTN
18 3:51: 6		115.041 115.023	0.5 0.4	9.66 0.46	0.7	184 199	AD AD	1.3	1.2	ALAMO SE Alamo se
				• • • •	•••		•.•			, sime 35
22 21:55:12		117.031	8.4	4.25	8.4	84		1.2	1.3	EMIGRANT CANYON
23 8:35:15 23 13:57:47		117.764 116.389	1.0 6.3	5.13	8.9	302	80	1.9	2.2	INYOKERN
24 15: 9:50		115.493	1.6	9.65 4.87•	6.4	111 146	AB CC	1.6	1.6	LATHROP WELLS NW Crescent reservoir
24 17:33:58		116.428	8.2	6.25	1.3	94	AC	1.2	1.2	LATHROP WELLS SW
25 23:50:37		116.456	8.2	6.58	8.9	66	AC	1.8	1.3	SILENT BUTTE
04 43.43.		445 455								
26 17:17: 1 27 8:32:44		115.625 114.976	1.8	1.95 6.05	2.5	198 283	AD BD	1.2	1.1	ALAMO SE Delamar lake
27 18:57: 3		116.103	0.3	6.58	1.0	137	AC	1.3		CAMP DESERT ROCK
26 12:33:28		116.170	0.3	0.92	9.4	92	AB		0.9	TIPPIPAH SPRING
29 10:38:53		115.031	1.8	5.96	2.8	225	80	1.1	1.3	ALAMO SE
36 1:26:52	2 35.763	115.945	0.7	3.81	2.3	242	80	1.8	2.8	HORSE THIEF SPRINGS
30 8:29:44	37.124	115.296	8.4	2.00	1.0	152	AC	1.6	1.8	DESERT HILLS SE
30 14:28:26		115.866	1.3	6.89	1.7	188	60	1.3	1.8	ALAMO SE
38 18:24:34		114.656	1.9	5.28+		284	CD	1.2	1.3	ELGIN SW
31 3:27:31		115.017	8.8	4.34	3.6	269	BD	1.3	1.3	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
31 15:42: 2 Jun 1 2: 8: 3		118.411 117.746	9.1	7.98+		315	DD	2.5	3.6	***REGIONAL***
7011 / 2. 0.	33.717	117.746	1.5	4.65	3.6	382	BD		1.8	RIDGECREST
1 8:37:29	37.254	115.836	2.6	4.19	7.1	281	CD		1.2	ALAMO SE
1 11: 2: 1		114.819	1.9	5.23	8.9	268	80	1.7	2.3	BOULDER CITY
2 10:59:24		115.253	6.4	10.79	2.4	105	BD		1.0	BADGER SPRING
2 11:21:19 2 13:31:39		115.897 117.421	8.7 1.6	3.38 5.96	2.5 1.8	227 269	80 80		1.4	QUINN CANYON RANGE Trona
	37.668	116.942	0.3	4.98	8.9	135			1.2	SPRINGDALE
2 17:34:5		116.947	0.2	1.54	0.6	53	AC		1.5	SPRINGDALE
	37.135	115.294	8.6	7.64	1.6	160			8.8	DESERT HILLS NE
	37.260 3 37.247	115.013 115.005	8.3 0.7	2.12 1.41	1.1	156 211	AC AD		1.4	ALAMO SE Lower pahranagat lake
	37.637	117.858	1.8	7.15	2.3	266	BD			PIPER PEAK
	37.655	116.950	0.5	15.36	1.5	146				SPRINGDALE
	37.883 2 37.636	116.945 115.064	0.2 0.3	4.56 5.87	1.6	66 112	AC			SPRINGDALE
	37.646	115.083	0.3	5.77	1.5	112 81	AC AC		1.6	HIKO NE
	37.269	117.617	0.4	4.41	0.5	88	AA		1.1	MAGRUDER MIN
7 6:17: 2	37.249	115.622	1.6	4.45	3.7	266	80		1.1	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
8 8: 7:21	37.230	114.978	1.1	4.35	6.2	246	CD		1.3	DELAMAR 3 NW
8 18:15:42	2 35.615	116.867	6.9	2.65	1.9	272	AD		1.9	WINGATE WASH
	36.588	117.860	1.2	2.65 5.68+	1.9	254	CD		1.4	NEW YORK BUTTE
	36.967	117.549	0.3	0.50	8.4	182	AD		1.4	DRY MIN
9 18:45:23		116.883	0.5	9.19	1.2	144	AC		0.8	BULLFROG
12 0:53:54	37.142	116.851	0.5	0.36	0.9	121	AB		8.9	SPRINGDALE
12 1:38:51	37.135	116.875	0.3	8.00	9.2	48	CB	1.9		SPRINGDALE

1982 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HOR I Z ERROR	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I GAP				
	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
JUN 13	23:39:40		116.195	9.4	4.36	9.8	58	AA	1.5		SKULL MTN
14	6:11:36		114.982 117.935	1.0 9.5	11.49 5.39	1.7	232 259	AD AD		1.3	DELAMAR 3 NW Keeler
14 15	18:22:41	36.397 38.968	116.124	0.2	5.23	0.5	184	AB		9.5	YUCCA LAKE
18	8:38:43		117.475	11.3	8.10	8.5	292	DD		1.5	TRONA
17	16:55:58		118.941	9.2	-9.43	9.2	84	AC		1.9	SPRINGDALE
18	9:14:55	37.335	117.685	0.3	6.02	0.9	134	AB		1.3	MAGRUDER MTN
18	9:48:21	37.334	117.684	0.2	2.96	1.5	134	AC		1.4	MAGRUDER MIN
18	18:52:42		118.387	9.4	8.58	1.4	185	AD		0.8	TIMBER MIN
18 19	20: 5:13 9:36:29		116.362 117.756	0.7 7.8	7.03 -1.08	2.0 4.5	161 301	AC DD		9.7 1.8	BUCKBOARD MESA Little Lake
19	22:50:13		117.112	0.3	5.72	1.7	116	AC		1.4	STOVEPIPE WELLS
28	8: 3: 1	36.611	117.118	0.3	5.90	2.0	113	8C		1.9	STOVEPIPE WELLS
29	1:51:28		117.603	0.5	6.77	0.8	263	AD		1.7	COSO PEAK
28	3:34:47		110.266	9.4	7.72	9.6	127	AB		0.7	STRIPED HILLS
20 20	3:36: 2 11:48:53		116.264 117.418	0.2 4.1	7.23 15.44	0.3 1.8	106 292	AB CD	2.1	0.5	STRIPED HILLS MATURANGO
21	19:31:57		117.186	9.2	9.82	9.3	113	AC		1.2	STOVEPIPE WELLS
22	9:22:53	37.141	116.877	0.3	2.11	1.7	49	ΑB		1.4	SPRINGDALE
22	15:29:59		117.186	9.4	7.59	1.6	115	ÃČ		1.9	STOVEPIPE WELLS
22	19:44:13	37.075	116.945	0.2	1.48	0.5	65	AC		1.2	SPRINGDALE
22	21:14:48		116.372	0.4	9.63	9.7	187	AB		9.7	BUCKBOARD MESA
22 23	21:59:45 13:27:53		116.838 117.114	8.3 9.2	9.79 8.85	1.1	128 117	AC AB		1.2	SPRINGDALE Stovepipe Wells
23 23	13:31:56 14: 9:49		117.111 117.109	0.2 8.3	9.36 5.66	0.3 1.4	115 115	AC AC		1 · 2 1 · 4	STOVEPIPE WELLS STOVEPIPE WELLS
23	15:28:14		117.184	9.5	4.89	6.1	113	ĈĈ		1.2	STOVEPIPE WELLS
23	22:43:21		117.101	0.3	4.78	2.7	112	80		1.2	STOVEPIPE WELLS
24	7: 7:60		117.099	8.2	9.80	9.4	111	AC		0.8	STOVEPIPE WELLS
25	19:18:41	37.984	117.358	9.2	0.84	9.3	120	AB		1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER
28	18:41:35		117.654	0.3	3.12•		83	CC		1.4	LIDA WASH
28 38	12:51:48 16: 5:18		118.287 115.951	0.3 0.3	9.85 7.49	9.5 1.1	195 119	AB AB		9.4 0.9	JACKASS FLATS HIKO NE
JUL 3	6: 7:38		115.918	11.2	7.000		186	DD	2.5		QUINN CANYON RANGE
3	9:19:57	37.809	116.107	9.4	2.71*		141	CC	9.9		YUCCA FLAT
3	12:27:51	37.265	115.045	2.9	9.34	5.5	188	CD	2.2		ALAMO SE
4	7:23:24		115.043	9.3	-0.05	8.6	117	AC		1.4	HIKO NE
4	7:30:59 7:38: 8		115.046 115.078	0.3 1.5	9.74 11.71	9.6 5.0	116 193	AC CB		1.2 0.8	HIKO NE HIKO NE
ï	8: 0:28		114.460	3.1	3.50+		398	CD		1.4	REGIONAL
4	12:44: 3	35.757	117.717	4.4	8.38	1.4	284	CD		2.8	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
4	14:34:18	35.773	117.872	10.1	2.96	5.8	287	DD	2.1	2.9	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
5	7: 8:49		117.106	0.3	9.48	0.4	167	AC		1.6	MUD LAKE
5	17:54: 3		118.447	4.8 8.2	9.58 4.11	3.1 1.3	319 112	CD AC		1.7 9.8	+++REGIONAL+++ Quartet dome
5 5	21:30:45 23:45: 7		116.153 114.825	1.7	2.22	7.0	229	ĈĎ		1.2	DELAMAR 3 NE
8	2:19:43		115.937	9.2	2.56	0.8	119	AC	3.1		HIKO HE
8	2:15:43	37.668	115.946	9.6	9.78	9.9	154	AC		1.3	HIKO NE
6	2:19:26		115.945	9.7	0.40	1.1	113	BC		1.2	HIKO NE
8	2:30: 8		115.948	0.4	9.93	0.6	114	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
6	2:33:16		115.035 115.030	9.8 9.6	-1.11 3.19	0.9 5.1	114 169	CC		1.8 8.9	HIKO NE HIKO NE
8	2:37:17		115.038	9.6	5.03	9.3	119	čĊ		1.0	HIKO NE
6	2:43: 6		115.948	1.0	9.93	1.5	118	BC		9.9	HIKO NE
6	2:49:49		115.045	0.6	1.00	2.8	113	80		1.1	HIKO NE
6	2:51:25		115.937	9.9	1.22	4.1	118	88		1.3	HIKO NE
- 6	2:53:27 4: 5:22	-	115.050 115.038	8.7 8.5	8.17 -8.26	1.8	113	AC BC		8.8 1.4	HIKO NE HIKO NE
6	4: 8:16		115.044	0.5	0.83	8.9	115	AC		0.9	HIKO NE
6	4:17:57		115.038	0.7	2.38	1.8	119	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
5	4:26:42		115.042	9.7	2.52	2.1	118	BC		6.9	HIKO NE
6			115.028	8.6	4.05	3.2	128	88		0.8	HIKO NE
6			115.946 115.849	9.3 9.3	1.67 1.13	9.9 1.2	118 112	AC AC		1.3 9.8	HIKO NE HIKO NE
6			115.039	0.6	8.69	1.0	118	AC		1.4	HIKO NE
8			115.049	9.4	1.15	1.5	112	AC		1.1	HIKO NE

					_ , ,			•			
				HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR				*	
((UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)		OHAI	ма	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
	•		(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	, , , ,	,,,,,,	(020)	TONE		morg	AOVDEVUOLE
JUL 6	4.14.11	37.692	115.645	8.4	0.93	8.5					
			115.046				115	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
è		37.694		8.7	0.83	1.1	111	BC		8.8	HIKO NE
			115.044	8.2	8.57	6.3	116	AC		0.9	HIKO NE
9			115.048	8.4	0.79	8.7	112	AC	8.9	1.1	HIKO NE
•		37.692	115.033	1.2	3.78	6.8	119	CB	1.2	1.2	HIKO NE
•	5:38:40	37.727	115.035	0.7	1.84	2.3	127	BC	0.5	9.8	HIKO NE
•	5:49:56	37.699	115.043	8.3	2.29	1.8	117	AC	0.9	1.2	HIKO NE
•	6:14:29	37.698	115.640	8.3	1.65	1.1	118	AC		1.5	HIKO NE
•			116.124	9.7	7.88+		169		1.5		WHEELBARROW PEAK NE
ě			117.548		7.88**		325	80	2.0		
		37.136	117.331	8.1	-0.14+						DRY MTN
è							107	DC	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
•		37.074	114.999	8.3	7.00	5.3	274	0.0	1.9		PAHROC SPRING
		77 404									
9			115.049	0.3	8.97	8.6	115			1.4	NIKO NE
7		37.678	115.076	2.1	3.13.		176	CC	0.9	1.8	HIKO NE
7			116.942	0.5	7.68	2.4	96	88		1.3	SPRINGDALE
	16:40:27		115.049	0.6	-0.65	1.8	116	AC		1.3	HIKO NE
7	19:43:35	37.273	115.669	8.7	3.81+		128	CC		1.1	GROOM LAKE
•	1:58:49	37.762	115.636	0.3	2.16	8.8	128	AC		2.8	HIKO NE
8	2:33: 8	37.781	115.646	8.4	6.56	0.5	117	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
6			117.644	0.4	6.12	0.6	121	AB		1.2	MAGRUDER MIN
ě			115.844	6.3	-0.12	0.5	116	ÃC		1.3	
Š			114.993								HIKO NE
\$				8.8	8.48	1.2	174	AC			DELAMAR NW
			114.684	1.6	6.43	1.6	263	80		1.5	DRY LAKE
16	6:31:15	36.721	116.203	8.2	1.62	4.5	128	BB		B . 4	SPECTER RANGE NW
19		37.386	115.198	8.9	5.79	3.0	154	BC		1.4	ASH SPRINGS
16	18: 5:46	37.363	115.212	1.6	9.58	3.4	165	BC		1.2	ALAMO
11	10: 2:28	35.795	117.727	3.7	8.68	3.0	298	CD		1.5	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
13	21:31:39	37.699	115.048	0.3	1.94	8.8	118	AC	~~~	2.3	HIKO NE
14	10: 9:27		117.327	0.3	7.45	0.7	89	AB		1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER
14			115.042	0.2	1.76	0.6	117	AC		1.4	HIKO NE
• •		07,000	110.072	V.2	1.70	0.0	117	A.C		1.4	HIKO NE
15	5:24:51	37.691	115.844	0.4							*****
					1.73	1.4	115	AC		1.4	HIKO NE
15			116.423	0.3	0.17	0.1	261	AD		0.9	TIMBER MTN
15			115.759	1.1	1.01	2.5	236	80		1.6	QUINN CANYON RANGE
16		37.698	115.847	0.4	1.38	1.7	116	AC		1.1	HIKO NE
17	8:58:15	37.865	116.941	8.4	8.88	1.8	96	AB		1.2	SPRINGDALE
17	9:53:56	36.709	116.213	8.3	0.52	0.4	135	AB		1.8	SPECTER RANGE NW
17	11:26: 9	37.700	115.636	0.4	0.28	8.6	128	AC		1.3	HIKO NE
17			115.928	1.3	5.44	3.2	221	BD		1.6	QUINN CANYON RANGE
19			114.742	1.9	5.71	2.8	232	60	1.8		ELGIN SW
19			114.788	1.3	1.85	3.4	289	BD		1.6	ELGIN SW
19			117.845		7.66**						
20			115.014	0.6			156	AD	1.8		SOLDIER PASS
	10.10.54	37.710	113.017	0.0	-0.82	2.2	132	BB		1.9	HIKO NE
21	0.14.44	30 500	117 071	40.0	70 07.						
		36.526	117.931	16.8	32.23+		257	DD	2.3		NEW YORK BUTTE
21			116.736	2.1	25.92	2.1	222	80			THIRSTY CANYON SW
22			114.849	0.7	5.53	4.8	232				DELAMAR 3 SE
23			117.722	1.5	3.75	3.9	258	8D		2.2	COSO PEAK
	8: 5:24			1.0	2.47	2.9	247	BD			COSO PEAK
24	0:18:13	37.696	115.046	8.4	-0.46	8.7	115	AC	1.5	1.7	HIKO NE
24	3:36:12	37.001	117.991	1.3	1.16	4.3	225	80	1.6	1.5	WAUCOBA SPRING
24	22:54:27		117.662	0.3	9.67	1.5	97				STOVEPIPE WELLS
25			115.642	0.5	0.63	8.9	118	BC			HIKO NE
25			117.678	4.7	-0.47	3.6	295				MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
25			116.211	0.3	4.75	0.4	83			1.0	TIPPIPAH SPRING
25			116.862								
23	#:34:34	31.008	110.002	6.3	7.36	8.8	175	X.C		1.3	CACTUS SPRING
	40.49.55	74	447								MAINER OFFICE
27			117.839	1.3	1.32	2.2	268	80		1.5	HAIWEE RESERVOIR
27			115.005	1.0	4.33	4.3	134			2.1	HIKO NE
29			116.633	8.6	18.51	1.1	263	80		8.5	BIG DUNE
29		37.595	117.744	0.4	6.85	1.5	171	BC		1.5	LIDA WASH
29	4:42:31	37.576	117.888	1.8	1.38	5.6	154	CC		1.1	PIPER PEAK
29	15:52:33	37.372	115.232	0.9	0.37	3.4	93	BC		1.3	ALAMO
						•				-	
36	22:35:41	37.895	114.764	1.1	3.68+		258	CD		0.9	DEADMAN SPRING NE
31			117.770	2.3	5.29	1.3	293			2.2	INYOKERN
31		35.428	116.301	1.3	6.66	2.1	307			2.3	REGIONAL
31			115.219	0.3	8.32	8.4	95			1.8	ASH SPRINGS
31			117.663	6.9	6.57	5.7	267	00		1 6	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
31							245	80		1.6	HAYFORD PEAK
31	1 19:48:20	20.500	115.085	2.4	-1.15	1.1		ρIJ		1.3	HATTURE FEAR
						•					

