

WM RECORD COPY

UNW51 1699

WM DOCKET CONTROL
CENTER

UCRL-91464
PREPRINT


'85 JUN 14 P3:27

Radionuclide Release from PWR Fuels in a Reference Tuff Repository Groundwater

C. N. Wilson
V. M. Oversby

Waste Management 85 Conference
Tucson, Arizona
March 25-29, 1985

March 1985



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

HYDROLOGY DOCUMENT NUMBER 112

95060505261

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.


471

UCRL-91464
PREPRINT

Radionuclide Release from PWR Fuels
in a Reference Tuff Repository Groundwater

C. N. Wilson
V. M. Oversby

Waste Management 85 Conference
Tucson, Arizona
March 25-29, 1985



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

RADIONUCLIDE RELEASE FROM PWR FUELS IN A REFERENCE
TUFF REPOSITORY GROUNDWATER

C. N. Wilson
Westinghouse Hanford Company

V. M. Oversby
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

ABSTRACT

The Nevada Nuclear Waste Storage Investigations Project (NNWSI) is studying the suitability of the welded devitrified Topopah Spring tuff at Yucca Mountain, Nye County, Nevada, for potential use as a high level nuclear waste repository. In support of the Waste Package task of NNWSI, tests have been conducted under ambient air environment to measure radionuclide release from two pressurized water reactor (PWR) spent fuels in water obtained from the J-13 well near the Yucca Mountain site. Four specimen types, representing a range of fuel physical conditions that may exist in a failed waste canister containing a limited amount of water were tested. The specimen types were: 1) fuel rod sections split open to expose bare fuel particles, 2) rod sections with water-tight end fittings with a 2.5-cm long by 150- μ m wide slit through the cladding, 3) rod sections with water-tight end fittings and two 200- μ m diameter holes through the cladding, and 4) undefected rod segments with water-tight end fittings.

Radionuclide release results from the first 223-day test runs on H. B. Robinson spent fuel specimens in J-13 water are reported and compared to results from a previous test series in which similar Turkey Point reactor spent fuel specimens were tested in deionized water. Selected initial results are also given for Turkey Point fuel specimens tested in J-13 water. Results suggest that the actinides Pu, Am, Cm and Np are released congruently

Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy
by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

with U as the UO_2 spent fuel matrix dissolves. Fractional release of ^{137}Cs and ^{99}Tc was greater than that measured for the actinides. Generally, lower radionuclide releases were measured for the H. B. Robinson fuel in J-13 water than for Turkey Point Fuel in deionized water.

INTRODUCTION

The candidate repository horizon for the Nevada Nuclear Waste Storage Investigations (NNWSI) Project is a welded devitrified tuff above the water table. A limited amount of water infiltrating the rock may provide a potential mechanism for transporting radionuclides to the underlying water table. NNWSI spent fuel canisters are being designed to meet the 10 CFR 60 requirement⁽¹⁾ that containment of all radionuclides within the waste package be "substantially complete for a period ... not less than 300 years nor more than 1000 years after closure of the geologic repository." During most of this "containment period," repository temperature will be above the boiling point of water and the repository atmosphere will be air-steam. During the post-containment period, a limited amount of water could enter a breached canister and contact the spent fuel. The condition of the fuel rods at that time is not easily predicted. A majority of the rods may be intact. A significant number of rods may be breached, containing fine holes or cracks in the cladding. More severe fuel rod degradation may occur as a result of cladding corrosion, or splitting of the cladding as fuel within breached rods slowly oxidizes.

The 10 CFR 60 requirement for the postcontainment period is that "the release rate of any radionuclide ... shall not exceed one part in 10^5 per year of the inventory of that radionuclide calculated to be present at 1000 years following permanent closure" of the repository. Typical fractional radionuclide inventories calculated for a 1000-year old PWR spent fuel⁽²⁾ are given in Table I. More than one half of the 1000-year curie activity results from americium isotopes, and americium plus plutonium isotopes account for approximately 98% of the 1000-year activity. The next isotope in Table I, ^{99}Tc , has a 212,000 year half-life, accounts for approximately

TABLE I
PWR SPENT FUEL ASSEMBLY RADIONUCLIDE
INVENTORIES AT 1000 YEARS^(a)

