CRWMS/M&O

4

### **Calculation Cover Sheet**

Complete only applicable items.

• · • • • • •

MOL.19990426.0142

1. QA: L

Page: 1 Of: 36

		Crucanty Calculations	s	
3. Document Identifie BBA000000-01717-	r (including Revision Numl -0210-00016 REV 00	ber)	·.	4. Total Pages 36
5. Total Attachments 2	6. Attach I-2, II-5	nment Numbers - Number	of pages in each	
		Print Name	Signature	Date
7. Originator	L	L. M. Montierth Less Oll to the 2/10		
3. Checker	s	edat Goluoglu	Jelloling	F 2/12/99
<ol> <li>Lead Design Engine</li> </ol>	er J.	Wesley Davis	+ Wesley Dens	4/5/99
0. Remarks	······································			<u></u>
				·
		•		
	· .			
<b>.</b>				
·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Revision H	istory	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11. Revision No.	12. Date Approved	1	13. Description of Revision	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ
<b>REV 00</b>		Initial Issue		
	· •			
			•	
		-		

NLP-3-27 (Effective 01/26/98)

\_

## **Engineering Calculation**

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

### Page 2 of 36

## CONTENTS

Pag	'e
	~

1.	PURPOSE
2.	METHOD 4
3.	ASSUMPTIONS
4.	USE OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE
4	4.1 SOFTWARE APPROVED FOR QA WORK
	4.1.1 MCNP4B2
4	4.2 SOFTWARE ROUTINES
	4.2.1 Excel
5.	CALCULATION
5	5.1 CALCULATION INPUTS
	5.1.1 Description of FFTF Spent Nuclear Fuel
	5.1.2 FFTF Ident 69 Pin Containers
	5.1.3 Description of DOE SNF Canister
	5.1.4 Co-disposal Waste Package
	5.1.5 HLW Glass Pour Canisters
5	5.2 DESCRIPTION
	5.2.1 Spacing Study for Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs
	5.2.1.1 Comparison of Type 4.1 DFAs with Type 3.2 DFAs
	5.2.1.2 ker for Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs at Near Optimal
	Spacing14
	5.2.2 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister without Basket Assembly
	5.2.2.1 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Stainless Steel Basket Assembly 15
	5.2.2.2 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Gadolinium Doped Stainless Steel
•	Basket Assembly
•	5.2.3 Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins
	5.2.3.1 Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins
	5.2.3.2 Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can
	5.2.3.3 Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can in DOE SNF Canister with 5 DFAs
	without Basket Assembly
	5.2.3.4 DOE SNF Canister with Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly
	5.2.3.5 DOE SNF Canister with Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly Doped
	with Gadolinium
	5.2.4 DOE SNF Canister in Co-disposal Waste Package
	5.2.4.1 DOE SNF Canister Containing 6 DFAs in Co-disposal Waste Package
	5.2.4.2 DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs in Co-disposal Waste
	Package without Gadolinium

## **Engineering Calculation**

Tille: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations	
Document identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00	Page 3 of 36
5.2.4.3 DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs in Co-di	sposal Waste
Package with Gadolinium	
5.3 PROCEDURE	
6. RESULTS	
6.1 RESULTS WITH TYPE 4.1 DFAS	
6.1.1 Results from Spacing Study for Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1	DFAs 19
6.1.2 Results for the Comparison of Type 4.1 DFAs with Type 3.2 DFAs	
6.1.3 Values of ker for Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFA	As at Near
Optimal Spacing.	
6.2 RESULTS FOR TYPE 4.1 DFAS IN DOE SNF CANISTER WITHOUT	BASKET
ASSEMBLY	
6.2.1 Results for Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Stainless Steel F	Basket
Assembly	
6.2.2 Results for Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Gadolinium Dop	ped Stainless
Steel Basket Assembly	
6.3 RESULTS FOR TYPE 4.1 Fuel Pins	
6.3.1 Values of ker for Various Numbers and Spacings of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins	
6.3.2 Results for Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can	
6.3.3 Results for Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can in DOE SNF Ca	nister with 5
DFAs	
6.4 RESULTS FOR THE DOE SNF CANISTER IN THE CO-DISPOSAL WA	STE ·
PACKAGE	
6.4.1 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing 6 DFAs in the Co-dispos	al Waste
Package	
6.4.2 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can Plus 5 D	)FAs in the
Co-disposal Waste Package (No Gadolinium)	
6.4.3 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can Plus 5 D	FAs in the
Co-disposal Waste Package (Containing Gadolinium)	
7. REFERENCES	
8. ATTACHMENTS	

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 4 of 36

.

**Engineering Calculation** 

#### 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of these calculations is to characterize the criticality safety concerns for the storage of Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) nuclear fuel in a Department of Energy spent nuclear fuel (DOE SNF) canister in a co-disposal waste package. These results will be used to support the analysis that will be done to demonstrate concept viability related to use in the Monitored Geologic Repository (MGR) environment. The calculations presented here are to be used to evaluate the criticality issues related to these intact fuels for the pre-closure time frame and provide input for canister design.

#### 2. METHOD

The calculational method employed in this calculation is to use the MCNP Version 4B2 computer code (Ref. 7.1) to calculate the effective multiplication factor  $(k_{eff})$  for various geometrical configurations of FFTF fuel.

#### **3. ASSUMPTIONS**

- 3.1 Beginning of Life (BOL) pre-irradiation fuel compositions were used for all calculations because it is conservative to assume fresh fuel since it is more neutronically reactive than spent fuel. The dished face of the fuel pellets is neglected and the fuel number density is determined by using the fuel mass and the footprint volume of the fuel. These assumptions are used throughout Section 5 and are based on engineering judgement.
- 3.2 🔅 The uranium in the UO, insulators at the top and bottom of the fuel pellet stack is assumed to be composed of natural uranium rather than depleted uranium. This is based on engineering judgement and is used throughout Section 5.
- 3.3 The FFTF fuel assemblies and fuel pins are assumed to be intact. The spiral wire wrap around each fuel pin, the spring above the upper reflector and the tag gas capsule in each fuel pin are neglected. The basis for these assumptions is that it is conservative because these materials are made of stainless steel and will provide some small amount of neutron absorption. These assumptions are used in Section 5.1.1.
- Variations in the cross-sectional area of the flow duct near the ends of the fuel assembly 3.4 are neglected. The nominal thickness of the flow duct is used in the calculations. These assumptions are used in Section 5.1.1 and are based on engineering judgement.
- 3.5 Ident-69 pin containers are used to store individual (derodded) fuel pins. Since the exact contents of these containers cannot be readily verified, these containers are assumed to contain a most reactive configuration of FFTF fuel pins for the intact fuel cases. These

### **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

**Page 5 of 36** 

assumptions are used in Section 5.1.2, and the basis for these assumptions is that it is conservative to assume a most reactive container.

- 3.6 The Ident-69 pin containers contain stainless steel plates that divide the containers into several compartments and grid plates that support the fuel pins. These divider plates and grid plates were ignored in order to simplify the model. The basis for this assumption is that it is conservative to neglect these components since they will provide some small amount of neutron absorption and hence reduce  $k_{eff}$  of the system. This assumption is used in Section 5.1.2.
- 3.7 The bottom portion of the Ident-69 pin container with reduced diameter is neglected. The basis for this assumption is that it is conservative to neglect this portion of the container since it provides some small amount of neutron absorption and hence a reduction in the k<sub>eff</sub> of the system. This assumption is used in Section 5.1.2.
- 3.8 The curved bottom carbon steel rupture disk, the 12.7 mm thick curved plate and the 12.7 mm flat plate at each end of the DOE canister were neglected. The ends of the canister were simplified and modeled as "squared off" in all cases where the canister was used. This assumption is used in Section 5.1.3 and the basis is that it is conservative to neglect this portion of the canister since it provides some small amount of neutron absorption.
- 3.9 The flanged head and neck of the defense high-level waste canister is neglected and the canister is modeled as a right circular cylinder with the same top-to-bottom height as the canister. The canister is assumed to be completely filled with waste. This assumption is used in Section 5.1.4 and the basis is that it is conservative since the additional fuel will make the canister more reactive.
- 3.10 The mass density of the stainless steel is assumed to remain unchanged when doped with gadolinium. The composition of the doped stainless steel is determined by renormalizing the amount of each constituent. This assumption is used throughout Section 5 and is based on engineering judgement.
- 3.11 At least 30 cm of full density water (1.0 g/cm<sup>3</sup>) was used in all cases to model water reflection. This is based upon a 30 cm thick water reflector being effectively equivalent to an infinite reflector (Ref. 7.3, p. 106). This assumption is used throughout Section 5.

#### 4. USE OF COMPUTER SOFTWARE

#### 4.1 SOFTWARE APPROVED FOR QA WORK

#### 4.1.1 MCNP4B2

MCNP4B2 computer code is used to calculate the effective neutron multiplication factor ( $k_{eff}$ ) for nuclear criticality evaluations (Ref. 7.4).

### **Engineering Calculation**

#### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page 6 of 36

- Program Name: MCNP
- Version/Revision Number: Version 4B2
- Computer Software Configuration Item (CSCI) Number: 30033 V4B2LV
- Computer Type: Hewlett Packard 9000 Workstations

The input file used is echoed in the output file. The output files are listed in Attachment I.

- a) The MCNP4B2 computer code (Ref. 7.1) is an appropriate tool to be utilized to determine the criticality of a FFTF fuel waste package.
- b) This software has been validated over the range it was used.
- c) It was previously obtained from the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System (CRWMS) Management and Operating (M&O) Software Control Management (SCM) in accordance with appropriate procedures.

#### 4.2 SOFTWARE ROUTINES

#### 4.2.1 Excel

- Title: Excel
- Version/Revision Number: Microsoft Excel 97

The Excel spreadsheet program was used to perform simple numeric calculations as documented in Section 5 of this calculation file. The user-defined formulas, inputs, and results were documented in sufficient detail in Section 5 and Attachment I to allow an independent repetition of the various computations.

#### 5. CALCULATION

#### 5.1 CALCULATION INPUTS

The description of the FFTF fuel is from the FFTF description document, Ref. 7.2. All fuel and canister related information is from this reference unless otherwise noted. Compositions for structural and other nonfuel related materials are from Ref. 7.5. The high level waste (HLW) glass composition is from Ref. 7.8 (TBV – to be verified). The extra digits shown for measurements in metric units in this report are a result of converting from English units and do not represent enhanced accuracy.

#### 5.1.1 Description of FFTF Spent Nuclear Fuel

The FFTF standard driver fuel assembly (DFA) is hexagonally shaped and contains 217 cylindrical fuel pins. A cross-sectional view of the assembly is shown in Figure 5-1. The total assembly length is 3657.6 mm. The overall height of a fuel pin is 2372.36 mm for types 3.1 and 4.1 fuels, and 2377.44 mm long for types 3.2 and 4.2 fuels. The fuel pin cladding is 0.381 mm (0.015 in.) thick stainless steel of Type 316L. The inner and outer diameters of the cladding are 5.08 mm and 5.842 mm (0.230 in.), respectively. The fueled region of each fuel pin is composed

### **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 7 of 36

of individual fuel pellets of total length 914.4 mm (36 in.). The fuel pellet outer diameter is 4.9403 mm (0.1945 in.). The ends of the fuel pellets are dished inwardly. The fuel region is centered at 1663.7 mm (65.5 in.) from the bottom of the assembly. Each fuel pin is helically wrapped with a 1.4224 mm (0.056 in.) diameter Type 316L stainless steel wire to provide lateral spacing along its length.