1982 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

D		- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KW)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
JUL	31	22:17:29		114.928		8.88		268	OD		1.0	DELAMAR LAKE
AUG	1	9:53:36		117.928	0.7	2.19	2.2	224	80		1.8	WAUCOBA SPRING Dry Mth
	1	17:23:17 21:39:60		117.565 115.938	0.4 9.4	4.95 1.58	3.4 1.2	288 117	BD AB		1.1	HIKO NE
	1 2	6: 3:48		117.738	3.8	9.68	2.8	288	ĈĎ		1.8	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	2	9:38:54		114.614	8.9	-8.95	9.7	292	AD		9.8	CHIEF MTN
	2	11:56:55	37.679	115.839	9.8	5.96	3.0	114	98		0.5	HIKO NE
	2	18:58:58		118.189	0.5	10.03	1.0	118	AB	9.5	9.9	SKULL MTN
	3	8:45:51		117.274	1.9	2.23	6.2	276	CD		1.8	TRONA
	6	7:57:11		115.012	9.9	2.12	2.1	133	88		1.4	HIKO NE
	6	8:46: 4 18:26:19		114.982 115.048	9.3 	9.22 -8.75	9.2	151 294	AC AD	8.6	0.5 1.8	PAHROC SPRING HIXO NE
	•	10:20:15	37.002	113.040		-0.70			~~		•••	WING HE
	6	18:58:22		115.926		-0.72		200	BD		0.7	HIKO SE
	6	15:59:17		115.923	1.4	2.23	3.3	145	BD		1.0	HIKO NE
	5 5	16: 2:58 17:33: 6		115.025 115.039	0.2	2.65 9.96	9.6	145 232	AD AD		0.7 0.9	HIKO NE
	6	17:49: 7		115.044	0.5	0.97	1.1	118	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
	7	9: 0: 2	36.891	117.697	8.8	2.78	2.4	198	BD	1.4	1.4	DRY MTN
	7	13:30:59	36.722	116.273	0.3	3.71	9.7	76	AA		8.7	STRIPED HILLS
	8	14:49:38		118.265	9.3	3.84	9.8	72	AA		1.9	STRIPED HILLS
	9	17:37:13	37.698	115.646	0.3	4.58	1.9	116	AC		1.2	HIKO NE
	9	18:36:22		117.866	2.7	9.42	4.4	245	CD		1.0	WAUCOBA SPRING
	9	20:58:55 21:59:15		114.731 115.049	1.8 0.3	3.31• 1.11	1.8	235 112	CD AC	0.9	1.4 6.8	ELGIN SW HIKO ME
	•	21.30.13	37.403	113.040								
	9	23: 6:38		116.369	9.2	8.93	0.2	134	AB	0.4	0.8	BUCKBOARD MESA
	10	6:21:38		115.833	9.4 9.5	2.66 -0.49	1.1	121 141	AC AC		8.6 9.7	HIKO HE MINE MTN
	10	15:54:44 21: 4:11		118.218 117.336	8.8	8.93	1.7	196	AD		8.9	UBEHEBE CRATER
	12	8:55:48		116.450	0.4	5.37	1.4	105	AC		9.8	LATHROP WELLS SW
	13	5:44:19	37.184	117.338	0.2	5.81	1.4	184	AC		1.3	UBEHEBE CRATER
	13	14:47:38	37.117	118.749	8.4	4.83	1.4	123	88	1.0	1.9	THIRSTY CANYON SW
	13	17:30:40		115.042	0.2	4.19	1.3	144	AC	1.1	1.2	HIKO NE
	13	19:44: 2		116.281	8.4	2.81	0.8	113	AB		8.8	STRIPED HILLS
	13	22: 1:49		116.639	9.6	3.85	0.6	191 281	AD AD		0.5 0.8	BIG DUNE RAINIER MESA
	14	3:11:58 4: 6:52		118.189 116.455	8.2	3. 09 9.39	0.3	157	AC		1.9	TIMBER MIN
	• •											
	14	4:28:27		118.147	8.8	2.05 8.95	1.8	159 171	AC BC		1.8	HIGH PEAK MT SCHADER SE
	14	7:41:11 7:45: 2		116.117 116.143	1.1	5.55	2.7	161	BC		8.9	HIGH PEAK
	15	18:45:21		114.248	2.9	3.08	2.4	296	CD		2.1	***REGIONAL***
	15	19:37:57		114.497	2.4	2.56	2.3	298	80		1.4	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	16	4:14:21	35.711	117.676	5.7	4.94	3.2	390	DD		1.7	RIDGECREST
	18	4:19:48	37.175	117.998	1.2	2.28	4.7	228	80		1.3	WAUCOBA SPRING
	18	6:26:29		115.846	9.3	1.020		150	CC		1.2	HIKO NE
	16	22: 7:12		117.603 116.733	0.7 9.3	3.29• 5.02	1.5	183 146	CD AC		1.5 9.9	DRY MTN Bare MTN
	17 19	7:22:12 6: 1:24		116.019	1.2	6.34	4.6	287	80		9.3	CANE SPRING
	19		38.222	115.269	5.7	1.95+		308	DD		8.8	BLUE DIAMOND NE
	19	15:13:57	7 37.199	117.376	9.5	18.18	1.5	123	AB		1.8	UBEHEBE CRATER
	20	6:43:60		116.472	2.5	3.75	3.3	314	CD		1.6	REGIONAL
	29	10:32:50		114.766	1.1	3.53	8.7	299	80		1.3	BOULDER CITY
	28	11:11:40		114.794	2.8	3.30 6.73	0.9 1.4	284 286	BD BD	2.8	1.8	BOULDER BEACH Piper Peak
	20 21	22:13:49 20: 7: 4		117.848 116.468	2.1 8.4	10.27	8.7	158	AC		0.8	SCRUGHAM PEAK
												2.23.2.2.3.
	21	21:10:2		118.201	1.2 9.4	3.89 9.89	1.8	265 182	8D AC			+++REGIONAL+++ Yucca flat
	22 22	0:41: 3 4:57: 3		116.085 115.839	1.8	15.39	9.7	253	AD		8.9	MT STIRLING
	22	13:46:3		116.967	1.1	8.98	9.9	279	BD		1.9	WINGATE WASH
	22	15:39:5	8 36.161	115.762	0.7	5.11	5.3	228	CD			PAHRUMP
	23	16: 8:4:	2 36.778	115.478	9.3	3.00	2.8	101	ВC		2.5	DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
	24	15:17:4	1 37.474	116,778	0.3	4.79	2.4	86	BC.			TOLICHA PEAK
	24	22:51:1	9 36.825	116.651	9.5	-0.488		79	BA			BARE MTN
	25			116.488	9.2 1.7	0.36 4.79	0.3 1.7	46 288	AC BD	1.5		SCRUGHAM PEAK Mountain Springs Canyon
	25 28			117.717 117.544	9.2	5.50	3.1	144	80	1.8		LAST CHANCE RANGE
	27			117.831	0.5	7.47	1.2	202	AD			WAUCOBA SPRING

				HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
UAI	TE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR					
	(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	OUAL	Md	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
AUG 2	27 28: 8:14	37 058	116.948	8.4	4.69	1.7	79	80 4			CD01110011
	7 20:43:43		117.769	4.7	16.39	3.4	310			1.3	SPRINGDALE
	9 17:12:44		116.584		2.00		344			2.4 8.4	INYOKERN
	9 18:17: 6		117.225	1.1	2.53	6.5	154		. 4	-0.6	***REGIONAL***
	8 16:46:42		117.349	0.1	6.99	6.5	112			0.9	GOLDFIELD
	1 6: 3:57		114.925	6.4	2.48+		273		. 4	1.6	UBEHEBE CRATER
_				•••	2.40-				• •	1.0	FRENCHMAN MTN
3	1:14:44	36.009	114.817	1.7	0.37	1.3	288	BD		1.7	BOULDER BEACH
3	1 19:23: 8		115.699	0.3	3.63+	~	137			8.8	WHITE RIVER NARROWS
3	1 20: 6:27		116.948	0.4	4.91	2.6	55		. 5	1.3	SPRINGDALE
3	1 28:56:36		116.947	8.2	5.19	8.7	45		. 9	2.4	SPRINGDALE
3	1 21:15:35		116.946	0.1	5.49	0.3	146			1.1	SPRINGDALE
SEP	1 2:29:34	37.489	118.475	1.4	7.66	3.1	292			1.8	***REGIONAL***
								•			
	2 14:32:27	37.691	115.044	0.2	4.67	1.2	151	AC 1	. 2	1.8	HIKO NE
	2 18: 6:18	36.732	116.281	6.3	6.64	0.3	96			8.9	STRIPED HILLS
	3 12:19:45	37.586	117.682	8.4	2.67	2.3	108		. 1	0.9	LIDA WASH
	3 14:28:10	37.949	114.976	3.2	15.34	3.6	306		. 3	1.2	DEADMAN SPRING
	3 23: 4:11	37.069	116.945	8.5	4.11	1.5	185			1.1	SPRINGDALE
	5 2:35: 5	37.137	117.311	8.2	9.47	8.5	99	AB -		6.8	UBENEBE CRATER
	2 12:26:13		118.454		2.94		338	AD -		1.5	+++REGIONAL+++
	2 16:24: 2		116.057	2.2	1.97	4.7	163	DC -	~~	0.5	SPECTER RANGE SE
	2 18:52:55	37.698	115.040	6.5	0.36	8.9	118	AC -		1.5	HIKO NE
	4 17: 9:57	37.409	115.013		5.60		219	AD -	~~	8.8	ALAMO NE
	4 28:49:28	36.829	116.648	0.4	-1.19BL	6.5	116	AB 1	. 0	0.9	BARE MTH
1	6 13:10:26	37.174	117.928	8.9	8.27	6.7	227	AD -		1.6	WAUCOBA SPRING
1		35.746	116.898	1.3	3.12+		283			1.6	QUAIL MINS
		35.744	117.668	2.1	9.66	1.1	299			1.9	RIDGECREST
1		37.409	118.113	1.3	1.54	1.3	279			1.8	REGIONAL
1		37.403	117.866	7.9	8.22	6.5	177			1.3	SOLDIER PASS
1		36.763	116.243	8.4	2.19	8.7	113			8.6	SKULL MIN
2	8 23:39:26	37.456	114.866		2.89		328	BD -		1.6	• • • REGIONAL • • •
2	2 18:13:21	35.925	117.646	1.3	1.30	3.2	286				MOUNTAIN CORTINGS SANNON
_	3 12:34:46	37.712	115.005	6.5	6.23	1.1	133			1.7	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	3 23:14: 5	37.253	114.494	1.2	8.15	e.6	244		. 8	1.6	HIKO NE
	3 23:20:16	37.359	114.969	0.5	8.24	0.6	270			1.6	•••REGIONAL•••
	3 23:28:51	37.258	114.484	1.7	2.26	4.7	274			1.5	DELAMAR LAKE
		37.282	114.579	0.5	6.82	4.6	278			1.4	•••REGIONAL••• Elgin
_				•••		•••		•			CLOTA
2	4 4:42:28	37.358	115.263	2.1	2.02	3.5	239	80 ~		1.8	BADGER SPRING
	5 8:19:32	37.847	118.149	1.7	7.26	2.3	384			1.4	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
2	5 8:39:55	36.927	117.436	8.8	1.68	2.2	162			1.6	TIN MTN
2	5 8:40:16	37.254	114.516	2.2	4.97+		316			1.3	ELGIN
2	5 16:34:32	37.060	116.943	0.3	4.28	1.6	117	AC -		1.1	SPRINGDALE
2	5 16:58:11	37.295	114.598	0.8	6.25+		261			1.4	ELGIN
	5 20:33:18		114.529	0.7	9.79	0.6	248			1.5	ELGIN
	5 22:54:43		116.308	8.4	6.97	1.8	179			0.7	ASH MEADOWS
	5 23:29:45		117.961	2.2	2.97	7.3	286			2.4	KEELER
	5 23:34:13	36.483	117.426	6.7	7.78	4.2	232			1.5	PANAMINT BUTTE
_	6 1:18: 6		114.554	6.9	13.43	1.9	297	AD -		1.2	ELGIN
2	8:19:43	37.924	118.156		1.46		328	DD 0	. 9		· · · REGIONAL · · ·
•	9 8:11:17	36.454	116.902			• •	~.	80			511511165 0055v
			115,052	8.4	5.55	3.5	71			1.8	FURNACE CREEK
	19 16:14:34 16 6:49:13	37.697 35.636	115,052	0.5 6.9	0.39	6.8	114			1.3	HIKO NE
		36.574	117.779		5.11 -0.73	0.5	298				INYOKERN
	0 13:54:27		116.492	8.2	8.64	8.5	269			1.2	STOVEPIPE WELLS Scrugham Peak
	6 22:18:57		116.224	0.4	7.64	8.8	113 71			1.3	
•				·· ·		V. 0	,,	<i>-</i>			SKULL MTN
OCT	1 6:24:59	35.717	117.729	6.6	5.33	2.6	361	00 -		2.3	RIDGECREST
	1 6:36:35		117.259	18.3	7.00+		252			1.5	MATURANGO
	1 9:21:19		117.681	4.9	9.02	1.6	366			2.3	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	1 10:34:44		114.531	1.9	-1.00+		248			1.8	ELGIN
	1 11: 4:51		117.385	3.6	17.84	0.7	289			1.3	MATURANGO
	1 12:19:35		117.624	4.2	18.65	1.6	284			2.4	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	1 12:31:45		116.867	3.6	21.98	8.6	296	CD -		1.4	FURNACE CREEK
	1 13:12: 4		117.588	6.9	11.93	2.5	291	DD -			MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	1 14:29: 3	35.760	117.680	6.6	8.11	2.0	288				MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	1 14:33: 7	35.736	117.661	13.1	7.61	4.5	361			2.5	RIDGECREST
		35.691	117.519	16.5	16.58	3.5	292	DD -		2.6	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	2 4: 8:35	35.836	117.685	0.2	21.12		388	AD -		2.0	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DA		- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR		ERROR					
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
	_											FLOIN
OCT		10:17:28		114.517	3.2	2.82		269	CD		1.9 1.1	ELGIN Elgin
	2	10:27:51		114.566	4. 8 2.7	2.31 • 20.95	9.7	264 288	CD		1.8	TRONA
		13:42:29 14: 2: 5		117.461 117.729	3.0	7.03	1.1	287	CD		2.6	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	2	16: 1:27		117.375	13.8	7.994		. 272	DD		2.8	TRONA
	3	7:17:39		114.453	2.3	8.19	1.8	276	BD		2.2	REGIONAL
	•	7.17.50	07.202			••••	.,.	•••				
	3	9:47:47	35.922	117.471	3.1	18.49	1.8	287	CD		2.1	TRONA
	3	12:47: 8		118.054	5.5	-9.64+		298	DD		1.5	· · · REGIONAL • • •
	3	16:18:50	37.298	114.572		2.31		389	AD		1.4	ELGIN
	4	0: 5:38	36.711	117.343		0.90		189	AD		1.2	MARBLE CANYON
	4	15:33:35	37.823	118.067	3.8	-1.13•		279	CD	2.0		· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	7	3:36:11	37.338	115.731	•.9	6.40	0.9	211	AD		1.4	GROOM LAKE
	_											41444 88
	9	9:35:15		115.019	1.1	2.52	2.0	214	BD		1.7	ALAMO SE
	12	8:22:47		117.717	5.8	6.96	1.9	298	DD		2.7	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	13	2:47:45		118.012	3.5	0.60		263 136	CD AC		1.9 1.0	***REGIONAL*** THIRSTY CANYON NE
	15	4: 4:29 6:13:13		118.815 115.964	9.4 9.5	5.88 19.29	9.5 1.1	151	ÃC		1.9	MERCURY SW
	17	3: 9:54		114.699		4.21		291	ĀD	~	1.3	ELGIN SW
	• •	5. 5.54	37.334			****		•••	~•			
	17	9:18:26	37.848	118.874	1.2	2.91+		225	CD		1.4	CACTUS PEAK
	18	1:59:31		117.152		2.16		259	BD		1.5	TELESCOPE PEAK
	18	8: 0:16		116,154		7.00		193	BD	0.9	0.9	HIGH PEAK
	19	19:33:14		114.592		3.05		397	AD		1.2	ELGIN
	29	12:53:47	36.783	116.279	8.5	3.98	1.1	89	AA		1.8	STRIPED HILLS
	21	9:31:24	37.691	115.048		0.55		204	AD		1.1	HIKO NE
												Manager and
	21	8:56:18		115.987		4.28		264	80		9.3	MERCURY SW
	21	9:11:52		115.402	7.9	5.27•		333	DD		1.3	GRAPEVINE SPRING HIKO NE
	22	5:34:33 5:39:56		115.045		0.14 8.47		145 183	AD		1.1 1.2	SPECTER RANGE NW
	22 24	18:10:24		116.145 118.149	0.6	7.91	2.2	157	AD BC	1.4		TIPPIPAH SPRING
	24	18:11:24		116.133	0.3	9.58	0.6	192	AB		-8.4	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	4.4	10.11.2		110,100		3.00	•••		~-		•••	
	25	7:48:5	3 37.859	114,741		7.00		265	AD	9.7	-0.8	THE BLUFFS
	27	18:21:28	-	116,201	0.6	0.41+		98	CB	1.4		SPECTER RANGE NW
	30	1:43:31	36.876	115.999	0.8	0.93+		121	CB		1.4	PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	30	3:28:	37.216	118.325	9.5	23.13	8.7	259	BD		1.0	AMMONIA TANKS
	30	29:48:5		114,623		2.37		304	AD			ELGIN
HOV	2	4:54:4	3 37.236	117.886		7.88**		235	AD		1.5	WAUCOBA SPRING
					0.2	0.72	9.2	113	CB		1.0	SKULL MTN
	4		2 36.825 9 36.828	116.125 116.138	0.2	-0.05+		192	CD			SKULL MTN
	i	6:24:4: 16:35:1:		116.614	7.9	1.87BL	8.2	193	DD			BARE MIN
	4	16:39:1		116.173	0.9	2.25	2.6	137	80			SPECTER RANGE SW
	7	5:22:1		117,444		6.17		205	AD			PAYMASTER RIDGE
	7	6:29:2		117.444	9.5	4.17	3.5	221	80		1.4	PAYMASTER RIDGE
	8	7:45:2		116.375	8.3	5.05	3.8	74	BC			QUARTZITE MIN
	10	0:11:3	3 37.511	116.379	9.6	6.29	7.0	132	CD			QUARTZITE MTN
	11	4:40:1		114.964		0.27		150	AD		0.8	PAHROC SPRING
	11	12:22:2		116.049	0.7	-0.76+		134	CC		0.9	CAMP DESERT ROCK
		14: 8:3		114.706		3.23		275			1.8	SLIDY MTN Springdale
	12	20:48:2	6 37.153	116.942		9.38		289	AU		1.0	or a race to
	12	21:46:3	4 37.511	116.373	0.2	2.69•		74	CC		1.5	QUARTZITE MTN
	13		7 37.158	116.958	1.0	8.14+		237	CD			SPRINGDALE
	14		2 37.153	118.959	1.0	-9.78+		237	CD			SPRINGDALE
	14	5:25:5		116,669	21.5	2.93+		323	DD			LEACH LAKE
	14		8 36.952	115.986	0.7	-0.34	9.0	182	AD			PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	16	7: 2:5	8 36.513	118.227	1.9	4.91	5.6	200	ÇD		1.1	SPECTER RANGE SW
												e .
	16	7:20:4		115.364	48.5	-9.57•		335	DD			TULE SPRINGS PARK
	16		6 37.689	115.854		0.36		115	AD			HIKO NE
	16		9 37.797	115.825		7.80		139	AD		1.3	HIKO NE
	16	23:35:2		114.948	27.1	2.87+		314	DD			HENDERSON
	17	7:56:5		114.691	9.4	8.37	9.2	388	AD			ELIGH NE Marble Canyon
	18	2:14:2	0 36.698	117.449		18.29		262	AD		1.4	MANGES VARIOR
		0.14.4	1 37 484	-116.826	9.6	0.39•		73			1.9	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	18	9:16:5	1 37.086 8 37.428	117.989		7.00**		351	AD			SOLDIER PASS
	19			115.023		7.88**		239	ÃĎ			LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
	20	22:56:1		117.569	0.6	19.95	0.2	296	90			MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	21	5:25:3		115.841	8.3	2.13	0.8	118	AD			HIKO NE
	21		2 35.730	117.728	3.5	7.00	1.3	302			2.3	RIDGECREST
	- •					-						

				HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP			•••	
(0	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	OUADRANGLE
NOV 21	16: 6:34		117.667		5.62		307	AD		1.7	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
22 22	4: 0:52 5:44: 4	37.332 36.145	114.636 114.718	3.4	14.18 6.65	1.3	254 296	AD		1.4	ELGIN SW
	16:57:12		115.963	8.9	3.93	4.6	190	CD BD	0.7	1.9 8.7	HOOVER DAM Plutonium valley
22	18:11:24	37.693	115.046	8.1	0.04	2.8	123	80		1.9	HIKO NE
23	16:36:58	37.575	115.023		7.80		256	AD	1.1		HIKO SE
23	18:13: 4	36.781	115.843	1.1	-0.25	1.8	172	80		0.9	USBAHDY ME
25	16:35: 7		115.636	0.3	1.77	1.8	126	AD		6.8	MERCURY NE Hiko ne
25	18:30:15	36.799	116.285	0.6	2.25	1.3	95	AB		1.0	JACKASS FLATS
26	8:24:11	37.715	114.794		7.00++		294	AD		1.1	PAHROC SPRING NE
26 27	10:31:22 5:12:50	37.700 37.638	115.654 115.344	6.4	2.26 7.53	1.8	114 267	AD AD		1.2	HIKO HE
	0.12.50	07.000	110.077		7.03		207	AU		0.9	MT IRISH
27	19:13:38	37.250	115.814	0.7	3.17+		208	CD		1.5	ALAMO SE
29	6:14:59	37.256	115.802	1.1	7.26	2.5	288	BD		1.4	ALAMO SE
29 36	8:14:23 4:17:25	36.979 36.676	116.398 116.236	0.3 0.4	-0.86 -0.11•	6.4	84 154	AB CC		1.1	TOPOPAH SPRING NW
36	5:34:56	37.692	115.830	8.9	0.27•		119	CB		2.1	SPECTER RANGE NW THE HIKO NE
30	5:55:58	37.679	114.898		12.17		153	AD		1.2	PAHROC SPRING
30	6. 0.13	17									
DEC 1	6: 6:13 1:41: 8	37.663 37.781	115.855 115.842	8.3	7.00++ 3.99	2.1	178 118	AD BD		1.0	HIKO NE HIKO NE
2	8:47:28	37.350	115.289	0.6	4.94	8.7	188	CC		1.5	BADGER SPRING
2	13:41:12	35.979	116.828		7.00 **		173	AD	1.1	1.1	WINGATE WASH
2	6:23:59	37.296	115.159		7.88**		197	AD		1.2	ALAMO
3	14:17: 8	37.259	114.567	1.8	3.47	3.6	234	BD		1.8	ELGIN
3	18:26:53	36.380	118.672	3.4	3.97	3.4	254	CO		2.6	REGIONAL
3	18:36:25	37.923	115.274		7.00**		284	AD		1.2	***QUAD. NOT LISTED***
5	2:25:48		117.484	8.7	2.73	2.3	151	BC		1.5	UBEHEBE CRATER
5 5	19:57:56 22:33:68	37.634 37.176	115.054 117.422		7.60 • • 8.25		205 128	AD		1.1	HIKO NE
š	15:39:17		116.818	1.8	2.38+		244	AD CB		1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER Cactus Peak
_											
7	1:48:24	36.688	116.423	0.4	1.99	1.6	145	AC		1.1	LATHROP WELLS NW
ź	2:40:49 9:43:52	37.122 36.128	117.303 114.678	2.6	7.88** 6.84	1.8	177 275	AB CD		8.8 2.7	UBEHEBE CRATER Henderson
7	18:11:17	36.552	115.961		29.67		185	80		0.8	MERCURY SW
10	20: 0: 7	36.854	116.395	8.4	7.888L	8.7	69	AA		1.1	TOPOPAH SPRING SW
13	10:59:66	36.393	116.958	0.7	5.66	2.5	144	8 C		1.4	FURNACE CREEK
14	2: 4:28	38.471	115.529	10.0	11.77	4.2	286	00		2.1	TROY CANYON
14	20:21:16		117.344	0.4	6.69	1.8	115	AC		1.3	UBEHEBE CRATER
15	10:54:38	37.802	118.057	9.9	2.90 •		295	DD		1.6	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
16 17	8:15:15 20:17:47	37.163 36.725	117.969 116.304		3.24		263	AD		1.1	WAUCOBA SPRING
18	4: 8:24		117.283	0.6	7.00** 3.07*		141 93	AD CC		0.9 1.1	STRIPED HILLS STONEWALL PASS
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•	••		•••	Transmitte 1 A33
19	4:31:15	37.874	116.928	1.6	8.79+		47	DC	3.1		YUCCA FLAT
19 19	17:38:48 17:41:54	36.819 36.881	115.423 115.412	8.4	3.61 7.60••	5.7	117 295	CC	2.5		DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
19	18:14:19	36.817	115.405	0.6	7.86+		113	AD CC		1.6	DOG BONE LAKE NORTH DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
19	19:18:55	36.672	117.410		25.38		221	80		1.4	MARBLE CANYON
19	22:21:47	36.617	115.469	6.4	2.93•		113	CC		1.6	DOG BONE LAKE SOUTH
20	18:14:56	37.709	115.069	0.8	8.97+		111	CD		1.6	HIKO NE
28	19:47:47		115.614	8.6	0.35+		114			1.7	GROOM RANGE NE
28	28:14:59	37.214	116.610	1.4	-0.17	1.8	113	BB		1.3	THIRSTY CANYON NE
21	9: 3:51	36.443	115.778	0.6	4.29	8.4	97	CC		1.6	MT STIRLING
21 21	19:14:36 22:38:26		115.634	1.1	4.88+ 7.88++		115 158	CD		1.2	FALLOUT HILLS NW
	22.30.20	30.013	117.369		7.00		136	AD	0.7	1.1	TIN MTH
22	5: 2:26		115.988	0.4	4.85	3.6	145	BC		1.7	FRENCHMAN FLAT
	14:47:49		116.941		24.45		313	AD		2.4	BENNETTS WELL
22 23	16:16:53 18:19:60		115.969 117.936	4.2	3.48 2.62+		227 319	AB CD		1.8 2.1	FRENCHMAN FLAT Inyokern
24	6: 2:55		114.757		2.02		220	AD		1.3	PAHROC SPRING SE
24	3:58:55		117.966		6.67		315	AD		2.3	INYOKERN
	4.84.44	38 300	447		7 00		000				MYAYERN
26 26	1:54:44	35.728 35.822	117.803 117.681	6.1 4.4	3.26 13.81	2.9 1.3	292 361	DD CD		2.5 2.2	INYOKERN Mountain springs canyon
	12: 8:54		115.043		6.67	1.5	116	AD		1.4	HIKO NE
26	12:13: 9	37.694	115.849		1.91		149	AD		1.5	HIKO NE
26	16:45:36		117.395		4.67		234	AD		1.6	MARBLE CANYON
27	6:4V:Z8	37.629	115.103		7.80 • •	~~~	187	AD		1.2	HIKO NE

1982 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

				HORIZ		YERT	AZI				
	- TIME TC)	(DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	ERROR (KM)	GAP (DEG)	OUAL	Mq	Mbla	QUADRANGLE
(0	10)	(DEG. N)	(550. 4)	(~-)	(~~/	(~~/	(000)	Anve			40,000,000
DEC 27	8:56:33	37.448	117.203	8.1	0.69	4.1	133	BD		1.0	STONEWALL PASS
27	23:22:25	38.822	116.628		~8.54BL		244	AD		0.7	BARE MIN
27	23:38:14		116.282		7.80**		197	AD		1.0	STRIPED HILLS
28	0:36:45	36.855	115.994		7.00**		205	AD		1.0	FRENCHMAN FLAT Frenchman Flat
28 28	1:18: 4 2:26:43		115.938 117.864	9.4 1.0	4.36 5.39	3.8 3.7	168 287	8C 80		1.8	SOLDIER PASS
20	2:20:43	37.230	117.504	1.0	3.05	J.,	207	-			30201011 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
28	7:28:22	35.736	117.815	3.9	6.81	1.4	303	CD		2.5	INYOKERN
28	8:42:23		116.316	5.6	1.65	1.1	312	DD		0.5	LATHROP WELLS SE
28	29: 9:54		116.182	2.3	12.25	3.4	243	80		1.0	SPECTER RANGE NW
28	20:39:13		117.814	39.1	-1.05+		330 292	DD CD		2.4	INYCKERN TRONA
28 29	23:27:43 13:12: 0		117.259 117.463		11.32		124	AD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	13:12: 0	37.552	117.403		1.23		,,,	~~		•••	moniceomn ich.
29	14:52:55	37.552	117.487	0.2	4.76	1.1	189	AC		1.5	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	16:17: 1		117.466	9.5	1.94	1.4	189	AC		1.8	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	19: 8:22		117.493		3.89		195	AD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	22: 8:28		117.476	0.4	-0.89+		114	CC		1.6	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29 29	22: 6:30 22:11: 9		117.465 117.596	8.3	5.67 7.80**	1.6	86 217	AC AD		1.9	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW Lida wash
29	22:11: 9	37.332	117.300		,		• • •	~0			Cion Anon
29	22:15:20	37.555	117.469	0.4	0.38+		110	CC		1.3	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	22:55:32		117.466	0.2	4.38	1.5	189	AD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
29	23:23:13		117.469	9.4	2.46	1.0	106	AD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
39	6:18:58		117.462	1.1	4.01	9.2	124	CD AC		1.3	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW Montezuma Peak Sw
30 30	7:31:28 7:36:51		117.467 117.467	0.2 0.3	2.17 -9.52	9.6 7.9	110	CC		1.3	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
30	7:30.31	37.336	117.407	•.5	-4.54		•	-			
39	7:54: 6		117.537	5.5	10.83	6.6	237	DD		1.1	LIDA WASH
30	8:29: 5		117.483	1.3	5.27	4.1	187	80		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
38	9:27:28		117.475	0.5	4.07	3. t	107 233	BC		1.2	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW HIKO NE
39 39	9:36:28 10:39:36		115.812 115.869		4.80 -0.37		159	AD AD		1.1	HIKO NE
30	14:12: 3		117.467	9.4	2.46	8.9	110	ĀC		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
•				• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
39	15:11: 1		117.524	7.4	11.16	7.7	228	DD		1.4	LIDA WASH
38	15:20:18		117.483	1.5	5.03	3.8	184	BD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
30	16: 5:56		117.478	4.8	3.37		289	CD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
39	16: 9:29		117.482 117.484		7.00**		195 205	AD AD		1.1	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW Montezuma Peak Sw
39 38	16:13:17 18:19:32		117.485		1.37		123	AD		1.5	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
30	10.15.32	37.348	117.400								
39	18:45:41		117.479		7.09+4		199	AD		1.1	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
31	1:27:24		117.462		3.95		124	AD		1.4	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
31	2: 8:16		117.802		5.03		309	AD		1.9	LITTLE LAKE
31 31	15:43:18 16:30:57		117.397 117.384	9.4	5.17 7.88••	2.5	93 278	BC AD		1.0	UBEHEBE CRATER Maturango.
31	19:50:57		117.304	7.8	8.18	2.1	303	DD	3.0		INYCKERN
31	15.36: 7	35.717	,,,,,,,,,	,.,							
31	19:52:33		117.649	8.2	2.66	4.1	292	DD		9.8	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
31	23:57:15	36.781	115.839		11.95		239	AD	9.3	-0.7	FRENCHMAN LAKE SE

