From: Karla Smith / R IV
To: Rebecca Nease
Date: 1/8/02 9:39AM
Subject: Re: Backfit analysis

Geary,
Rebecca plans to revise the backfit response (analysis) based on the points below. Please let me know if you have any problems with this approach. I do not want her to get too far with this if you do not feel this is the right approach. We are willing to talk with you about it if you think that is necessary.
Thanks
Karla

Karla D. Smith
RIV Regional Counsel
817-860-8271 (w)
817-860-8122 (f)
kds1@nrc.gov

>>> Rebecca Nease 01/08/02 08:33AM >>>
Karla,

As we discussed (at length) yesterday, I will reorganize my ANO backfit argument in the following manner:

1. Our position wrt Appendix R, III.G has always been that licensees must comply with III.G.2 or III.G.3 or get an exemption. This is exemplified by numerous generic (SOC, GLs, INs) and ANO-specific docketed information (SERs).

EX5

[redacted]

Rebecca

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 5
FOIA- 2003-358

IA5