

TXU Energy Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station P.O. Box 1002 (E01) Glen Rose, TX 76043 Tel: 254 897 5209 Fax: 254 897 6652 mike.blevins@txu.com Mike Blevins Senior Vice President & Principal Nuclear Officer

Ref: 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)

CPSES-200302511 Log # TXX-03194 File # 10010

December 23, 2003

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

#### SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES) DOCKET NO. 50-446 CONDITION PROHIBITED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 446/03-003-00

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 03-003-00 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 2, "Spray Additive System Inoperable Due to Mispositioned Valves."

This communication contains the following new commitments which will be completed as noted:

Commitment Number	Commitment
27303	The applicable Operations procedures will be revised as required to provide additional information on the operation of "knocker" type valves.
27304	Operations will also provide training during the upcoming training cycle on the specifics of this event.

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

IE 20

Callaway • Comanche Peak • Diablo Canyon • Palo Verde • South Texas Project • Wolf Creek

TXX-03194 Page 2 of 2

The commitment number is used by TXU Generation Company LP for the internal tracking of CPSES commitments.

Sincerely,

TXU Generation Company LP

By: TXU Generation Management Company LLC, Its General Partner

Mulu Blues

Mike Blevins

GLM/gm

Enclosure

c: B. S. Mallett, Region IV W. D. Johnson, Region IV M. C. Thadani, NRR Resident Inspectors, CPSES

A member of the STARS (Strategic Teaming and Resource Sharing) Alliance

Enclos	ure to	TXX	-03	3194														
NRC FOR (7-2001)	M 366					U.S	i. NUC	LEAR REG	ULATORY	COMMIS	SION	N	Parimeted	API	PROVED BY OME EXPIRES 07/	NO. 3150-0104 31/2004		P
	L	[CE	NS	SEE !	EV	ENT	RE	POR'	T (LE	(R)			Estimateu request: 50 and fed ba Manageme 20555-000 Informatic and Budge does not d sponsor, a	burden per respons 0 hours. Reported ck to industry. Sen ent Branch (T-6 E6 D), or by internet e- on and Regulatory / t, Washington, DC isplay a currently v nd a person is not	to compry win in lessons learned are if d comments regarding ), U.S. Nuclear Reg mail to bjs1@nrc.g Affairs, NEOB-1020 20503. If a means alid OMB control m equired to respond	is mandatory new incorporated into ng burden estima ulatory Commissi ov, and to the De (2 (3150-0104), ( used to impose i aumber, the NRC o, the informatio	of mation of the licensin te to the Ra- sion, Washi esk Officer, Office of M information may not co n collection	llection g process cords ngton, DC Office of anagement collection nduct or
Facility Na	ime (1)											- 1	Docket Nu	umber (2)		Page (3)		
COM	ANCH	E PE	AK	STEA	M F	LECTRI	IC S	TATION	N UNIT	2		1	0500	0446		1 OF	6	
Title (4)										<u></u>								
CON	DITI	ON I	PR	OHIB	ITE	ED BY '	TEC	CHNIC	'AL SP	'ECIF	IC	:ATI	ONS					
E	vent Date	(5)				LER Number	(6)			eport Date	(7)				Other Facilities I	nvolved (8)		
Month	Day	Ye	æ	Year		Sequential Number		Revision Number	Month	Day		Year	Facility N N/A	lame			Docket Nu 05000	mbers
11	02	0	3	03	Н	003	Ε	00	12	23		03					05000	)
Operating Mode (9)		1	This	20 2201	(bmitter	d pursuant to th	ie requ	irements of H	0 CFR : (Chec 2203(a)(3)	<u>k all that a</u> /i)	(poly)	<u>(II)</u>	50.7	3(+)(2)(i)(()	<u> </u>	50 73(a)(2	Vvii)	
Power			+	20.2201	(d)			20.	2203(a)(3)	<u>(ii)</u>			50.7	3(a)(2)(ii)(A)		50.73(a)(2	2)(viii)(A	<u></u>
Level (10)	7	7		20.2203	(a)(1)	)		20.	2203(a)(4)	<u></u>			50.7	3(a)(2)(ii)(B)		50.73(a)(2	2)(viii)(E	)
				20.2203	(a)(2)	)(i)		50.	36(c)(2)(i)	(A)			50.7	3(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2	2)(ix)(A)	
				20.2203	(a)(2)	)(ii)		50.	36(c)(1)(ii	<u>(A)</u>			50.7	3(a)(2)(iv)(A)		50.72(a)(2	?)(x)	
				20.2203	<u>(a)(2)</u>	<u>)(iii)</u>		50.	36(c)(2)				50.7	3(a)(2)(v)(A)		73.71(a)(4	<u>.                                    </u>	
المعترجة ا				20.2203	<u>(a)(2</u>	<u>)(iv)</u>		50.4	46(a)(3)(ii	<u>)                                    </u>			50.7	3(a)(2)(v)(B)		73.71(a)(5	5)	<u></u>
			+	20.2203	<u>(a)(</u> 2)	<u>)(v)</u>		<u> </u>	73(a)(2)(1)	( <u>A)</u>		<u> </u>	50.7	$\frac{3(a)(2)(v)(c)}{2(-v)(2)(-v)(c)}$		J UIHEK Specify in	Abetrac	+ helow or
			-+	20.2203	(8)(2)	<u>(vi)</u>		<u>                                     </u>	13(a)(2)(1)	(b)						in NRC F	orm 366	A
									Licensee C	Contact For	. This	LER (12						
Name								_						Te	lephone Number (la	clude Area Code	;}	
			Tir	n Hop	<u>e -</u>	Regulat	tory	Perfor	mance	Man	age	er			25	4-897-6	370	
Caur	e	Svs	em		ompoor	ent	Manuf	acturer	Reportable	mponent P	anure	Cr		System	Component	Mamufa	cturer	Reportable
·				+					To EPIX	╺┼┼	╀							To EPIX
					Su	polemental Re	port Er	spected (14)	N					ļl		Month	Day	Year
	E6									Τ-	Т			EX	PECTED		-~	
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	rs YES, con	nplete EX	PEC	TED SUBN	AISSIO	N DATE)					1	NU		D	ATE (15)		f	
ABSTF	ACT (	Limit t	o 14	00 space	s. i.e.	., approxim	ately	15 single-	spaced typ	ewritter	1 line	es) (16	)	•			<u> </u>	

