

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Dec 12, 2003 11:11

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-03-0784
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

LOGGING DATE: 12/11/2003

To: Diaz, NRR

AUTHOR: Tom Gurdziel
AFFILIATION: NY
ADDRESSEE: Nils Diaz
SUBJECT: Davis Besse Integrated report, appendix A, B, C, D & E

cys: EDO
DEDMRS
DEDH
DEDM
AO
DEDR
R11

ACTION: Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION: Chairman, Comrs

LETTER DATE: 11/29/2003

ACKNOWLEDGED No

SPECIAL HANDLING: Made publicly available in ADAMS via SECY/EDO/DPC

NOTES: OCM #3996

FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE:

DATE SIGNED:

FVI
Tom Gurdziel

Tom Gurdziel

From: Tom Gurdziel [tgurdzie@dreamscape.com]
Sent: Saturday, November 29, 2003 10:22 PM
To: opa3@nrc.gov; opa@nrc.gov; Christine Lipa
Cc: Richard W. Lamoreaux; Rep. Marcy Kaptur; Jim Mackinnon; James M. Trapp; U. Madgels; J. Funk; Gordon K. Hunegs; Glen Meyer; Ed Stronski; David Lochbaum
Subject: Davis-Besse Integrated Report, Appendix A, B, C, D, & E

CHAIRMAN REC'D

03 DEC -9 PM 4:28

Good morning,

Appendix A

Item 3 If the frequency and/or voltage values are worse than the values of other nuclear plants, and are accepted (grand fathered), then I think there should be use limits. For example, after 5 start and loading cycles, the electric motors experiencing these values will be rebuilt.

Item 6 What about the next outage that is actually 2 weeks or longer, planned or not?

Item 11 This item assumes everybody needs to remain employed.

Item 20 Shouldn't the Cycle 14 plan actually begin at the start of Cycle 14?

Appendix B

NRC Restart Checklist

Item 5.d change "(including visual inspection of.." to "(including video inspection of.."

NRC Confirmatory Action Letter

No comments

Appendix C

No comments

Appendix D

Organizational Effectiveness

Item 8 Very good. If it hasn't been done this outage, it needs to be done sooner than 2005.

Operations Improvement

No comments.

Maintenance Improvement

No comments

Training Improvement

No comments

Work Management

No comments

Engineering Improvement

What you need here is a very strong emphasis on individual self improvement of technical skills. I don't see it here. One way to provide such encouragement would be with tuition assistance to those working and going to school at night to acquire more engineering skills.

Continuous Safety Culture Improvement

Item 4 Why, at this late date, would any employee not have completed SCWE training? Completion of this item should be PRIOR to restart.

Procedure Improvement

Item 2 Check that the FENOC standards are proper first.

Corrective Action Program Improvement

Item 2 Perhaps the appropriate level should be based on the cost of repair/modifications, not simply the number of them.

Internal and External Oversight Improvement

~~Item 6 Completely-DELETE-THIS-ITEM.~~ INPO didn't do anything that I could see to help avert the present trouble. Where did they suddenly acquire assessment ability?

Individual Barrier Attributes

I-07 Change back to ZERO as mentioned in the Integrated Report. One is NOT acceptable for a goal in an organization trying to show it is no longer production dominated.

I-08 See immediately above.

I-15 This number might be too high. I would think a lower number, say 90% might be acceptable if a severe penalty was assessed upon failure of the follow-up remedial training.

Programs Barrier Attributes

P-04 I don't understand what this goal means.

P-05 One operating cycle is too short for this goal.

Management Barrier Attributes

M-03 Change goal from "<1.25" to 1.

M-04 Change goal from "<2" to 1.

M-06 Change goal from "Managers and supervisors are generally effective with a few exceptions" to "Managers and supervisors are effective"

M-12 Change from "<100" to "10."

M-13 Change "completed within 3 months of schedule" to "completed on schedule"

(Note: these Management Barriers mostly sound to me like they are production oriented.)

Oversight Barrier Attributes

O-06 Use of Industry peer support acceptable if it doesn't include INPO participation.

Appendix E

Item 82 Is this for Boiling Water Reactors or Pressurized Water Reactors?

This is e-mail #3. I don't need a reply.

Thank you,

Tom Gurdziel