The fuel pins that compose the fuel assembly are arranged in a triangular pitch and are contained within a hexagonal flow duct. The fuel pin pitch is 7.2644 mm (0.286 in.). The fuel density is reported as 90.4% of theoretical density. This corresponds to a fuel meat density of 10.02 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. or to a theoretical density,  $\rho_{th}$ , of 11.084 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The fuel is a composition of mixed plutonium and uranium oxide (MOX),  $PuO_{1.96}$  and  $UO_{1.96}$ . On each end of the fuel region are depleted or natural uranium UO<sub>2</sub> fuel insulator pellets each 20.32 mm (0.8 in.) long. The uranium insulator density is  $10.42 \pm 0.22$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>. On the outer ends of the uranium insulators are 14.478 cm long Inconel 600 reflectors. The reflector diameter is 4.8133 mm (0.1895 in.). Above the top reflector is a 125.5 mm long region containing a 0.8052 mm diameter Type 302 stainless steel spring. The maximum stainless steel spring volume is 2.7264 cm<sup>3</sup>. An 862.1 mm long stainless steel (Type 316L) plenum is above the spring, and its outer diameter is 4.9022 mm with a 0.1397 mm wall thickness. The plenum contains a small tag gas capsule that is used to locate leaking fuel pins. The fuel pin end caps are made of Type 316L stainless steel and have a 5.842 mm diameter. The end cap lengths are 104.6 mm and 35.6 mm for the upper and lower end caps, respectively. The bottom end cap length is 40.6 mm for types 3.2 and 4.2 fuels. A simplified axial view of a fuel pin is shown in Fig. 5-2. The fuel composition and isotopic fractions for all four types of fuels are shown in Table 5-1. Using the masses in this table, the chemical formulas for the fuel components and the footprint volume for the fuel the fuel bulk density,  $\rho_b$ , is 9.877  $g/cm^3$ .

The driver fuel assembly consists of a hexagonal duct that surrounds the fuel pins, discriminator, inlet nozzle, neutron shield and flow orifice region, load pads and handling socket. The duct is Type 316L stainless steel with a nominal wall thickness of 3.048 mm (0.12 in.). The duct outer dimension is 116.205 mm across the hexagonal flats, and 131.064 mm across opposing hexagonal (rounded) points. The maximum width of the assembly occurs at the load points and is 138.1125 mm across opposite hexagonal points. The DFA height is 3657.6 mm and its weight is 172.819 kg (381 lb).

#### 5.1.2 FFTF Ident 69 Pin Containers

Loose fuel pins from disassembled DFAs are stored in containers called Ident-69 pin containers, or simply Ident-69 cans. These containers are 3657.6 mm (144 in.) long and are made of 5 in. Type 304L stainless steel. The actual dimensions of the container are 5.345 in. and 5.563 in. for the inner and outer diameters, respectively. The 5 in. pipe transitions to 2.5 in. pipe (actual dimension is 2.875 in., or 73.02 mm) at 431.8 mm (17 in.) from the bottom. The fuel pins are supported on a grid plate drilled with 1.5875 mm (1/16 in.) holes. This container can hold up to

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations**Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

**Page 8 of 36** 

217 fuel pins. The central compartment of the container has an inner and outer radius of 20.701 mm (0.815 in.) and 22.225 mm (0.875 in.), respectively.



Figure 5-1. Cross-Sectional View of FFTF Assembly

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

**Page 9 of 36** 



Figure 5-2. Standard Driver Fuel Assembly Fuel Pin

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 10 of 36

		Driver Fuel Type			
		3.1	3.2	4.1	4.2
Plutonium			• · · · · ·	•	
	Enrichment %Pu/(Pu+U)	27.37	22.43	29.28	25.14
	Assembly content, kg	9.071	7.421	9.722	8.333
	Fuel pin content, g	41.8	34.2	44.8	38.4
	Isotopic fraction				
	Pu-239	0.8696	0.8696	0.8711	0.8711
	Pu-240	0.1173	0.1173	0.1163	0.1163
	Pu-241	0.0104	0.0104	0.0102	0.0102
Uranium					
	Enrichment %U/(Pu+U)	72.63	77.57	70.72	74.86
	Assembly content, kg	24.070	25.666	23.481	24.813
	Fuel pin content, g	110.9	118.3	108.2	114.3
	Isotopic fraction				·
	U-235	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.002
	U-238	0.993	0.993	0.998	0.998

Table 5-1. Uranium and Plutonium Content of a Fresh DFA

Note: Each assembly holds nominally 1.5 kg of uranium in insulator pellets.

#### 5.1.3 Description of DOE SNF Canister

The description of the DOE SNF Canister is from Ref. 7.7 (Table 3.1 and Appendix A). The canister is a right circular cylinder of stainless steel (Type 316L). The outside diameter of the canister is 457.2 mm (18 in.) with a wall thickness of 9.525 mm (0.375 in.). (This canister is also referred to as the 18 in. canister.) The nominal internal length of the canister is 4145 mm (163 in.) and the nominal overall length is 4569 mm (179.87 in.). There is a curved bottom carbon steel rupture disk, which varies in thickness from 15.24 mm to 50.8 mm at the top and bottom boundaries of the canister. There is also a 12.7 mm thick curved plate and a 12.7 mm flat plate at each canister end. The plan view of the canister is shown in Figure 3. The canister may also contain a stainless steel (Type 316L) basket that is used to hold the fuel components. The basket serves as a criticality control material and a guide for assemblies during loading. The canister basket positions are to be designed such that the center position can hold either a DFA or an Ident-69 pin container, while the outer positions are designed to hold DFAs. Figure 5-4 shows the cross-sectional view of a DOE SNF canisters with a basket assembly containing an Ident-69 pin container surrounded by 5 FFTF assemblies.

The basket assembly consists of a cylindrical center tube and 5 divider plates extending radially from the center tube to the DOE SNF canister inner wall. The center tube is stainless steel (Type 316L) with 153.0 mm inside diameter and 10 mm wall thickness. The divider plates are also stainless steel (Type 316L) with a 10 mm wall thickness. The basket height is 4125 mm.

:

## **Engineering Calculation**

### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 11 of 36



Figure 5-3. Plan View of the Proposed 18 in. DOE SNF Canister

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 12 of 36



FFTF DOE SNF CANISTER



#### 5.1.4 Co-disposal Waste Package

The co-disposal waste package contains 5 HLW canisters surrounding a DOE SNF canister. The waste package barrier materials are typical of those used for commercial SNF waste packages. The inner barrier is composed of a 20 mm thickness of Alloy 22 and serves as a corrosion resistant material. The outer barrier is composed of a 100 mm thickness of carbon steel and serves as a corrosion allowance material. The outside diameter of the waste package is 2120 mm and inside cavity length is 4617 mm. The inner barrier lids are 25 mm thick and the outer barrier lids are 110 mm thick. There is a 30 mm closure lid gap between the upper inner and outer barrier lids. There is a 225 mm long skirt at each end of the co-disposal waste package.

The DOE SNF canister is placed in a 31.75 mm thick carbon steel (ASTM A 516 Grade 70) support tube with a 565 mm nominal outer diameter. The support tube is connected to the inside wall of the co-disposal waste package by web-like carbon steel (ASTM A 516 Grade 70) plates that form emplacement positions for the HLW glass pour canisters equally spaced about the center support tube. This arrangement is shown in Figure 5-5. The support tube and plates are 4597 mm long.

## **Engineering Calculation**

### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 13 of 36





#### 5.1.5 HLW Glass Pour Canisters

The Hanford fifteen foot High Level Waste canister is a cylindrical stainless steel (Type 304L) shell with an outer diameter of approximately 610 mm (24.00 in.), a wall thickness of 10.5 mm,

### **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

**Page** 14 of 36

and a nominal length of 4572 mm. The total canister weight is 4200 kg and HLW glass occupies 87% of the volume. The nominal dimensions of the canister are used for the calculations.

#### 5.2 DESCRIPTION

The models used in this calculation assume that the FFTF fuel pins and assemblies are intact for all cases. The fuel number density is determined by using the fuel masses, given in Table 5-1, and the footprint volume of the fuel. Number densities and volumes are calculated by an Excel spreadsheet which is listed in Attachment I. Using this volume, which is greater than the actual volume of the fuel, results in a slightly smaller density than that given in Section 5.1.1. The Ident-69 fuel containers contain intact fuel pins and do not contain pulverized fuel. The bottom portion of the Ident-69 container with the reduced diameter is neglected. In cases where an Ident-69 container is surrounded by DFAs, the fueled portions of the pins inside the Ident-69 container are assumed to be axially aligned with the fueled portions of the DFAs.

#### 5.2.1 Spacing Study for Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs

A spacing study for the minimum critical number of DFAs is determined for fully water reflected assemblies. A number of DFAs are placed in a hexagonal lattice and the lattice pitch is varied until the most reactive configuration is found. The type 4.1 fuel is considered to be a bounding case since it contains the largest amount of <sup>239</sup>Pu of the four driver type fuels. The optimal spacing, i.e., the spacing between assemblies that gives the most reactive configuration, is also determined.

#### 5.2.1.1 Comparison of Type 4.1 DFAs with Type 3.2 DFAs

The least highly loaded fuel type in terms of <sup>239</sup> Pu content is the type 3.2 DFA. Here values of pitch near the optimal spacing for the minimum critical number of DFAs in a hexagonal array, as found from Section 5.2.1, are varied for type 3.2 fuels to show that the type 3.2 DFA is less reactive than the type 4.1 DFA.

## 5.2.1.2 k<sub>eff</sub> for Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs at Near Optimal Spacing

The number of type 4.1 DFAs is varied from 1 to the minimum critical number and  $k_{eff}$  for each is determined. The DFAs are kept in a hexagonal array with the optimal spacing, as found from Section 5.2.1, used for each configuration. Since the optimal spacing increases as the number of fuel items decreases, this spacing may not be optimal for less than a critical number of DFAs.

#### 5.2.2 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister without Basket Assembly

The type 4.1 DFAs are modeled in the DOE SNF canister without the basket assembly and their values of  $k_{eff}$  are determined. The number of DFAs is varied from 1 to the minimum critical number found in Section 5.2.1. The DFAs are positioned in hexagonal and square lattices and

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Tille:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 15 of 36

the pitch of the lattices is varied to find the most reactive configuration for each number of elements. Another case is presented where 5 DFAs are equally spaced in distance and angle about a central DFA that is located at the center of the canister. In this case, the outer DFAs are touching the center DFA.

#### 5.2.2.1 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Stainless Steel Basket Assembly

The type 4.1 DFAs are modeled in the DOE SNF canister with the stainless steel basket assembly and their values of  $k_{eff}$  are determined. The number of DFAs is varied from 4 to 6 (the maximum number that will fit with the basket assembly in place). The DFAs are positioned with an assembly in the center position and either 3, 4 or 5 in the outer positions. The spacing of the outer DFAs is varied as much as possible in order to find the most reactive configuration for each number of elements. The smallest value of spacing is for the outer DFAs to be just touching the center tube of the basket assembly. The spacing from the center DFA to each outer DFA and the angular separation of the outer DFAs are the same for all cases.

5.2.2.2 Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Gadolinium Doped Stainless Steel Basket Assembly

The type 4.1 DFAs are modeled in the DOE SNF canister with the gadolinium doped stainless steel basket assembly and  $k_{eff}$  is as was done in Section 5.2.2.1. The spacing of the DFAs is varied to find the most reactive configuration.