<u>Radionuclide</u> ^(b)	<u>% of Total 1000-Year Activity</u>	<u>Cumulative %</u>
Am-241	51.84	51.84
Am-243	1.75 ^(c)	53.59
Pu-240	26.87	80.46
Pu-239	17.37	97.83
Pu-242	0.10	97.93
Pu-238	0.06	97.99
Tc-99	0.77	98.76
Ni-59	0.252	
Ni-63	0.021	
Zr-93	0.181	
Nb-94	0.074	
C-14	0.076 ^(d)	
U-234	0.113	
U-238	0.018	
U-236	0.015	
Np-237	0.058	
Sr-126	0.045	
Se-79	0.023	
Cs-135	0.022	
Sm-151	0.013	
Pd-107	0.006	
I-129	0.0018	

- (a) Based on ORIGEN data reported in ORNL/TM-6008⁽²⁾ for 33,000 Mwd/MTM burnup PWR Assembly.
- (b) Radionuclides with 1000-year activity less than ¹²⁹I or half-life less than 1 year omitted.
- (c) Includes activity of ²³⁹Np daughter product.
- (d) ¹⁴C activity may vary considerably depending on as-fabricated nitrogen impurities.

one percent of the total 1000-year activity, and is predicted to be soluble in groundwater⁽³⁾. The fourth group of isotopes in Table I are primarily activation products. Of these, ^{14}C is of special interest because of its potential mobility as carbonate in groundwaters and incorporation into the biosphere. At lower fractional activity levels, isotopes in the last group may be of concern based on potential mobility and/or potential for incorporation in the biosphere. In the NNWSI Spent Fuel Leaching/Dissolution Tests, which are the subject of this paper, release of U, $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$, ^{241}Am , ^{99}Tc , ^{14}C , ^{237}Np , ^{129}I , ^{137}Cs and ^{244}Cm are measured. Results from these tests will be used in the evaluation of potential waste package source term release rates relative to 10 CFR 60 requirements (one part in 10^5 annual release rate).


The actual chemical state of spent fuel is not well characterized on the microscopic scale and the long term stability of spent fuel under proposed repository conditions is not well understood. The UO_2 microstructure is affected by irradiation. Unirradiated UO_2 is a hard ceramic material which exhibits transgranular cleavage when fractured. SEM photographs of the fracture surface of unirradiated fuel and the Turkey Point fuel used for the presently described tests are shown in Figure 1. The irradiated fuel is very friable and fractures along grain boundaries, which are easily resolved by the SEM. Accumulation of noble metal, alkali, and gaseous fission products at the grain boundaries is thought to occur during irradiation, resulting in a non-homogeneous chemical microstructure, from which radionuclides may be preferentially released during leaching/dissolution. Some fission products, such as Cs and possibly I, partially migrate out of the fuel to the free rod internal volume during irradiation and may be rapidly released with initial contact by water. Additional segregation of radionuclides from the fuel structure may result from slow degradation of the fuel matrix by oxidation. A better understanding of the physical/chemical state of spent fuel and its potential long-term interactions with the repository environment will be useful for detailed repository source term modeling. (Testing of spent fuel resistance to degradation by oxidation under NNWSI proposed post-containment conditions is discussed by Einziger and Woodley in Reference 4.)

(A)



20 μm

(B)



10 μm

Fig. 1. SEM photographs of fracture surfaces of (A) unirradiated UO₂ fuel exhibiting primarily cleavage fracture through grains, and (B) Turkey Point irradiated fuel exhibiting primarily fracture along grain boundaries.

In the NNWSI spent fuel leaching/dissolution testing program, radio-nuclide release is measured from spent fuel specimens representing a range of possible fuel degradation states thought to be possible during the post-containment period. Series 1 Tests used Turkey Point reactor spent fuel in deionized water under ambient hot cell air atmosphere. Initial results from the Series 1 Tests were reported at the Waste Management 84 conference⁽⁵⁾ and complete results are contained in Reference 6. Series 2A Tests used H. B. Robinson reactor spent fuel in NNWSI J-13 well water under conditions similar to those of the Series 1 Tests. This report contains primarily results from the First 223-day test run on the Series 2A specimens. Series 2B Tests use Turkey Point fuel specimens in J-13 water. Planned Series 3 Tests will use the H. B. Robinson fuel in J-13 water at higher temperatures. Additional planned tests will use oxidized spent fuel specimens.

TEST DESCRIPTION

Four test specimen types were tested in each test series:

- Bare fuel plus split cladding hulls.
- Slit defect, 2.5 cm long by 150 μm wide.
- Hole defects, two laser-drilled holes ~ 200 μm in diameter.
- Undefected rod sections.