On November 2, 2003, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, operating at approximately 77 percent power. At 0934 hours, Operations personnel discovered that two valves in the Containment Spray system were mispositioned. With these valves mispositioned, the Spray Additive system was determined to have been inoperable for a period of time longer than allowed by the Technical Specifications.

TXU Generation Company LP (TXU Energy) believes that the cause of this event was the lack of specific training and procedural guidance for verifying the status of valves with a remote "knocker" operating mechanism. This type of valve has a Roto-Hammer impactor and bridle fitted to the valve stem. Corrective actions include verification of the position of other similar valves, Operations procedure revisions, and training of Operations personnel on the specifics of this event.

All times in this report are approximate and Central Standard Time unless noted otherwise.

#### Enclosure to TXX-03194

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

Facility Name (1)

COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2

Docket 05000446

Year

03

	LEK NUMBE	T (0)		Page(3)
	Sequential Number		Revision Number	
ł	03	Н	00	2 OF 6

Page(3)

NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## I. <u>DESCRIPTION OF REPORTABLE EVENT</u>

### A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

# B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS PRIOR TO THE EVENT

On November 2, 2003, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES) Unit 2 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, operating at approximately 77 percent power following the Unit 2 seventh refueling outage.

#### C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

There were no inoperable structures, systems, or components that were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

# D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

On October 21, 2003, CPSES Unit 2 was in Mode 6, Refueling Operation, during the Unit 2 seventh refueling outage. Plant Equipment Operators (utility, non-licensed) removed clearance 2-03-01277, which involved placing two Containment Spray system valves (2CT-0030 and 2CT-0034) [EIIS:(BE)(V)] in the open position. The sequence outlined for removing the clearance was to first release 2CT-0030 and 2CT-0034 locally in the closed position and then, at the remote operator [EIIS:(BE)(VOP)], place the two valves in the open position.