#### 5.2.3 Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins

The minimum critical number of fuel pins is determined for water reflection. A number of pins are placed in a hexagonal lattice and the lattice pitch is varied until the most reactive configuration is found. The type 4.1 fuel is considered to be a bounding case since it contains the largest amount of <sup>239</sup>Pu of the four driver type fuels. The optimal spacing, i.e., the spacing between fuel pins that gives the most reactive configuration, is also determined. Determining the minimum critical number of fuel pins and the corresponding optimal pitch is useful in determining the most reactive possible loading for the Ident-69 containers. A uniform pitch is used for each case.

#### 5.2.3.1 Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins

The values of  $k_{eff}$  for a number of fuel pins less than the minimum critical number are determined with full water reflection. For each number of pins, the pins are placed in a hexagonal lattice and the lattice pitch is varied until the most reactive configuration is found. The optimal spacing is determined for each number of fuel pins. The pitch is uniform for each case.

### **Engineering Calculation**

**Tille:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 16 of 36

#### 5.2.3.2 Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can

Different numbers and hence different spacings of fuel pins are modeled in the Ident-69 can. The container is assumed filled with water and has full water reflection. In order to simplify the spacing of fuel pins, the container internals, i.e., the inner duct and dividers, are neglected. Both square and hexagonal arrays are considered. Also to keep the pitch constant for all fuel pins, partial fuel pins are included. These result from part of the fuel pin being positioned at radii greater than the inside radius of the can's outer wall and the pins are cut exactly by the outer wall.

Next, the inner duct is included in the model while the stainless steel dividers are neglected. This allows for a simpler yet more conservative model. The pins are assumed to be in a hexagonal lattice for most cases and the pitch (and number of pins) is varied and is kept as uniform as possible although the pitch inside the duct compartment may be slightly different than that outside the compartment. Once an optimal pitch is determined, then additional fuel pins are placed in lattice spaces around the perimeter of the container to act like a uranium reflector and just outside and/or inside the inner compartment as space allows. Partial pins are not allowed for these latter cases.

## 5.2.3.3 Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can in DOE SNF Canister with 5 DFAs without Basket Assembly

The most reactive configuration of fuel pins in the Ident-69 container found in Section 5.2.3.2 is placed in a DOE SNF canister that also contains 5 DFAs. The container is positioned in the center of the canister and is surrounded with 5 assemblies. The fuel items form either a hexagonal array or are equally spaced in distance and angle about the can. The container and canister are assumed to be filled with water and have full water reflection.

#### 5.2.3.4 DOE SNF Canister with Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly

The most reactive model found in Section 5.2.3.2 is used as the starting point and the spacing and number of pins is varied slightly to determine the most reactive configuration for the Ident-69 canister surrounded by the DFAs and basket assembly. In some cases the pitch inside and outside the inner duct compartment of the Ident-69 container are different. The Ident-69 container is positioned in the center position and 5 DFAs are placed in each of the outer compartments of the basket assembly. The container and canister are filled with water and are reflected by water.

#### 5.2.3.5 DOE SNF Canister with Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly Doped with Gadolinium

The Ident-69 container and 5 DFAs configuration is modeled with a 10 mm thick gadolinium doped stainless steel basket assembly. The pitch is varied for both hexagonal and square arrays. In some cases additional pins are added to the regular arrays as space allows. This is done as was

### **Engineering Calculation**

#### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 17 of 36

described in Section 5.2.3.2. The container and canister are filled with water and have full water reflection.

#### 5.2.4 DOE SNF Canister in Co-disposal Waste Package

The DOE SNF canister is modeled in the co-disposal waste package containing either 6 DFAs or 5 DFAs and an Ident-69 can. The canister is assumed to be fully flooded for all cases. The DOE canister always occupies the center position of the web-like basket structure in the co-disposal waste package. The canister is either centered or slightly displaced in the co-disposal waste package. In general the empty space outside the DOE canister is modeled as a void, although in some cases the effect of water in this space is investigated. The co-disposal waste package is assumed fully reflected by water for all cases.

#### 5.2.4.1 DOE SNF Canister Containing 6 DFAs in Co-disposal Waste Package

The DOE canister is filled with 6 DFAs in the basket assembly but the basket contains no gadolinium. The center-to-center distance between the center DFA and the outer DFAs is varied. The position of the DOE canister is varied from the center of the co-disposal waste package to being 2 cm offset from the center. This is done to account for the effect of gravity on the horizontally positioned waste package. For the most reactive case, flooding in the inner support tube of the co-disposal waste package basket, flooding outside the inner support tube around the defense HLW canisters and total flooding of the co-disposal waste package are investigated. The effects of using the actual fuel density along with the footprint volume, water saturated fuel and water saturated fuel along with void spaces in the fuel pins filled with water are investigated for the most reactive configuration. The void fraction,  $F_{vd}$  of the fuel is easily shown to be related to the fuel bulk and theoretical densities by the expression,  $F_{vd} = 1-\rho_b/\rho_{th}$ , which when evaluated gives a value of 10.89%, or simply 11%. This void fraction accounts for the void inside the fuel as well as the voids between fuel pellets due to their dished ends. If the fuel pins become fully saturated with water this volume can be filled.

#### 5.2.4.2 DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs in Co-disposal Waste Package without Gadolinium

The DOE canister is filled with an Ident-69 container and 5 DFAs in the inner and outer positions, respectively, of the basket assembly. The basket contains no gadolinium. The centerto-center distance between the Ident-69 container and the outer DFAs is varied. The position of the DOE canister relative to the center of the co-disposal waste package is varied by 2 cm. For the most reactive case, flooding in the inner support tube of the co-disposal basket, flooding outside the inner support tube around the defense HLW canister, and total flooding of the codisposal waste package are investigated. The effects of using the actual fuel density along with the footprint volume, water-saturated fuel, and water-saturated fuel along with void spaces in the fuel pins filled with water are investigated for the most reactive configuration. Another case is considered where the fuel inside the fuel pins is assumed saturated with water and swells filling the gap between the fuel and the cladding.

## **Engineering Calculation**

Page 18 of 36

#### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

5.2.4.3 DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs in Co-disposal Waste Package with Gadolinium

The basket assembly in the DOE canister is now modeled to contain gadolinium. Varying amounts of gadolinium are assumed to be uniformly distributed along the axial length of the basket assembly. Cases are evaluated for gadolinium homogeneously distributed throughout the basket assembly, homogeneously distributed only in the inner tube of the basket assembly, coated along the inside surface of the inner tube and coated along the outer surface of the inner tube. Three cases are given that show the effect of the DFAs, HLW canisters and the Ident-69 canister being displaced by gravity rather than in their most reactive configuration.

The effect of varying water density inside the co-disposal waste package is investigated. For these cases the entire contents of the DOE canister is assumed flooded with full density water and the density of water in the co-disposal waste package is varied from 0 (no water) to 1 (full density). Also, the effect of varying water density inside the Ident-69 container is investigated. For these cases the rest of the DOE canister is assumed flooded with full density water, and the water density is varied inside the Ident-69 can. Outside the DOE canister, empty spaces in the co-disposal waste package are treated as voids for all cases except for one case where the space is flooded with full density water. Finally, the effect of varying water density inside the DOE canister but outside the Ident-69 container is determined. For these cases, the Ident-69 container is filled with full density water, the vacant space inside the co-disposal waste package is void except for one case where it is filled with full density water and the water density in the rest of the DOE canister is varied.

#### 5.3 PROCEDURE

The MCNP code tracks neutrons through the geometry and materials specified in the input and statistically determines the multiplication factor,  $k_{eff}$  of neutrons from one generation to the next. For the results to be valid,  $k_{eff}$  must be converged which requires that there be an adequate sourcing of neutrons and a sufficient number of generations and particles per generation. The MCNP code provides diagnostics to show convergence that must be verified by the user.

The number densities of the FFTF fuel and structural materials are calculated using Excel spreadsheets and are given in Attachment I.

#### 6. RESULTS

Existing data were used in the development of the results presented in this section. Therefore, the use of any data from this calculation for input into documents supporting procurement, fabrication, or construction is required to be identified and tracked as TBV in accordance with appropriate procedures.

## **Engineering Calculation**

#### **Tille:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### DE ALDEAS

#### Page 19 of 36

#### 6.1 RESULTS WITH TYPE 4.1 DFAS

#### 6.1.1 Results from Spacing Study for Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs

The most reactive configuration for a hexagonal array of 7 type 4.1 DFAs is determined by varying the spacing between assemblies as described in Section 5.2.1. In all cases the pitch, or center-to-center spacing between adjacent DFAs, is uniform for the entire array. Values of  $k_{eff}$  for different values of pitch are shown in Table 6-1. The assemblies are essentially touching for the smallest value of pitch, 11.621 cm, listed in the table. Values of the pitch from about 12.6205 to 13.8205 cm give the largest values of  $k_{eff}$  that are critical. These values correspond to a spacing between DFAs of 1 to 2.2 cm.

Pitch, cm	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
11.6210	0.9687±0.0010	0.9707	7assem_a.o
11.8205	0.9737 ± 0.0010	0.9756	7assem_b.o
12.0205	$0.9798 \pm 0.0010$	0.9819	7assem_c.o
12.2205	$0.9845 \pm 0.0010$	0.9865	7assem_d.o
12.6205	$0.9974 \pm 0.0010$	0.9994	7assem_f.o
13.0205	$1.0003 \pm 0.0010$	1.0022	7assem_h.o
13.2205	0.9992 ± 0.0010	1.0012	7assem_i.o
13.4205	$1.0021 \pm 0.0010$	1.0040	7assem_j.o
13.8205	0.9982 ± 0.0010	1.0002	7assem_1.0
14.2205	0.9866 ± 0.0010	0.9887	7assem_n.o
14.6205	0.9768 ± 0.0009	0.9785	7assem_p.o
15.0205	$0.9631 \pm 0.0010$	0.9650	7assem_r.o

Table 6-1. Spacing Study for 7 Type 4.1 DFAs

#### 6.1.2 Results for the Comparison of Type 4.1 DFAs with Type 3.2 DFAs

Results are given in Table 6-2 for 7 type 3.2 DFAs similar to those for type 4.1 DFAs. Only cases near the optimal value of the pitch are investigated as described in Section 5.2.1.1. The DFAs are in a hexagonal array.

Pitch, cm	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
13.0205	0.9601 ± 0.0010	0.9621	7assem_3.2_h.o
13.2205	0.9609 ± 0.0009	0.9627	7assem_3.2_i.o
13.4205	0.9634 ± 0.0009	0.9652	7assem_3.2_j.0
13.8205	0.9624 ± 0.0010	0.9643	7assem_3.2_1.0
14.2205	0.9545 ± 0.0010	0.9564	7assem_3.2_n.o

Table 6-2. Spacing Study for 7 Type 3.2 DFAs

## **Engineering Calculation**

Page 20 of 36

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations

 **Bocument Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

6.1.3 Values of k<sub>eff</sub> for Less than Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 DFAs at Near Optimal Spacing

Results for various numbers of type 4.1 DFAs are given in Table 6-3. In each case the pitch of the hexagonal array of DFAs is 13.2205 cm which was found in Section 6.1.1 to be near the center of the range of values for the most reactive configuration for a critical number of DFAs. Typically the optimal spacing increases as the number of elements in an array decreases. Therefore the results given in Table 6-3 for less than a critical number of DFAs may not always be at the optimal spacing as described in Section 5.2.1.2.