The ends of the slit defect, hole defects, and undefected specimens were sealed using specially fabricated 316 stainless steel fittings incorporating ethylene propylene O-rings. The tests were run in air at ambient hot cell temperature. The test apparatus and specimen configurations are shown in Figure 2.

Each test vessel was initially filled with 250 ml of J-13 water (or deionized water for Series 1). Solution samples (10-25 ml depending on required analyses) were periodically removed and replaced with fresh J-13 water (or deionized water for Series 1). Evaporation losses were made up using deionized water. Fused quartz rod samples were also periodically




Fig. 2. Test apparatus.

removed to monitor progression of radionuclide "plate-out." At the end of a test run, final solution and quartz rod specimens were taken and the specimens and apparatus were rinsed. Samples of the bare fuel and any observed residues were taken for microstructural examination. The test vessels, along with specimen pedestals and the bare fuel test fused quartz baskets, were stripped with 8 M HNO₃. The following sample types were analyzed:

- Periodic solution samples.
- Periodic quartz rod samples.
- Terminal specimen and apparatus rinse samples.
- Terminal 8 M HNO₃ vessel strip solutions.
- Bare fuel particles for metallographic examination.

The test specimens were then restarted in fresh J-13 water (deionized water for Series 1).

Test specimens were prepared using five inch long sections cut from PWR spent fuel rods from two reactors. Characteristics of the two PWR fuels used are contained in Table II. Both fuels were of similar vintage from the same vendor and were essentially identical (except for a small difference in burnup) prior to post-irradiation sectioning. The Series 2A H. B. Robinson fuel⁽⁷⁾ specimens were sectioned from the central region of a single rod (rod C-5, assembly B0-5), avoiding rod positions adjacent to spacer grid locations in order to assure uniform burnup specimens. The Turkey point specimens had been previously sectioned⁽⁸⁾ and stored in sealed steel tubes. Approximately one inch of fuel had been removed from each end of the Turkey Point fuel specimens during original sectioning. The resulting differences in specimen fuel content (~43 g for Turkey Point versus ~80 g for H. B. Robinson Specimens), and the corresponding increase in specimen internal void volume for the Turkey Point "slit defect" and "hole defects" specimens, are likely the most significant differences between the specimens of the two fuel types.

TABLE II
CHARACTERISTICS OF H. B. ROBINSON UNIT 2 AND
TURKEY POINT UNIT 3 FUELS

<u>Characteristic</u>	<u>H. B. Robinson</u>	<u>Turkey Point</u>
Fuel Type	PWR 15 x 15	PWR 15 x 15
Discharged	May, 1974	November, 1975
Estimated Burnup	31 MWd/kgM	27 MWd/kgM
Fission Gas Release	~0.2%	~0.3%
Initial Enrichment	2.55 wt% ²³⁵ U	2.559 wt% ²³⁵ U
Initial Pellet Density	92% TD (UO ₂)	92% TD (UO ₂)
Rod Diameter	10.7 mm	10.7 mm
Cladding	Zircaloy-4	Zircaloy-4
Specimen Section Length	5 inches	5 inches
Specimen Fuel Length	5 inches	2-3 inches

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results from the first run of the Series 2A H. B. Robinson fuel in J-13 water (J-13/HBR) tests are presented and compared to results from the first run of the previously completed Series 1 Turkey Point fuel in deionized water (DIW/TP) tests. Some initial solution sample results from Turkey Point fuel in J-13 water (J-13/TP) are also presented. Second run J-13/HBR and J-13/TP Series 2 tests are in progress and will be reported later. Second run DIW/TP results are contained in Reference 6.

Uranium, $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$ and ^{137}Cs contents in unfiltered solution samples are plotted in Figures 3 through 5 for the four J-13/HBR tests and the J-13/TP and DIW/TP bare fuel tests. Solution levels which would result if 10^{-5} of the three bare fuel specimen inventories were dissolved in the 250 ml of test solution are also shown. Uranium concentration in the J-13/TP bare fuel test remained nearly constant at 4 to 5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. For the J-13/HBR bare fuel test, uranium concentration decreased from a maximum value of 4.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ measured in the 6-day solution sample to 1.2 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ when the test was terminated at 223 days. Essentially all of the uranium in these solution samples from tests using J-13 water passed through both 0.4 μm and 18 A filters, indicating true solution.




