November 12, 2003

Mr. G. A. Kuehn, Jr.
Vice President SNEC and
Program Director SNEC Facility
GPU Nuclear, Inc.
Route 441 South
P.O. Box 480
Middletown, PA 17057-0480

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-146/2003-201

Dear Mr. Kuehn:

This letter refers to the on-site inspection conducted on March 26 - 28, 2003 by NRC and a NRC contractor at your Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility and telephone conversations held on April 9, April 23, May 1, May 13, June 18, and July 9, 2003 to discuss your Final Status Survey (FSS) report for the Containment Vessel Interior, 774' Elevation and Below. This survey unit is the first in a series to be released in accordance with the Saxton License Termination Plan (LTP) approved by the NRC on March 28, 2003

The inspection included an in-process review of your radiological FSS survey. In addition, NRC conducted an independent survey in parallel with your survey and collected samples from the piles of processed backfill for laboratory analysis.

The NRC inspector conducted selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations of activities in progress. The inspector determined that the Final Status Survey was conducted in accordance with the recommendations of the Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM) and requirements in the LTP. The results demonstrated that the survey unit met the release criteria established by the LTP.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the NRC's "Rules of Practice," a copy of this letter and its enclosure will be available electronically for public inspection in the NRC Public Document Room or from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at (the Public Electronic Reading Room) http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html.

-2-

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, please contact Mr. Thomas Dragoun at 610-337-5373.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Patrick M. Madden, Section Chief Research and Test Reactors Section New, Research and Test Reactors Program Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-146

License No. DPR-4

Enclosure: NRC Inspection Report No. 50-146/2003-201

cc w/enclosures: Please see next page

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation

CC:

Mr. Michael P. Murphy Bureau of Radiation Protection Department of Environmental Protection 13th Floor, Rachel Carson State Office Building P.O. Box 8469 Harrisburg, PA 17105-8469

Mr. Jim Tydeman 1402 Wall Street Saxton, PA 16678

Mr. James H. Elder, Chairman Concerned Citizens for SNEC Safety Wall Street Ext. Saxton, PA 16678

Mr. Ernest Fuller 1427 Kearney Hill Road Six Mile Run, PA 16679

Saxton Borough Council ATTN: Judy Burket 707 9th Street Saxton, PA 16678

Mr. David J. Thompson, Chair Bedford County Commissioners County Court House 203 South Juliana Street Bedford, PA 15522

Mrs. Alexa Cook, Chairman Huntingdon County Commissioners County Court House Huntingdon, PA 16652

Saxton Community Library P.O. Box 34 Saxton, PA 16678

Carbon Township Supervisors ATTN: Penny Brode, Secretary R. D. #1, Box 222-C Saxton, PA 16678 Hopewell Township - Huntingdon County Supervisors ATTN: Reba Fouse, Secretary RR 1 Box 95 James Creek, PA 16657-9512

Mr. D. Bud McIntyre, Chairman Broad Top Township Supervisors Broad Top Municipal Building Defiance, PA 16633

Mr. Don Weaver, Chairman Liberty Township Supervisors R. D. #1 Saxton, PA 16678

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Baltimore District ATTN: S. Snarski/P. Juhle P.O. Box 1715 Baltimore, MD 21203

The Honorable Robert C. Jubelirer President Pro-Temp Senate of Pennsylvania 30th District State Capitol Harrisburg, PA 17120

Mr. James J. Byrne Three Mile Island Nuclear Generating Station P.O. Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057

Mr. Robert F. Saunders First Energy Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Ms. Mary E. O'Reilly First Energy Legal Department 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 Mr. Manuel Delgado 2799 Battlefield Road Fishers Hill, VA 22626

Mr. Eric Blocher 216 Logan Avenue Wyomissing, PA 19610

Mr. David Sokolsky 1000 King Salmon Avenue Eureka, CA 95503

Mr. Gene Baker 501 16th Street Saxton, PA 16678

Mr. Dick Spargo 1004 Main Street Saxton, PA 16678

Mr. Mark E. Warner AmerGen Energy Co., LLC P.O. Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057

Mr. G. A. Kuehn, Jr. Vice President SNEC and Program Director SNEC Facility GPU Nuclear, Inc. P.O. Box 480 Middletown, PA 17057-0480 James Fockler, Chairman Saxton Citizens Task Force 1505 Liberty Street Saxton, PA 16678

Dr. Rodger W. Granlund Saxton Independent Inspector Radiation Safety Services 133 Old Main Road State College, PA 16801

Mr. Gareth McGrath Altoona Mirror 301 Cayuga Avenue Altoona, PA 16603

Dr. William Vernetson
Director of Nuclear Facilities
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Sciences
University of Florida
202 Nuclear Sciences Center
Gainesville, FL 32611

Mrs. Bunny Barker Box 143, RR 1 James Creek, PA 16657

Mr. Gary Leidich Executive Vice President First Energy Nuclear Operating Corp. 76 South Main Street Akron, OH 44308 Mr. G. A. Kuehn, Jr.

