

From: Patricia Lougheed
To: David Hills
Date: Thu, Dec 26, 2002 2:22 PM
Subject: Closure Memo for Allegation RIII-02-A-0005 (AITS S03-2084)

Days old: 353

Dave: This closes all but one item - item 14. Upon review of that item, Jim Heller and I concluded that the licensee failed to adequately address the issue (again). Given the licensee's poor track record in responding to the issue's, Heller & I have agreed to do an inspection on 1/13 to get the remaining missing information and get the issue closed. This has been coordinated with, and accepted by, Roy.

information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions outside scope
FOIA- 2003-395

08
06

A/48

December 27, 2002

MEMORANDUM TO: J. Heller, Senior Allegations Coordinator, EICS
THROUGH: D. Hills, Chief, Mechanical Engineering Branch (MEB), DRS
FROM: Patricia Lougheed, Engineering Inspector, MEB
SUBJECT: REVIEW OF LICENSEE INVESTIGATION REPORT FOR ALLEGATION
NO. RIII-02-A-0005 (Exelon) (AITS S03-2084)

As requested by the J. Heller memorandum dated December 6, 2002, MEB has reviewed the licensee's investigation into the concerns. MEB was requested to review the information to determine whether the concern was substantiated, to identify any unresolved issues, to determine if there were any violations of NRC requirements and to determine if the licensee's response was adequate and independently performed. Each of these items is discussed separately below.

MEB has reviewed Regional Procedure 0517a "Management of Allegations" and determined that section 8.4 delineated the necessary closure information. This closure information is specifically provided at the end of this memorandum.

Were the Concerns Substantiated?

No, the concerns were not substantiated.

Were There Any Unresolved Technical Issues?

Yes, concern 14 remains unresolved. MEB and EICS have planned a trip to the Exelon headquarters in Canterra for January 13, 2003 in order to resolve this issue.

Were There Any Violations of NRC Requirements?

No, there were not any violations of NRC requirements.

Was the Licensee's Response Adequate?

Yes, the licensee's response was adequate in regard to concerns 9 and 12. In regard to concern 14, MEB determined that the answer did not fully answer the concerned individual's concern.

Was the Licensee's Independently Performed?

The concern was evaluated by members of the Exelon Generation Company, LLC, Mid-West Regional Operating Group. Therefore, MEB concluded that the response was independently performed.