1983 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

DA	TE -	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HOR I Z ERROR	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I GAP				
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	ONVE	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
JAN	1	21:47:55	36.467	116.576	0.5	18.11	1.5	269	AD		1.4	RYAN
	1	22:21:19		117.725	1.6	4.03+		394	CD		1.9	MOUNTAIN SPRINGS CANYON
	2	6:38:27 6:46:27		116.573 116.578	0.9 6.5	10.40 7.66	1.9 3.6	278 185	AD BC		1.6	RYAN
	2	3:51:58		116.574	8.5	11.16	1.3	269	AD		1.4	BIG DUNE Ryan
	2	5:16:39		116.582	0.3	2.54	1.7	186	AC		1.3	BIG DUNE
	2	5:35:10	36.491	116.588	1.1	3.75+		262	CD		1.1	RYAN
	2	7:57:58		116.586	0.3	0.05	0.5	109	AC		1.4	BIG DUNE
	2	16:32:20		116.569	0.2	5.47	1.7	95	AC		2.6	BIG DUNE
	2 3	19:36:35 5:58:60		116.567 116.577	6.4 1.6	6.15 4.44	3.5 9.8	152 233	BC CD		1.4	BIG DUNE BIG DUNE
	3	7:21:46		117.011	0.8	4.37	4.2	282	BD		1.7	MANLY PEAK
	3	9: 9:58	35.915	147 047				074	-00			
	3	10:31:47		117.013 117.014	1.8 8.8	6.75 1.77	7.8 1.1	271 282	CD AD		1.7 1.8	MANLY PEAK Manly Peak
	3	17:39:44	36.500	116.568	0.2	4.77	1.4	88	AC		2.4	BIG DUNE
	3 4	19:18:41		116.327	6.7	-6.95	6.7	128	AB		1.1	STRIPED HILLS
	4	6:38: 4 6:38:31		117.761 117.872	6.1 3.6	-1.18 13.19	4.4	312 0	DD D	0.0 0.0		INYOKERN Coaldale ne
										•••		TONEDHEE HE
	4	13:17:43 14:58:12		117.079 116.582	0.3 0.3	-0.92+ 8.23	2.1	133 119	CD BC		1.2	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	4	20: 2: 7		116.289	0.6	6.81	8.8	186	AD		-1.3	BIG DUNE BUCKBOARD MESA
	5	6:45:45	37.218	117.369	1.9	18.40	1.7	248	BD			UBEHEBE CRATER
	5	9:17:15		117.825	1.8	7.71	2.2	169	BC		3.2	PIPER PEAK
	7	17:59:49	37.899	114.970	8.7	4.29	1.1	250	AD		1.2	DEADMAN SPRING
	8	3:37:19		116.353	8.2	8.92	8.9	102	AC		1.8	SILENT CANYON NE
	8	6:52: 1 14:54:16	37.063 36.497	116.311 116.569	8.5 0.3	6.21 -0.71	0.8 0.5	226 186	AD AC		1.1	BUCKBOARD MESA
	š	19:31: 6		116.092	8.3	-0.59	0.5	99	AC		1.5	RYAN Camp desert rock
	8	26:57:51		116.941	6.2	5.24	1.6	136	AC		1.3	SPRINGDALE
	9	23:12:28	36.495	116.557	8.4	12.49	1.2	126	AC		1.4	RYAN
	10	15:12:54		117.048	0.3	12.25	0.5	124	AB		1.6	EMIGRANT CANYON
	16 16	19:51:41 19:53:57	36.851 36.720	116.194	0.7	8.78	8.9	138	AB		1.1	SKULL MTN
	18	22:51: 3		116.334 117.386	8.9 0.5	-1.05 13.35	1.2 1.2	135 124	AB AB		1.0	STRIPED HILLS UBEHEBE CRATER
	10	23:27:46		114.759	3.9	3.48	2.6	272	CD		2.3	BOULDER BEACH
	11	18:36:11	36.196	117.608	6.3	7.11+		279	DD		1.5	COSO PEAK
	11	23:28:45	36.583	116.722	1.2	9.45	4.3	331	BD		1.8	BIG DUNE
	12	6:36: 5		117.551	0.3	0.61	0.7	71	AC		1.5	MAGRUDER MTH
	13 13	6:51:30 8: 2: 4	37.183 37.357	116.591 117.551	8.2 6.4	5.10 5.08	1,1	185 71	AC AC		8.9 1.7	THIRSTY CANYON NE Magruder Mtn
	13	6:56: 6	37.369	117.549	6.5	7.68	1.1	188	AC		1.3	MAGRUDER MIN
	16	7:33:43	37.378	117.555	0.5	6.93	1.0	185	AD		1.0	MAGRUDER MIN
	16	19:12:58	37.666	115.848	1.1	1.99	1.7	252	80		0.9	HIKO NE
	17	19:57:32		116.169	8.4	6.92	1.4	161	AC		8.9	SPECTER RANGE SW
	17	28: 8:13		116.319		7.00 **		319	AD		8.8	JACKASS FLATS
	17 20	26:28:28 21: 6:47		116.169 116.756	0.6 8.7	11.07 10.80	1.2 1.8	172 142	AC AC		1.4	SPECTER RANGE SW Bullfrog
	21		37.178	117.364	0.6	10.89	1.7	148	AC		1.3	UBENEBE CRATER
	21	8:40:35	37.286	117.598	8.4	18.79	8.6	164	AD		1.1	MAGRUDER MIN
	24	12: 5:48		116.168	0.2	3.05+		157	ĈĊ		1.5	SPECTER RANGE SW
	24	12:19:20		116.174	0.5	2.83	1.7	162	AC		8.6	SPECTER RANGE SW
	24 24	12:28: 1 22:16: 2		116.178	6.4 6.4	5.76	1.5	168	AC AC	6.6	1.3	SPECTER RANGE SW
	25	5:22:14		116.152 116.183	2.7	5.73 0.86	1.8 1.5	176 288	CD		1.2	SPECTER RANGE SW Specter range SW
	25	21: 4:58	37.087	117.833	8.9	3.68+		258	CD		1.6	WAUCOBA SPRING
	26	20:31:39		116.244	~	7.60++		231	AD		1.5	BELTED PEAK
	28	2:31:55	36.096	116.501	8.7	23.54+		302	DD		1.8	FUNERAL PEAK
	28	23: 2:55		116.323	3.4	-0.49	2.0	227	CD		1.0	STRIPED HILLS
	30 31	2: 7:52 16:13:10		116.215 115.206	8.6 8.3	7.00 6.13+	5.6	134 338	CC DD		1.7 2.8	REVEILLE Las vegas nw
FEB	1	8:24:11 17:48:48		115.839 117.752	1.4 2.8	4.97 5.89	4.1	238 286	BD CD		1.6 2.6	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE Little Lake
	i	28:47:52		116.563	9.3	5.59	9.3	164	CC	1.5		RYAN
	1	23:24: 9		116.628	8.6	0.668L	6.4	114	AB		8.4	BARE MIN
	1 2	23:42:14 2:57:29		116.194 116.578	8.7	7.00** 8.43	1.9	214 269	AD AD		1.0	MINE MTN Ryan
	-											

	- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Mđ	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
,-	,		,	•		_					
FEB 2	3: 4:53		118.578	0.6	8.37	1.5	269 145	AD BC		1.4	RYAN GOLD POINT
2	13:29:23 13:32:11		117.320 117.344	1.1 8.5	7.00 2.14	1.6 1.2	99			1.4	COLD POINT
2 2	13:32:11		117.345	0.3	-9.15	0.3	135	AB		1.3	GOLD POINT
2	13:39:20		117.330	0.5	5.56	1.2	141	AC		1.4	GOLD POINT
2	13:44: 6	37.088	115.298	0.6	4.92	1.7	154	AC		2.1	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
_	49.40.44	37 000	115,167	9.5	2.49+		291	DD		1.5	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW
2 2	13:46:14 14:28:17		117.349	0.3	0.48	9.8	98	AB		1.4	GOLD POINT
2	14:35: 8		117.316	9.3	7.44	0.4	158	AC		1.3	GOLD POINT
2	14:39: 5		117.336	0.2	1.82	0.5	128	AB		1.7	GOLD POINT
2	15:18:21		117.279	1.0	9.28	9.9	210	80		1.3	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
2	15:59:58	37.398	117.339	0.5	0.33	1.0	136	AC		1.3	GOLD POINT
2	16:10:41	36.996	115,168		-8.48		292	AD		1.5	MULE DEER RIDGE NW
2	16:14: 6		117.273		5.81		220	AD		1.3	GOLD POINT
2	18:29:55		117.333	9.5	4.10	1.3	144	AC		1.7	GOLD POINT
2	17:15:56		117.261	0.6	7.08** 17.98	0.8	195 285	DD AD		0.9 1.4	GOLD POINT Stonewall pass
2 2	18: 9:41 19:10:23		117.131 117.875	1.0	5.38	1.6	198	AD		1.8	SOLDIER PASS
•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		******	• • •							
2	19:22:12		117.331	9.8	4.49	1.4	146	AC		1.3	GOLD POINT
2	29:42:54		117.341	9.3	2.41 4.00	1.8	99 156	AB AC		1.8 0.9	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
2 2	21:22: 9 21:49:48		117.331 117.627	0.5	7.80 • •		267	00		1.1	MAGRUDER MIN
2	21:54:52		117.290	9.8	10.15	0.7	199	AD		1.2	GOLD POINT
2	22:16:35	-	117.312	0.1	7.14	0.1	167	AD		1.3	GOLD POINT
-	0.00.40	37 740	117 754		5.65	2.1	154	9C		1.2	GOLD POINT
3	2:29:46 3: 5:26		117.334 117.333	1.9	2.85		152	AD		9.8	GOLD POINT
3	3: 7: 8		117.424	4.9	9.84	7.1	98	DC		1.0	GOLD POINT SW
3	3:54:53		117.349	8.3	1.65	9.8	99	A B		1.5	GOLD POINT
3	4: 5:32		117.319	0.5	7.52	0.6	156	AC		1.2	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
3	4:47:22	37.320	117.311	0.9	8.32	1.1	187	AC		1.3	COLD POINT
3	5: 7:37	37.319	117.314	9.6	7.77	0.5	163	AC		1.4	GOLD POINT
3	5:25:42		117.338	0.3	0.33	0.5	100	AB		1.7	GOLD POINT
3	5:48:51		117.315	9.7	7.52	1.1	172	AC		1.4	GOLD POINT
3	5:47:18		117.336	8.5 8.2	8.88 7.58	0.9 0.2	99 164	AB AC	1.3	1.4	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
3 3	7: 1:10 7: 4:14		117.313 117.339	0.2	1.69	0.7	98	AB		1.7	GOLD POINT
•											
3	7:51:22		117.358	9.7	2.08	1.7	129	88		1.4	GOLD POINT
3	8:14:21 19: 3:12		117.397	0.9 0.2	7.33 7.58	1.5	176 169	AÇ.		1.4	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
3 3	18:22:10		117.342	0.3	-9.39	0.3	73	AB		1.7	GOLD POINT
3	15:31:3		117.335	0.6	3.94	1.2	143	AC		1.1	GOLD POINT
3	17:48:21	36.777	115.954	0.4	7.56	1.2	153	AC		1.1	FRENCHMAN FLAT
3	18:19:4	3 37.321	117.316	0.5	5.98	9.6	165	AC		1.0	GOLD POINT
3	19:35:3		117.323	9.9	6.63	1.0	154	AD		1.0	GOLD POINT
3	20:55:		117.342	8.4	-9.26	8.4	98	AB		1.3	GOLD POINT
4	19:23:3		115.211	9.7	8.94	2.4	123	58		1.5	ALAMO
4 5	21:18:2: 9:34:1:		114.569 117.316	9.7	27.59 8.34	1.0	312 160	AD AC		1.4	ELGIN GOLD POINT
5	J:34:1	57.317	117.310	4. 7	0.54						
5	19:13:2	9 37.387	117.348	9.4	-0.25	0.4	134	AB		1.0	GOLD POINT
5			116.229	9.7	2.99	0.8	161	AC		0.6	TIPPIPAH SPRING Pahroc Summit Pass
6			114.998 114.852		7.00+4		278 317	AD BD		1.0 0.5	PAHROC SPRING SE
5			114.896		7.00+		294	AD		0.6	PAHROC SPRING NE
7			118.246	9.4	4.12	4.4	193	BD		9.5	SPECTER RANGE SW
_	44. 9.4		117 044	2 2	11 44	7.4	237	CD		1.7	WAUCOBA SPRING
7			117.941 115.586	2.2 0.4	11.44	2.8	150	BC		1.4	FALLOUT HILLS NE
8			117.953	1.2	1.54	4.8	244	80		1.5	WAUCOBA SPRING
š	9:54:2	9 37.252	114.873	0.9	15.98	8.8	231	AD		2.5	GREGERSON BASIN
8			115.846	4.7	2.86	1.7	200	CD		8.8 1.1	HIKO NE Specter range NW
9	20:14:5	8 36.713	116.204		15.76		302	AD		1.1	SECTER RANGE AN
10	21: 9:5	1 37.932	117.869	5.9	2.75•		293	DD		1.5	RHYOLITE RIDGE
10			117.577	3.5	8.14.		267	CD		1.2	UBHEBE PEAK
11			116.243	8.4	9.20	1.3	128	84			AMARGOSA FLAT
11			117.380 117.551	1.9	13.25 11.96	0.9 1.4	136 291				USEHESE CRATER Dry min
11 12			116.254	8.3	7.98	1.1	185				ASH MEADOWS
• •											

	TOTAL TOTAL SOMEON.											
				HORIZ		VERT	AZI					
DATE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE		DEPTH	ERROR						
(U	ITC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE	
-	•	•	•	• •	•	• •						
FEB 12	0:24:54	36.113	117.744	6.9	-0.29	5.7	311	DD		1.6	COSO PEAK	
13	10:39: 8	37.184	116.591	8.4	5.68	1.8	196	AC		1.1	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
13	17:28:24		116.222	0.3	11.68	0.5	107	AB		1.3	SKULL MIN	
13	17:34:19		116.596	8.4	2.18	1.2	185	AC		1.2	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
13	23:41: 8	37.196	116.585	0.3	18.43	0.7	168	AC		1.2	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
14	1:41:15		116.276	0.5	4.49	1.8	272	AD		1.2	ASH MEADOWS	
		00.004	110.270	V.0	4.40	1.0	212	~~		1.2	ASH MEADONS	
14	2:56: 5	37.148	117.166	8.5	9.94	2.0	130	AB		1.6	BONNIE CLAIRE NW	
14	19:17: 4		116.590	8.8	3.27•		256	ĈD				
16	1:21:26		116.253	6.3	4.66					1.3	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
16	8:26: 6					6.5	243	AD		8.7	STRIPED HILLS	
			114.669	3.7	3.15	1.5	293	CD		2.6	HOOVER DAM	
16	15:19:56		115.069	6.1	-0.58•		296	DD		1.8	LAS VEGAS NE	
17	1:43: 5	37.181	116.592	8.2	5.97	8.9	96	AC		1.5	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
4-	4. 0.34	** ***										
17	5: 2:35	37.686	116.136	8.2	4.66	2.6	117	88		1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING	
17	6:23:20	37.182	116.590	0.4	4.79	2.3	185	BC		1.0	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
17	12:48:12	36.481	116.151	8.1	5.68	0.2	283	AD		1.8	AMARGOSA FLAT	
17	22: 6:46		116.595	8.3	6.60	1.2	165	AB		1.2	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
17	23:32:16	36.684	116.220	1.5	5.82	1.2	285	BD		0.6	SPECTER RANGE NW	
18	8:37:45	36.825	116.549	8.7	-0.94BL	8.5	215	AD		1.2	BARE MIN	
18	8:38:48	36.838	116.372	0.4	6.61	8.7	111	AB		8.4	JACKASS FLATS	
18	8:58: 7	37.420	114.785	~~~	7.29		288	AD		1.4	DELAMAR	
26	6: 3:56	36.481	117.105		17.88		182	AD		1.2	EMIGRANT CANYON	
28	14:28:59	37.071	115.215	6.1	6.65	4.1	279	DD		1.4	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE SW	
28	21:17:31	36.782	117.283		31.98		235	CB		1.3	TIN MIN	
21	22:53:57	37.064	117.245	2.3	2.18	2.1	218	80		1.1		
• •	22.00.07	37.004	117.273	2.3	2.10	2.1	210	60		1.1	BONNIE CLAIRE SW	
21	23:52: 5	37.133	116.625		2 48		200	4.0			**************************************	
_					2.65		282	AD		8.7	THIRSTY CANYON NW	
22	2:46:41	37.163	116.597	0.5	6.86	2.3	103	68		8.6	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
22	16:12:32	37.643	115.050	1.3	1.94	2.9	1B2	BD		8.9	HIKO NE	
22	18:47:13		115.794	0.3	8.68	8.6	148	AC		1.4	QUAD. NOT LISTED	
23	3:49:51	37.801	115.786	8.3	7.93	8.7	138	AC		-8.1	***QUAD. NOT LISTED***	
23	7:12:36	37.805	115.791	8.6	5.16	4.2	92	BC	1.5	6.3	***QUAD. NOT LISTED***	
23	15:45:39	36.856	115.973	2.7	4.18+		231	CB		8.7	FRENCHMAN FLAT	
23	22:28:15		115.044	0.6	1.58	1.8	115	AC		6.6	HIKO NE	
24	4: 6:25		115.058	8.6	8.61	1.8	115	80		0.7	HIKO NE	
24	16: 6:48	37.168	116.590	6.5	6.51	1.6	142	AC		1.8	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
24	13:20:57	36.964	116.425	0.4	1.96	2.1	167	BC		0.4	TOPOPAH SPRING NW	
24	17:39:22	37.184	116.598	0.4	4.21	2.4	186	BC		1.4	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
24	19:26:14		116.233	0.2	3.86	0.3	264	AD		0.9	SPECTER RANGE NW	
25	19:42: 5	36.848	117.847	2.1	3.02•		269	CD		1.3	WAUCOBA WASH	
27	23:19:31	36.576	116.183		10.80		276	AD	6.5		SPECTER RANGE SE	
28	12:59:46	38.060	116.714		6.23		311	CD	1.8	6.3	STONE CABIN VALLEY	
MAR 2	16:48:51	35.959	116.228		1.63		254	AD	0.7	-8.1	TECOPA	
5	18:27:54	36.953	117.546	0.6	1.48	1.1	184	AD		1.4	DRY MIN	
5	23:57: 9	36.951	117.558	0.6	4.16	4.4	182	BD		1.6	DRY MTN	
7	5: 6:27		116.298	0.3	5.77	0.6	124	AB		8.9	JACKASS FLATS	
7	10:56: 5		116.604	8.4	1.97	1.1	173	AC		1.8	THIRSTY CANYON NE	
9	9:31:37		115.823	1.5	8.37	3.1	268	BD			MT STIRLING	
9	20:51:13		115.055	0.7	3.93+		115			0.9	HIKO NE	
10		36.754	116.248	0.4	5.56	6.5	123	AB			SKULL MTH	
•••						J. 4						
11	8: 3:1R	37.782	115.049	8.2	2.63	1.3	116	AC		1.8	HIKO NE	
ii		36.374	117.824	3.5	8.46+		274	ĈĎ			KEELER	
11		36.814	117.542	2.0	6.49	2.6	272	BD			DRY MIN	
;;	11:59:23		115.321	0.3	6.53	1.5	92	AC		1.5	MT IRISH	
11 13	22: 6:51 23:33:52		116.227		5.35		346	AD			SPECTER RANGE NW Jackass Flats	
13	23:33:32	36.000	116.267	6.6	6.84	8.6	165	AC		0.8	AUANNO LEWIO	
	0.00.75	37 447	116.316		£ 21	4 -	140				ANNONIA TANVE	
16		37.147		6.6	6.73	8.8	149	AC			AMMONIA TANKS	
16		37.228	116.325	6.3	2.69	e.3	126	AB			AMMONIA TANKS	
16	17:33:46		116.327	1.4	-0.95	1.6	269	BD			STRIPED HILLS	
17		37.966	115.376		11.48	0.1	237	80			QUAD. NOT LISTED	
18		36.745	116.687	6.2	8.38	8.6	122	AB		1.2	CAMP DESERT ROCK	
28	7:10:10	38.811	115.421	0.6	4.81	3.8	217	BD		1.5	***QUAD. NOT LISTED***	
. .											AG. A. U.S. A	
21		37.259	116.355	9.7	2.74	0.4	325	AD			DEAD HORSE FLAT	
	18: 7:46		116.239	0.2	9.94	8.4	92	AB			SKULL MTN	
	15:55:20		117.928	0.9	4.62	1.6	312	AD		1.9	SOLDIER PASS	
28	4:37:16		114.676	1.3	11.10	1.6	248	BD			SLIDY MTH	
			117.151	0.9	3.18•		294	CD			GOLDFIELD	
29	23: 1: 7	37.127	116.203	0.3	6.20	1.4	112	AB		1.1	RAINIER MESA	