Fig. 3. Uranium in unfiltered solution.




Fig. 4. ^{239}Pu + ^{240}Pu activity in unfiltered solution.




Fig. 5. ^{137}Cs activity in unfiltered solution.

Unfiltered solution uranium content fluctuated to a greater extent in the DIW/TP bare fuel test, dropping to 0.003 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ at 250 days when the test was terminated. Filtration of the 202-day solution sample from this test (when uranium in the unfiltered solution was 0.3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$) suggested that most of the uranium was colloidal or present in fine undissolved particles. Between the 202-day and final 250-day samples from the DIW/TP bare fuel test, it appears that much of this colloidal phase may have precipitated. As with uranium, a significant reduction in ^{241}Am and ^{244}Cm solution activity was also noted between the 202-day and 250-day samples from this test and most of the ^{241}Am and ^{244}Cm activity in the 202-day sample was removed by filtration. The effect of 0.4 μm and 18 A filtration on radiochemical results from the 223-day terminal J-13/HBR bare fuel solution sample and the 202-day DIW/TP bare fuel solution sample are compared in Table III.

TABLE III
EFFECTS OF FILTRATION ON 223-DAY J-13/HBR
AND 202-DAY DIW/TP BARE FUEL TEST SOLUTION SAMPLES

	<u>Series</u>	<u>Unfiltered</u>	<u>0.4 μm</u>	<u>18 A</u>
Uranium ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	J-13/HBR	1.2	1.2	1.2
	DIW/TP	0.3	0.003	0.002
$^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$ (pCi/ml)	J-13/HBR	112	75	26
	DIW/TP	2240	2180	1810
^{241}Am (pCi/ml)	J-13/HBR	286	151	3.6
	DIW/TP	9860	1430	97
^{244}Cm (pCi/ml)	J-13/HBR	410	231	0.9
	DIW/TP	21,100	2860	163
^{99}Tc (pCi/ml)	J-13/HBR	450	490	450
	DIW/TP*	2250	2250	2200

*250-day solution sample; ^{99}Tc was not analyzed in the 202-day DIW/TP solution sample

Uranium levels measured in unfiltered solution from the J-13/HBR slit defect test reached a maximum level of $\sim 0.1 \mu\text{g/ml}$ at the end of the 223-day test run, while uranium in the hole defects test fluctuated between 0.005 and 0.01 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Uranium in the J-13/HBR undefected test remained at about 0.02 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ during the test, which is slightly higher than observed in the hole defects test, indicating that decontamination* of the cladding exterior during specimen preparation was not as effective with the undefected specimen. Integrity of the J-13/HBR undefected specimen is shown by the ^{137}Cs data in Figure 5, which indicates that the J-13 water was not in contact with the interior of the undefected specimen, but did contact the interior of the other specimens. In the DIW/TP and J-13/TP test series, uranium and all other radionuclides were at their lowest levels in the undefected tests. It is interesting to note that, in the J-13/HBR tests, more actinide release is observed from a presumably small microscopic amount of contamination on the cladding exterior than is released through the 200- μm holes in the cladding.

Plutonium solution activities in the DIW/TP tests were in general about an order of magnitude higher than those measured in the J-13 water tests. The $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$ solution activities measured in the J-13/HBR slit defect, hole defects and undefected tests were not much above the detection limits for measurement. A higher fraction of the $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$ solution activity was retained on filters in J-13 water bare fuel tests and solution-to-plateout activity ratios were lower in the J-13 bare fuel tests relative to the DIW/TP tests.

Because of the high free activity and solubility of ^{137}Cs in the fuel-cladding gap, ^{137}Cs solution activity provides a means to monitor test performance. The relatively fast buildup of ^{137}Cs solution activity in the slit defect and hole defects tests to levels approximately equal to that in the

*Before final specimen assembly, slit defect, hole defects, and undefected fuel rod segments were decontaminated by wiping with alcohol-dampened cloths until smearable alpha activity from the cladding surface read below 50 cpm.

bare fuel tests indicated that the water entered and filled these test specimens. No increase in ^{137}Cs solution activity in the undefected tests indicated that these specimens did not leak. Solution ^{137}Cs content at 30 days was $\sim 0.2\%$ of specimen inventory in the J-13/TP and DIW/TP tests and $\sim 0.6\%$ of specimen inventory in the J-13/HBR tests. Based on similar reported^(7,8) percentage fission gas release values for these fuels, similar ^{137}Cs release from gap inventories would be expected. Loss of some of the ^{137}Cs gap inventory during post-sectioning handling and storage of the Turkey Point fuel may be indicated. A most interesting, and yet to be explained, observation was the decrease in ^{137}Cs activity after 60 days in the DIW/TP bare fuel test. The ^{137}Cs which left the solution in this test was not accounted for in the terminal rinse or strip solution samples. Procedures used for rinsing and collection of rinse solutions for analysis were changed after the DIW/TP tests to avoid loss of settled particles which may have contained the ^{137}Cs . However, no similar drop in ^{137}Cs solution activity was observed in any of the other tests.