-2- November 12, 2003

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, please contact Mr. Thomas Dragoun at 610-337-5373.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Patrick M. Madden, Section Chief Research and Test Reactors Section New, Research and Test Reactors Program Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

TEMPLATE No.: NRR-106

Docket No. 50-146

License No. DPR-4

Enclosure: NRC Inspection Report No. 50-146/2003-201

cc w/enclosures: Please see next page

Distribution

PUBLIC RNRP\RNRP r/f AAdams CBassett
PMadden MMendonca PDoyle TDragoun
WEresian FGillespie SHolmes DHughes
EHylton PIsaac JLyons

BDavis (cover letter only)(O5-A4)

NRR enforcement coordinator (Only IRs with NOVs, O10-H14)

ADAMS ACCESSION NO.: ML033090608

OFFICE	RNRP:RI	RNRP:LA	RNRP:SC	
NAME	TDragoun:rdr	EHylton	PMadden	
DATE	11/ 12 /2003	11/ 07 /2003	11/ 12 /2003	

C = COVER E = COVER & ENCLOSURE N = NO COPY
OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

Docket No: 50-146

License No: DPR-4

Report No: 50-146/2003-201

Licensees: GPU Nuclear/ FirstEnergy Corporation and

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation

Facility: Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility

Location: Saxton, PA

Dates: March - September, 2003

Inspector: Thomas F. Dragoun

Approved by: Patrick M. Madden, Section Chief

Research and Test Reactors Section

New, Research and Test Reactors Program Division of Regulatory Improvement Programs

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Saxton Nuclear Experimental Facility Report No. 50-146/2003-201

The focus of this inspection was the on-site, in-process review of the Final Status Survey (FSS) of the Containment Vessel (CV) Interior, 774' Elevation and Below, and the processing of material to be used as clean backfill.

Final Status Survey

• The FSS for the CV Interior, 774' Elevation and below satisfied the requirements in the LTP.

Backfill Processing

• Within the scope of this review, the processing of previously excavated material for use as clean backfill was satisfactory.

REPORT DETAILS

Summary of Plant Status

About 8,400 tons of soil and concrete was pulverized and surveyed in preparation for its use as clean backfill in the Steam Plant excavation. About 2,677 tons of concrete was removed from the interior of the CV leaving only the steel shell and reinforcing rings installed to prevent collapse. Licensee HP technicians and some contractor personnel were supporting the preparation and conduct of FSS surveys of the CV interior surface and completing minor remediation.

1. Final Status Survey

a. <u>Inspection Scope (Inspection Procedure [IP] 40755)</u>

The inspector reviewed the following to ensure that the requirements of the LTP were being met:

- Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Facility License Termination Plan, Revision 2, dated December 2002
- Final Status Survey Report for Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation CV Interior, 774' Elevation and Below, Revision 1, dated September 4, 2003
- SNEC Facility Radiological Controls Final Status Survey Training Manual, Revision 0, undated
- Radiological Instrumentation Quality Assurance Report, Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation, January 1, 2002 - December 31, 2002
- Calculation, "Multiple Source Term Bounding Calculation", calculation No. 6900-02-025, dated October 7, 2002
- Calculation, "E900-03-03, CV Interior, 774' Elevation and Below, FSS Survey Design", draft Revision 0, dated March 7, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-IMP-4520.04, "Survey Methodology to Support SNEC License Termination", Revision 5, dated June 18, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-ADM-4500.64, "Post Remediation Isolation", Revision 1, dated March 15, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-IMP-4520.03, "Establishing a Reference Coordinate Grid System", Revision 0, dated July 9, 1999
- Procedure No. E900-ADM-4500.59, "Final Status Survey Planning and DQA", Revision 0, dated February 5, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-ADM-4500.60, "Final Status Survey Report", Revision 0, dated March 12, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-ADM-4500.39, "Chain of Custody for Samples", Revision 4, dated April 17, 2002
- Procedure No. E900-IMP-4520.05, "SNEC Site Calibration Check for the GFPC", Revision 0, dated January 22, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-IMP-4520.06, "Survey Unit Inspection in Support of FSS Design", Revision 0, dated January 29, 2003
- Procedure No. E900-QAP-4220.01, "Quality Assurance Program for Radiological Instruments", Revision 4, dated July 30, 2001

b. Observations and Findings

In order to safely access the empty CV interior surfaces for lead paint removal and FSS surveys, the licensee scheduled the first FSS survey for the bottom hemispherical head