					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DA		- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				6W.686W61#
	(U	TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	GUAL	Ма	MPIG	QUADRANGLE
	4.0	44.59.50	36.525	117.518	1.3	2.93	4.2	261	80		1.3	UBHEBE PEAK
MAR	31	16:58:58 3:15: 2	36.323	116.137	0.3	7.68	0.6	142	AC		9.5	SKULL MIN
	31	3:48:48	37.518	116.371	0.2	1.90	0.9	191	ĀC		1.2	QUARTZITE MTH
APR	1	17:59:28	37.837	116.123	9.3	5.23	0.8	126	AB		0.8	YUCCA FLAT
AF "	ż	8:45:38	37.419	117.187	8.7	4.04.		138	CC		1.3	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	2	13:45: 1	37.482	117.152	9.3	4.54	2.5	85	BC		1.5	STONEWALL PASS
	•		0					-				
	4	11:39: 3	36.510	118.591	9.7	9.61	2.7	118	BC		1.5	BIG DUNE
	4	18: 2: 5	37.167	116.778	0.8	19.43	0.7	202	AD		1.2	SPRINGDALE
	5	0:23:47	37.166	117.341	0.1	9.22	8.4	185	AB		1.5	UBEHEBE CRATER
	5	1:18:14	36.699	116.295	9.4	5.23	9.5	115	AB		0.9	STRIPED HILLS
	5	1:35:55	37.419	117.101	9.5	5.44	4.0	137	BÇ		1.4	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	5	11: 5:18	37.211	115.795	0.2	7.63	1.3	69	AB		2.1	PAPOOSE LAKE NE
	_											
	6	23: 9:14	37.522	116.363	0.3	8.69	1.7	121	AC		1.6	QUARTZITE MTN
	13	19: 8: 8	37.482	117.159	9.3	9.71	0.4	137	AC		1.2	STONEWALL PASS
	13	15:15:51	36.546	116.259	0.6	6.78	1.0	197	AD		1.8	LATHROP WELLS SE
	14	14:38:32	37.791	115.040	9.5	2.39 -8.55BL	1.6	118	AC	1.0	1.2	HIKO NE
	15	8:36:49	36.826 35.895	116.627 117.017	9.6 9.6	4.54	9.6 2.1	116 284	AB BD	1.2	8.9 1.2	●BARE MTN MANLY PEAK
	, ,	0:30.48	33.003	117.017	0.0	4.34	2.1	254	00		1.2	MANE! PEAK
	15	15: 7:39	37.197	117.596	0.3	9.54	9.5	154	AC		1.8	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	15	15:31:27	37.195	117.602	8.5	10.19	8.7	159	AC		1.8	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	15	15:37:59	37.197	117.601	8.4	9.78	9.7	155	BC		1.7	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	15	15:48:48	37.285	117.619	9.7	11.20	1.2	189	BC		1.3	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	15	15:53:38	37.197	117.595	0.3	9.55	0.7	153	AC		1.5	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	15	16: 4: 3		117.673	3.9	12.22	7.2	156	CC		1.1	MAGRUDER MTN
	15	16:46:45		115.042	8.9	2.28	3.2	141	BC		9.9	HIKO NE
	15	21:18: 9	38.997	117.574	9.4	2.99	1.5	182	AD		1.4	DRY MTH
	18	4:33:49	36.724	118.148	0.5	8.09	1.4	167	AC		0.5	SPECTER RANGE NW
	16	9: 8:44		118.031	0.3	-0.52	9.3	183	AB		1.4	WHEELBARROW PEAK NE
	16	10: 6: 1	37.067	118.946	0.3	5.96	2.8	93	85		1.5	SPRINGDALE
	16	18:46:52	37.056	116.946	8.5	4.57	2.5	146	B¢		1.9	SPRINGDALE
	17	15:38:58	37.283	117.738	9.8	9.83	5.8	279	DD		1.9	MAGRUDER MIN
	17	17:32: 5		117.732	8.3	0.39	0.5	162	BC		1.9	MAGRUDER MIN
	19	21:39: 6		117.107	0.3	11.12	0.8	174	AC		2.8	EMIGRANT CANYON
	28	3:36: 8		116.263	9.3	9.28	9.8	288	AD		1.0	LATHROP WELLS SE
	28	11:51:29		117.732	9.6	12.69	1.5	140	AC		1.9	MAGRUDER MIN
	21	2:45: 5	36.698	116.300	0.2	5.94	0.5	188	AB		1.2	STRIPED HILLS
	21	18:13:37		118.748		2.85		303	BD		2.0	LEACH LAKE
	21	22:37:28		117.734	1.5	4.33	4.8	166	BD		1.6	MAGRUDER MIN
	21	22:38:53		117.785		9.96		287	AD	1.2	1.1	SOLDIER PASS
	21	22:50:37		117.721		7.80++		208	AD		1.1	MAGRUDER MTN
	22	18:17:39		114.628		7.88**		299	AD		1.4	CHOKECHERRY MTN
	22	23: 9:48	38.826	116.657		-8.448L		282	AD	1.0	0.9	BARE MIN
	23	1:25:57	37.504	114.523	8.2	8.71	9.6	316	AD		1.5	CALIENTE
	23	6: 8:51		115.672	1.4	4.39	4.4	334	3D		1.2	INDIAN SPRINGS
	23	9:49:57		117.885	1.1	18.63	2.1	155	BC		1.2	EMIGRANT CANYON
	25	2:20:55		118.759	1.1	4.29	4.8	384	BD		1.2	WINGATE WASH
	25	3:21:26		118.735		7.88++		314	BD		1.5	CONFIDENCE HILLS
	25	5:48:25	35.998	116.834	1.3	4.56	2.2	185	BD		1.2	WINGATE WASH
	25			115.188	8.4	3.02•		216	DD		1.8	HIKO
	25	13:36:59		118.425	9.1	2.63	3.2	279	80		1.2	QUARTZITE MIN
	26	8:44: 4		116.785	9.8	3.95	2.5	284	BD		9.8	WINGATE WASH
	28	12:14:38		116.243	0.3	5.34	0.8	145	AC		1.3	SKULL MTN
	26	13: 1:60		116.245	9.5	7.34	1.0	111	AB		0.5	SKULL MTN
	26	14: 5:21	37.087	117.999	8.3	7.80•		245	DD		1.6	WAUCOBA SPRING
MAY	1	20:58:29	37.833	115.123		5.24		185	AD		1.9	WHITE RIVER NARROWS
mA1	2	8:53:48		115.123	4.8	1.56	0.9	327	CD		8.9	AMMONIA TANKS
	2	8:23:55		118.565	1.8	7.91	5.0	152	CC			BIG DUNE
	á	1:17:49		114.678		7.88**		288	AD			SLIDY MIN
	6	4:42:59		114.917	0.2	4.81	9.9	187	AD		1.1	WHEATGRASS SPRING
	6	8:21:49		116.899		7.80++		177	AD			CAMP DESERT ROCK
	-							- • •	,			
	6	11: 1:13	37.426	117.197	1.1	-1.15	1.6	197	80		1.4	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	7	1:55:56		117.100	8.4	9.56	9.6	89	AC			SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	7	2: 4:51		117.109	8.8	-0.52	1.6	119	AC			SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	7	3:18:49	36.830	116.052	8.2	7.98	0.3	245	AD			CANE SPRING
	7			117.462	2.0	8.89	3.2	170	BC		1.3	UBEHEBE CRATER
	7	23:47:53	36.788	115.892	1.1	13.48	3.2	187	80		9.8	FRENCHMAN FLAT

O.A	\TF -	TIME	LAT	1 TUDE	LONGITUDE	HOR I Z ERROR	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I GAP				au
	(ut			G. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	GUAL	Md	Mblg	OUADRANGLE
MAY	8	13:51:46	36	.441	117.062	8.8	16.57	0.9	169	AD		1.2	EMIGRANT CANYON
		17:36:31		.698	115.847	0.2	6.63	0.3	116	AC		0.7 0.9	HIKO NE Springdale
	18	3: 3:41		. 158	116:929	8.7	0.11	5.9	277 116	DD AC		1.2	HIKO NE
	13	12:14:36		. 699	115.048	6.3	1.75	1.2	237	AD		0.9	FRENCHMAN FLAT
		14:56:29		.761	115.968 117.576	0.6	7.80 • • 9.82	1.3	198	AD		1.3	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	14	3:18:21	3,	.164	117.376	0.4	7.02						
	15	6: 7:46	37	.788	115.042	e.5	2.92	1.2	118	AC		8.9	HIKO NE Dry mth
		11:55: 1	36	.964	117.536	0.5	5.66	5.9	195 279	CD		1.5	LEACH LAKE
	17	7:54: 6	:	.781	116.559	1.8	3.86* 1.69	0.9	64	AC		1.6	SPRINGDALE
	17	28:51:49		.667	116.947 116.782	6.3 1.9	1.83	2.6	319	BD	1,1	1.1	BULLFROG
	17	22:39:36		.682	115.047	0.9	2.56	2.5	114	BD		8.7	HIKO NE
	10	1,00,			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								NIKO SE
	18	5:28:19	_	.543	115.043		3.67	2 3	268 217	8D 8D		0.7 1.6	WAUCOBA SPRING
	18	6:57:44		.148	117.850	8.7	9.41 10.05	2.3 2.1	138	80		1.0	HIKO NE
	18	7:55:53		.783	115.637	8.6 8.4	8.19	1.3	183	AB		1.1	THIRSTY CANYON NE
	16 19	21: 7:25		. 183 3 . 233	116.811		7.66.		173	AD		1.1	BENNETTS WELL
	19	6:49:4		.355	117.538	0.6	1.86	1.5	94	AC		1.4	MAGRUDER MTN
		_					6.63	1.6	156	AD		1.5	UBEHEBE CRATER
	28	3:37:		7,168	117.489 114.876	6.3 8.7	3.66	8.8	194	AD		1.1	PAHROC SPRING
	20	9:51:	-	7.647 7.378	117.556	1.1	7.44	2.0	181	80		1.0	MAGRUDER MTN
	28 21	11: 2:3	-	5.161	117.176	0.4	8.82+		216	CD	2.5		TELESCOPE PEAK
	21	11:33:3		5.156	117.187	2.1	3.26•		225	CD		1.4	TELESCOPE PEAK Ubehebe Crater
	21	18: 5:5		7.137	117.347	8.2	6.13	1.0	114	AC		8.6	UBENEBE CRATER
					215	1.5	3.13+		268	CO		1.3	TELESCOPE PEAK
	22	2:21:		6.154 6.918	117.215	1.4	7.00	9.6	234	CD		1.7	WAUCOBA WASH
	22 23	18:48: 19: 8:4		6.927	116.250	2.1	4.29	3.3	150	CC		8.5	TOPOPAH SPRING
	24	8:53:		5.875	116.758		7.88++		302	AD	1.8	1.0	WINGATE WASH
	26	11:13:3	3 3	6.386	115.576	8.4	14.68	6.5	168	AB BC		1.7	CHARLESTON PEAK FURNACE CREEK
	28	0:36:6	0 3	6.443	116.922	0.6	9.79	2.2	159	ВС		1	
		17:28:3		6.996	116.414	8.2	7.99	8.5	34	AA			TOPOPAH SPRING NW
	28 28	17:33:2	-	7.002	116.436	8.5	8.37	8.7	199	AD		8.4	TIMBER MTN
	28	17:45:4	•	6.999	116.428	9.5	7.95	8.5	194	AD		0.4 8.3	TOPOPAH SPRING NW Topopah Spring NW
	28	17:47:3		6.999	116.422		8.40	8.4	285 196	AD AD			TOPOPAH SPRING NW
	28	17:51:5		6.999	116.429	0.5 6.2	9.53 9.19	0.5 0.2	223	AD			TIMBER MTN
	28	17:54:4	4 3	7.886	116.432	V. A		• • • •					
	28	18: 6:2	7 3	7.805	116.429		8.63	8.5	193		9.7		TIMBER MTN TIMBER MTN
	28	18: 6:4		7.884	116.431		8.86	8.4	262 261				TIMBER MTN
	26	18:19:4		7.084			9.00 7,33	8.5 8.3	51				TOPOPAH SPRING NW
	28	19:25:4		16.995 17.007			9.20	0.4	285				TIMBER MTN
	28 28	19:53:5		17.887 17.884			6.88	8.5				6.5	TIMBER MTH
	20	20.10.							••			1.5	STRIPED HILLS
	30	17:22:		6.688			6.85	0.4 2.5					STOVEPIPE WELLS
JU.		19:58:	• •	56.584			7.93	8.4					LATHROP WELLS NW
	3	13:17:		56.719 56.629			6.29	8.8				0.6	STRIPED HILLS
	3			56.991			14.11	1.9					DRY MTN
	4			37.381			6.74	1.9	101	. AB		- 2.2	ASH SPRINGS
						0.5	5.50	3.6	97	, вс	;	- 1.6	ASH SPRINGS
	4			37.383		8.3		1.6					ASH SPRINGS
	*			37.389 37.376		3.2							ASH SPRINGS
	4			37.409 37.409		-			196				ASH SPRINGS
	4			37.38									ASH SPRINGS ASH SPRINGS
	4		_	37.386		8.3	4.89	2.6	96	8 60	1.5	5 1.7	ASH SPRINGS
				37	115.35	9 8.6	6.15	1.6	130	8 AC			DESERT HILLS NE
	4			37.149 37.377		·		1.8					ASH SPRINGS
	8			37.663									THIRSTY CANYON SW MERCURY
	8			36.787	115.93	e						6	SPECTER RANGE SW
	9	1:27:	37	36.61	116.22			1.1				_	LATHROP WELLS SW
	9	1:29:	24	36.61	118.42	1 8.4	4,31	.			-		
		11:51:	48	36.70	1 116.16	1 6.2		1.1			-	- 8.8	SPECTER RANGE NW Badger Spring
	9 10	15:45:	35	37.29	2 115.36	9 6.5		3.					TIPPIPAH SPRING
	12	2:56:	6	37.01	7 116.24	7					-	- 1.0	SPRINGDALE
	14	16:56:	44	37.04	5 116.94			8.º		-	-	- 1.3	BARE MTH
	16			36.88							-	0	***REGIONAL***
	16	5 16:56:	33	37.50	7 110.00	•							