One of the Plant Equipment Operators (PEO 1) released valves 2CT-0030 and 2CT-0034 locally in the closed position and the other Plant Equipment Operator (PEO 2) performed the independent verification. Then, at the remote operator location, PEO 1 attempted to manipulate the valves to the open position, but noticed that the tee handle did not move much. PEO 1 stopped and questioned PEO 2 about this unanticipated condition. PEO 2 checked the valves locally to verify their open position status and recognized that the valves had a "knocker" type operating mechanism. Valves 2CT-0030 and 2CT-0034 have a Roto-Hammer impactor and bridle fitted to the valve stem, and Operations personnel typically refer to this type of mechanism as a "knocker." Remote operation for these type valves is performed using a tee handle, and the handle normally rotates about 180 degrees before engaging the "knocker" mechanism.

1-2001)			U.S	NUCLEAR RE	<b>GULATORY COMM</b>	ISSION
	LICENSEE EVEN	r report	(LER)			
scility Name (1)		Docket	Year 1	LER Number Sequential	(6) Revision	Page(3)
COMANCHE P	EAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2	05000446	03 -	Number 03	Number 00	3 OF 6
ARRATIVE (If more spa	ace is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)			· · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	At the local position, PEO 2 attempted " felt some resistance and convinced hims PEOs then incorrectly statused valves 20 clearance 2-03-01277. It should be noted operated a valve with a "knocker" mecha On October 24, 2003, CPSES Unit 2 was seventh refueling outage. A Plant Equip procedure OPT-205B, "Train B Contain At the remote operator for 2CT-0030 and the open indication and the positioner. U operator ¼ to ½ turns, felt some resistant open and incorrectly statused valves 2CT noted that PEO 3 also had not previously mechanism.	knocking" the elf the valves CT-0030 and 2 I that PEO 1 h anism. s in Mode 5, C ment Operato ment Operato ment Spray Sy 1 2CT-0034, t Jsing a tee han ce, and convin G-0030 and 2C y remotely ope	valves in were in t CT-0034 ad not pr Cold Shut r (PEO 3 ystem Va he PEO 3 ndle, the nced hims CT-0034 erated a v	n the open heir open position eviously tdown, du ) perform lve Positi 3 noticed PEO 3 m self that t as open. valve with	n direction, position. 1 as open on remotely uring the Un ed Section ion Verifica a gap betwo oved the re he valve wa It should be a "knocke	but Both hit 2 8.1 of ation." een mote as e r"
	On October 26, 2003 at 0610, CPSES U Mode 4, Hot Shutdown. On November 2, 2003 at 0900, CPSES U	nit 2 changed Jnit 2 was in 1	from Mo	de 5, Col Power Or	d Shutdown	n, to
	operating at approximately 77 percent poperforming Section 8.1 of procedure OP Spray System Valve Position Verification 2CT-0030 and 2CT-0034, the PEO 4 not different than indicators he had seen on the handle, PEO 4 attempted to close valve 2 4 then attempted to open valve 2CT-003 checked the valves and noticed that the values and noticed that the values of the unanticipate	ower. A Plant T-205B, Section." At the rem ticed that the value the other value 2CT-0034, but 4 and observe values had a "	Equipm on 8.1 "I note ope valve pos es being t no mov d some n knocker"	ent Opera Train B C rator loca ition indi verified. ement wa novemen	ator (PEO 4 ontainment tion for val cation look Using a tee is observed t. PEO 4 lo	) was ves ed PEO cally

ility Name (			Docket	T	LER Numb	er (6)		Page(3)
OMAN	CHE P	EAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2		Year	Sequential Number		Revision Number	
			05000446	03 -	03	Н	00	4 OF 6
SKR01172	E.	THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF FAILURE, OR PROCEDURAL OR PI	EACH CON ERSONNEL	APONE L ERRO	NT OR R	SYS	TEM	
		A Plant Equipment Operator (utility, non- a Containment Spray System Valve Positi the Containment Spray system were misp	licensed) dis ion Verificat ositioned.	covered ion proce	during ( dure th	the po at tw	erforma o valves	nce of in
II.	<u>CO</u> ]	MPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES						
	<b>A.</b>	FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM, AN COMPONENT	D EFFECT	S OF EA	ACH FA	ILF	D	
		Not applicable – No component or system	n failures we	re identif	ied duri	ng th	nis event	-
	В.	CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR	SYSTEM I	FAILUR	E			
		Not applicable – No component or system	n failures we	re identif	ied duri	ng th	nis event	<b>.</b>
	C.	SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCT FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH	IONS THAT MULTIPL	F WERI E FUNC	E AFFE TIONS	CTI	ED BY	
		Not applicable – No component or system	n failures we	re identif	ied duri	ng tł	nis event	t.
	D.	FAILED COMPONENT INFORMAT	ION					
		Not applicable – No component or system	n failures we	re identif	ied duri	ng ti	nis event	t.
III.	<u>AN</u>	ALYSIS OF THE EVENT						
	А.	SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THA	T OCCURI	RED				
		Not applicable – No safety system respon	ses occurred	as a resu	lt of thi	s eve	ent.	
	В.	DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM T	RAIN INOF	PERABI	LITY			
		The Spray Additive system was inoperable 1005 hours on November 2, 2003 (a total	le from 0610	hours or	n Octob	er 26	, 2003 u	ntil