Iodine-129 was determined by neutron activation analysis on unfiltered fractions of the J-13/HBR 63-day and 223-day solution samples. Results of these ^{129}I analyses are given in Table IV. The fractions of specimen ^{129}I inventory measured in solution in the bare fuel and slit defect tests were comparable to the total fractional actinide release determined at the end of the bare fuel test (i.e., 5 to 8×10^{-5} inventory). Fractional ^{129}I in solution from the hole defects test was not significantly different from that measured for the undetected test, which is an unexpected result, considering the respective fractional ^{137}Cs solution activities in these two tests.

An accounting for several of the radionuclides (and uranium) analyzed was made in order to estimate total release as a fraction of inventory for each test in each series. The uranium release accounting for the J-13/HBR tests is given in Table V. Measured release accounting data for $^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$, ^{241}Am , ^{244}Cm and ^{99}Tc in the J-13/HBR bare fuel test are contained in Table VI. Similar measured release accounting data for the J-13/HBR slit defect test are contained in Table VII. In the bottom line of each

TABLE IV
¹²⁹I MEASURED IN J-13/HBR SOLUTION SAMPLES

Test	Days	¹²⁹ I (pCi/ml)*	÷10 ⁻⁵ Inventory**
Bare Fuel	63	0.52	5.6
	223	0.72	7.5
Slit Defect	63	0.29	3.0
	223	0.38	4.0
Hole Defects	63	0.0019	0.020
	223	0.0050	0.053
Undefected	63	0.0060	0.064
	223	0.0054	0.057

*Average for 2 replicate samples measured by neutron activation analysis.
 **(pCi/ml)(250 ml)/(10⁻⁵ of specimen inventory).

TABLE V
 H. B. ROBINSON FUEL IN J-13 WATER
 URANIUM RELEASE DATA (μg)

	Bare Fuel	Slit Defect	Hole Defects	Undefected
{ Solution Samples	211	4.3	0.50	1.26
{ Rod Samples	34	0.3	<0.17	<0.19
Final Solution [U (μg/ml)]*	300 (1.2)	23.8 (0.095)	1.50 (0.006)	3.25 (0.013)
Strip	2700	1.5	0.60	0.60
Rinse**	550	1.8	0.60	0.27
{ Above	3795	31.7	<3.37	<5.57
Divided by 10 ⁻⁵ Inv.	5.42	0.044	<0.0047	<0.008

*Unfiltered 223-day final solution uranium content in μg/ml given in parentheses.

**Bare fuel rinse solution was 0.4 μm filtered before analysis.

TABLE VI
RELEASE DATA FOR H. B. ROBINSON
BARE FUEL IN J-13 WATER (nCi)

	$^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$	^{241}Am	^{244}Cm	^{99}Tc
{ Solution Samples*	42.9	93.9	125.0	~24
{ Rod Samples	56.2	125.1	138.2	<0.6
Final Solution	27.9	71.5	102.5	112.5
Strip	4054.1	9600.0	8970.0	28.4
Rinse**	253.8	532.0	564.6	18.6
{ Above	4434.9	10,422.5	9900.3	183.6
Divided by 10^{-5} Inv.	7.04	7.76	6.54	20.2

*Last solution sample included in "Final Solution" value.
**Rinse solution 0.4 μm filtered.

TABLE VII
RELEASE DATA FOR H. B. ROBINSON SLIT DEFECT
SPECIMEN IN J-13 WATER (nCi)

	$^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$	^{241}Am	^{244}Cm	^{99}Tc
{ Solution Samples*	0.251	0.625	0.702	~1.50
{ Rod Samples	0.322	0.702	1.167	--
Final Solution	0.495	1.352	0.980	7.32
Strip	2.568	5.940	5.820	3.51
Rinse	1.703	2.700	2.676	10.56
{ Above	5.339	11.319	11.345	22.89
Divided by 10^{-5} Inv.	0.0082	0.0082	0.0073	2.46

*Last solution sample included in "Final Solution" value.

accounting, total measured release (Σ above) is divided by 10^{-5} of the radionuclide inventory calculated by ORIGEN-2 to be present in each specimen⁽⁷⁾. The resulting fractional releases for each radionuclide are given in Table VIII for each of the J-13/HBR and DIW/TP tests.

