

TRANSMITTAL OF MEETING HANDOUT MATERIALS FOR IMMEDIATE PLACEMENT IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN

*This form is to be filled out (typed or hand-printed) by the person who announced the meeting (i.e., the person who issued the meeting notice). The completed form, and the attached copy of meeting handout materials, will be sent to the Document Control Desk on the same day of the meeting; under no circumstances will this be done later than the working day after the meeting.
Do not include proprietary materials.*

DATE OF MEETING

10/30/2003

The attached document(s), which was/were handed out in this meeting, is/are to be placed in the public domain as soon as possible. The minutes of the meeting will be issued in the near future. Following are administrative details regarding this meeting:

Docket Number(s)	<u>50-498, 50-499, 50-528, 50-529, 50-530</u>
Plant/Facility Name	<u>Palo Verde and South Texas</u>
TAC Number(s) (if available)	<u></u>
Reference Meeting Notice	<u>10/15/2003 (ADAMS ML032870296)</u>
Purpose of Meeting (copy from meeting notice)	<u>STARS-IRAG/NRC meeting to discuss topics taken</u> <u>from the June 10-11, 2003, workshop.</u>

NAME OF PERSON WHO ISSUED MEETING NOTICE

Jack Donohew

TITLE

Senior Project Manager

OFFICE

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

DIVISION

Division of Licensing Project Management

BRANCH

Project Directorate IV-2

Distribution of this form and attachments:

Docket File/Central File

PUBLIC

DFOI

AGENDA - STARS/NRC MEETING

OCTOBER 30, 2003

- Introduction
- STARS Items from June 10-11, 2003, Workshop*
- NRC Items from June 10-11, 2003, Workshop

PUBLIC COMMENT

- License Amendment Process for STARS Common Applications

PUBLIC COMMENT

- Conclusion

* The workshop meeting summary was issued July 18, 2003 (ADAMS No. ML031980013).

STARS/NRC WORKSHOP FOLLOW-UP ITEMS

No.	Description	Lead Person
1.	NRC Request for Additional Information (RAI) Process: The licensees stated that they wanted to better understand the RAI process followed at the NRC on the approval of information to be requested from licensees.	Gramm
2.	Legal Status of Licensee's E-mail Restriction Footer: The NRC staff pointed out that e-mails from licensees to the NRC may have an automatic footer that provides a statement similar to the following: "The information contained in this message may be privileged and/or confidential and protected from disclosure. Note that any views or opinions presented in this message are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of [the licensee]."	STARS
3.	Current Guidance on Sensitive Unclassified Homeland Security Information (SUSHI): The licensees requested that there be guidance provided to the licensees on the identification and handling of SUSHI.	Jaffe
4.	Spent Fuel Project Office (SFPO) Use of Office Instructions: During discussions, it was noted by the licensees that SFPO does not use the same type of office instructions used by the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR).	Dembek
5.	Design Basis Threat (DBT) Order More Restrictive than Interim Compensatory Measures (ICMs) in Security Plan Orders: The licensees stated that there are conflicts introduced by the security orders in that the language of the later DBT Order may be more restrictive than the earlier ICM Order.	Donohew RIS soon on "Licensee Changes to Safeguards and Security Compensatory Measures Implementation" to provide conditions under which licensees may modify site-specific actions for implementation.
6.	Relaxation of Security Plan Orders per 10 CFR 50.54(p): The licensees suggested that changes should be able to be made to these Orders under 10 CFR 50.54(p)(2), in that the regulation allows for security plans to be changed without prior Commission approval if the changes do not reduce the effectiveness of the plan.	Donohew
7.	NRC Concerns on Implementation of Revised 10 CFR 50.59 Rule: STARS-IRAG stated that it thought the NRC staff had concerns about how licensees had implemented and were using the revised rule.	Donohew