"bowl" of the CV. After the FSS for this area demonstrated that the area could be released for unrestricted use, the licensee backfilled with crushed stone and covered the stone with impervious fabric to create a level surface protected in accordance with Post Remediation Isolation (PRI) requirements. The surface allowed for the placement of scaffolding and man lifts for safe access to the remaining CV surface. The survey report included the results from areas under the steel I-beams that were installed earlier to reinforced the CV against collapse. The licensee installed the I-beams "at-risk" subject to final NRC acceptance of the FSS.

Independent NRC measurements using similar portable radiation survey meters were co-located and conducted simultaneously with the licensee personnel. The results are presented in Table 1. Within a reasonable band of error for readings near background, the NRC and licensee data agree. Survey techniques used by licensee Technicians were observed and found acceptable. In addition, direct observation and field interviews regarding the survey requirements confirmed adequacy of technician training and understanding of survey requirements.

Reviews of the initial FSS report dated April 24, 2003, by the NRC indicated that the survey unit met the release criteria and the licensee was verbally notified of these results. This area was a Class 1 area and the survey was correctly designed for this classification in accordance with the recommended MARSSIM process. The NRC's review of the FSS Report resulted in several comments (see Attachment 1) to clarify the presentation of the survey data and corrections to the technical discussions. However, the conclusion that the survey unit satisfied the release criteria was unaffected. The licensee incorporated the changes requested by the NRC into Revision 1 of the FSS report dated September 4, 2003.

c. Conclusions

The FSS for the CV Interior, 774' Elevation and Below satisfied the requirements in the LTP.

2. Backfill Processing

a. Inspection Scope

The inspector reviewed the radiation monitoring of the previously excavated dirt, concrete, and stone that was being crushed, sifted, placed on a conveyor belt, and run under sensitive radiation detector probes, and placed in piles.

b. Observations and Findings

A computer displayed the radiation level and gamma ray spectrum of the pulverized material as it passed by in a thin layer on a moving conveyor belt. The naturally occurring radioactive isotope potassium 40 was monitored continuously and used to assure the proper calibration radiation spectrum display was maintained and the detectors were operating properly. The computer could trigger a diversion of the conveyor belt if a high radiation level was detected. Contractor personnel stated that the alarm was set at 0.9 picoCuries per gram. The inspector noted that this is conservative.

Laboratory analysis of split samples indicated agreement between the on-site lab and ORISE. These results are presented in Table 2.

c. Conclusions

Within the scope of this review, the processing of previously excavated material for use as clean backfill was satisfactory.

3. Exit Interview

The on-site portion of the inspection scope and results were summarized on March 28, 2003, with members of licensee management. The inspector described the areas inspected and discussed in detail the inspection findings. The results of the review of the amended FSS report was discussed by telephone on September 15, 2003. No dissenting comments were received from the licensee.

PARTIAL LIST OF PERSONS CONTACTED

<u>Licensee</u>

J. Byrne, SNEC Engineering Manager

A. Paynter, SNEC Radiation Safety Officer W. Stoner, SNEC Radiological Engineering M. Williams, SNEC D&D Engineering

Independent Inspector

R. Granlund

NRC Contractor

T. Bauer, Assistant Project Leader, ORISE

INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED

IP40755 Class III NonPower Reactors

ITEMS OPENED, CLOSED, AND DISCUSSED

Opened

None

Closed

None

LIST OF ACRONYMS USED

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

CV Containment Vessel DQA Data Quality Assurance

GFPC Gas Flow Proportional Counter LTP License Termination Plan

ORISE Oak Ridge Institute of Science and Education

PRI Post Remediation Isolation

MARSSIM Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual

FSS Final Status Survey

NRC Nuclear Regulatory Commission

SNEC Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation

Table 1

Direct Measurement Comparison Containment Vessel Interior 774' Elevation and below Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Saxton, Pennsylvania

