

From: Rebecca Nease, CIV
To: Payne, Charlie
Date: Thu, May 1, 2003 1:26 PM
Subject: Re: ASD timing issues

Good - I may ask for the report numbers, so that I can use them as examples in the IN. I probably should have examples from more than 1 region. [REDACTED]

EX5

>>> Charlie Payne 05/01/03 12:58PM >>>
Rebecca,

Glad you brought this up. Region II was close to raising the same issue. We have found similar findings (timing, accessibility and staffing) on each of our last three inspections. Like you, many have not been physically walked down or validated (one site had several manual actions in the area of the postulated fire but didn't think it was a problem). I agree this is a good topic for the monthly call.

Charlie...

>>> Rebecca Nease 05/01/03 12:26PM >>>
Esteemed fire protection inspectors,

We have had quite a few timing issues associated with ASD procedures (e.g. we have timed the actions in the field and compared them to the SSD analysis). In fact, we have found this to be a problem and about half the plants. In addition, for those proceduralized SSD procedures (in lieu of physical protection iaw III.G.2) [REDACTED]

MY BC has spoken with Eric Weiss about this [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] if we had a FP counterpart meeting once in a while, I'd know this.]

EX5

Mark: can we talk about this at the next conf call?

CC: Fuhrmeister, Roy; Hausman, George; Johnson, Claude; Langstaff, Ronald; Marschall, Charles; Mullikin, Ray; Qualls, Phil; Salley, Mark; Wiseman, Gerald; Young, Keith

o/1