Number of DFAs	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma$	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
1	0.5692 ± 0.0009	0.5710	lassem_i.o
2	0.6945 ± 0.0010	0.6965	2assem_i.o
3	0.8055 ± 0.0010	0.8074	3assem_i.o
4	0.8713 ± 0.0010	0.8734	4assem_i.o
5	0.9153 ±.0 0011	0.9174	5assem_i.o
6	$0.9528 \pm 0.0010$	0.9547	6assem_i.o
7	$0.9992 \pm 0.0010$	1.0012	7assem_i.o

#### Table 6-3. k<sub>eff</sub> for Various Numbers of Type 4.1 DFAs

#### 6.2 RESULTS FOR TYPE 4.1 DFAS IN DOE SNF CANISTER WITHOUT BASKET ASSEMBLY

Results are given in Table 6-4 for various numbers of type 4.1 DFAs in the DOE SNF, canister without the stainless steel basket assembly. Hexagonal and square arrays and a variety of spacings are used to produce these results as described in Section 5.2.2.

Number of DFAs	Pitch, cm - Array	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
1		0.5656 ± 0.0009	0.5674	1packd0.o
3	16.8300 - hex.	0.7864 ± 0.0010	0.7883	3packd0.o
<b>4</b> ·	13.2205 - hex.	0.8721 ± 0.0010	0.8741	4pack_i.o
4	14.0000 - hex.	0.8659 ± 0.0010	0.8679	4packd0.o
5	13.2205 - hex.	0.9127 ± 0.0009	0.9146	5pack_i.o
5	13.8000 - sq.	0.8577 ± 0.0010	0.8596	5packd0.o
5	13.2000 - sq.	0.8717 ± 0.0010	0.8736	5packd0a.o
6	13.2205 - hex.	0.9508 ± 0.0011	0.9529	6pack_i.o
6	*	0.9492 ± 0.0010	0.9512	6packd0.0

## Table 6-4. Results for Various Numbers of Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister without a Basket Assembly

## **Engineering Calculation**

### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page 21 of 36

Number of DFAs	Pitch, cm - Array	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
7	13.2205 - hex.	0.9981 ± 0.0009	1.0000	7pack_i.o
7	13.8000 - hex.	$0.9920 \pm 0.0010$	0.9940	7packd0.o

\* 5 DFAs equally spaced about center DFA, center-to-center distance from outer DFAs to center DFA is 13.2 cm

#### 6.2.1 Results for Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Stainless Steel Basket Assembly

Results similar to those in Table 6-4 are given in Table 6-5 for type 4.1 DFAs in the DOE SNF canister, but in this case with the stainless steel basket assembly. In each case the center position of the basket is occupied and the DFAs are positioned so as to find the most reactive configuration as described in Section 5.2.2.1. The distance listed in the table is the center-to-center distance between the outer DFAs and the center DFA.

#### Table 6-5. Results for Various Numbers of Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Basket Assembly

Number of DFAs	Distance between Inner and Outer DFAs, cm	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
4	14.461	$0.7606 \pm 0.0010$	0.7625	4packd0_ss_10.0
5	14.461	$0.7945 \pm 0.0010$	0.7965	5packd0_ss_10.0
6	14.461	$0.8407 \pm 0.0010$	0.8427	6packd0_ss_10.0
6	15.0	$0.8194 \pm 0.0010$	0.8214	6packd0_ss_10.a.o

#### 6.2.2 Results for Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Gadolinium Doped Stainless Steel Basket Assembly

Results for the same configurations as used in Section 6.2.1 but with a gadolinium doped basket assembly are presented in Table 6-6. These cases are described in Section 5.2.2.2. The basket assembly contains 5% gadolinium (19.26 kg), which is assumed homogeneously distributed throughout the metal composing the basket.

## Table 6-6. Results for Various Numbers of Type 4.1 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister with Gadolinium Doped Basket Assembly

Number of DFAs	Distance between Inner and Outer DFAs, cm	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
5	14.461	$0.7196 \pm 0.0010$	0.7216	5packd0_ss_10_gd.o
6	14.461	$0.7596 \pm 0.0010$	0.7615	6packd0_ss_10_gd.o
6	15.000	$0.7431 \pm 0.0010$	0.7450	6packd0_ss_10.a_gd.o

## **Engineering Calculation**

### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page 22 of 36

#### 6.3 RESULTS FOR TYPE 4.1 Fuel Pins

Results are now presented for Type 4.1 fuel pins in spaced in water, for Type 4.1 fuel pins in the Ident-69 container, and for the Ident-69 container in the DOE SNF canister surrounded by 5 DFAs.

#### 6.3.1 Values of ker for Various Numbers and Spacings of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins

As described in Secs. 5.2.3 and 5.2.3.1 the values of  $k_{eff}$  for loose FFTF type 4.1 fuel pins in a hexagonal array with uniform pitch are determined next. Results are presented in Table 6-7 for various numbers of pins at a variety of spacings. The results show that 133 (or just less) number of fuel pins constitute a minimum critical number of fuel pins. The optimal spacing between pins is about 2.25 cm.

Number of	Pitch,	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma$	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
fuel pins	cm			• •
91	2.16	$0.9011 \pm 0.0011$	0.9033	pins091_2.159.0
91	2.25	$0.9071 \pm 0.0011$	0.9093	pins091_2.25.0
91	2.50	$0.9101 \pm 0.0011$	0.9123	pins091_2.50.0
91 .	2.75	$0.8969 \pm 0.0010$	0.8989	pins091_2.75.0
91 -	3.00	$0.8756 \pm 0.0010$	0.8776 ·	pins091_3.00.0
127	2.05	0.9792 ± 0.0010	0.9813	pins127_2.050.0
127	2.16	0.9849 ± 0.0010	0.9869	pins127_2.159.0
127	2.25	0.9873 ± 0.0012	0.9896	pins127_2.25.0
127	2.50	0.9847 ± 0.0011	0.9869	pins127_2.50.0
133	2.0	$0.9880 \pm 0.0011$	0.9901	pins133_2.00.0
133	2.25	0.9985 ± 0.0010	1.0005	pins133_2.25.0
133	2.50	0.9935 ± 0.0010	0.9955	pins133_2.50.0
169	2.25	$1.0538 \pm 0.0010$	1.0558	pins169 2.25.0

#### Table 6-7. Spacing Study and Minimum Critical Number of Type 4.1 Fuel Pins

#### 6.3.2 Results for Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can

Next results for hexagonal and square arrays in Ident-69 containers with different spacings between fuel pins is shown in Table 6-8. The pins are placed in the array with uniform spacing filling the entire Ident-69 container and neglect the presence of the inner duct of the Ident-69 container as described in Section 5.2.3.2. Any portion of the outermost ring of fuel pins that do not exactly fit in the container are assumed to be cut so that they exactly fit along the inside surface of the can. This, in general, results in the container containing a noninteger number of fuel pins. The container is assumed to be fully flooded and is positioned in the center of the 18 in. canister.

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 23 of 36

Pitch, cm - Array	<u>k_r±σ</u>	$k_m + 2\sigma$	File Name
0.590 - hex.	$0.6245 \pm 0.0009$	0.6262	cs-1 F.o
0.72644 - hex.	$0.6509 \pm 0.0009$	0.6528	cs0 F.o
0.8 - hex.	$0.6642 \pm 0.0010$	0.6661	cs0.8 F.o
0.9 - hex.	$0.6805 \pm 0.0010$	0.6825	cs0.9 F.o
1.0 - hex.	$0.6908 \pm 0.0011$	0.6930	cs1.0.0
1.1 - hex.	$0.7136 \pm 0.0010$	0.7156	cs1.1.o
1.1 - sq.	$0.7124 \pm 0.0011$	0.7146	cs1.10.new.sq.o
1.20 - hex.	$0.7153 \pm 0.0007$	0.7167	cs1.20.0
1.25 - hex.	$0.7209 \pm 0.0007$	0.7222	cs1.25.0
1.25 - sq.	$0.7150 \pm 0.0010$	0.7171	cs1.25.new.sq.o
1.29 - hex.	$0.7188 \pm 0.0007$	0.7201	cs1.29.0
1.33 - hex.	$0.7035 \pm 0.0007$	0.7048	cs1.33.0
1.35 - hex.	$0.7002 \pm 0.0006$	0.7014	cs1.35.0
1.40 - sq.	$0.6825 \pm 0.0011$	0.6847	cs1.40.new.sq.o
1.5 - hex.	$0.7175 \pm 0.0007$	0.7188	cs1.5.0
1.55 - hex.	$0.6992 \pm 0.0010$	0.7012	cs1.55.0
1.60 - hex.	$0.6846 \pm 0.0011$	0.6867	cs1.6.0
1.70 - hex.	0.6849 ± 0.0010	0.6868	cs1.7.0
2.0 - hex.	$0.6219 \pm 0.0010$	0.6240	cs2.0.0

Table 6-8. Type 4.1 Fuel Pins Spacing Study in Ident-69 Can

Next the inside duct is included for the hexagonal array with a pitch of 1.25 cm and the results are shown as the first entry in Table 6-9. Partial fuel pins are included in some of these cases as listed in the table though they are not included in the count of "whole fuel pins". Results from several other cases are presented where additional fuel pins are placed just inside and/or outside the inside duct of the container and a square array with a pitch of 1.25 cm is used. For the final 6 cases presented in this table the location of the outermost row of fuel is adjusted so as to eliminate partial fuel pins. The pitch for the portion of the array outside the inner duct is also slightly increased to 1.26 cm to better position the fuel pins. Results for cases where different permutations of adding pins just inside and/or outside the duct and around the outer edge of the array are also shown in the table. The most reactive case in the table has 60 pins around the outer edge of the container plus 6 pins placed just outside the inside duct. This case has a total of 145 fuel pins (7 pins inside the duct) and has a  $k_{eff} + 2\sigma$  of 0.7329.

1 able 6-9. F	uei rm /	Arrangen	aent Study	in ident-	69 Can

Pitch Inside/Outside	Comment	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma$	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
Duct, cm - Array				
# of whole fuel pins				
1.25 / 1.25 - hex.	Partial pins	0.7097 ± 0.0010	0.7117	cs1.25.new.0.0
91				
1.25 / 1.25 - hex.	Partial pins; 6 pins added	$0.7078 \pm 0.0011$	0.7100	cs1.25.new.in.o
97	just inside duct	•.		

## **Engineering Calculation**

Page 24 of 36

<b>Ille:</b> Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality C	alculations	
locument Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00	)	

Pitch Inside/Outside	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
Duct, cm - Array	•			
# of whole fuel pins				
1.25 / 1.25 - hex.	Partial pins; 6 pins added	0.7099 ± 0.0011	0.7120	cs1.25.new.out.o
97	just outside duct			
1.25/1.25 - hex.	Partial pins; 6 pins added	$0.7036 \pm 0.0010$	0.7055	cs1.25.new.both.o
103	just inside and 6 added just			
	outside duct		· ·	
1.25 / 1.258 - sq.	Partial pins	$0.7039 \pm 0.0011$	0.7061	cs1.25.new.0a.o
89	-			
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	36 total pins around outer	$0.7171 \pm 0.0011$	0.7192	cs1.25.new.outa.o
121	edge of can; 6 pins added			
	just outside duct		·	
1.25/1.26 - hex.	36 total pins around outer	$0.7196 \pm 0.0010$	0.7215	cs1.25.new.outb.o
115	edge of can; no additional			
· .	pins added either outside or			
	inside duct			
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	36 total pins around outer	$0.7168 \pm 0.0011$	0.7189	cs1.25.new.outc.o
I21	edge of can; 6 additional	•	·	
	pins added just inside duct			
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	36 total pins around outer	$0.7128 \pm 0.0010$	0.7149	cs1.25.new.outd.o
127	edge of can; 6 additional			
	pins added just outside	:		· · ·
<b>:</b> 100 - 100	duct and 6 added just			
· .	inside duct	÷		•
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	60 total pins around outer	$0.7299 \pm 0.0011$	0.7321	cs1.25.new.outap.o
145 .	edge of can; 6 pins added			
	just outside duct			
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	60 total pins around outer	$0.7194 \pm 0.0010$	0.7213	cs1.25.new.outapp.o
· 181	edge of container plus		•	
	vacant spaces filled next to			
	outer edge; 6 pins added			
	iust outside duct			

#### 6.3.3 Results for Type 4.1 Fuel Pin Spacing in Ident-69 Can in DOE SNF Canister with 5 DFAs

The most reactive configuration inside the Ident-69 can, containing 145 pins with a  $k_{eff} + 2\sigma$  of 0.7329, is now modeled surrounded by 5 DFAs in the DOE SNF canister. The first set of results, shown in Table 6-10, neglects the basket assembly and the Ident-69 container occupies the center position of either a hexagonal array or is surrounded by the DFAs with an equal distance from the center of the Ident-69 container as described in Section 5.2.3.3. In this latter configuration the centers of the assemblies lie on a circle whose origin is coincident with the center of the

.