	- TIME	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
			447 000		03.30		185	AD			EMIGRANT CANYON
JUN 18 17	19:34: 8 5:18:37		117.099 115.736		23.79 7.00••		292	AD	1.9		QUARTZ PEAK SW
17	8: 7:54		115.131		7.00 • •		289	AD	1.1		ASH SPRINGS
18	5: 8:38		116.591	9.8	11.67	2.9	188	AB		9.9	THIRSTY CANYON NE
18	12:35:18		118.692	8.5	14.35	9.9	195	AB		1.1	THIRSTY CANYON NE
18	15:17:18	37.260	117.409		7.88++		191	AD	8.7		GOLD POINT SW
											0000H 1446
18 29	23:45:48 0:43:15		115.859 115.731		7.90** 15.29		159 178	AD AD	9.5		GROOM LAKE Charleston Peak
22	5: 0:28		116.035	0.4	19.04	0.8	141	AC		1.3	CAMP DESERT ROCK
22	12: 4:56		115.211	9.3	2.78+		114	CC	1.3		ASH SPRINGS
23	7:24:14		115.811		4.71		198	BD	1.2		MERCURY NE
23	7:54: 2	36.726	114.526		7.00**		356	CD	1.5		MOAPA
	2.62.11	** ***	445 444		0.23		152	DD	1.8		MERCURY NE
24 24	3:52:14 3:59:53		115.884 115.763		7.00**		342	80	1.9		MERCURY NE
24	4:44:32		117.720		7.00.0		183	AD	1.3		LIDA WASH
24	10:56:38		117.298		11.99		153	BD	0.9		UBEHEBE CRATER
25	2:37:44	36.698	116.305	0.5	2.01	1.6	109	AB	0.7		STRIPED HILLS
25	12:54:14	36.964	117.216	4.5	1.28	7.3	219	CD	1.1		GRAPEVINE PEAK
28	3:54:32	36.683	115.768	3.9	4.16	2.4	228	CD	1.3		MERCURY NE
27	5:12:46		115.179		7.88**		273	AD	1.1		OREANA SPRING
28	4: 1:38		116.498		-1.00		334	AD	0.9		SCRUGHAM PEAK
JUL 4	2:32:54		117.543	9.2	0.14	0.4	184	AB		1.5	LAST CHANCE RANGE
5	9:26:25		118.423	9.5	11.67	0.5	216	AD		1.0	SCHUGHAM PEAK
7	8:12:57	37.210	115.796	2.2	9.69	1.7	227	80		1.1	PAPOOSE LAKE NE
7	7: 4:48	36.672	116.305	8.4	3.80	8.7	134	AB		8.6	STRIPED HILLS
7	11:28:29		115.220	1.0	3.50	3.9	292	BD		0.6	SPECTER RANGE NW
7	15:23:58		117.528	9.7	4.84	8.9	177	CC		1.2	LAST CHANCE RANGE
7	15:58:25		117.572	9.5	7.91	1.0	110	AB.		1.4	LAST CHANCE RANGE
18	7:39:38		114.956	9.9	0.97	0.8	181	AD		1.2	DELAMAR NW
10	18:42:57	37.864	115.798	0.3	8.47	9.9	169	AC		1.1	QUAD. NOT LISTED
18	19:31:57	37.688	117.397	0.5	2.59	0.5	128	AB		1.6	SPLIT MTH
11	4:32:14		117.197	9.8	0.23	0.7	217	AD		1.3	MUD LAKE
11	22:34:41		118.649	8.3	-8.7281	. 0.3	93	AB		1.0	BARE MTN
12	5:44:19		115.937	0.8	1.87	2.6	98	88		1.4	WHITE BLOTCH SPRINGS
13			116.243	0.2	7.53	0.4	70	AA		1.3	SPECTER RANGE NW
15	18:52:57	37.298	114.864	9.8	5.89	3.2	224	BD		1.9	GREGERSON BASIN
15	22:26:58	37,839	117.717	1.1	2.06	3.8	188	80		1.1	LIDA WASH
18			116.216	9.3	8.32	0.5	143	AC		1.1	SKULL MTN
16			116.989	8.9	5.32	9.7	163	AC		9.7	MT SCHADER
16	8:53:44		117.537	1.9	1.69	7.5	122	CB		1.0	LAST CHANCE RANGE
16			116.215	1.0	8.20	1.1	278	80		0.3	SKULL MIN
16	15:13:28	36.449	117.102		-0.73		168	AD		1.1	EMIGRANT CANYON
18	19:13: (37.894	116.199	8.3	5.94	0.8	148	AC		1.8	TIPPIPAH SPRING
16			115.833	9.9	1.44	1.4	288	AD		1.8	ALAMO SE
17	1:33:29	36.988	117.618	0.7	8.26	2.2	219	B D		1.9	DRY MIN
18			115.049	0.5	9.48	0.8	141	AC	2.4		HIKO NE
18			117.421	9.6	1.68	1.0	153 343	AD AD		1.0 9.5	SPLIT MTN BARE MTN
18	20:33:10	36.980	116.632		9.9881		343	~~		•	WOORE BY
19	9: 9:1	37.284	116.083	9.3	2.36	0.8	146	AC		0.9	OAK SPRING BUTTE
19			117.411	8.8	9.15	4.3	168	BC		9.8	SPLIT MTN
19			116.265	8.8	-0.86	8.9	241	AD		9.5	LATHROP WELLS SE
28			117.111	9.5	3.28	8.1	105	CC	2.4		SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
29			117.117 117.028	1.5	2.91• 3.23		162 198	CC AD	1.5 1.3		SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
28	19:54:4	3 37.481	117.028		3.23		170	~0	1.5		3001113 05.1011011 112
20	13: 8:1	1 37.162	116.079		3.02		216	AD	1.1		OAK SPRING
21	15:33:	1 37.700	115.028	0.2	0.44	0.3	122	AB		1.6	HIKO NE
22			118.159	1.3	4.15	1.2	280	BD		1.7	***REGIONAL***
23			116.033	0.4	6.85	0.8	172	AC		1.0	CAMP DESERT ROCK Specter range NW
23			118.249 117.968	9.8 9.3	9.47 9.52	9.6 9.8	255 188	AD AC		0.5 1.8	BONNIE CLAIRE SE
24	1:51:1	3 37.184	117.005	J .J	₩.94	9.0	(44	~~		• • •	SAULTE APPLIES AP
24	21:38:4	2 37.763	114.998	0.7	8.24	9.7	187	AD		8.9	WHEATGRASS SPRING
25			117.924	8.8	11.37	5.5	249	CD		2.2	WAUCOBA WASH
25			117.384		4.73		198	AD		0.7	MARBLE CANYON
25			117.965	3.8	2.81•		267 296	CD DD		1.4	WAUCOBA SPRING Hiko ne
25 25			115.838 115.039	6.3 9.3	2.56• 3.45	1.9	112				HIKO NE
23	19: 4:2	J J/.0/3	113.039	V. J	5.70	,		~~	_		····

			HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
DATE - TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR					
(UTC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)		01141			5111 55 1 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1
(5.5)	(DEG. N)	(UCU. N)	(,,,,)	(~~)	(KM)	(DEG)	UUAL	MO	Mb1g	QUADRANGLE
JUL 25 22:27:	** ** ***									
		116.456	0.3	7.68	2.6	99	80		1.0	QUARTZITE MTN
26 23:53:		117.359	0.3	18.59	8.8	117	AB.		1.6	UBEHEBE CRATER
27 6:14:		116.212	6.3	4.63	8.9	76	AB		1.2	SPECTER RANGE NW
28 9:43:	25 37.324	115.259	1.1	1.85	3.8	112	BC	1.5		BADGER SPRING
28 22:44:	58 36.859	115.937	8.8	5.34	1.5	257	AD		1.1	FRENCHMAN FLAT
29 11:30:	31 36.809	115.876	8.6	7.96	1.5	205	AD		1.4	FRENCHMAN FLAT
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*******								I KENCHMAN TEAT
29 17:46:	3 36.382	117.649	6.7	11.75	8.8	122	AB			EMICRANT CANYON
29 23:24:		115.986	0.4	3.02+					1.3	EMIGRANT CANYON
						185	CD		8.8	FRENCHMAN FLAT
30 16:31:		115.027	8.6	7.53	1.6	225	AD		1.5	ALAMO SE
31 4:39:		115.040	2.0	2.34	3.8	209	80		8.7	HIKO NE
31 13:41:		115.186	9.6	7.60+		130	DC		1.0	ALAMO
AUG 1 7:35:	53 36.647	116.411	2.3	11.54	2.1	268	BD		9.6	LATHROP WELLS NW
1 18:28:	3 37.198	117.377	0.3	7.93	1.1	108	88	1.4	1.6	UBEHEBE CRATER
1 21:21:	41 37.712	115.815		5.39		233	AB		8.9	HIKO NE
2 6:21:		115.042	6.2	6.14	0.6	116	AB		1.4	
3 12:11:		116.144	1.7	0.91	1.4	236	BD			HIKO NE
3 14:17:									8.6	MINE MIN
		117.644	8.5	5.58	1.4	116	AB		1.2	MAGRUDER MTN
3 18:17:	47 37.393	115.213	1.5	2.77	6.8	249	CD		1.1	ASH SPRINGS
5 3:22:		116.353	1.3	6.15	0.6	267	80		0.9	LATHROP WELLS SE
5 16:23:		117.654	8.4	4.56	1.4	122	AB		1.3	MAGRUDER MTN
6 2:14:	41 37.862	117.484	0.6	2.94	2.1	131	88	1.7		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 2:17:	39 37.062	117.391	0.3	2.35	0.9	127	AD	1.2		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 4:42:		117.344		6.98		232	80	1.1		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 7:26:		116.885	8.5	5.74	5.7	119	CC			
•	• •••••	110.000	4.5	3.74	3.7	11.	CC	1.6		FURNACE CREEK
	40 37 040	447 744								
6 18:47:		117.386		3.52		151	AD	1.0		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 11:23:		117.466	4.0	2.15*		265	CD	1.5		PANAMINT BUTTE
6 14:29:		117.393		-1.86		154	AD	0.9		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 15:37:	58 37.067	117.486	8.6	1.45	2.9	131	88	1.3		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 16: 6:	46 37.062	117.369	0.3	-8.62	8.1	128	CB	1.2		UBEHEBE CRATER
6 16: 7:	32 37.065	117,393	8.4	4.19	1.9	127	AB	1.2		UBEHEBE CRATER
			• • •							ODENEDE ONNIEN
6 6:53:	29 36.745	116.262	0.3	3.58	8.4	163	AB		1.1	CTRIDED WILLE
8 18:48:										STRIPED HILLS
		117.156	8.4	8.84	2.5	127	BC		1.1	GOLDFIELD
8 19:16:		117.586	0.4	10.39	0.5	242	AD		8.9	MAGRUDER MIN
9 15:20:		117.575	0.5	8.50	2.2	282	BD		1.8	DRY MIN
9 15:47:	43 37.692	115.844	0.2	1.79	6.8	115	AC		1.1	HIKO NE
9 18:32:	50 37.761	115.006	0.1	1.73	6.4	147	AD		1.6	HIKO NE
10 18:36:	16 36.835	115.784	1.6	16.42	2.1	275	80		0.8	FRENCHMAN LAKE SE
18 21:34:		115.053	8.2	9.11	8.5	116	AB		8.9	HIKO NE
11 14:36:		117.403	1.8	7.00	8.7					
						191	AB	~~~	1.1	TIN MTN
11 17:26:		117.635	0.5	3.83	8.7	255	CD		1.8	SILVER PEAK
11 17:57:		117.421	0.9	0.44	6.8	288	AD		0.9	UBEHEBE CRATER
12 6:37:	44 37.487	117.144	8.5	5.69	4.3	185	BÇ		1.4	STONEWALL PASS
12 7:38:	21 36.379	115.809	0.6	8.43	2.4	177	8C		1.1	MT STIRLING
13 3:39:	58 37.159	117.376	0.2	8.77	8.4	185	AC		1.5	UBEHEBE CRATER
13 6: 8:	52 37.070	117.412		-8.67		132	AD		1.6	UBEHEBE CRATER
13 9:37:	32 37.880	116.392	0.5	-0.12	8.4	152	AC		1.3	TIMBER MTN
	25 37.845	116.484	2.4	8.97	1.8	287	BD		8.9	TIMBER MTN
	24 37.366	117.537	8.5	5.91	1.4	170				MAGRUDER MTN
			•••				~~		0.5	MAGNODEN MIN
14 21: 8:	49 37.068	117.371	8.6	1.75	2 2	4 * *	BC			HOCHER CRITER
					2.2	137			1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER
	24 36.783	116.247	0.6	0.06	0.7	88	AB	1.1		SKULL MIN
16 16:42:		117.546	0.6	6.19	1.6	99	88		1.5	MAGRUDER MTN
16 19: 2:	46 37.631	116.297	2.2	-1.61	1.3	237	BO		1.6	BUCKBOARD MESA
17 9:44:	21 37.734	114.723		7.80		280	AD		8.0	CALIENTE NW
. 17 16:17:		116.307	1.4	2.70	1.6	278	BD			BUCKBOARD MESA
				- * -					•	
17 28:38:	23 37.372	117.547	0.6	7.57	1.4	180	AC		1.3	MAGRUDER MTN
17 23:40:		115.047	0.5	8.21	1.2	121	AB		1.3	HIKO NE
		116.227	8.4	10.45	6.7	112	AB		0.8	SKULL MTN
18 7:43:		117.605	0.6	7.75	0.2	181	AD			LAST CHANCE RANGE
18 15:16;		116.259	8.4	8.14	8.4	97	AB		0.7	JACKASS FLATS
16 20:55:	51 37.665	116.258	1.0	2.65	1.6	267	AD		1.1	BUCKBOARD MESA
19 15:50:	33 36.854	116.235	0.2	11.13	0.3	165	AC		0.6	SKULL MIN
19 16: 5:		116.231	0.4	11.19	0.6	128	AB		1.8	SKULL MTN
22 5:52:		115.484	ē.3	6.29	1.8	88	AC	2.4	2.5	DESERT HILLS NW
22 6:32:		116.229	0.6	8.41	6.6	217	AD		0.6	SPECTER RANGE NW
				2.65			CD			
		117.575	2.6		6.3	272			1.2	LIDA WASH
22 23:46:	8 37.159	117.407	6.2	9.63	6.5	138	AC	v.5	1.8	UBEHEBE CRATER

DATE - TIME (UTC)	LATITUS (DEG. 1		HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	OUAL	Md	Mbla	QUADRANGLE
(3.0)	(550.	., (520,		(11)	("-,	(000)	•			
	3:15 37.52			7.00.0		301	AD	1.1	1.2	LIDA WASH
	3:19 37.33		1.3	24.87	0.8	158	BC	9.9	1.2	SOLDIER PASS
23 19:46			9.6	8.05	0.9	168	AC		1.3	SPLIT MIN
25 16:22 26 19:43			5.5 1.6	-0.13 8.99	3.8 1.2	283 332	DD BD		1.3	PANAMINT BUTTE Wercury ne
	1:34 37.08		0.5	12.88	9.6	300	AD		1.0	THIRSTY CANYON SE
			• • •		• • •	•••				
28 18:14			9.6	2.81	2.6	227	80		1.1	QUINN CANYON RANGE
	3:35 38.22		0.7	7.00	5.6	259	CD		1.8	QUINN CANYON RANGE
29 8:37 29 15:40	7:36		8.5 2.5	8.16 9.44	8.4 1.9	252 328	AD CD	9.5	9.7 1.1	STRIPED HILLS INDIAN SPRINGS NW
29 17:2			9.5	5.07	0.8	294	AD		8.7	AMMONIA TANKS
29 19:31			8.3	14.45	0.5	100	AB		1.7	FURNACE CREEK
										HOPHERE ARATER
30 10:23 30 10:20			9.9 9.3	4.48 -1.15	3.6 0.5	185 129	BD AB		1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER UBEHEBE CRATER
	4:47 36.66		2.4	7.88	1.3	182	BD		9.5	MERCURY
31 18:21				6.44		244	AD		9.9	PAHROC SPRING
31 22:40			0.2	7.23	0.4	58	AA		1.3	SKULL MTN
SEP 1 8:21	8:25 37.68	5 115.051	0.5	0.32	0.7	158	AC		0.7	HIKO NE
1 23: :	2:16 37.19	8 117.384	0.2	7.92	0.6	89	AB		1.7	USEHEBE CRATER
	5: 1 36.70		9.3	6.74	9.5	119	AB		9.7	STRIPED HILLS
	7:52 36.98		0.6	2.75	3.2	194	80		1.1	DRY MIN
	8:13 36.97		9.5	5.28	4.5	200	BD		1.6	DRY MTM
5 15:40 5 16:10			8.3	7.21 5.98	0.5 2.3	123 295	AB BD		0.8 1.7	SKULL MTM +++REGIONAL+++
3 16:10	8: 8 37.49	1 114.299	2.3	3.75	2.5	173	50		1.7	TTTREGIONAL TT
5 17:	9:28 37.45	6 114.294	1.5	7.12	5.8	333	CD		1.7	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
5 18:3			1.5	16.58	2.9	269	BD		1.2	DELAMAR LAKE
	4:57 36.76		9.4	2.90	9.4	185	AD		0.5 0.7	SKULL MTN Skull mtn
5 23:3- 6 2:2	4:29		9.3 9.6	1.37 14.32	2.8 1.9	172 134	BC AB		1.0	UBEHEBE CRATER
8 17:2			8.3	0.83	0.8	82	AA		1.5	JACKASS FLATS
19 12:4			5.4 0.5	11.67+ 5.35	0.3	252 281	DO AD	1.9	0.9	SHOSHONE Tippipah spring
11 23:11 12 7:31	5:24 37.88 5:42 38.59		9.7	4.81	2.9	285	AD		1.9	LATHROP WELLS SW
	8; 3 37.84		9.5	9.28	0.7	177	AC		9.8	BONNIE CLAIRE SE
12 12:2	7:55 37.23	5 115.817	8.5	5.40	2.6	213	BD		1.5	LOWER PAHRANAGAT LAKE
13 6:	4:54 36.94	6 117.844	9.5	2.82	2.1	231	80		1.3	WAUCOBA WASH
14 13:3	8:34 37.55	2 117.358	9.3	2.88+		84	CC	1.4		MONTEZUMA PEAK SE
	3:43 37.32		2.0	9.97	1.3	257	BD		1.2	GROOM RANGE SE
	9:15 37.76	4 118.116	2.2	4.75•		382	CD		1.3	REGIONAL
16 19:2			8.7	5.90	2.9	251	80		1.0	SPRINGDALE
16 19:5 17 8:4	6:37		2.9 0.4	2.22• 1.99	1.0	288 95	CD AC	1.3	1.7	***REGIONAL*** UBEHEBE CRATER
1/ 0;4	1:40 37.18	1 117.322		1.50			~0			obeliebe ourien
	7: 8 37.28		2.0	2.46+		201	CD	1.3		CUTLER RESERVOIR
	8:59 37.18		1.3	8.04	4.2	254	80		1.1	THIRSTY CANYON NE
	6:32		1.3 9.7	5.98 6.19	5.0 3.5	144	CD		1.1	HIKO NE Tin Mtn
21 15:5			6.5	19.71	4.3	266	DD		1.7	DARWIN
	0:59 37.81		1.4	9.45	2.5	215	80		1.0	WHEATGRASS SPRING
										501 AMAG
	7:29 37.24 0:53 37.82		1.3	7.00+4 9.14	3.8	264 224	BD BD	1.6	1.1	DELAMAR 3 NE DEADMAN SPRING SE
	1:40 37.41		1.5	4.19+		272	CD		1.9	SLIDY MIN
	2:25 37.59		9.7	8.50	1.4	161	AC		1.5	MONTEZUMA PEAK SW
	2:52 37.53		1.2	19.45	2.3	152	BC		1.3	PIPER PEAK
27 16:5	5:45 36.99	9 117.508	9.7	7.93	2.9	179	AC		1.1	DRY MTN
28 1:3	7:42 37.85	2 117.367	9.6	4.13	1.6	140	AD		1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER
	6:41 38.76		5.6	2.46+		317	DD		1.7	BLACK HILLS NW
29 18:1	7:50 36.60	1 116.769	1.7	18.29	9.8	289	BD	8.7		CHLORIDE CLIFF
	3:26 37.16		1.2	10.62	2.3	258	BD	2 4		VIGO NW GOLD POINT
	2:54 37.35 3:59 37.36		9.2 9.7	6.97 8.74	9.7 1.1	58 116	AA BA	2.4		GOLD POINT
1 10:3	Jr.J.		•	4.,4			~~			
	7:46 37.35		9.2	8.57	0.7	58	AA			GOLD POINT
	1:47 37.3		9.3	5.68	8.8	61	AB		1.8 1.9	GOLD POINT GOLD POINT
	4:58 37.33 0:30 37.35		9.5 9.5	5,39 5,61	2.1	95 69	88 88			GOLD POINT
	5:37 37.3		8.9	7.85	9.8	254	AD	9.9		GOLD POINT
	5:53 37.3		8.8	5.63	9.8	187	AD			GOLD POINT