Enclosure to TXX-03194

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)			U.S. 1	NUCLEAR R	EGULA	TORY COMM	ISSION
LICENSEE EVEN	Г REPORT	' (LE	R)				
Facility Name (1)	Docket			LER Numbe	ar (6)		Page(3)
COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION UNIT 2		Year		Sequential Number		Revision Number	
	05000446	03	Н	03	Н	00	5 OF 6
NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IM	PLICATION	IS	· .			<u> </u>	

The Containment Spray (CT) System is an Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) system specifically designed to mitigate the consequences of a loss-of-coolant accident (LOCA), a main steam line break, or feedwater line break inside the Containment. Also, the CT System has a chemical addition system. The Spray Additive system is used to raise pH in the containment sumps to a level more conducive to absorption and retention of radioactive iodine. Raising the pH in the sumps also reduces corrosion of components located in Containment following an accident condition, which minimizes hydrogen production.

The CT System has two redundant trains, with each train provided with two 50 percent capacity pumps. Each pump has a chemical eductor which uses the CT pump discharge pressure as the motive force to deliver sodium hydroxide to the suction of the CT pump. The sodium hydroxide solution is stored in one chemical additive tank which supplies both trains.

If a LOCA or high energy line break were to occur in the containment and the HI-3 containment pressure was reached, the CT system would automatically transfer to the injection mode of operation. The chemical additive tank motor operated outlet valves would open and the chemical eductors would begin pulling the concentrated chemicals from the tank and injecting them into the suction of its associated pump. Once the low level is reached in the chemical addition tank, the chemical addition tank motor operated outlet valves would close, terminating the chemical injection. The sodium hydroxide addition may continue during the recirculation phase.

During this condition, one chemical eductor was inoperable due to the closure of valves 2CT-0034 and 2CT-0030. Had an actual event occurred while the two valves were closed which required the Spray Additive system to perform the safety function described above, the other three chemical eductors and flow paths were operable and available to allow the injection of the sodium hydroxide solution at sufficient levels to fulfill the system safety function. Therefore, there were no safety system functional failures associated with this event.

Based on the above analysis it was concluded that this event did not adversely affect the safe operation of CPSES Unit 2 or the health and safety of the public.

<u></u>	U	S. NUCLEAR R	EGULAT	ORY COMM	ISSION
T REPORT	C (LER	<b>k</b> )			
Docket	L	LER Numb	er (6)		Page(3)
Docket	Year	LER Numb Sequential Number	er (6)	Revision Number	Page(3)
	IT REPORT	IT REPORT (LER	U.S. NUCLEAR R	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULAT	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMM

# IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

TXU Generation Company LP (TXU Energy) believes that the cause of this event was the lack of specific training and procedural guidance for verifying the status of valves with a remote "knocker" operating mechanism.

### V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Upon discovery, Operations personnel immediately opened valves 2CT-030 and 2CT-034, restoring the Spray Additive system to an operable status. Shift Operations issued a Lessons Learned to Shift Operations personnel outlining the specifics of this event and immediate notification to department personnel was made by adding an entry into the Shift Orders. Also, a position verification lineup was performed on similar remotely operated "knocker" valves in Units 1 and 2 to confirm that the valves were in the correct position. No other mispositioned valves were identified.

The applicable Operations procedures will be revised as required to provide additional information on the operation of "knocker" type valves. Operations will also provide training during the upcoming training cycle on the specifics of this event.

#### VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

There have been no previous similar events in the last three years.