## **Engineering Calculation**

#### Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 25 of 36

Ident-69 can, with radius equal to the center-to-center distance given in the table. The DFAs are equally spaced in angle about the center of the Ident-69 container at 72° increments.

		·····	1	5.1 NT
Prich	Comment	K <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	K <sub>eff</sub> + 20	File Name
Inside/Outside		]		
Duct of Ident-69				
Can, cm				
1.25 / 1.26	Ident-69 container centered	$1.0007 \pm 0.0011$	1.0029	combo1+5a.o
	in DFAs in hex. array with			· ·
	pitch = $13.22$ cm			-
1.25 / 1.26	Ident-69 equally centered	$1.0009 \pm 0.0010$	1.0029	combo1+5b.o
•	between DFAs; distance			
	from center-center = 12.88			
•	cm			
1.25 / 1.26	Ident-69 equally centered	$1.0018 \pm 0.0011$	1.0040	combo1+5c.o
ĩ	between DFAs; distance	· .		
	from center-center = $13.40$			
	cm	·		
1.25 / 1.26	Ident-69 equally centered	0.9995 ± 0.0010	1.0015	combo1+5d.o
	between DFAs; distance			
	from center-center = $13.90$			
	cm .			

#### Table 6-10. Ident-69 Can Spaced Among 5 DFAs without Basket Assembly

Similar results but with the basket assembly included are presented in Table 6-11. These cases are described in Section 5.2.3.4. For these cases the center-to-center distance between the Ident-69 container and the DFAs is 14.461 cm which corresponds to the assemblies just touching the outer center tube of the basket assembly. Since additional fuel is being placed around the Ident-69 can, the spacing of the fuel pins inside the container needs to be varied to determine if a significantly more reactive configuration can be found. Results in the table are for the pitch inside and outside the internal duct ranging from 1.02 to 1.60 cm and 1.10 to 1.60 cm, respectively. This ranges from 169 pins for the tighter arrays to 115 pins for the larger spacing. The results show that  $k_{eff}$  is essentially constant for values of the pitch ranging from 1.40 to 1.60 cm. Larger values of pitch were not investigated since as indicated in Table 6-8 they should be less reactive.

## **Engineering Calculation**

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 26 of 36

Table 6-11. Ident-69 Can Spaced Among 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly						
Pitch	Comment	$k_{eff} \pm \sigma$	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name		
Inside/Outside			[			
Duct of Ident-						
• 69, cm; # of						
pins in Ident-69				J .		
Can						
1.02 / 1.10	54 total pins around outer	$0.9104 \pm 0.0011$	0.9125	combo1+5b_ss_1.00		
169	edge of can; 6 pins added					
	just outside duct					
1.25 / 1.26	60 total pins around outer	$0.9236 \pm 0.0011$	0.9257	combo1+5b_ss_1.0.0		
145	edge of can; 6 pins		· .			
	added just outside duct			1		
1.40/1.40	54 total pins around outer	$0.9322 \pm 0.0011$	0.9343	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+.0		
115	edge of can	•				
1.50/1.50	54 total pins around outer	$0.9316 \pm 0.0010$	0.9336	combo1+5b_ss_1.0++.0		
115	edge of can			•		
1.60 / 1.60	60 total pins around outer	$0.9313 \pm 0.0010$	0.9334	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+++		
115	edge of can			p.o		

The basket assembly is now assumed to be doped with 5% gadolinium (19.26 kg) and the results are presented in Table 6-12. These cases are described in Section 5.2.3.5. The center-to-center distance between the Ident-69 container and the DFAs is 14.461 cm, which corresponds to the assemblies just touching the outer tube surface. Results are included that deal with various numbers of fuel pins in the Ident-69 container in hexagonal and square arrays. Again, as in the previous case, the most reactive configurations are for hexagonal arrays with a pitch spacing between 1.40 and 1.60 cm where  $k_{eff}$ , with a value of about 0.86, is essentially constant.

#### 6.4 RESULTS FOR THE DOE SNF CANISTER IN THE CO-DISPOSAL WASTE PACKAGE

Results are presented for the DOE SNF canister in the co-disposal waste package as described in Section 5.2.4. The DOE SNF canister will either contain 6 DFAs or 1 Ident-69 container and 5 DFAs. The basket assembly is assumed to be present in all cases with the center positions containing either a DFA or the Ident-69 container for the 6 and 5 DFA cases, respectively. The canister is assumed to be fully flooded in all cases. The DOE canister is placed in the center position surrounded by 5 HLW glass canisters. Cases are presented where the canister is either centered in the co-disposal waste package or offset from the center to account for settling. A cases is presented where the entire co-disposal waste package is flooded. In all cases the co-disposal waste package is water reflected.

## **Engineering Calculation**

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 27 of 36

# Table 6-12. Ident-69 Can Spaced Among 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly Doped with Gadolinium

Pitch Inside/Outside	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	k <sub>eff</sub> +	File Name
Duct of Ident-69 can,			2σ	
cm - Array type; #				
pins in Ident-69 Can				
0.85 / 1.0662 - hex.	60 total pins around	$0.8316 \pm 0.0011$	0.8338	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+.0
187	outer edge of can;			
	6 pins added just			
	outside duct			
0.98 / 1.125 - hex.	42 total pins around	$0.8340 \pm 0.0010$	0.8360	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+a.o
163	outer edge of can;			
	6 pins added just			·
	outside duct			
0.98 / 1.125 - hex.	54 total pins around	$0.8352 \pm 0.0011$	0.8373	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+b.o
175	outer edge of can;			
<b>-</b> .	6 pins added just			
	outside duct			
1.25 / 1.26 - hex.	60 total pins around	$0.8493 \pm 0.0011$	0.8515	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0.0
145	outer edge of can			
1.40 / 1.40 - hex.	54 total pins around	$0.8556 \pm 0.0010$	0.8576	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+_gd.o
115	outer edge of can			
1.50 / 1.50 - hex.	54 total pins around	$0.8577 \pm 0.0011$	0.8599	combo1+5b_ss_1.0++_gd.o
115	outer edge of can			
1.60 / 1.60 - hex.	60 total pins around	$0.8549 \pm 0.0010$	0.8569	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+++p_gd.o
115	outer edge of can	•		
00.88 / 0.918 - sq.	regular array; no	$0.8163 \pm 0.0010$	0.8183	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqd.o
153	additional pins			
1.06 / 1.0662 - sq.	regular array; no	$0.8268 \pm 0.0011$	0.8289	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc.o
109	additional pins			•
1.2528 / 1.26 - sq.	regular array; no	$0.8271 \pm 0.0010$	0.8291	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqb.o
89	additional pins			
1.532 / 1.52 - sq.	regular array; no	0.8096 ± 0.0010	0.8116	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqa.o
57	additional pins			
0.795 / 1.0662 - sq.	regular array; no	0.8251 ± 0.0010	0.8271	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc1.0
121	additional pins			· .
0.795 / 1.0662 - sq.	12 added just	$0.8178 \pm 0.0012$	0.8201	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc2.0
133	outside duct			
0.795 / 1.0662 - sq.	24 added around	$0.8335 \pm 0.0011$	0.8356	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc3.o
157	outer edge of can;			·
	12 added just			
	outside duct			

## **Engineering Calculation**

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### **Page** 28 of 36

Pitch Inside/Outside Duct of Ident-69 can, cm - Array type; #	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	k <sub>eff</sub> + 2σ	File Name
pins in Ident-69 Can		<u>.</u>		•
1.06 / 1.0662 - sq. 121	12 added just outside duct	0.8236 ± 0.0011	0.8258	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc2a.o
1.06 / 1.0662 - sq. 145	24 added around outer edge of can; 12 added just outside duct	0.8395 ± 0.0010	0.8415	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc3a.o

#### 6.4.1 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing 6 DFAs in the Co-disposal Waste Package

The DOE SNF canister is now assumed to be filled with 6 DFAs and the results are presented in Table 6-13. These cases are described in Section 5.2.4.1. The center-to-center distance gives the spacing of the outer DFAs from the center DFA in the DOE SNF canister. Results are also presented where the actual density rather than the bulk density of the fuel is used. In this case the fuel footprint volume is used which gives fuel masses slightly greater than those used in Table 5-1. Also cases are given where water is assumed to have penetrated into the fuel pins of the DFAs. The void fraction inside the fuel and the space between the dished surfaces of the fuel pellets are shown in Section 5.2.4.1 to constitute 11% of the footprint volume of the fuel. A case is shown where this volume is fully saturated and another case is given where this volume and other voids inside the fuel pins are flooded. This latter case increases  $k_{eff}$  by slightly more than 1%. None of the cases in this table include gadolinium in the DOE canister basket assembly. Inclusion of gadolinium would further decrease  $k_{eff}$ .

Center-to-Center Distance, cm	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	k <sub>eff</sub> +2σ	File Name
14.461	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co- disposal waste package	0.8572 ± 0.0010	0.8592	6packd0_ss_10.o
14.461	18 in. canister centered in co-disposal waste package	0.8559 ± 0.0011	0.8580	6packd0_ss_10_c.o
14.75	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co- disposal waste package	0.8441 ± 0.0010	0.8460	6packd0_ss_10b.o
15.00	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co- disposal waste package	0.8355 ± 0.0010	0.8374	6packd0_ss_10c.o

<b>Table 6</b>	-13. Six	DFAs in	DOE SNF	'Canister	Inside (	Co-dispo	osal Waste	Package
						-		

## **Engineering Calculation**

coment menuier.	BBA00000-01717-0210-000	116 REV UU		raye 29 01 36
Center-to-Center Distance, cm	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
15.25	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co- disposal waste package	0.8267 ± 0.0010	0.8287	6packd0_ss_10d.o
14.461	Co-disposal waste package is fully flooded	0.8484 ± 0.0009	0.8502	6packd0_ss_10_ff.o
14.461	Actual fuel density used	0.8584 ± 0.0010	0.8603	6packd0_ss_10+.0
14.461	Fuel volume and fuel 100% saturated	0.8665 ± 0.0010	0.8684	6packd0_ss_10_w_1.o
14.461	Fuel volume and fuel 100% saturated; voids inside fuel pins flooded	0.8763 ± 0.0011	0.8785	6packd0_ss_10_w_1a.o

#### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### 6.4.2 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can Plus 5 DFAs in the Co-disposal Waste Package (No Gadolinium)

The DOE SNF canister is now assumed to be filled with a most reactive Ident-69 container and 5 DFAs and the results are presented in Table 6-14. These cases are described in Section 5.2.4.2. None of the cases in this table include gadolinium in the basket assembly. The center-to-center distance gives the spacing of the DFAs from the Ident-69 can. Results are also presented where the actual density rather than the bulk density of the fuel is used. In this case the same fuel volume is used which gives fuel masses slightly greater than those used in Table 5-1. Also cases are given where water is assumed to have penetrated into every fuel pin. The void fraction inside the fuel and the space between the dished surfaces of the fuel pellets are shown in Section 5.2.4.1 to constitute 11% of the footprint volume of the fuel. A case is shown where this volume is fully saturated and another case is given where this volume and other voids inside the fuel pins are flooded.