									•			
DA	TE	- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	HORIZ	DEPTH	VERT ERROR	AZ I Gap				
		TC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mbig	QUADRANGLE
					• •	- 3		•		•		40101111022
OCT	1	20:35:12 21:20:34	37.347 37.351	117.289	0.3	5.25	6.5	211	AD		0.9	GOLD POINT
	i	21:48:35	37.321	117.287 117.442	8.2	4.82	8.7	117	AB		1.4	GOLD POINT
	j	23:30:12	37.443	117.300	0.7 3.5	11.96 1.56	1.8 4.9	144 258	AC		1.2	GOLD POINT SW
	2	5:28:47	37.661	117.682	1.6	6.83	5.6	199	CD CD		1.2	MOUNT JACKSON
	3	14:17:59	36.668	116.288	0.3	6.16	6.6	94	AB		1.3	LAST CHANCE RANGE STRIPED HILLS
							***	• •	~•		• • • •	SIRIFED HILLS
	3	21:56:26	37.354	117.273	0.2	4.68	0.6	119	AB	1.4		GOLD POINT
	4	2: 4:44	37.355	117.276	8.6	5.68	1.5	119	AB	1.4		GOLD POINT
	5	10:18: 1	37.419	114.721	6.6	3.89+		272	CD		1.1	SLIDY WIN
	5 6	12:57: 4 9: 1:33	37.496 37.702	117.321 115.047	1.2	18.68	1.8	179	BC		1.4	MOUNT JACKSON
	9	12: 6:55	37.167	117.623	8.4 1.3	2.03 10.05	1.5 1.6	117 287	AC BD		1.4	HIKO NE
	-				•••	,,,,,,		201	00		1.3	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	11	9:30:50	37.148	117.411	6.6	6.37	2.6	138	BC		1.6	UBEHEBE CRATER
	11	9:38:41	37.137	117.385	0.7	8.86	3.0	129	88		1.3	UBEHEBE CRATER
	11	13:17:52	37.134	117.399	8.6	5.10	4.2	136	BÇ		1.7	UBEHEBE CRATER
	12 12	9:25:54 13:54:15	37.187 36.295	117.408	0.3	6.67	1.4	118	AC		1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER
	12	15:27:30	36.922	117.174 117.851	0.9 6.8	3.94 11.13	2.4 3.4	241 267	80		0.4	EMIGRANT CANYON
	•		44.422				3.7	207	80		1.5	WAUCOBA WASH
	12	18: 9:36	37.323	117.285	2.6	18.72	2.5	194	CD		1.2	GOLD POINT
	13	6:48:48	37.285	116.362	8.4	-0.94	8.6	74	AC		1.7	DEAD HORSE FLAT
	13	8:34:42	36.926	117.896	3.1	7.00+		252	CD		1.2	WAUCOBA WASH
	13	8:51: 8	37.227	116.344	1.1	7.92	2.3	317	BO		8.9	AMMONIA TANKS
	14 14	13:26:22 20:16:38	36.978	116.294	0.9	5.42	2.2	193	BD		1.4	TOPOPAH SPRING
	•	20:10:36	37.290	115.495	1.9	1.26	4.2	257	BD		1.7	CUTLER RESERVOIR
	15	18:33:38	37.857	116.824	8.9	2.97	5.8	111	CC		1.1	REVEILLE PEAK
	17	3:24:32	36.780	116.677	0.3	4.72	6.8	113	AB	1.1	1.1	BARE MIN
	17	6:23:36	36.674	116.257	6.4	5.62	1.4	126	AB		1.3	STRIPED HILLS
	19	1:14:13	36.472	116.364	2.6	4.63+		327	CD		8.8	ASH MEADOWS
	19	12:36:21	36.976	117.546	0.7	3.17+		196	CD		1.1	DRY MTN
	19	19: 6:35	37.553	115.323	0.6	5.22	3.4	157	BC		1.5	MT IRISH
	20	0:14:45	37.465	115.531	8.8	8.53	2.7	134	BC			6000W 54W65 W5
	28	6:59:43	37.763	115.636	8.6	4.22	3.8	128	BC		1.6	GROOM RANGE NE HIKO NE
	20	8: 6:22	37.542	115.333	8.8	8.86	1.2	163	BC		0.7	MT IRISH
	21	2:25:43	37.462	115.534	1.1	2.49+		122	CC	1.4	~~~	GROOM RANGE NE
	22	9: 5:24	37.059	117.982	1.3	5.21+		287	CD		1.3	WAUCOBA SPRING
	23	21:13:26	37.365	117.566	1.6	8.95	2.3	186	BD		1.0	MAGRUDER MTN
	24	5:26:29	37.001	117.438	0.5	3.60	1.1	151	AC			HERMERE ARATER
	25	18:45; 9	36.294	115.511	1.7	18.48	9.3	296	CD		1.1	UBEHEBE CRATER Charleston Peak
	26	11:44:14	36.653	116.083	0.5	6.58	1.9	104	AB		0.5	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	27	11:37:48	37.195	116.342	6.9	7.75	1.9	314	AD		9.8	AMMONIA TANKS
	27	15:57:31	37.397	114.899	2.3	6.84+		255	CD		1.5	DELAMAR NW
	27	22: 5:36	37.211	116.485	1.5	3.78•		325	CD		8.8	SCRUGHAM PEAK
	28	8:27:13	37.462	115.549	1.2	8.15	5.3	136	cc		1.3	GROOM RANGE NE
	29	11: 4:51	37.819	117.413	1.5	-0.96	1.6	148	CC		6.9	UBEHEBE CRATER
	29	11: 9:48	37.625	117.419	6.5	2.66	1.1	141	AC		6.8	UBEHEBE CRATER
	29	15:39:17	37.023	117.433	0.5	0.80	8.6	146	AC		1.4	UBEHEBE CRATER
	29	15:42: 1	37.026	117.435	8.3	-8.26	0.5	146	AC		1.3	UBEHEBE CRATER
	29	16:38:54	37.027	117.427	0.7	5.65	3.2	144	BC		1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER
	29	20:33:36	37.866	116.227	1.9	13.67	2.7	271	80		1.0	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	30	6: 9:51		116.938	2.5	6.66+		179	CC			CACTUS SPRING
	30	7:22: 6		115.976	1.0	2.95	4.8	183	80			WHITE BLOTCH SPRINGS
	36	13:39:54		117.450	2.2	5.32	6.9	152	CC			UBEHEBE CRATER
	30	13:52:38		117.536	21.9	1.18+		267		1.4		COSO PEAK
	30	21:24:15	37.918	117.425	0.6	2.95	1.1	144	AC		1.0	UBEHEBE CRATER
NOV	2	16:39:34	36.817	116.281	1.6	9.98	1.3	151	AC		a =	JACKASS FLATS
	3	8:19:47		116.288	1.1	1.11•		277	CD			STRIPED HILLS
		16:36:16		117.282	0.4	5.16	0.9	120	AB		1.2	GOLD POINT
	5	9:41:38	37.356	114.733	1.1	4.98+		244	CD		1.0	ELGIN SW
	7	16:11:45		117.276	2.4	3.35•		273	CD		1.2	TRONA
	7	16:24:22	37.818	114.643	3.2	11.13	6.9	229	CD		1.1	DEADMAN SPRING SE
	7	20:32:12	37 603	114 667		4 44.		223	CD		1 6	DE1044 600:40 60
		20:32:12		114.857 117.191	1.3 8.4	4.64+	8.7	227 84	CD		1.8	DEADMAN SPRING SE Stonewall pass
	ŝ	16:31:15		116.862	6.6	7.33	1.7	159	ĂC		0.6	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	18	18:37:15		117.698	0.2	6.81	2.5	92		1.9		SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	10	12:22:26		117.699	0.4	2.54	1.9	168	BC		2.2	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	16	13:17:33	37.425	117.097	0.2	7.33	1.3	92	AB	1.7	1.5	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE

D	_	- TIME TC)	LATITUDE (DEG. N)	LONGITUDE (DEG. W)	HORIZ ERROR (KM)	DEPTH (KM)	VERT ERROR (KM)	AZI GAP (DEG)	QUAL	мд	Mblg	QUADRANGLE
		5.00.51		110 453	1.3	8.48	2.5	297	8 D	9.4	8.7	LATHROP WELLS SW
NOA	11	5:29:51 17:56:49	36.583 37.345	116.453 118.139	1.0	6.39	3.2	283	80	1.8	1.8	· · · REGIONAL · · ·
	12	4:16:43		115.678	4.4	4.87+		303	CD		1.5	CHERRY CREEK SUMMIT
	12	4:31:48		115.957	1.6	10.97	3.2	157	BC		1.1	PAIUTE RIDGE
	12	15:23:51	37.819	114.866	2.3	1.16	4.9	223	BD		1.2	DEADMAN SPRING SE
	13	3: 9:41	36.856	118.169	1.7	3.98	2.4	171	BC		9.9	SKULL MTH
	13	3:21:42	38.376	116.949	1.0	16.47	1.8	124	88		9.8	FURNACE CREEK
	13	7:46:52		117.897	8.7	1.55	3.2	93	BÇ		1.6	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	14	21: 0:51	37.678	115.360	1.4	-9.25	1.1	97	CB		1.5	MT IRISH
	15	1:37:27	37.059	116.120	1.0	1.89	1.4	255	80		1.4	YUCCA FLAT
	15 18	2:29:23 16:15:28		116.628 116.918	2.4 9.7	3.28	3.1	248 183	8D CD		9.3 1.4	BARE MIN REVEILLE PEAK
	••				•••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					·	
	19	3:58:53		116.257	0.4	5.39	1.0	154	AC		0.9	LATHROP WELLS SE
	19	11:58:28		116.883 115.704	2.8 1.9	15.62 10.30	5.5 9.8	343 282	CD AD		1.3	FURNACE CREEK Indian Springs NW
	29	11: 2:37	36.705	115.731	9.7	11.28	0.4	278	AD		1.1	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	20	13:17:18		115.715	1.3	9.60	0.9	281	80		1.2	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	21	2: 7:17	38.682	116.193	2.6	-9.44	1.8	282	CD		9.7	SPECTER RANGE NW
	21	4:51:25	36.795	115.747	1.3	18.10		275	80		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	21	5:22:12		115.731	1.4	8.78	1.3	278	80		1.2	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	21	9:28:25		115.982	1.5	7.41	2.3	288	BD		9.6	PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	21	13:55:42		115.741	1.0	19.79	1.0	276	ØD		1.0	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	21 22	14:50:18		115.711 116.431	0.8 3.0	9.86 5.85	0.9 3.1	281 252	AD CD		1.9 9.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW TIMBER MTN
	22	15:31:46		117.459	1.4	7.00	2.5	144	BC		1.1	LIDA
	22 23	19:42:57		118.948 117.284	1.4	8.40 5.29	1.9 2.7	284 86	80 80		0.8 1.6	CHLORIDE CLIFF MOUNT JACKSON
	24	11:35:17		117.271	9.8	7.88	9.8	120	AB		1.1	GOLD POINT
	27	8:21:54		116.892	0.7	14.27	1.1	150	AC		1.2	FURNACE CREEK
	27	2:58:29	36.708	115.887	1.3	7.76	1.7	287	80		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	27	9:54: 5	38,716	115.720	8.9	9.42	1.9	289	AD		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	27	14:32:28		115.719	1.3	9.72	1.0	289	8D		1.4	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	27	23:39:33		115.731	9.8	10.63	0.7	191	80		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	28	9:36:39		115.722	9.8	19.28	9.6	279	AD		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	28 28	5:58:48 18:42: 5		117.378 115.739	9.5 9.3	8.63 11.22	1.8	173 117	AC AB		1.2	UBEHEBE CRATER Indian Springs NW
					•			• • • •		•	•••	
	28	18:43:47		115.720	2.3	11.15	1.0	329	80		1.0	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	28	19:25: 1		117.914 115.733	1.8 0.7	4.72• 18.23	9.6	254 295	CD AD		1.1	WAUCOBA SPRING Indian Springs NW
	28 29	19:29: 2 20: 5:58		115.853	0.8	9.40	1.1	188	AD		1.4	MERCURY NE
	38	5: 4: 1		115.672	9.5	8.74	1.9	185	AC		1.2	GROOM LAKE
	30	5:44:36	38.793	116.432	9.3	1.94	1.2	295	AD		9.9	LATHROP WELLS NW
	30	17:19:33	36.388	114.885	2.4	-0.79	1.6	265	80		2.1	DRY LAKE
DEC		12:45: 2		115.728	9.7	19.59	9.7	289	AD		1.2	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	2	8:14:27		116.200	8.7	5.50	3.1	250	80		1.1	TIPPIPAH SPRING
	2	9:44: 7	7 37,165 3 38,935	117.338 115.884	0.5 0.9	7.77 6.30	2.1 1.3	159 33 6	BC AD		8.7 1.8	UBEHEBE CRATER PLUTONIUM VALLEY
	4		38.681	115.735	4.4	24.00		74	DA			INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	5	4:48:11		117.581	8.4	8.75	0.7	71	AA		2.8	MAGRUDER MIN
	5 7	10:52:35		115.725 118.149	8.8 9.7	11.03	9.7	219 128	AD CC		1.5 0.9	INDIAN SPRINGS NW SPECTER RANGE NW
	ģ	2:40:		116.255	0.5	-0.36	9.7	157	AC		9.5	JACKASS FLATS
	11	4: 9:30		116.978	0.3	-0.51	0.5	95	AC	1.5	1.8	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	11	6:14:48	36.715	115.729	9,3	10.96	0.6	172	AC		1.6	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	12	4:43:43	7 36.665	116.962	8.8	7.04	2.4	185	85		1.1	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	12	12:23:2		117.119	8.9	7.18	0.5	202	AD			BONNIE CLAIRE SE
	12	19: 3:59	37.129	115.281	9.6	8.72	0.8	241	AD			DESERT HILLS SE
	12	23:42:50		117.882	1.6	4.93	6.7	241	CD		1.1 8.8	SOLDIER PASS Tippipah Spring
	13 13	0:52:21 1:40:51		116.237 116.220	4.0 1.7	0.90 5.36	3.2 1.7	394 298	80			TIPPIPAH SPRING
					•••						- · •	
	13	6:31:2		116.251	9,1	23.70+		317	DD			AMMONIA TANKS
	14	18:48:40		115.748	1.3	38.53 9.58	2.3	195 131	AD BB		_	TEMPIUTE MTN HIKO NE
	15 17	21:54:39		115.911 117.725	2.6	15.88	1.1	187	CD			MAGRUDER MIN
	18	4:23:20		116.868	8.3	9.32	0.4	166	AC			WINGATE WASH
	18	14:17:18		114.829	9.5	9.25	9.7	229	BD		1.8	GREGERSON BASIN

1983 LOCAL HYPOCENTER SUMMARY

_					HORIZ		VERT	AZI				
0/		- TIME	LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	ERROR	DEPTH	ERROR	GAP				
	(U	ITC)	(DEG. N)	(DEG. W)	(KM)	(KM)	(KM)	(DEG)	QUAL	Md	Mblg	GUADRANGLE
DEC		6:14: 6		116.049	1.4	9.73	2.1	118	8.8	8.6	e.5	CAMP DESERT ROCK
	28	12:44:51	37.363	116.285	0.9	11.20	3.8	295	80		1.6	DEAD HORSE FLAT
	26	23:28:32	37.574	117.628	0.5	5.82	2.5	217	80		1.8	GOLDFIELD
	21	16:23: 4	36.950	117.616	1.0	7.89	2.5	217	BO		1.1	DRY MIN
	21	22:56:47	36.694	115.724	1.4	11.49	0.9	326	80		1.3	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	21	22:56:55	36.689	115.721	1.4	11.22	0.7	327	8 D		1.1	INDIAN SPRINGS HW
	22	1:56:47	36.685	115.767	1.3	16.63	6.9	363	80		1.1	INDIAN SPRINGS NW
	22	16:39:22	36.926	116.518		34.478L		237	80		1.6	OBARE MIN
	22	17:40:57	36.998	114.454	6.8	6.42	1.3	207	AD		8.0	TOPOPAH SPRING NW
	22	21:18:56	36.691	116.212	1.7	6.68	1.7	233	80		6.7	SPECTER RANGE NW
	23	5:12: 7	37.368	114.426	8.9	2.18•		299	DD		1.6	REGIONAL
	23	23:43:35	37.427	116.913	0.4	8.84	6.5	121	AC		1.5	TOLICHA PEAK
	24	6: 9:56	36.989	117.547	8.8	1.56	2.4	178	80		1.5	DRY MIN
	24	6:27: 4	38.345	116.435	3.5	0.34	2.6	361	CD		1 . 6	TYBO
	24	10: 8: 6	36.464	116.166	6.8	6.64	6.9	216	AD		1.1	AWARGOSA FLAT
	25	10:29:15	36.986	117.567	0.6	5.46	4.9	183	80		1.4	DRY MTN
	26	8:38:47	36.988	117.570	1.6	4.33	7.5	201	CD		1.4	DRY MIN
	26	16: 6:27	36.986	117.578	0.7	2.94	3.2	204	80		1.6	DRY MTH
	26	10: 3:12	37.012	117.561	1.2	2.65	4.6	236	80		1.1	LAST CHANCE RANGE
	26	19:56:32	37.188	117.861	. 4	3.17.		215	CD		1.8	WAUCOBA SPRING
	26	22:20:35	37.188	117.938	1.2	1.36	2.6	230	BD		1.3	WAUCOBA SPRING
	27	18:39:25	37.428	117.098	0.3	6.43	2.2	92	BC.		1.4	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	29	3:23:52	35.619	117.332	1.3	3.22•		291	CD		1.7	TRONA
	30	2:36:15	37.247	116.682	6.5	11.23	1.6	129	AB		1.4	THIRSTY CANYON NW
	36	20:26:36	36.769	116,099		5.56	1.8	166	AC		0.8	CAME SPRING
	39	23:34:30	36.507	116.387	1.2	0.51	0.6	276	80		1.6	LATHROP WELLS SW
	36	23:55:18	37.423	117.011	0.3	2.02	2.2	132	BC		0.9	SCOTTYS JUNCTION NE
	31	14:52:60	36.788	115.920	6.4	9.63	1.2	147	AC		1.3	FRENCHMAN FLAT

APPENDIX E

1982-1983 Focal mechanisms with table summarizing mechanisms computed 1979-1983

The fault plane solutions of Appendix E were obtained by selecting the best-fitting solution(s) from the application of the computer program "FOCMEC" (Snoke and others, 1984) to the ray data generated by HYPO71, and in some instances, to amplitude data. We plot data on the lower focal hemisphere using the equal-area projection (Lee and Stewart, 1979). The symbols represent first-motion P-polarities, and their positions represent the points where the HYPO71-determined raypaths intersect the focal hemisphere. The darkened circles represent impulsive compressional arrivals, the + symbols represent emergent compressionals, the open circles represent impulsive dilitationals, the - symbols represent emergent dilitationals, and the × symbols represent indeterminate or nodal readings. In the following figures the P and T symbols represent the pressure and tension axes, respectively. The X and Y symbols represent slip vectors for each nodal plane, and B is the null axis. Primed symbols are the respective vectors for alternate (dashed) solutions when they are presented. Some mechanisms are composited using data from several events that are clustered in time and space. Composite solutions are noted in each figure.