Three general conclusions from the Table VIII fractional release data are that: 1) greater release occurred in the DIW/TP tests relative to the J-13/HBR tests; 2) actinides appear to be released congruently; and 3) ^{137}Cs and ^{99}Tc are released preferentially relative to the actinides.

TABLE VIII
TOTAL MEASURED RELEASE DIVIDED BY 10^{-5} INVENTORY

	<u>Series</u>	<u>Bare Fuel</u>	<u>Slit Defect</u>	<u>Hole Defects</u>	<u>Undefected</u>
Uranium	J-13/HBR	5.42	0.044	~0.005	<0.008
	DIW/TP	21.2	0.065	0.032	0.010
$^{239}\text{Pu} + ^{240}\text{Pu}$	J-13/HBR	7.04	0.008	~0.002	~0.002
	DIW/TP	22.4	0.20	0.042	0.021
^{241}Am	J-13/HBR	7.76	0.008	~0.002	~0.003
	DIW/TP	17.2	0.152	0.019	~0.008
^{244}Cm	J-13/HBR	6.54	0.007	0.001	~0.002
	DIW/TP	21.5	0.35	0.026	0.006
^{237}Np	J-13/HBR	~6.4	--	--	--
	DIW/TP	~18	~0.2	--	--
^{137}Cs	J-13/HBR	683	567	362	~0.7
	DIW/TP	~230	110	50	0.04
^{99}Tc	J-13/HBR	20.2	2.46	~1	--
	DIW/TP	152	8.1	--	--

Except for ^{137}Cs , lower absolute μg and nCi releases occurred in the J-13/HBR tests relative to the DIW/TP tests, even though the J-13/HBR specimen inventories were about twice that of the DIW/TP inventories. Initial data from the J-13/TP tests suggest that the observed differences in release are due to the different leaching solutions (i.e., DIW vs J-13 water) rather than the difference in fuel types. Chemistry of the J-13 water, as shown by Table IX for the J-13/HBR bare fuel test, was relatively constant for the duration of the tests. The J-13 water, containing about $120 \mu\text{g/ml}$ bicarbonate, became slightly basic during the tests, while the deionized water became slightly acidic. Test solution pH values for each sample taken from the J-13/HBR and DIW/TP bare fuel tests are plotted in Figure 6. Essentially identical pH behavior was observed for all eight tests in the J-13/HBR and J-13/TP test series, where after about 15 days, pH was between about 8.2 and 8.5 for the remainder of the tests. Similarly, pH of all four tests in the DIW/TP test series dropped into a range of about 6.4 to 5.7 and remained in this range for the duration of the tests. Lower pH in the deionized water tests may have resulted from radiolysis of dissolved air producing HNO_3 . The NO_3 concentration in all four of the DIW/TP tests was found to be $\sim 2 \mu\text{g/ml}$ when these tests were terminated. This small amount of HNO_3 radiolysis product would probably not have had a significant effect on the J-13 water pH because of buffering by the bicarbonate.


Some additional insight into differences in spent fuel leaching/dissolution behavior in J-13 water and deionized water was provided by metallographic examination of post-test fuel particles from the J-13/HBR, J-13/TP and DIW/TP bare fuel tests. Micrographs of polished sections of bare fuel particles tested in deionized water and J-13 water are shown in Figure 7. The bare fuel tested in deionized water exhibited extensive grain boundary attack, while no significant grain boundary attack was observed in bare fuel particles tested in J-13 water. Since ^{99}Tc may partially segregate to grain boundaries during irradiation, more extensive grain boundary attack in deionized water likely accounts for the higher fractional ^{99}Tc release relative to the fractional actinide release observed in the deionized water bare fuel test.