Location	ORISE Measurements (net cpm) ^{a,b}	SNEC Measurements (net cpm) ^{a,c}	
Panel A1, Loc.8	-2	-3	
Panel A2, Loc. 5	-23	6	
Panel B2, Loc.12	6	7	
Panel B5, Loc.13	-21	-9	
Panel B7, Loc.5	4	12	
Panel B8, Loc.6	12	23	
Panel B9, Loc.7	35 8		
Panel B9, Loc.15	1	-8	
Panel B10, Loc. 16	14	0	
Panel B13, Loc. 17	-2	20	
Panel B16, Loc.11	-12 6		

 $^{^{\}rm a}Net$ cpm (counts per minute) calculated by subtracting shielded from unshielded measurement. $^{\rm b}Action$ level corresponding to the DCGL $_{\rm w}$ of 2100 dpm/100 cm² was 410 net cpm. $^{\rm c}Action$ level corresponding to the DCGL $_{\rm w}$ of 2100 dpm/100 cm² was 400 net cpm.

Table 2 Split Sample Comparison Gamma Spectroscopy Results for Pulverized Steam Generating Station Debris Saxton Nuclear Experimental Corporation Saxton, Pennsylvania

ORISE Sample number	ORISE concentration (pCi/g)		SNEC	SNEC concentration (pCi/g)	
	Cs-137 ^a	Co-60 ^a	Sample Number	Cs-137	Co-60
0968M001	0.05 ± 0.03^{b}	-0.01 ± 0.02	SX-SD-3401	$0.081 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$	<0.046
0968M002	0.05 ± 0.02	$0.00^{d} \pm 0.02$	SX-SD-3403	0.072 ± 0.03	<0.042
0968M003	0.05 ± 0.04	-0.01 ± 0.02	SX-SD-3404	0.101 ± 0.03	<0.05
0968M004	0.07 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.02	SX-SD-3405	0.081 ± 0.03	<0.047

^aMinimum detectable concentrations for the analyses averaged 0.03 pCi/g. ^bORISE uncertainties are total propagated uncertainties at the 95% confidence level.

[°]SNEC reported 2 σ uncertainy.

^dZero value is due to rounding.

Attachment 1

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE FINAL STATUS SURVEY REPORT FOR THE SAXTON NUCLEAR EXPERIMENTAL CORPORATION CONTAINMENT VESSEL INTERIOR, 774' ELEVATION AND BELOW

NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-146/2003-201

- 1) Executive Summary, Page 1, Paragraph 2: ESSAP recommends defining the purpose of the removable activity supplemental data. See MARSSIM Section 8.5.3.
- 2) Executive Summary, Page 1, Paragraph 2: ESSAP recommends that the exposure rate measurements performed be mentioned in this section as also being "supplemental" in nature.
- 3) Section 3.1.1.2: This section states that all static measurement results were less than 250 net cpm-the action level for scanning per calculation 6900-02-024. First, the action level should be changed to 580 net cpm for direct measurement comparison to the DCGL_w. This change should also occur in Sections 3.1.2.2, 3.1.3.2, and 3.1.4.2.
- 4) Section 3.1.1.2: The table included in this section shows data for shielded and unshielded measurements with the net cpm difference calculated. As written, the data assessment appears to compare the net difference column to the DCGL_w which is not consistent with MARSSIM guidance for data assessment when using the WRS Test. The last sentence in the section should be rewritten to discuss only the unshielded (gross) measurement results and how they compare to the DCGL_w. The shielded and net difference columns should be removed from the table. These suggestions also apply to the remaining sections titled "Static Measurements." Per calculation E900-03-003, Section 4.14, shielded measurements were performed to correct background reference area ambient values to be consistent with the survey unit. Once the reference area measurements are corrected for the difference in the survey unit and reference area ambient backgrounds, additional shielded measurements are not required and including additional shielded measurement data could be confusing to the reader.
- 5) Sections 3.1.1.2 and 3.1.1.3: ESSAP recommends that the discussions in these sections should be reversed in order because QC smears are discussed before the smear survey results are discussed. This recommendation would apply to many sections throughout the document.
- 6) Section 3.1.5: ESSAP recommends adding a discussion of how the gamma spectroscopy data from the smears should be interpreted.
- 7) Section 3.5, Table: ESSAP recommends reporting the actual value of each gamma spectroscopy measurement with the minimum detectable concentration (MDC) reported using a standard background for the process or individually for each measurement.
- 8) Section 5.0, Paragraph 5: ESSAP suggests rewording the summary written as "be backfilled to at least the 774' elevation" to clarify that the containment vessel (CV) will be backfilled no higher than the 774' elevation.