For several mechanisms, the information contained in P-wave polarities was not adequate to effectively constrain the nodal planes. In these instances, first motion P- and SV- amplitude data were gathered at selected stations, indicated by a large \circ symbol around the polarity symbol. The observed and theoretical $\log_{10}(SV/P)_x$ ratios and the difference between the logarithms of observed and theoretical ratios are computed for hundreds of potential solutions whose nodal planes conform to P-wave first-motion polarities. The theoretical values shown in each figure are for the "optimum" solution shown, having the lowest rms error and fewest polarity inconsistencies. If the difference between observed and theoretical values is greater than a specified limit, err_{max} , that station's amplitude data are not used in the solution and an asterisk is placed by its name in the solution table. We always set $err_{max} \leq 0.3$, corresponding to a maximum factor between theoretical and observed amplitude ratios of 2.0.

We reiterate here that the use of amplitude ratios obtained from vertical-component seismograph records is a procedure that is fraught with difficulties, especially that of correctly identifying the S-wave onset. A second difficulty is that observed P-wavelet amplitudes for raypaths approximately parallel to a nodal plane are rarely as weak or "nodal" as is suggested by simple radiation pattern theory (for example, see Figure E13). One possible explanation of the larger-than-expected P-wave amplitudes near nodal planes is that near-source heterogeneity may be significant, resulting in a smearing or averaging of compressional energy that heavily samples the fault zone (thus increasing nodal and near-nodal P-amplitudes and decreasing slightly less nodal P-amplitudes). Those mechanisms which rely on amplitude ratio information to constrain nodal plane locations are identified in the captions.

Southern Great Basin Focal Mechanisms 1979-1983

St, strike of nodal plane; Dp, dip of nodal plane; Rk, rake of slip vector; Tr, trend of axis; Pl, plunge of axis. ML, local (SGB) magnitude; Other, D=coda duration magnitude calibrated against ML(SGB); Tsm, type of source mechanism: 1, single event focal mechanism; 2, composite focal mechanism. Nodal planes: *, designates inferred fault plane. Rmk: Remarks, designated by *, means that (SV/P) s amplitude ratios were used to constrain or help determine the focal mechanism. Ref, Reference: 1, Rogers and others (1983).

Southern Great Basin Focal Mechanisms 1979-1981

Cata					Focal					-	Ŧ			Nodal	plane	•			1	'rincip	al ax	68		R	
log/	Origin_time	LUTC)	North	West	depth			Mor	<u>ebetia</u>	Moment			101			2nd						B			
Index	Date	Time	latitude	longitude	(km)	mb	MS	ML	Oth	(dyne-cm)	m	St	Dp	Rk	St	Dp	Rk	Tr	Pl	Tr	Pl	Tr	PI	Ref k	
1	1979-08-13	1823:38	37.238	115.029	7.6		***	•••	2.7D	********	2	355	80	-177	264	87	-10	219	9	310	-5	68	80	1	•
2	1981-12-28	2245:42	37.222	114.928	5.2	•••	•••	***	2.1D	*******	1	339	54	-172	244	84	-37*	195	30	297	20	57	53	1	
3	1981-12-26	1729:44	36.725	115.708	8.6	•••	•••	***	1.7D	*******	2	80	90	0	170	90	180*	35	0	125	0	0	90	1	
4	1979-08-17	1453:07	37.185	116.570	6.2	•••	•••	***	1.9D	********	2	266	79	-6	357	84	-169°	222	12	131	4	25	78	1	
5	1980-04-02	1820:41	36.860	115.961	1.3	•••	***	•••	2.2D	******	1	248	70	-20	345	71	-159	207	28	116	1	25	62	1	
6	1980-04-23	0408:40	36.874	116.162	6.6	•••	•••	•••	1.3D	*******	1	92	80	-10	184	80	-170	48	14	318	0	227	76	1	
7	1980-05-10	1103:33	36.811	116.267	0.8	•••	•••	•••	1.2D	*******	1	269	70	0	359	90	-160	226	14	132	14	359	70 .	1	
8	1981-01-23	0441:12	37.148	117.387	10.2	•••	•••	•••	2.7D	•••••	1	166	68	-169	72	80	-22*	27	23	121	8	227	66	1	
9	1970-12-25	1417:12	37.268	117.062	8.0	***	•••	•••	2.8D	*******	1	88	74	3	357	87	-164°	44	9	312	13.	167	74	1	
10	1981-03-10	2327:56	37.155	116.917	6.5	•••	•••	•••	2.2D	*******	1	89	80	0	179	90	-170°	44	7	314	7	179	80	1 .	
11	1981-10-15	0421:09	37.055	116.955	9.2	•••	•••	•••	2.5D	*******	2	168	90	180*	98	90	0	83	0	143	0	0	90	1	

Southern Great Basin Focal Mechanisms 1982-1983

Cata	······································				Focal						T			Noda	plane					rincip	al ax	68			R
log/	Origin_time	(UTC)	North	West	depth			Mag	itude	Moment			let			2nd		P			_	B			m
Index	Date	Time	latitude	longitude	(km)	mb	MS	ML	Oth	(dyne-cm)	m	St	Dр	Rk	St	Dp	Rk	Tr	Pl	Tr	Pl	Tr	PI	Ref	. k.
1	1983-09-06	1720:16	36.777	116.254	0.83	•••	•••	1.6	•••	*******	1	135	47	175	228	87	43	354	26	101	32	232	47	••••	~
2	1963-12-11	0409:30	36.662	116.078	-0.51	•••	•••	1.8	1.8D	********	1	341	71	-7	249	83	-161*	204	18	296	8	50	70	••••	•
3	1963-01-02	0757:58	36.502	116.586	0.05	•••	•••	1.4	***	*******	2	265	70	-177	356	87	-20°	222	16	129	12	4	70	••••	
4	1965-01-02	1632:20	36.502	116.569	8.47	•••	•••	2.6	•••	*******	2	91	60	3	359	87	150°	49	19	310	23	175	60	••••	•
5	1963-01-03	1739:44	36.500	116.568	4.77	•••	•••	2.4	•••	*******	2	129	52	-13	32	80	-141*	343	34	86	19	200	50	••••	. •
6	1962-04-09	0521:18	36.653	116.402	8.03	•••	•••	1.4	1.5D	********	1	84	45	0	174	90	-45	49	30	299	30	174	45	••••	•
7	1983-05-30	1722:09	36.688	116.270	6.05	•••	•••	1.5	•••	********	1	71	71	7*	339	83	161	26	8	294	18	140	70	••••	•
8	1963-05-28	1728:38	36.996	116.414	7.99	•••	•••	1.9	•••	********	1	5	62	-5*	273	85	-152	226	23	322	16	140	70	••••	•
9	1963-02-17	0143:08	37.181	116.592	8.97	•••	•••	1.5	•••	********	2	32	42	-113*	183	52	-71	34	74	286	5	195	15	****	•
10	1963-02-24	1739:22	37.184	116.590	4.21	•••	•••	1.4	•••		2	30	46	-104*	190	46	-76	20	\$0	290	0	200	10	••••	•
11	1962-06-02	1734:54	37.074	116.947	1.54	•••	•••	1.5	•••	*******	2	178	63	-14	82	78	-152°	37	28	155	10	240	60	••••	•
12	1962-08-31	2006:27	37.066	116.948	4.01	•••	***	1.3	1.5D	*********	1	200	82	174*	201	84	8	65	2	155	10	324	80	••••	•
13	1962-08-31	2056:36	37.061	116.947	5.19	•••	•••	2.4	1.9D	********	1	34	49	-24°	288	72	-137	242	43	346	14	90	44		•
14	1982-01-19	2344:43	37.151	116.940	6.39	•••	•••	2.3	2.1D	*******	2	86	76	-179	178	89	-14*	44	11	312	9	90	44		
15	1963-11-10	1037:15	37.426	117.098	6.01	•••	•••	2.0	1.9D	********	2	48	35	-138	175	68	-63	47	58	285	18	186	25	••••	•
16	1963-11-10	1317:33	37.425	117.097	7.33	•••		1.5	1.7D		1	274	62	-22*	15	71	210	237	34	143	6	45	88		•
17	1963-10-01	1032:54	37.353	117.280	6.07	•••	•••	2.5	2.4D	*******	2	46	45	-135°	171	60	-155	29	50	285	9	190	30	••••	
18	1983-11-28	1842:05	36.715	115.739	11.22	••		1.7	•••	*******	2	13	71	176*	105	85	19	237	10	331	17	118	70		•
19	1982-07-06	0210:43	37.698	115.037	2.56	••.	•••	•••	3.1D	*******	1	177	69	-167	83	78	-22	39	24	131	6	236	65	••••	




Figure E1. This focal mechanism is not well-constrained without the the $(SV/P)_x$ amplitude ratio data shown. Because 830906 17:20 is a very shallow-focus earthquake, the phase arrivals shown are probably refractions. No path corrections for potentially different SV-to-P attenuation along refractor interfaces have been applied, adding to the uncertainty of this solution.




Figure E2. Only first motion P-polarities were used for this mechanism. The dashed-line nodal planes represent an equally suitable solution.




Figure E3. This focal mechanism uses data from several shallow Funeral Mountains earthquakes.




Figure E4. This focal mechanism uses amplitude ratio data from one of the component Funeral Mountains earthquakes.




Figure E5. Data from four Funeral Mountains earthquakes were used for this focal mechanism.




Figure E6. This focal mechanism requires the information contained in the amplitude ratios to be well-constrained.




Figure E7. This focal mechanism requires the information contained in the amplitude ratios to be well-constrained.




Figure E8. This focal mechanism is for an earthquake on Dome Mountain, on the south flank of Timber Mountain. The strike and dip of the north-south plane are well-constrained on the basis of polarity data alone. The amplitude data help constrain the dip of the alternate east-west nodal plane.




Figure E9. Although all P-wave first-motion polarities are compressional, S-wave amplitudes are larger than P-wave amplitudes for this event, indicating that it is probably a predominantly normal-slip earthquake, not an explosion. $(SV/P)_s$ amplitude ratio data are helpful in constraining the strike and dip of nodal planes.




Figure E10. As in Figure E9, all P-wave first motions are compressional, and $(SV/P)_z$ wavelet amplitude ratios are helpful in constraining the strike and dip of the nodal planes.




Figure E11. Composite- of first motions and $(SV/P)_s$ amplitude ratios are required to constrain these earthquake nodal planes. Note, however, as in Figure E1, HYPO71 modeled the arrivals as refractions, but the amplitude ratio method assumes they are direct.




Figure E12. Fault plane strikes are better constrained than their respective dips from first motions; amplitude ratio data are helpful in constraining the dip of the nodal planes.




Figure E13. This focal mechanism does not require the information contained in the amplitude ratios to be well-constrained. The amplitude ratio data are included as a check on the method. The two stations that appear to have inconsistent amplitude data, CDH1 and LOP, are near a nodal plane.




Figure E14. This Sarcobatus Flat composite focal mechanism is well constrained on the basis of polarities alone.




Figure E15. Amplitude ratios are helpful in constraining the strike and dip of the nodal planes.




Figure E16. These focal mechanisms require the information contained in the amplitude ratios to constrain the solutions to the range shown by the solid-line and dashed-line nodal plane solutions.




Figure E17. Although this mechanism is a composite, the wide range of azimuths of compressional arrivals for the mainshock (831001 10:32) constrain the solution to predominantly normal slip.




Figure E18. This composite mechanism is fairly well constrained without the amplitude data.




Figure E19. This mechanism is constrained by first-motion polarities alone.

APPENDIX F

Stereoplots of southern Great Basin earthquake hypocenters at selected locations

For all stereographic plots in appendix F: (1) The two views are separated by a stereo angle of 1.75 degrees from positions 50 km above sea-level; (2) All hypocenters, regardless of depth error estimate, for the time period August 1, 1978, through December 31, 1983, are plotted as "x"s (feathered if a focal mechanism for that earthquake exists); (3) Edges of a hypocenter-containing box whose surface is at sea-level and whose base is at 10 km are dotted or dashed to help the reader establish a depth perspective; and (4) The page is at sea-level; shallower-focus earthquakes "float" above the page. Some figures contain faults and/or cultural features, plotted in all cases at a perspective 1 km above sea-level. Seismograph stations are designated as inverted triangles, towns as darkened squares, and roads and highways as double lines. Faults are plotted regardless of age. They are dashed where inferred or uncertain, dotted where concealed. For some figures, noted in the captions, we have not attempted to include all known faults.




Figure F1. (a) Stereo projections of hypocenters in northern Jackass Flats and adjacent regions. This region is the same as in main text, Figure 18 (a). (b) Stereo pair for southern Jackass Flats and Little Skull Mountain (LSM). Same region as in main text, Figure 18 (b). Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987).




Figure F2. Stereo pair for Mercury Valley hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 19. Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987). LVSZ - Las Vegas Shear and Flexure Zone.




Figure F3. Stereo pair for Funeral Mountains hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 20. FM - Funeral Mountains. AD - Amargosa Desert.




Figure F4. Stereo pair for Lathrop Wells hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 21. Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987).




Figure F5. Stereo pair for Striped Hills hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 22. Faults from Michael J. Carr (written comm., 1987).




Figure F6. Stereo pair for the Dome Mountain hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 23. Faults from Vergil Frizzell (written comm., 1987). NTS - Nevada Test Site west boundary.




Figure F7. Stereo pair for the Thirsty Canyon - Black Mountain hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 24. Faults from O'Conner and others (1968).




Figure F8. Stereo pair for the Sarcobatus Flat hypocenters, series b and c. Same region as in Figures 25 and 26.




Figure F9. Stereo pair for the Sarcobatus Flats hypocenters, series a and d. Same region as in Figure 27. Faults from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure F10. Stereo pair for the Slate Ridge - Mt. Dunfee hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 28. Faults from Albers and Stewart (1965). Faults shown are incomplete outside the immediate area of Slate Ridge - Mt. Dunfee.




Figure F11. Stereo pair for the Yucca Flat hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 29. Yucca Fault from Stewart and Carlson (1978).




Figure F12. Stereo pair for the Indian Spring Valley hypocenters. Highway 95 is shown. Same region as in Figure 30.




Figure F13. Stereo pair for the Pahranagat Range hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 31. Northeast trending faults from Ekren and others (1977). North trending faults, though numerous in this region, are not shown (see Ekren and others, 1977, or Figure 15, this report). LPL - Lower Pahranagat Lake. DV - Delamar Valley. Highway 93 is shown.




Figure F14. Stereo pair for the North Pahroc Range hypocenters. Same region as in Figure 32.




Figure F15. (a) Stereo pair for the southern Nevada Test Site (NTS, boundary shown) hypocenters for the 1978 - 1983 monitoring period. (b) Depth sections for hypocenters in the western part of Figure F15(a).

Errata for USGS-OFR-87-596

- page 1. Because the event of Mar 9, 1984, 17:18:29 was repeated with different origin times (see below), the count of earthquakes for 1984 should be 645 rather than 646.
- page 26. Delete hypocenter entry of Mar 9, 17:19:13 (Dead Horse Flat quadrangle).
- page 26. For the hypocenter entry of Mar 17, 17:18:29, change the date to Mar 9, 17:18:29 (Dead Horse Flat quadrangle).
- page 79. Figure captions E1 and E2. The 30°-dipping nodal plane has left-lateral slip (not right-lateral as indicated in the figure captions).

THIS PAGE IS AN OVERSIZED DRAWING OR FIGURE,

THAT CAN BE VIEWED AT THE RECORD TITLED:

"Seismicity of the Southern Great Basin August 1, 1978 through December 31, 1983"

WITHIN THIS PACKAGE..

D-01