TABLE IX

SOLUTION CHEMISTRY* FOR THE
H. B. ROBINSON BARE FUEL TEST IN J-13 WATER

	<u>Starting J-13 Water</u>	<u>30 Days</u>	<u>120 Days</u>	<u>223 Days</u>
pH	7.2	8.2	8.5	8.5
Al	0.11	0.09	0.10	<0.08
Ca	15.0	12.7	12.1	12.3
Fe	--	0.21	0.15	0.08
K	5.5	4.5	2.8	2.2
Mg	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.0
Mo	--	0.26	0.21	0.20
Na	49.5	41.6	44.5	45.5
Sr	--	--	--	0.06
Si	31.9	24.5	26.2	32.7
Cl	7.3	7.8	7.3	7.6
F	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.2
PO ₄	2.8	--	--	--
NO ₂	--	--	~0.5	~0.6
NO ₃	8.7	7.4	8.1	8.3
SO ₄	18.8	18.8	18.6	18.5
CO ₃	118.0	--	120.0	118.0

*Units in $\mu\text{g/ml}$, 0.4 μm filtered.




HEDL 8502-021.11

Fig. 6. pH of solution samples taken from the H. B. Robinson fuel in J-13 water and Turkey Point fuel in deionized water -- bare fuel tests.

CONCLUSIONS

Comparative semi-static leach tests were conducted on PWR spent fuel specimens in NNWSI reference J-13 well water and in deionized water under hot cell ambient air and temperature conditions. Four spent fuel specimen configurations were tested in both water types: 1) bare fuel plus the cladding, 2) fuel rod segments with sealed ends and a slit defect through the cladding, 3) fuel rod segments with sealed ends and small ($\sim 200 \mu\text{m}$) laser-drilled holes through the cladding, and 4) undefected fuel rod segments with sealed ends. Principal conclusions based on initial results from these tests are:

(A)



(B)




Fig. 7. Polished sections of fuel particles from (A) Turkey Point bare fuel tested in deionized water showing grain boundary attack, and (B) H. B. Robinson bare fuel tested in J-13 water showing an absence of grain boundary attack.

- 1) Within the probable accuracy of total release measurements and specimen inventory calculations, the actinides U, Pu, Am, Cm and most likely Np, appear to be released congruently.
- 2) ^{99}Tc and ^{137}Cs are released preferentially relative to the actinides. Preferential ^{99}Tc release is of particular interest, since it is predicted to be relatively soluble in groundwater and is one of the major radionuclides of interest with respect to the requirements of 10 CFR 60 (10^{-5} of 1000-year inventory maximum permitted annual release).
- 3) Much greater total release was observed in both J-13 and deionized water for bare fuel versus the same quantity of fuel contained in defected cladding.
- 4) Lower actinide release was observed in J-13 well water than in deionized water. Greater actinide and ^{99}Tc release from bare fuel in deionized water appeared to be caused by grain boundary dissolution. Carbonate buffering of the J-13 water to alkaline pH, while deionized water becomes slightly acidic from radiolysis, may account for the observed differences in actinide release.

REFERENCES

1. Code of Federal Regulations, *Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories - Licensing Procedures*, Title 10, Chapter 1, Part 60, June 30, 1983 (cited as 10 CFR 60).
2. C. W. ALEXANDER et al., "Projections of Spent Fuel to Be Discharged by the US Nuclear Power Industry," ORNL/TM-6008, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, October 1977.
3. J. F. KERRISK, "Solubility Limits on Radionuclide Dissolution at a Yucca Mountain Repository," LA-9995-MS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, May 1984.
4. R. E. EINZIGER, R. E. WOODLEY, "Low Temperature Spent Fuel Oxidation Under Tuff Repository Conditions," *Paper presented at Waste Management 85 Conference*, Tucson, AZ, March 28, 1985, (HEDL-SA-3271).
5. C. N. WILSON, V. M. OVERSBY, *Proceedings of the Symposium on Waste Management, Tucson, Arizona, March 11-15, 1984*, R. G. Post Editor, Volume 1, pp. 569-572.
6. C. N. WILSON, "Results from NNWSI Series 1 Spent Fuel Leach Tests," HEDL-TME 84-30, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, May 1985.
7. J. O. BARNER, "Characterization of LWR Spent Fuel MCC-Approved Testing Material ATM-101," PNL-5109, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, WA, June 1984.
8. R. B. DAVIS and V. PASUPATHI, "Data Summary Report for the Destructive Examination of Rods G7, G9, J8, I9 and H6 from Turkey Point Fuel Assembly B17," HEDL-TME 80-85, Hanford Engineering Development Laboratory, Richland, WA, April 1981.