Center-to- Center Distance, cm	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	k <sub>eff</sub> +2σ	File Name
14.461	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co-disposal waste package	0.9360 ± 0.0010	0.9380	combo1+5b_ss_10a.o
14.750	18 in. canister displaced 2 cm from center of co-disposal waste package	0.9290 ± 0.0010	0.9309	combo1+5b_ss_10b.o

#### Table 6-14. Ident-69 and 5 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister Inside Co-disposal Waste Package

•.

## **Engineering Calculation**

## Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

.

Page 30 of 36

icament menun	CI: BBA00000-01/1/-0	210-00010 KEV 00	)	rayo SU UI SO
Center-to-	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
Center		-	-	
Distance, cm			1	
15.000	18 in. canister	$0.9207 \pm 0.0010$	0.9227	combo1+5b_ss_10c.o
	displaced 2 cm from			
	center of co-disposal			
	waste package			
15.250	18 in. canister	$0.9120 \pm 0.0010$	0.9140.	combo1+5b ss 10d.o
	displaced 2 cm from			
	center of co-disposal			]
	waste package			·
14.461	Co-disposal waste	$0.9275 \pm 0.0010$	0.9296	combo1+5b ss 10aff.o
	package is fully		<b>.</b>	
	flooded		1	
14.461	Actual rather than bulk	$0.9385 \pm 0.0011$	0.9406	combo1+5b ss 10a+.o
	fuel density used			
14.461	Voids inside fuel pins	$0.9424 \pm 0.0010$	0.9445	combo1+5b ss_10aa.o
. •	flooded (no water			
	absorption in fuel)	· ·		· · ·
14.461	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9430 \pm 0.0010$	0.9449	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w5.0
•	50% saturated	· ·		
14.461	Fuel volume and fuel	0.9467 ± 0.0011	0.9488	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1.0
· ÷	100% saturated		· ·	
14.461	Swollen fuel volume	$0.9517 \pm 0.0010$	0.9537	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1b.o
a' p	100% saturated	• :	· ·	
14.461	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9526 \pm 0.0010$	0.9546	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a.o
	100% saturated; voids			
	inside fuel pins			
•	flooded			
14.461	Swollen fuel volume	$0.9509 \pm 0.0010$	0.9529	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1c.o
••	100% saturated; voids		•	
	inside fuel pins			
	flooded			
14.461 ·	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9538 \pm 0.0010$	0.9558	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_c.o
• `	100% saturated; voids			· ·
	inside fuel pins	. • •		
	flooded; 18 in. canister			
	centered in co-disposal	•		
	waste package			· .

## **Engineering Calculation**

#### Tille: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 31 of 36

#### 6.4.3 Results for the DOE SNF Canister Containing an Ident-69 Can Plus 5 DFAs in the Co-disposal Waste Package (Containing Gadolinium)

Results similar to those in the previous table are given in Table 6-15 but the basket assembly in the DOE SNF canister contains gadolinium. These cases are described in Section 5.2.4.3. The gadolinium is assumed to be doped into the stainless steel structure or coated either on the inside or outside of the inner support tube.

Table 6-15. Ident-69 and 5 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister Containing Gadolinium Inside Co-									
disposal Waste Package									
•									

Gd Content	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
0.5% in 18	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9093 \pm 0.0010$	0.9113	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5.0
in. basket	100% saturated; voids			
(1.93 kg)	inside fuel pins			• • • • • •
	flooded			· · · ·
0.5% in 18	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9167 \pm 0.0010$	0.9188	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0
in. basket	100% saturated; voids	•		
(1.93 kg)	inside fuel pins			• •
• •	flooded; 1.6 cm pitch			
	inside Ident-69 can	,		
0.5% in 18	Same as previous case	$0.9151 \pm 0.0010$	0.9171	gravl.o
in. basket	but HLW canisters			
(1.93 kg)	displaced by gravity			
0.5% in 18	Same as previous case	$0.8743 \pm 0.0011$	0.8765	grav2.o
in. basket	but entire waste			2. A. A.
(1.93 kg)	package displaced by			
	gravity	,		:
0.5% in 18	Same as previous case	$0.8810 \pm 0.0010$	0.8830	grav2a.o
in. basket	but DOE canister			
(1.93 kg)	rotated 180° and			•
	displaced by gravity			
1.0% in 18	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9036 \pm 0.0011$	0.9059	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_1.o
in. basket	100% saturated; voids			
(3.85 kg)	inside fuel pins	•		
	flooded			
2.5% in 18	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.8932 \pm 0.0010$	0.8952	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_2.5.0
in. basket	100% saturated; voids	. •		
(9.63 kg)	inside fuel pins	•		
	flooded	·		
5% in 18 in.	18 in. canister dis-	$0.8624 \pm 0.0011$	0.8646	combo1+5b_ss_10a_gd.o
basket (19.3	placed 2 cm from			
kg)	center of co-disposal			

## **Engineering Calculation**

Cament inen	LIIGI: DBAUUUUU-01/1	7-0210-00010 KE	<u>v uu</u>	raye 52 01 50
Gd Content	Comment	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
5% in 18 in.	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.8787 \pm 0.0011$	0.8809	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd.o
basket (19.3	100% saturated; voids			
kg)	inside fuel pins			
	flooded			
5% in inner	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.8985 \pm 0.0010$	0.9005	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_h.o
tube of	100% saturated; voids			
basket (8.40	inside fuel pins			
kg)	flooded			
19.3 kg	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.8884 \pm 0.0010$	0.8904	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_i0.o
coated on	100% saturated; voids			
inside	inside fuel pins	,		
surface of	flooded			
inner tube				
8.40 kg	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9017 \pm 0.0011$	0.9038	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_i1.o
coated on	100% saturated; voids			
inside	inside fuel pins			
surface of	flooded			$(1, 1) \in \mathcal{F}_{1}$
inner tube				
8.40 kg	Fuel volume and fuel	$0.9057 \pm 0.0010$	0.9078	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_o1.o
coated on	100% saturated; voids	•		
outside	inside fuel pins			
surface of	llooded			
linner fube	in the second		J ]	

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 32 of 36

The effect of varying water density in the entire co-disposal canister is investigated for the most reactive case in Table 6-15 and the results are shown in Table 6-16. For these cases the fuel pin pitch inside the Ident-69 container is 1.6 cm, the fuel in all fuel pins is completely saturated, voids in the fuel pins are filled with water, voids inside the 18 in. canister are filled with full density water, and the basket assembly contains 0.5% gadolinium (1.93 kg). The range of water densities varies from full density to zero, i.e., a void.

#### Table 6-16. Varying Water Density Inside Co-disposal Waste Package with a DOE SNF Canister Containing Ident-69 Can and 5 DFAs with Basket Assembly Containing 0.5% Gadolinium

Water Density in Co- disposal Waste Package, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	k <sub>eff</sub> +2σ	File Name
0.	$0.9167 \pm 0.0010$	0.9188	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0
0.2	$0.9139 \pm 0.0011$	0.9161	case2.0
0.4	$0.9093 \pm 0.0010$	0.9113	case4.0
0.6	$0.9083 \pm 0.0010$	0.9104	case6.0

## **Engineering Calculation**

#### Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Water Density in Co- disposal Waste Package, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
0.8	0.9096 ± 0.0011	0.9117	case8.0
1.0	$0.9059 \pm 0.0011$	0.9080	case_1.0

The results for varying water density inside the Ident-69 container for the most reactive case in Table 6-15 are shown in Table 6-17. For these cases the pitch inside the Ident-69 container is 1.6 cm, the fuel in all the fuel pins is completely saturated, voids in the fuel pins are filled with water, voids outside the Ident-69 container but inside the 18 in. canister are filled with full density water and the basket assembly contains 0.5% gadolinium (1.93 kg). The rest of the co-disposal waste package is treated as a void for all cases except one where the waste package is assumed to be flooded with full density water.

Table 6-17. Varying Water Density in an Ident-69 container Surrounded by 5 DFAs inDOE SNF Canister Containing a 0.5% Gadolinium Assembly Inside Co-disposal WastePackage

Water Density Inside Ident-69 Can, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
0.	$0.7690 \pm 0.0010$	0.7709	caseinid0.0
0. (outside 18 in. canister fully flooded)	0.7656 ± 0.0010	0.7676	caseinid0a.o
0.2	0.7835 ± 0.0011	0.7856	caseinid2.0
0.4	$0.8066 \pm 0.0010$	0.8085	caseinid4.0
0.6	0.8379 ± 0.0010	0.8399	caseinid6.0
0.8	$0.8749 \pm 0.0011$	0.8770	caseinid8.0
1.	$0.9167 \pm 0.0010$	0.9188	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0

The results for varying water density inside the 18 in. canister but outside the Ident-69 container for the most reactive case in Table 6-15 are shown in Table 6-18. For these cases the pitch inside the Ident-69 container is 1.6 cm, the fuel in all the fuel pins is completely saturated, voids in the fuel pins are filled with water, the Ident-69 container is filled with full density water and the basket assembly contains 0.5% gadolinium (1.93 kg). The rest of the co-disposal waste package is treated as a void for all cases except one where the container is assumed to be flooded with full density water.

Page 33 of 36

## **Engineering Calculation**

# **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Document Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page 34 of 36

#### Table 6-18. Varying Water Density for Ident-69 and 5 DFAs in DOE SNF Canister Containing 0.5% Gadolinium Inside Co-disposal Waste Package

Water Density Outside Ident-69 Can, g/cm <sup>3</sup>	k <sub>eff</sub> ±σ	$k_{eff} + 2\sigma$	File Name
0.	$0.7517 \pm 0.0010$	0.7536	caseoutid0.0
0. (outside 18 in. canister fully flooded)	0.7633 ± 0.0010	0.7654	caseoutid0a.o
0.2	$0.8238 \pm 0.0010$	0.8258	caseoutid2.0
0.4	$0.8612 \pm 0.0010$	0.8632	caseoutid4.0
0.6	$0.8837 \pm 0.0011$	0.8859	caseoutid6.0
0.8	$0.8991 \pm 0.0011$	0.9013	caseoutid8.0
1.	$0.9167 \pm 0.0010$	0.9188	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0

## **Engineering Calculation**

Tille: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 35 of 36

#### 7. REFERENCES

- Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) 1997. MCNP- A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, Version 4B – UC 705 and UC 700. LA-12625-M, Version 4B. Los Alamos, New Mexico: LANL. MOL.19980624.0328.
- 7.2 Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) 1998. FFTF (MOX) Fuel Characteristics for Disposal Criticality Analysis. DOE/SNF/REP-032. Idaho Falls, Idaho: Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company INEEL. 241492.
- 7.3 Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) 1987. Critical Dimensions of Systems Containing <sup>235</sup>U, <sup>239</sup>Pu and <sup>233</sup>U, 1986 Revision. LA-10860-MS. Los Alamos, New Mexico: LANL. 209447.
- 7.4 Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System (CRWMS) Management and Operating (M&O) Contractor 1998. Software Qualification Report for MCNP Version 4B2, A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code. Computer Software Configuration Item (CSCI): 30033 V4B2LV. Document Identifier Number: 30033-2003 REV 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: M&O. MOL.19980622.0637.
- 7.5 CRWMS M&O 1996. Material Compositions and Number Densities for Neutronics Calculations. BBA000000-01717-0200-00002 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: M&O. MOL.19960624.0023.
- 7.6 CRWMS M&O 1999. Electronic Attachments for BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00, Attachment II, CD-ROM. Las Vegas, Nevada: M&O. MOL.19981102.0081.
- 7.7 National Spent Nuclear Fuel Program 1998. Preliminary Design Specification for Department of Energy Standardized Spent Nuclear Fuel Canisters, Volume I – Design Specification. DOE/SNF/REP-011, Revision 0. Idaho Falls, Idaho: Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company. 239252
- 7.8 Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) 1991. Preliminary Waste Form Characteristics Report. Livermore, California: University of California, LLNL. MOL.19940726.0118.

