WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

35 WEST WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601-9703

43 RUE DU RHONE 1204 GENEVA, SWITZERLAND

> CITY POINT 1 ROPEMAKER STREET LONDON, EC2Y 8HT

DAVID A. REPKA (202) 371-5726 drepka@winston.com 1400 L STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3502

(202) 371-5700

FACSIMILE (202) 371-5950

www.winston.com

333 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-1543

200 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10166-4193

> 21 AVENUE VICTOR HUGO 75116 PARIS, FRANCE

101 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-5894

October 27, 2003

BY E-MAIL AND FEDERAL EXPRESS

John F. Cordes, Jr.
Solicitor
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Mail Stop O-15D21
11555 Rockville Pike
Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738

Re: NCPA v. NRC, D.C. Circuit Case Nos. 03-1038 and 03-1184

Dear Mr. Cordes:

As you know, two cases pertaining to Pacific Gas and Electric Company's ("PG&E") license transfer application for Diablo Canyon Power Plant ("DCPP") are currently pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. On July 1, 2003, and July 14, 2003, petitioner Northern California Power Agency ("NCPA") requested that further proceedings in both of those cases be held in abeyance in light of a proposed settlement agreement currently before the Bankruptcy Court in PG&E's ongoing Chapter 11 bankruptcy case that could eventually render the pending appeals moot. In a pair of Orders dated August 1, 2003, the Court granted NCPA's motions, and stayed these proceedings pending further order of the Court. The Court directed that respondent Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") file status reports at 90-day intervals. In view of those upcoming status reports, PG&E herein provides to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC" or "Commission") an update as to the bankruptcy settlement.

Background

The Petitions for Review in the captioned matters relate to an administrative order of the Commission. The NRC order, in turn, relates to PG&E's November 30, 2001, application to the NRC for approval of a transfer of its licenses to own and operate DCPP. The license transfers would be required to implement a PG&E Plan of Reorganization that is pending before the Bankruptcy Court. Also before the Bankruptcy Court is an alternative plan proposed by the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") and the Official Committee of Unsecured

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

John F. Cordes, Jr. October 27, 2003 Page 2

Creditors ("OCC"). The Bankruptcy Court previously initiated confirmation hearings on these two competing plans.

Pursuant to settlement procedures implemented by the Bankruptcy Court, PG&E, PG&E Corporation and the CPUC staff announced, on June 20, 2003, a proposed settlement of the PG&E bankruptcy case (the "Settlement Agreement"), which provides that PG&E will seek Bankruptcy Court approval of a plan of reorganization ("Settlement Plan") in place of the plans previously proposed by PG&E and the CPUC/OCC. Accordingly, confirmation hearings on the PG&E and CPUC/OCC plans have been stayed in the Bankruptcy Court.

Under the provisions of the proposed Settlement Agreement, it is expected that PG&E would emerge from Chapter 11 protection in early 2004 as a vertically integrated utility, subject to the traditional ratemaking jurisdiction of the CPUC. If consummated, the Settlement Agreement and Settlement Plan would eliminate the need for the NRC license transfer at issue in this matter.

The Settlement Agreement provides that the Agreement will become binding only upon its approval by the board of directors of PG&E and PG&E Corporation, its approval by the CPUC, and its execution by PG&E, PG&E Corporation, and the CPUC on or before December 31, 2003. The proposed settlement gives the parties the right to terminate the proposed settlement if the necessary approvals are not received by December 31, 2003.

The Bankruptcy Proceeding

Pursuant to the proposed settlement, on July 10, 2003, PG&E and the OCC jointly submitted the Settlement Plan for confirmation by the Bankruptcy Court. PG&E filed voting results with the Bankruptcy Court on October 14, 2003. Ninety-seven percent of PG&E's creditors and all of its creditor classes that voted, voted in support of the Settlement Plan. Going forward, the Confirmation Trial is scheduled to begin on November 10, 2003. PG&E anticipates that the trial should be completed, and a decision issued, before the end of the year. Assuming that the Settlement Plan is confirmed on this schedule, PG&E anticipates the Plan will be made effective during the first quarter of 2004.

The CPUC Proceeding

A CPUC proceeding is currently under way, in parallel with the Bankruptcy Court proceeding, related to approval of the Settlement Agreement. In this proceeding, a prehearing conference was held on September 9, 2003, setting a schedule for hearings. Hearings began on September 10, 2003, and concluded on September 26, 2003. Post-hearing briefs were filed in October. PG&E anticipates a proposed decision from the administrative law judge on or about November 18, 2003, with a final CPUC decision due on or about December 18, 2003.

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP

John F. Cordes, Jr. October 27, 2003 Page 3

Please contact me if you have any further questions regarding the bankruptcy settlement process.

Sincerely,

David A. Repka

Counsel for Pacific Gas & Electric Company