**Engineering Calculation** 

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page 36 of 36

#### 8. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment I: EXCEL spreadsheets (2 pages). Attachment II: Electronic attachments for MCNP outputs are provided on CD-ROM (Ref. 7.6) and listed in Table II-1 (5 pages).

## Engineering Calculation

Page I-1

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Bocument Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

	•			· · · · · ·		A	tachment	I				
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H .		J	ĸ	L
1 2	Avogadro's REV00: Diameter of	number from Re fuel pellet and I	if. 7.5, BBA000 insulator pellet:	x000-01717-0200	3-00002	0.602252 0.49403	em				Note: all molecul from Ref. 7.5, BBA00	lar weights are 0000-01717-
<u> </u>											0200-00002 REV	/00
3	Foctprint le	ign of fuel perio	as in rue: pens:			. 91,44		in factorial walkings (			NOTE: BODIE	VIEUOIIS rausishi
4	Volume of r	Jet in tuet pin=pi	rdiameter 276	inguv4=		17.525015	carro (TAS	ie iooqnint votume.)			MAA IS MORCONS	i wegin hat danebi
<u> </u>										•	e consily a num	der densky
	Length of n	illingi Oranium I	SUIZIOF.			2.032	<b>CI</b> TA				when is number (	Bensily
	Number of 1	uei pins in an as	isembly:			217					WL INSCOON IS WE	light naccion
1 -	Number of	latural U Insulat	ors in a suel pil	A:		2					STOTHIC SDUNG. IS	atomic
	) Volume of i	nsulators=ol*dia	neter*2*leadt	n <b>"If of insufators</b>	.V4=	169.04797	cm*3 (Total	volume of insulators	in an assembly.)	1	Avoc.# is Avoca	dro's number
10	{									,	f is sumber	
1 44	<del> </del>	1 / MA/zerm	wt fraction 1/	MAN IN				1 / hit American fr	action L/MAV D			
1 12	{	17 mil-4414	atomic Abund			•		t t mit t - weit dies n	atomic Abundar			
43	1		Aut fraction)	ine Pu					Aut fraction) for	11		
14	•	ARM.	Time 3.2	Type 4.1	Type 3.2	Time 4.1		ARM .	Time 3.2	Type	Tune 3.2	Type 4.1
1			1300 012	1366 4.1	Type ore	+ 700			Type ore	4.1	1340 0.2	1300 4.1
15	Pu-239	239.05215	0.8723	0.8735	0.003649	0.003654	U-235	235.04391	5 0.007	0.002	2.978E-05	8.509E-06
16	Pu-240 ·	240.05388	0.1173	0.1163	0.0004885	0.0004845	U-238	238.0507	7 0.993	0.998	0.0041714	0.0041924
17	Pu-241	241.05674	0.0104	0.0102	4.314E-05	4.231E-05	0	15.99491	5			
18	10	15.994915	5					•				
19	1			MW Pu	239.18991	239.18852				MW U	238.02945	238.04468
20	1			MW	270.53995	270.53855	•			MW UO_1.96	269.37949	269.39471
				PuO_1.96								
21												
22	N_I=Mass_	PAvog # / (MW	I "Volume)			-						
23	]		Type 3.2	Type 4.1	Type 3.2	Type 4.1			Type 3.2	Type 4.1	Type 3.2	Type 4.1
24	mass of Pu	in fuel pin, g:	34.2	44.8	. •		mass of U in	n fuel pin, g	118.3	108.2		
25	]		masses	M25865	# density	# density			masses	masses	<b># density</b>	# density
26	]	Pu-239	29.83256	39.1328	4.2879E-03	5.6246E-03		Ú-235	0.8281	0.2164	1.2105E-04	3.1634E-05
27	1	Pu-240	4.01186	5.21024	5.7420E-04	7.4575E-04	÷	U-238	117.4719	107.9836	1.6955E-02	1.5586E-02
28	1	Pu-241	0.35568	0.45696	5.0697E-05	6.5134E-05	i	0	15.580882	14.24973505	3.3470E-02	3.0610E-02
29	1	0	4.4825099	5.87186006	9.6291E-03	1.2614E-02	!	Total O (MOX)			4.3099E-02	4.3224E-02
30	1									Saturated MO	< fuel @11% H2(	D
31	1							Total O (MOX and	H2O)		4.6777E-02	4.6902E-02
32	1							Total H			7.3565E-03	7.3565E-03
33	1											

Engineering Calculation

	Title: Fast	Flux Test F	acility (FI	FTF) Reacto	r Fuel Crit	icality C	alculation	S					
	Decumen	t identifier:	BBA000	000-01717-0	210-0001	6 REV 00	)	<b>P</b>	aga I-2		•		
Г —	A .	B	C	D	E	F	G	н	1 1 1		к	L	
34												•	•
35	N_H-Mass_F	Avog # / (MW_	"Volume)			•							
36	Following is I	or the Nat. U ins	sulators; (U-23	54 assumed to b	e U-235)		•	#den UO2:	2.2451E-02			•	
37	]	1	Nuclide a	itomic abund.	MW.			#den U-235:	1.6288E-04	•			
38	atomic abund	lances from	U-235	0.007255	<b>235.043</b> 92	1.7052436	5	#den U-238:	2.2288E-02				
39	Nuclides and	isotopes, I	U-238	0.992745	238.05077	236.32371		ilden O:	4.4901E-02				
40	Fifteenth Edi	tion Chart of	0		15.994915			Saturated Insulator	@11% H20				
41	the Nuclides			Total MW of	natural U	238.02896		Total O #den:	4.8580E-02				•
42				Total MW of	00_2	270.01879		Total H #den:	7.3565E-03				
43	Total mass 0	r Retural U Insul	ators in an as	sembly:	1500	Total mass	UCJ_Z Insula	tors in assembly=	1/01.592				
4			an Bénar A	A									
43	Following to (	ensky) wil Hacu Ist unior	kon_r-Avog.s / deneikter					•					
47	Full density a	voter 1 elemA3	eeneny-	''									
48		MW	e density		•					•			
49	The State	1.0078252	6.6878E-02										
80	10:	15.994915	3.3439E-02										
51	total MW: 👘	18.010565											
52	Saturated Mi	DX Fuel @11%	H2O Type 4.1	Fuel			•						
63	N_⊫0.11*N_	l (water)											
<b>64</b>	]0:		3.6783E-03										•
65	H:		7.3565E-03										
66				•	·	•						-	
57	N_I=(mass d	ensity)"wt. fracti	ion_l"Avog.# /	MW_I			N_)=(mass	density) wt. fraction_f	Avog.#/MW_I				
68	The following	is for Type 304	L SS, density		7.5		The followin	g is for the incone! Al	oy 600,density=		8.4	7	
59	Density of Ty	/pe 304L wom R	iet. 7.5, BEAD	00000-01717-02	00-00002		Density of I	nconel Alloy 500 from	Inco Alloys Intern	adonal, Inc.			
60	Element	MW 1	wt fraction	# density	* • • • • •		1988 Produ	ct Handbook, IAI-38.					
61	TC	12.01115	0.0003	1.1883E-04			Element	MW	wt fraction	# density			
62	N	14.003074	0.001	3.3977E-04			NI	58.71	0.74335	6.4587E-02		1	
83	SI	28.085	0.0075	1.2705E-03			Cr	<b>51.99</b> 6	0.155	1.5206E-02		ł	
64	P	30.973765	0.00045	6.9123E-05			Fe	55.847	0.08	7.3072E-03		ł	
· 65	8	31.972074	0.0003	4.4643E-05		•	C	. 12.01115	0.0015	6.3704E-04			
65	Cr	<b>51.99</b> 6	0.19	1.7386E-02			Mn	54.9380503	0.01	8.2851E-04			
67	Mn	54.93805	0.02	1.7321E-03			S	31.9720737	0.00015	2.3932E-05		1	
48	Fe	55.847	0.68045	5.7970E-02			Si	28.086	0.005	9.0812E-04		1	
49	NI I	58.71	0.1	8.1039E-03	•		Cu	63.54	0.005	4.0141E-04			
70		Sum =	1				L	Sum =	1	·····	·	]	

## **Engineering Calculation**

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page II-1

#### Attachment II

# Table II-1 MCNP Outputs used in Engineering Calculations and Stored in ElectronicFormat (CD-ROM, Ref. 7.6)

Bytes Used	Date Last	Output File Name	AENCF*	Table
-	Accessed	_	(MeV)	Used
2,965,934	9/4/98	7assem_a.o	0.39323	table 6-1
2,965,523	9/4/98	7assem_b.o	0.38199	table 6-1
2,965,622	9/4/98	7assem_c.o	0.37448	table 6-1
2,965,213	9/4/98	7assem_d.o	0.36463	table 6-1
2,965,114	9/4/98	7assem_f.o	0.34937.	table 6-1
2,965,211	9/4/98	. 7assem_h.o	0.33836	table 6-1
2,965,114	9/2/98	7assem_i.o	0.33236	table 6-1
2,965,114	9/4/98	7assem_j.o	0.32608	table 6-1
2,965,200	9/5/98	7assem_1.0	0.31860	table 6-1
2,964,899	9/5/98	7assem_n.o	0.31215	table 6-1
2,963,810	9/5/98	7assem_p.o	0.30711	table 6-1
2,964,899	9/5/98	7assem_r.o	0.30667	table 6-1
2,966,766	7/17/98	7assem_3.2_h.o	0.31131	table 6-2
2,966,766	7/17/98	7assem_3.2_i.o	0.30181	table 6-2
2,966,766	7/18/98	7assem_3.2_j.o	0.30036	table 6-2
2,966,766	7/18/98	7assem_3.2_1.0	0.29058	table 6-2
2,965,519	7/20/98	7assem_3.2_n.o	0.28553	table 6-2
802,495	8/31/98	lassem_i.o	0.43341	table 6-3
1,162,952	9/1/98	2assem_i.o	0.38388	table 6-3
1,523,721	9/1/98	3assem_i.o	0.35977	table 6-3
1,883,769	9/1/98	4assem_i.o	0.34886	table 6-3
2,243,985	9/1/98	5assem_i.o	0.34236	table 6-3
2,604,541	9/2/98	6assem_i.o	0.33585	table 6-3
2,965,114	11/16/98	7assem_i.o	0.33236	table 6-3
785,172	9/11/98	1packd0.o	0.43092	table 6-4
1,603,660	9/11/98	3packd0.o	0.34232	table 6-4
1,966,237	9/10/98	4pack_i.o	0.34847	table 6-4
1,985,993	9/11/98	4packd0.o	0.33666	table 6-4
2,242,315	9/10/98	5pack_i.o	0.34351	table 6-4
2,194,810	9/14/98	5packd0.o	0.33385	table 6-4
2,193,822	9/14/98	5packd0a.o	0.33998	table 6-4
2,605,394	9/14/98	6pack_i.o	0.33879	table 6-4

## **Engineering Calculation**

## Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page II-2

•

Bytes Used	Date Last	Output File Name	AENCF*	Table
	Accessed		(MeV)	Used
2,565,579	9/14/98	6packd0.o	0.32939	table 6-4
2,963,185	9/9/98	7pack_i.o	0.33387	table 6-4
2,964,026	9/9/98	7packd0.o	0.32257	table 6-4
1,591,350	11/10/98	4packd0_ss_10.0	0.37493	table 6-5
1,889,056	9/17/98	5packd0_ss_10.0	0.36611	table 6-5
2,183,335	9/17/98	6packd0_ss_10.a.o	0.35561	table 6-5
2,183,524	11/16/98	6packd0_ss_10.0	0.35533	table 6-5
1,890,259	9/17/98	Spackd0_ss_10_gd.o	0.40716	table 6-6
2,183,914	9/18/98	6packd0_ss_10.a_gd.o	0.39366	table 6-6
2,184,125	9/18/98	6packd0_ss_10_gd.o	0.39197	table 6-6
605,222	9/9/98	pins091_2.159.0	7.123E-02	table 6-7
605,320	9/10/98	pins091_2.25.0	6.810E-02	table 6-7
605,221	9/10/98	pins091_2.50.0	6.252E-02	table 6-7
603,132	9/17/98	pins091_2.75.0	6.082E-02	table 6-7
603,116	9/17/98	pins091_3.00.0	5.860E-02	table 6-7
641,703	9/10/98	pins127_2.050.0	7.055E-02	table 6-7
641,898	9/10/98	pins127_2.159.0	6.656E-02	table 6-7
641,800	9/10/98	pins127_2.25.0	6.577E-02	table 6-7
641,796	9/9/98	pins127_2.50.0	6.021E-02	table 6-7
647,929	9/10/98	pins133_2.00.0	7.178E-02	table 6-7
647,833	9/11/98	pins133_2.25.0	6.506E-02	table 6-7
647,929	9/11/98	pins133_2.50.0	5.962E-02	table 6-7
684,313	9/11/98	pins169_2.25.0	6.318E-02	table 6-7
1,324,524	9/10/98	cs-1_F.o	0.69455	table 6-8
1,310,589	9/11/98	cs0.8_F.o	0.33943	table 6-8
1,310,587	9/11/98	cs0.9_F.o	0.26697	table 6-8
1,323,806	9/11/98	cs0_F.o	0.42068	table 6-8
794,051	9/11/98	cs1.0.0	0.21898	table 6-8
794,051	9/11/98	cs1.1.0	0.18168	table 6-8
760,806	9/10/98	cs1.10.new.sq.o	0.16232	table 6-8
795,612	9/22/98	cs1.20.0	0.15993	table 6-8
760,919	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.sq.o	0.13481	table 6-8
793,176	9/23/98	cs1.25.0	0.14745	table 6-8
794,267	9/23/98	cs1.29.0	0.13895	table 6-8
794,364	9/23/98	cs1.33.0	0.13619	table 6-8
793,176	9/21/98	cs1.35.0	0.13568	table 6-8
760,822	9/11/98	cs1.40.new.sq.o	0.12034	table 6-8

## **Engineering Calculation**

.

Title: Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations Document Identifier: BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page II-3

Bytes Used	Date Last	Output File Name	AENCF*	Table
	Accessed		(MeV)	Used
794.364	9/22/98	cs1.5.0	0.11519	table 6-8
791,868	9/18/98	cs1.55.0	· 0.11283	table 6-8
791,672	9/18/98	cs1.6.0	0.10916	table 6-8
791,771	9/18/98	cs1.7.0	0.10380	table 6-8
793,855	9/11/98	cs2.0.0	9.437E-02	table 6-8
761,474	9/10/98	cs1.25.new.0.0	0.14476	table 6-9
610,557	9/22/98	cs1.25.new.0a.o	0.13512	table 6-9
797,174	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.both.o	0.15972	table 6-9
779,004	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.in.o	0.14985	table 6-9
780,728	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.out.o	0.14961	table 6-9
1,687,840	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.outa.o	0.15784	table 6-9
1,730,684	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.outap.o	0.17745	table 6-9
1,795,334	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.outapp.o	0.21961	table 6-9
1,677,716	9/11/98	cs1.25.new.outb.o	0.15213	table 6-9
1,688,425	9/12/98	cs1.25.new.outc.o	0.15968	table 6-9
1,698,754	9/12/98	cs1.25.new.outd.o	0.16751	table 6-9
3,385,550	9/11/98	combo1+5a.o	0.28499	table 6-10
3,027,198	9/11/98	combo1+5b.o	0.28207	table 6-10
3,027,002	9/12/98	combo1+5c.o	0.26935	table 6-10
3,020,549	9/12/98	combo1+5d.o	0.26050	table 6-10
2,867,614	9/23/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+++p.o	0.26357	table 6-11
2,864,713	9/23/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.0++.0	0.26482	table 6-11
2,860,443	9/11/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+.0	0.26395	table 6-11
3,156,999	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.00	0.29299	table 6-11
3,111,924	9/11/98	combol+5b_ss_1.0.0	0.27841	table 6-11
2,871,742	9/23/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+++p_gd.o	0.28950	table 6-12
2,861,353	9/23/98	· combo1+5b_ss_1.0++_gd.o	0.28754	table 6-12
2,863,948	9/22/98	combo1+5b_ss_1.0+_gd.o	0.28827	table 6-12
3,487,419	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+.0	0.33127	table 6-12
3,562,246	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+a.o	0.31943	table 6-12
3,581,755	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0+b.o	0.32386	table 6-12
3,113,123	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0.o	0.30373	table 6-12
2,033,525	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqa.o	0.28607	table 6-12
2,072,789	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqb.o	0.29270	table 6-12
2,097,257	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc.o	0.30431	table 6-12
2,849,402	9/13/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc1.0	0.30798	table 6-12
2,877,151	9/13/98	combol+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc2.o	0.31916	table 6-12

.

## **Engineering Calculation**

.

**Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Bocument Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

Page II-4

Bytes Used	Date Last	Output File Name	AENCF*	Table
	Accessed		(MeV)	Used
2,811,994	9/13/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc2a.o	0.30827	table 6-12
2,918,396	9/13/98	combo1+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc3.0	0.31937	table 6-12
2,853,140	9/13/98	combol+5b_ss_gd1.0_sqc3a.o	0.31074	table 6-12
2,150,737	9/13/98	combol+5b_ss_gdl.0_sqd.o	0.32553	table 6-12
2,261,413	9/4/98	6packd0_ss_10+.o	0.35241	table 6-13
2,257,897	9/1/98	6packd0_ss_10.0	0.34726	table 6-13
2,261,652	9/4/98	6packd0_ss_10_1.0	0.35158	table 6-13
2,262,439	9/5/98	6packd0_ss_10_2.0	0.34726	table 6-13
2,257,558	9/16/98	6packd0_ss_10_c.o	0.34836	table 6-13
2,262,759	9/5/98	. 6packd0_ss_10_ff.o	0.35158	table 6-13
2,258,371	9/23/98	6packd0_ss_10_w_1.o	0.33445	table 6-13
2,259,699	9/24/98	6packd0_ss_10_w_1a.o	0.32644	table 6-13
2,257,732	9/4/98	6packd0_ss_10b.o	0.34836	table 6-13
2,257,684	9/4/98	6packd0_ss_10c.o	0.34605	table 6-13
2,256,496	9/4/98	6packd0_ss_10d.o	0.34866	table 6-13
3,205,906	9/3/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a+.o	0.27940	table 6-14
3,208,716	9/1/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a.o	0.27715	table 6-14
3,206,078	9/3/98	combol+5b_ss_10a1.o	0.27704	table 6-14
3,207,083	10/13/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a2.o	0.27809	table 6-14
3,207,005	9/8/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w5.0	0.27146	table 6-14
3,206,906	9/8/98	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1.o	0.26592	table 6-14
3,211,141	9/9/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a.o	0.26325	table 6-14
3,212,361	9/16/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_c.o	0.26280	table 6-14
3,210,558	9/10/98	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1b.o	0.26062	table 6-14
3,211,130	9/10/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1c.o	0.26119	table 6-14
3,210,043	9/10/98	combo1+5b_ss_10aa.o	0.27121	table 6-14
3,207,546	10/13/98	combo1+5b_ss_10aff.o	0.27694	table 6-14
3,208,714	9/4/98	combo1+5b_ss_10b.o	0.27411	table 6-14
3,208,615	9/4/98	combo1+5b_ss_10c.o	0.27293	table 6-14
3,208,615	9/5/98	combo1+5b_ss_10d.o	0.27246	table 6-14
3,210,956	9/12/98	combol+5b_ss_10a_gd.o	0.30250	table 6-15
3,211,630	9/12/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd.o	0.28570	table 6-15
3,212,881	9/22/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5.0	0.27523	table 6-15
3,116,189	10/15/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0	0.26294	table 6-15
3,211,731	9/17/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_1.o	0.27670	table 6-15
3,211,733	9/17/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_2.5.0	0.28218	table 6-15
3,215,397	11/7/98	combol+5b ss 10a w la gd in h.o	0.28086	table 6-15

## Engineering Calculation

### **Title:** Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Reactor Fuel Criticality Calculations **Bocument Identifier:** BBA000000-01717-0210-00016 REV 00

#### Page II-5

		•		
Bytes Used	Date Last	Output File Name	AENCF*	Table
	Accessed	- · ·	(MeV)	Used
3,213,009	9/17/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_i0.0	0.28577	table 6-15
3,212,796	9/17/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_i1.o	0.27816	table 6-15
3,214,895	11/7/98	combol+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd_in_01.0	0.27678	table 6-15
3,141,185	10/30/98	grav1.o	0.26207	table 6-15
3,228,664	11/4/98	grav2.0	0.27260	table 6-15
3,224,365	11/5/98	. grav2a.o	0.26777	table 6-15
3,119,985	10/15/98	case2.o	0.26339	table 6-16
3,120,082	10/16/98	case4.0	0.26233	table 6-16
3,120,181	10/16/98	case6.0	0.26455	table 6-16
3,120,082	10/16/98	case8.0	0.26288	table 6-16
3,120,080	10/16/98	case_1.0	0.26540	table 6-16
3,116,189	11/16/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0	0.26294	table 6-16
3,228,385	10/15/98	caseinid0.0	0.36703	table 6-17
3,229,588	10/16/98	caseinid0a.o	0.36690	table 6-17
3,229,166	10/16/98	caseinid2.0	0.35390	table 6-17
3,229,164	10/16/98	caseinid4.0	0.33182	table 6-17
3,229,263	10/16/98	caseinid6.0	0.31135	table 6-17
3,228,902	10/16/98	caseinid8.0	0.28604	table 6-17
3,116,189	11/16/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0	0.26294	table.6-17
3,333,119	10/16/98	caseoutid0.o	0.41180	table 6-18
3,332,682	10/16/98	caseoutid0a.o	0.40724	table 6-18
3,333,238	10/16/98	caseoutid2.o	0.35740	table 6-18
3,332,827	10/16/98	cascoutid4.o	0.32357	table 6-18
3,332,203	10/17/98	caseoutid6.0	0.29666	table 6-18
3,332,205	10/17/98	caseoutid8.0	0.27795	table 6-18
3,116,189	11/16/98	combo1+5b_ss_10a_w_1a_gd5_1.6.0	0.26294	table 6-18

\*AENCF= Average energy of neutron causing fission. AENCF is calculated by dividing the average energy loss to fission per source particle by average weight loss to fission per source particle.